# Analysis III for engineering study programs

#### Jens Struckmeier

Department of Mathematics Universität Hamburg

# Technische Universität Hamburg Wintersemester 2022/23

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

1/182

# Content of the course Analysis III.

- Partial derivatives, differential operators.
- Vector fields, total differential, directional derivative.
- 3 Mean value theorems, Taylor's theorem.
- Extrem values, implicit function theorem.
- Implicit rapresentaion of curves and surfces.
- Extrem values under equality constraints.
- Newton-method, non-linear equations and the least squares method.
- Multiple integrals, Fubini's theorem, transformation theorem.
- Potentials, Green's theorem, Gauß's theorem.
- Green's formulas, Stokes's theorem.

4□ → 4□ → 4 □ → 4 □ → 9 0 0

### Chapter 1. Multi variable differential calculus

#### 1.1 Partial derivatives

Let

 $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  a scalar function depending n variables

**Example:** The constitutive law of an ideal gas pV = RT.

Each of the 3 quantities p (pressure), V (volume) and T (emperature) can be expressed as a function of the others (R is the gas constant)

$$p = p(V, t) = \frac{RT}{V}$$

$$V = V(p, T) = \frac{RT}{p}$$

$$T = T(p, V) = \frac{pV}{R}$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

3 / 182

### 1.1. Partial derivatives

**Definition:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open,  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x^0 \in D$ .

• f is called partially differentiable in  $x^0$  with respect to  $x_i$  if the limit

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(x^{0}) := \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x^{0} + te_{i}) - f(x^{0})}{t}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x_{1}^{0}, \dots, x_{i}^{0} + t, \dots, x_{n}^{0}) - f(x_{1}^{0}, \dots, x_{i}^{0}, \dots, x_{n}^{0})}{t}$$

exists.  $e_i$  denotes the i-th unit vector. The limit is called partial derivative of f with respect to  $x_i$  at  $x^0$ .

• If at every point  $x^0$  the partial derivatives with respect to every variable  $x_i, i = 1, ..., n$  exist and if the partial derivatives are **continuous functions** then we call f continuous partial differentiable or a  $C^1$ -function.

### Examples.

Consider the function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

At any point  $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  there exist both partial derivatives and both partial derivatives are continuous:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x^0) = 2x_1, \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(x^0) = 2x_2$$

Thus f is a  $C^1$ -function.

The function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + |x_2|$$

at  $x^0 = (0,0)^T$  is partial differentiable with respect to  $x_1$ , but the partial derivative with respect to  $x_2$  does **not** exist!

□ → < □ → < □ → < □ → </li>
 □ → < □ → </li>

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

5 / 182

### An engineering example.

The acoustic pressure of a one dimensional acoustic wave is given by

$$p(x, t) = A\sin(\alpha x - \omega t)$$

The partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \alpha A \cos(\alpha x - \omega t)$$

describes at a given time t the spacial rate of change of the pressure.

The partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\omega A \cos(\alpha x - \omega t)$$

describes for a fixed position x the temporal rate of change of the acoustic pressure.

### Rules for differentiation

• Let f, g be differentiable with respect to  $x_i$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then we have the rules

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( \alpha f(\mathbf{x}) + \beta g(\mathbf{x}) \right) = \alpha \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(\mathbf{x}) + \beta \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{i}}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot g(\mathbf{x}) \right) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot g(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{i}}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( \frac{f(\mathbf{x})}{g(\mathbf{x})} \right) = \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot g(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{i}}(\mathbf{x})}{g(\mathbf{x})^{2}} \quad \text{for } g(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$$

• An alternative notation for the partial derivatives of f with respect to  $x_i$  at  $x^0$  is given by

 $D_i f(x^0)$  oder  $f_{x_i}(x^0)$ 

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

7 / 182

### Gradient and nabla-operator.

**Definition:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set and  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  partial differentiable.

We denote the row vector

grad 
$$f(x^0) := \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x^0), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(x^0)\right)$$

as gradient of f at  $x^0$ .

We denote the symbolic vector

$$\nabla := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^T$$

as nabla-operator.

Thus we obtain the column vector

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^0) := \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}^0), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}^0)\right)^T$$

### More rules on differentiation.

Let f and g be partial differentiable. Then the following rules on differentiation hold true:

$$\operatorname{grad} \left( lpha f + eta g 
ight) \ = \ lpha \cdot \operatorname{grad} f + eta \cdot \operatorname{grad} g$$
  $\operatorname{grad} \left( f \cdot g 
ight) \ = \ g \cdot \operatorname{grad} f + f \cdot \operatorname{grad} g$   $\operatorname{grad} \left( \frac{f}{g} \right) \ = \ \frac{1}{g^2} \left( g \cdot \operatorname{grad} f - f \cdot \operatorname{grad} g \right), \quad g 
eq 0$ 

#### **Examples:**

• Let  $f(x, y) = e^x \cdot \sin y$ . Then:

$$\operatorname{grad} f(x,y) = (e^x \cdot \sin y, e^x \cdot \cos y) = e^x(\sin y, \cos y)$$

• For  $r(x) := ||x||_2 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}$  we have

grad 
$$r(x) = \frac{x}{r(x)} = \frac{x}{\|x\|_2}$$
 für  $x \neq 0$ ,

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  denotes a row vector.

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

### Partial differentiability does not imply continuity.

**Observation:** A partial differentiable function (with respect to all coordinates) is not necessarily a continuous function.

**Example:** Consider the function  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$f(x,y) := \begin{cases} \frac{x \cdot y}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} & : & \text{for } (x,y) \neq 0 \\ 0 & : & \text{for } (x,y) = 0 \end{cases}$$

The function is partial differntiable on the **entire**  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and we have

$$f_{x}(0,0) = f_{y}(0,0) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y) = \frac{y}{(x^{2}+y^{2})^{2}} - 4\frac{x^{2}y}{(x^{2}+y^{2})^{3}}, \quad (x,y) \neq (0,0)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y) = \frac{x}{(x^{2}+y^{2})^{2}} - 4\frac{xy^{2}}{(x^{2}+y^{2})^{3}}, \quad (x,y) \neq (0,0)$$

# Example (continuation).

We calculate the partial derivatives at the origin (0,0):

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0,0) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(t,0) - f(0,0)}{t} = \frac{\frac{t \cdot 0}{(t^2 + 0^2)^2} - 0}{t} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(0,t) - f(0,0)}{t} = \frac{\frac{0 \cdot t}{(0^2 + t^2)^2} - 0}{t} = 0$$

But: At (0,0) the function is **not** continuous since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n}}{\left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n}\right)^2} = \frac{\frac{1}{n^2}}{\frac{4}{n^4}} = \frac{n^2}{4} \to \infty$$

and thus we have

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} f(x,y) \neq f(0,0) = 0$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

11 / 182

### Boundedness of the derivatives implies continuity.

To guarantee the continuity of a partial differentiable function we need additional conditions on f.

**Theorem:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  be partial differentiable in a neighborhood of  $\mathsf{x}^0 \in D$  and let the partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathsf{x}_i}$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,n$ , be bounded. Then f is continuous in  $\mathsf{x}^0$ .

**Attention:** In the previous example the partial derivatives are not bounded in a neighborhood of (0,0) since

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y) = \frac{y}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} - 4\frac{x^2y}{(x^2 + y^2)^3} \quad \text{für } (x,y) \neq (0,0)$$

4□ → 4 同 → 4 豆 → 4 豆 → 9 Q (~)

### Proof of the theorem.

For  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small we write:

$$f(x) - f(x^{0}) = (f(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}) - f(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}^{0}))$$

$$+ (f(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}^{0}) - f(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}^{0}, x_{n}^{0}))$$

$$\vdots$$

$$+ (f(x_{1}, x_{2}^{0}, \dots, x_{n}^{0}) - f(x_{1}^{0}, \dots, x_{n}^{0}))$$

For any difference on the right hand side we consider f as a function in one single variable:

$$g(x_n) - g(x_n^0) := f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n^0)$$

Since f is partial differentiable g is differentiable and we can apply the mean value theorem on g:

$$g(x_n) - g(x_n^0) = g'(\xi_n)(x_n - x_n^0)$$

for an appropriate  $\xi_n$  between  $x_n$  and  $x_n^0$ .

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

13 / 182

# Proof of the theorem (continuation).

Applying the mean value theorem to every term in the right hand side we obtain

$$f(x) - f(x^{0}) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, \xi_{n}) \cdot (x_{n} - x_{n}^{0})$$

$$+ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-2}, \xi_{n-1}, x_{n}^{0}) \cdot (x_{n-1} - x_{n-1}^{0})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$+ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}(\xi_{1}, x_{2}^{0}, \dots, x_{n}^{0}) \cdot (x_{1} - x_{1}^{0})$$

Using the boundedness of the partial derivatives

$$|f(x) - f(x^0)| \le C_1|x_1 - x_1^0| + \cdots + C_n|x_n - x_n^0|$$

for  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ , we obtain the continuity of f at  $\mathbf{x}^0$  since

$$f(x) \rightarrow f(x^0)$$
 für  $||x - x^0||_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ 

□ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 

### Higher order derivatives.

**Definition:** Let f be a scalar function and partial differentiable on an open set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . If the partial derivatives are differentiable we obtain (by differentiating) the partial derivatives of second order of f with

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_i} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

**Example:** Second order partial derivatives of a function f(x, y):

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right), \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right), \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}$$

Let  $i_1, \ldots, i_k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Then we define recursively

$$\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_k} \partial x_{i_{k-1}} \dots \partial x_{i_1}} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_k}} \left( \frac{\partial^{k-1} f}{\partial x_{i_{k-1}} \partial x_{i_{k-2}} \dots \partial x_{i_1}} \right)$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

15 / 182

### Higher order derivatives.

**Definition:** The function f is called k-times partial differentiable, if all derivatives of order k,

$$\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_k} \partial x_{i_{k-1}} \dots \partial x_{i_1}} \quad \text{for all } i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

exist on D.

Alternative notation:

$$\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_k} \partial x_{i_{k-1}} \dots \partial x_{i_1}} = D_{i_k} D_{i_{k-1}} \dots D_{i_1} f = f_{x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}}$$

If all the derivatives of k—th order are continuous the function f is called k—times continuous partial differentiable or called a  $\mathcal{C}^k$ —function on D. Continuous functions f are called  $\mathcal{C}^0$ —functions.

**Example:** For the function  $f(x_1, ..., x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^i$  we have  $\frac{\partial^n f}{\partial x_n ... \partial x_1} = ?$ 

4□ → 4□ → 4 □ → 4 □ → 9 0 0

### Partial derivaratives are not arbitrarely exchangeable.

ATTENTION: The order how to execute partial derivatives is in general not arbitrarely exchangeable!

**Example:** For the function

$$f(x,y) := \begin{cases} xy \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2} & : & \text{for } (x,y) \neq (0,0) \\ 0 & : & \text{for } (x,y) = (0,0) \end{cases}$$

we calculate

$$f_{xy}(0,0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0,0) \right) = -1$$

$$f_{yx}(0,0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0) \right) = +1$$

i.e.  $f_{xy}(0,0) \neq f_{yx}(0,0)$ .

**◆□▶◆□▶◆壹▶◆壹▶ 壹 か**900

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

17 / 182

### Theorem of Schwarz on exchangeablity.

**Satz:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -function. Then it holds

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_i}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_i}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

#### Idea of the proof:

Apply the men value theorem twice.

#### **Conclusion:**

If f is a  $C^k$ -function, then we can exchange the differentiation in order to calculate partial derivatives up to order k arbitrarely!

### Example for the exchangeability of partial derivatives.

Calculate the partial derivative of third order  $f_{xyz}$  for the function

$$f(x, y, z) = y^2 z \sin(x^3) + (\cosh y + 17e^{x^2})z^2$$

The order of execution is exchangealbe since  $f \in C^3$ .

• Differentiate first with respect to z:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = y^2 \sin(x^3) + 2z(\cosh y + 17e^{x^2})$$

• Differentiate then  $f_z$  with respect to x (then cosh y disappears):

$$f_{zx} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( y^2 \sin(x^3) + 2z(\cosh y + 17e^{x^2}) \right)$$
$$= 3x^2 y^2 \cos(x^3) + 68xze^{x^2}$$

• For the partial derivative of  $f_{zx}$  with respect to y we obtain

$$f_{xyz} = 6x^2y\cos(x^3)$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

19 / 182

### The Laplace operator.

The Laplace-operator or Laplacian is defined as

$$\Delta := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$$

For a scalar function  $u(x) = u(x_1, ..., x_n)$  we have

$$\Delta u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} = u_{x_1 x_1} + \dots + u_{x_n x_n}$$

Examples of important partial differential equations of second order (i.e. equations containing partial derivatives up to order two):

$$\Delta u - \frac{1}{c^2} u_{tt} = 0$$
 (wave equation)

$$\Delta u - \frac{1}{k} u_t = 0$$
 (heat equation)

$$\Delta u = 0$$
 (Laplace-equation or equation for the potential)

< □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ◆ ■ ◆ 9 Q (~)

### Vector valued functions.

**Definition:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be a vector valued function.

The function f is called partial differentiable on  $x^0 \in D$ , if for all i = 1, ..., n the limits

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x^0) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x^0 + te_i) - f(x^0)}{t}$$

exist. The calculation is done componentwise

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x^0) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_i} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_i} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

21 / 182

#### Vectorfields.

**Definition:** If m = n the function  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is called a vectorfield on D. If every (coordinate-) function  $f_i(x)$  of  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)^T$  is a  $C^k$ -function, then f is called  $C^k$ -vectorfield.

#### **Examples of vectorfields:**

- velocity fields of liquids or gases;
- elektromagnetic fields;
- temperature gradients in solid states.

**Definition:** Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a partial differentiable vector field. The divergence on  $x \in D$  is defined as

$$\operatorname{div} f(x^0) := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}(x^0)$$

or

$$\operatorname{div} f(x) = \nabla^T f(x) = (\nabla, f(x))$$

□ → <</li>
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 □ → 
 <

### Rules of computation and the curl.

The following rules hold true:

$$\operatorname{div}(\alpha \, \mathsf{f} + \beta \, \mathsf{g}) = \alpha \, \operatorname{div}\, \mathsf{f} + \beta \, \operatorname{div}\, \mathsf{g} \quad \mathsf{for} \, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g} : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\varphi \cdot \mathsf{f}) = (\nabla \varphi, \mathsf{f}) + \varphi \, \operatorname{div}\, \mathsf{f} \quad \mathsf{for} \, \varphi : D \to \mathbb{R}, \mathsf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

**Remark:** Let  $f:D\to\mathbb{R}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -function, then for the Laplacian we have

$$\Delta f = \operatorname{div}(\nabla f)$$

**Definition:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  open and  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^3$  a partial differentiable vector field. We define the curl as

$$\operatorname{curl} f(x^0) := \left( \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_3}, \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} \right)^T \bigg|_{x^0}$$

□ → < □ → < = → < = → </li>○ ○○</l

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

23 / 182

### Alternative notations and additional rules.

$$\operatorname{curl} f(x) = \nabla \times f(x) = \left| \begin{array}{ccc} e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \\ f_1 & f_2 & f_3 \end{array} \right|$$

Remark: The following rules hold true:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{curl} \left( \alpha \operatorname{f} + \beta \operatorname{g} \right) &= & \alpha \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{f} + \beta \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{g} \\ \\ \operatorname{curl} \left( \varphi \cdot \operatorname{f} \right) &= & \left( \nabla \varphi \right) \times \operatorname{f} + \varphi \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{f} \end{aligned}$$

**Remark:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\varphi: D \to \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -function. Then

$$\operatorname{curl}\left(\nabla\varphi\right)=0\,,$$

using the exchangeability theorem of Schwarz. I.e. gradient fileds are curl-free everywhere.

### Chapter 1. Multivariate differential calculus

#### 1.2 The total differential

**Definition:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open,  $x^0 \in D$  and  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^m$ . The function f(x) is called differentiable in  $x^0$  (or totally differentiable in  $x_0$ ), if there exists a linear map

$$I(x,x^0) := A \cdot (x - x^0)$$

with a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  which satisfies the following approximation property

$$f(x) = f(x^0) + A \cdot (x - x^0) + o(||x - x^0||)$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{x \to x^0} \frac{f(x) - f(x^0) - A \cdot (x - x^0)}{\|x - x^0\|} = 0.$$

◆ロ → ◆昼 → ◆ き → き → へ○

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

25 / 182

### The total differential and the Jacobian matrix.

**Notation:** We call the linear map I the differential or the total differential of f(x) at the point  $x^0$ . We denote I by  $df(x^0)$ .

The related matrix A is called Jacobi–matrix of f(x) at the point  $x^0$  and is denoted by  $Jf(x^0)$  (or  $Df(x^0)$  or  $f'(x^0)$ ).

**Remark:** For m = n = 1 we obtain the well known relation

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + o(|x - x_0|)$$

for the derivative  $f'(x_0)$  at the point  $x_0$ .

**Remark:** In case of a scalar function (m = 1) the matrix A = a is a row vextor and  $a(x - x^0)$  a scalar product  $\langle a^T, x - x^0 \rangle$ .

# Total and partial differentiability.

**Theorem:** Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $x^0 \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , D open.

- a) If f(x) is differentiable in  $x^0$ , then f(x) is continuous in  $x^0$ .
- b) If f(x) is differentiable in  $x^0$ , then the (total) differential and thus the Jacobi-matrix are uniquely determined and we have

$$\mathsf{Jf}(\mathsf{x}^0) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(\mathsf{x}^0) & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n}(\mathsf{x}^0) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1}(\mathsf{x}^0) & \dots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n}(\mathsf{x}^0) \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} Df_1(\mathsf{x}^0) \\ \vdots \\ Df_m(\mathsf{x}^0) \end{array} \right)$$

c) If f(x) is a  $C^1$ -function on D, then f(x) is differentiable on D.

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

27 / 182

### Proof of a).

If f is differentiable in  $x^0$ , then by definition

$$\lim_{x \to x^0} \frac{f(x) - f(x^0) - A \cdot (x - x^0)}{\|x - x^0\|} = 0$$

Thus we conclude

$$\lim_{x \to x^0} \|f(x) - f(x^0) - A \cdot (x - x^0)\| = 0$$

and we obtain

$$\|f(x) - f(x^0)\| \le \|f(x) - f(x^0) - A \cdot (x - x^0)\| + \|A \cdot (x - x^0)\|$$
  
  $\to 0 \quad \text{as } x \to x^0$ 

Therefore the function f is continuous at  $x^0$ .

4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 900

### Proof of b).

Let  $x = x^0 + te_i$ ,  $|t| < \varepsilon$ ,  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ . Since f in differentiable at  $x^0$ , we have

$$\lim_{x \to x^0} \frac{f(x) - f(x^0) - A \cdot (x - x^0)}{\|x - x^0\|_{\infty}} = 0$$

We write

$$\frac{f(x) - f(x^0) - A \cdot (x - x^0)}{\|x - x^0\|_{\infty}} = \frac{f(x^0 + te_i) - f(x^0)}{|t|} - \frac{tAe_i}{|t|}$$

$$= \frac{t}{|t|} \cdot \left(\frac{f(x^0 + te_i) - f(x^0)}{t} - Ae_i\right)$$

$$\to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to 0$$

Thus

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{f(x^0+te_i)-f(x^0)}{t}=Ae_i \qquad i=1,\ldots,n$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

29 / 182

### Examples.

• Consider the scalar function  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 e^{2x_2}$ . Then the Jacobian is given by:

$$Jf(x_1, x_2) = Df(x_1, x_2) = e^{2x_2}(1, 2x_1)$$

• Consider the function  $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 x_2 x_3 \\ \sin(x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3) \end{pmatrix}$$

The Jacobian is given by

$$\mathsf{Jf}(x_1,x_2,x_3) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3} \\ & & & \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_2x_3 & x_1x_3 & x_1x_2 \\ \cos(s) & 2\cos(s) & 3\cos(s) \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $s = x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3$ .

□ → <□ → < = → < = → </li>
 ○

### Further examples.

• Let f(x) = Ax,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\mathsf{Jf}(\mathsf{x}) = \mathsf{A} \qquad \text{for all } \mathsf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

• Let  $f(x) = x^T A x = \langle x, Ax \rangle$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \langle e_i, Ax \rangle + \langle x, Ae_i \rangle$$
$$= e_i^T Ax + x^T Ae_i$$
$$= x^T (A^T + A)e_i$$

We conclude

$$Jf(x) = grad f(x) = x^T (A^T + A)$$

□ > <□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

31 / 182

#### Rules for the differentiation.

#### Theorem:

a) Linearity: LET f, g :  $D \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be differentiable in  $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$ , D open. Then  $\alpha$  f( $\mathbf{x}^0$ ) +  $\beta$  g( $\mathbf{x}^0$ ), and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  are differentiable in  $\mathbf{x}^0$  and we have

$$d(\alpha f + \beta g)(x^0) = \alpha df(x^0) + \beta dg(x^0)$$

$$J(\alpha f + \beta g)(x^0) = \alpha Jf(x^0) + \beta Jg(x^0)$$

b) Chain rule: Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be differentiable in  $x^0 \in D$ , D open. Let  $g: E \to \mathbb{R}^k$  be differentiable in  $y^0 = f(x^0) \in E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ , E open. Then  $g \circ f$  is differentiable in  $x^0$ .

For the differentials it holds

$$d(g \circ f)(x^0) = dg(y^0) \circ df(x^0)$$

and analoglously for the Jacobian matrix

$$J(g \circ f)(x^0) = Jg(y^0) \cdot Jf(x^0)$$

### Examples for the chain rule.

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an intervall. Let  $h: I \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a curve, differentiable in  $t_0 \in I$  with values in  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , D open. Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  be a scalar function, differentiable in  $x^0 = h(t_0)$ .

Then the composition

$$(f \circ \mathsf{h})(t) = f(h_1(t), \dots, h_n(t))$$

is differentiable in  $t_0$  and we have for the derivative:

$$(f \circ \mathsf{h})'(t_0) = \mathsf{J} f(\mathsf{h}(t_0)) \cdot \mathsf{J} \mathsf{h}(t_0)$$

$$= \mathsf{grad} f(\mathsf{h}(t_0)) \cdot \mathsf{h}'(t_0)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}(\mathsf{h}(t_0)) \cdot h_k'(t_0)$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

33 / 182

#### Directional derivative.

**Definition:** Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open,  $x^0 \in D$ , and  $v \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  a vector. Then

$$D_{v} f(x^{0}) := \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x^{0} + tv) - f(x^{0})}{t}$$

is called the directional derivative (Gateaux-derivative) of f(x) in the direction of v.

**Example:** Let  $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$  and  $v = (1,1)^T$ . Then the directional derivative in the direction of v is given by:

$$D_{V} f(x,y) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{(x+t)^{2} + (y+t)^{2} - x^{2} - y^{2}}{t}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{2xt + t^{2} + 2yt + t^{2}}{t}$$

$$= 2(x+y)$$

### Remarks.

• For  $v = e_i$  the directional derivative in the direction of v is given by the partial derivative with respect to  $x_i$ :

$$D_{v} f(x^{0}) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(x^{0})$$

- If v is a unit vector, i.e. ||v|| = 1, then the directional derivative  $D_v f(x^0)$  describes the slope of f(x) in the direction of v.
- If f(x) is differentiable in  $x^0$ , then all directional derivatives of f(x) in  $x^0$  exist. With  $h(t) = x^0 + tv$  we have

$$D_{\mathsf{v}} f(\mathsf{x}^0) = \frac{d}{dt} (f \circ \mathsf{h})|_{t=0} = \operatorname{\mathsf{grad}} f(\mathsf{x}^0) \cdot \mathsf{v}$$

This follows directely applying the chain rule.

□ > <□ > <□ > <□ > <□</li>
 □

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

35 / 182

### Properties of the gradient.

**Theorem:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open,  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  differentiable in  $x^0 \in D$ . Then we have

a) The gradient vector grad  $f(\mathsf{x}^0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is orthogonal in the level set

$$N_{x^0} := \{ x \in D \mid f(x) = f(x^0) \}$$

In the case of n=2 we call the level sets contour lines, in n=3 we call the level sets equipotential surfaces.

2) The gradient grad  $f(x^0)$  gives the direction of the steepest slope of f(x) in  $x^0$ .

#### Idea of the proof:

- a) application of the chain rule.
- b) for an arbitrary direction v we conclude with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$|D_{v} f(x^{0})| = |(\operatorname{grad} f(x^{0}), v)| \le ||\operatorname{grad} f(x^{0})||_{2}$$

Equality is obtained for  $v = \text{grad } f(x^0) / \|\text{grad } f(x^0)\|_2$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆■▶ ◆■ ◆9.00

### Curvilinear coordinates.

**Definition:** Let  $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and  $\Phi: U \to V$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -map, for which the Jacobimatrix  $J\Phi(u^0)$  is regular (invertible) at every  $u^0 \in U$ . In addition there exists the inverse map  $\Phi^{-1}:V\to U$  and the inverse map is also a  $C^1$ -map.

Then  $x = \Phi(u)$  defines a coodinate transformation from the coordinates uto x.

**Example:** Consider for n=2 the polar coordinates  $u=(r,\varphi)$  with r>0and  $-\pi < \varphi < \pi$  and set

$$x = r \cos \varphi$$

$$y = r \sin \varphi$$

with the cartesian coordinates x = (x, y).

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

### Calculation of the partial derivatives.

For all  $u \in U$  with  $x = \Phi(u)$  the following relations hold

$$\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(u)) = u$$

$$J\Phi^{-1}(x) \cdot J\Phi(u) = I_n \quad \text{(chain rule)}$$

$$J\Phi^{-1}(x) = (J\Phi(u))^{-1}$$

Let  $\widetilde{f}:V o\mathbb{R}$  be a given function. Set

$$f(\mathsf{u}) := \tilde{f}(\Phi(\mathsf{u}))$$

the by using the chain rule we obtain

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial u_i} =: \sum_{j=1}^n g^{ij} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x_j}$$

with

$$g^{ij} := \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial u_i}, \qquad \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{u}) := (g^{ij}) = (\mathsf{J}\,\Phi(\mathsf{u}))^T$$

#### Notations.

We use the short notation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

Analogously we can express the partial derivatives with respect to  $x_i$  by the partial derivatives with respect to  $u_i$ 

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n g_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}$$

where

$$(g_{ij}) := (g^{ij})^{-1} = (J\Phi)^{-T} = (J\Phi^{-1})^{T}$$

We obtain these relations by applying the chain rule on  $\Phi^{-1}$ .

(ㅁ▶◀큔▶◀쿨▶◀쿨▶ 쿨 쒸٩C

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

39 / 182

### Example: polar coordinates.

We consider polar coordinates

$$x = \Phi(u) = \begin{pmatrix} r\cos\varphi \\ r\sin\varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

We calculate

$$J\Phi(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & -r\sin\varphi \\ \sin\varphi & r\cos\varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

and thus

$$(g^{ij}) = \left(egin{array}{ccc} \cos arphi & \sin arphi \ -r \sin arphi & r \cos arphi \end{array}
ight) \qquad (g_{ij}) = \left(egin{array}{ccc} \cos arphi & -rac{1}{r} \sin arphi \ & & & \ -\sin arphi & r \cos arphi \end{array}
ight)$$

### Partial derivatives for polar coordinates.

The calculation of the partial derivatives gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \cos \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \sin \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \sin \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \cos \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}$$

**Example:** Calculation of the Laplacian-operator in polar coordinates

$$\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} = \cos^{2}\varphi \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} - \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{r} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r \partial \varphi} + \frac{\sin^{2}\varphi}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}} + \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\sin^{2}\varphi}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} = \sin^{2}\varphi \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} + \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{r} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r \partial \varphi} + \frac{\cos^{2}\varphi}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}} - \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\cos^{2}\varphi}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$$

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

41 / 182

### Example: spherical coordinates.

We consider spherical coordinates

$$x = \Phi(u) = \begin{pmatrix} r \cos \varphi \cos \theta \\ r \sin \varphi \cos \theta \\ r \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

The Jacobian-matrix is given by:

$$J\Phi(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi\cos\theta & -r\sin\varphi\cos\theta & -r\cos\varphi\sin\theta \\ \sin\varphi\cos\theta & r\cos\varphi\cos\theta & -r\sin\varphi\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & 0 & r\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

4□▶ 4□▶ 4□▶ 4□▶ □ 900

### Partial derivatives for spherical coordinates.

Calculating the partial derivatives gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \cos \varphi \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\sin \varphi}{r \cos \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{1}{r} \cos \varphi \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \sin \varphi \cos \theta \, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\cos \varphi}{r \cos \theta} \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{1}{r} \sin \varphi \sin \theta \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

**Example:** calculation of the Laplace-operator in spherical coordinates

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2 \cos^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\tan \theta}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

43 / 182

### Chapter 1. Multivariate differential calculus

#### 1.3 Mean value theorems and Taylor expansion

**Theorem** (Mean value theorem): Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  be a scalar differentiable function on an open set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $a, b \in D$  be points in D such that the connecting line segment

$$[\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b}] := \{\mathsf{a} + t(\mathsf{b} - \mathsf{a}) \,|\, t \in [0,1]\}$$

lies entirely in D. Then there exits a number  $\theta \in (0,1)$  with

$$f(b) - f(a) = \operatorname{grad} f(a + \theta(b - a)) \cdot (b - a)$$

**Proof:** We set

$$h(t) := f(a + t(b - a))$$

with the mean value theorem for a single variable and the chain rules we conclude

$$f(b) - f(a) = h(1) - h(0) = h'(\theta) \cdot (1 - 0)$$
  
= grad  $f(a + \theta(b - a)) \cdot (b - a)$ 

### Definition and example.

**Definition:** If the condition  $[a,b] \subset D$  holds true for **all** points  $a,b \in D$ , then the set D is called **convex**.

Example for the mean value theorem: Given a scalar function

$$f(x, y) := \cos x + \sin y$$

It is

$$f(0,0) = f(\pi/2, \pi/2) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(\pi/2, \pi/2) - f(0,0) = 0$$

Applying the mean value theorem there exists a  $\theta \in (0,1)$  with

$$\operatorname{grad} f\left(\theta\left(\begin{array}{c}\pi/2\\\pi/2\end{array}\right)\right)\cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}\pi/2\\\pi/2\end{array}\right)=0$$

Indeed this is true for  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

45 / 182

# Mean value theorem is only true for scalar functions.

**Attention:** The mean value theorem for multivariate functions is only true for scalar functions but in general not for vector—valued functions!

Examples: Consider the vector-valued Function

$$f(t) := \begin{pmatrix} \cos t \\ \sin t \end{pmatrix}, \qquad t \in [0, \pi/2]$$

It is

$$\mathsf{f}(\pi/2) - \mathsf{f}(0) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array}\right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right)$$

and

$$\mathsf{f}'\left(\theta\,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-0\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}\,\left(\begin{array}{c} -\sin(\theta\pi/2) \\ \cos(\theta\pi/2) \end{array}\right)$$

**BUT:** the vectors on the right hand side have length  $\sqrt{2}$  and  $\pi/2$ !

### A mean value estimate for vector-valued functions.

**Theorem:** Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be differentiable on an open set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let a, b bei points in D with  $[a,b] \subset D$ . Then there exists a  $\theta \in (0,1)$  with

$$\|f(b) - f(a)\|_2 \le \|Jf(a + \theta(b - a)) \cdot (b - a)\|_2$$

**Idea of the proof:** Application of the mean value theorem to the scalar function g(x) definid as

$$g(x) := (f(b) - f(a))^T f(x)$$
 (scalar product!)

Remark: Another (weaker) for of the mean value estimate is

$$\|f(b)-f(a)\|\leq \sup_{\xi\in[a,b]}\|J\,f(\xi))\|\cdot\|(b-a)\|$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes an arbitrary vector norm with related matrix norm.

□ > <□ > <□ > <□ > <□ > <□</li>
 □

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

47 / 182

### Taylor series: notations.

We define the multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  as

$$\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$$

Let

$$|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$$
  $\alpha! := \alpha_1! \cdot \cdots \cdot \alpha_n!$ 

Let  $f:D\to\mathbb{R}$  be  $|\alpha|$  times continuous differentiable. Then we set

$$D^{\alpha} = D_1^{\alpha_1} D_2^{\alpha_2} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}},$$

where  $D_i^{\alpha_i} = \underbrace{D_i \dots D_i}_{\alpha_i - \mathsf{mal}}$ . We write

$$\mathsf{x}^{\alpha} := \mathsf{x}_1^{\alpha_1} \, \mathsf{x}_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \mathsf{x}_n^{\alpha_n} \qquad \text{for } \mathsf{x} = (\mathsf{x}_1, \dots, \mathsf{x}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

### The Taylor theorem.

#### Theorem: (Taylor)

Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and convex. Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^{m+1}$ -function and  $x_0 \in D$ . Then the Taylor-expansion holds true in  $x \in D$ 

$$f(x) = T_m(x; x_0) + R_m(x; x_0)$$

$$T_m(x; x_0) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(x_0)}{\alpha!} (x - x_0)^{\alpha}$$

$$R_m(x; x_0) = \sum_{|\alpha| = m+1} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(x_0 + \theta(x - x_0))}{\alpha!} (x - x_0)^{\alpha}$$

for an appropriate  $\theta \in (0,1)$ .

**Notation:** In the Taylor–expansion we denote  $T_m(x; x_0)$  Taylor–polynom of degree m and  $R_m(x; x_0)$  Lagrange–remainder.

□ → <□ → < ē → < ē → </li>
 □

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

49 / 182

### Derivation of the Taylor expansion.

We define a scalar function in one single variable  $t \in [0,1]$  as

$$g(t) := f(x_0 + t(x - x_0))$$

and calculate the (univariate) Taylor-expansion at t=0. It is

$$g(1) = g(0) + g'(0) \cdot (1-0) + rac{1}{2}g''(\xi) \cdot (1-0)^2$$
 for a  $\xi \in (0,1)$ .

The calculation of g'(0) is given by the chain rule

$$g'(0) = \frac{d}{dt} f(x_1^0 + t(x_1 - x_1^0), x_2^0 + t(x_2 - x_2^0), \dots, x_n^0 + t(x_n - x_n^0)) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= D_1 f(x_0) \cdot (x_1 - x_1^0) + \dots + D_n f(x_0) \cdot (x_n - x_n^0)$$

$$= \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(x_0)}{\alpha!} \cdot (x - x_0)^{\alpha}$$

### Continuation of the derivation.

Calculation of g''(0) gives

$$g''(0) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} f(x_0 + t(x - x_0)) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{k=1}^n D_k f(x^0 + t(x - x^0)) (x_k - x_k^0) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= D_{11} f(x_0) (x_1 - x_1^0)^2 + D_{21} f(x_0) (x_1 - x_1^0) (x_2 - x_2^0)$$

$$+ \dots + D_{ij} f(x_0) (x_i - x_i^0) (x_j - x_j^0) + \dots +$$

$$+ D_{n-1,n} f(x_0) (x_{n-1} - x_{n-1}^0) (x_n - x_n^0) + D_{nn} f(x_0) (x_n - x_n^0)^2)$$

$$= \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(x_0)}{\alpha!} (x - x_0)^{\alpha} \quad \text{(exchange theorem of Schwarz!)}$$

Continuation: Proof of the Taylor-formula by (mathematical) induction!

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

### Proof of the Taylor theorem.

The function

$$g(t) := f(x^0 + t(x - x^0))$$

is (m+1)-times continuous differentiable and we have

$$g(1) = \sum_{k=0}^m rac{g^{(k)}(0)}{k!} + rac{g^{(m+1)}( heta)}{(m+1)!} \quad ext{for a } heta \in [0,1].$$

In addition we have (by induction over k)

$$\frac{g^{(k)}(0)}{k!} = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(\mathbf{x}^0)}{\alpha!} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0)^{\alpha}$$

and

$$\frac{g^{(m+1)}(\theta)}{(m+1)!} = \sum_{|\alpha|=m+1} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(x^0 + \theta(x - x^0))}{\alpha!} (x - x^0)^{\alpha}$$

### Examples for the Taylor-expansion.

• Calculate the Taylor-polynom  $T_2(x; x_0)$  of degree 2 of the function

$$f(x,y,z) = x\,y^2\,\sin z$$
 at  $(x,y,z) = (1,2,0)^T$ .

- 2 The calculation of  $T_2(x; x_0)$  requires the partial derivatives up to order 2.
- **3** These derivatives have to be evaluated at  $(x, y, z) = (1, 2, 0)^T$ .
- The result is  $T_2(x; x_0)$  in the form

$$T_2(x; x_0) = 4z(x + y - 2)$$

Details on extra slide.

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

53 / 182

### Remarks to the remainder of a Taylor-expansion.

**Remark:** The remainder of a Taylor–expansion contains all partial derivatives of order (m + 1):

$$R_m(\mathsf{x};\mathsf{x}_0) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m+1} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(\mathsf{x}_0 + \theta(\mathsf{x} - \mathsf{x}_0))}{\alpha!} (\mathsf{x} - \mathsf{x}_0)^{\alpha}$$

If all these derivative are bounded by aconstant C in a neighborhood of  $x_0$  then the estimate for the remainder hold true

$$|R_m(x; x_0)| \le \frac{n^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} C ||x - x_0||_{\infty}^{m+1}$$

We conclude for the quality of the approximation of a  $C^{m+1}$ -function by the Taylor-polynom

$$f(x) = T_m(x; x_0) + O(||x - x_0||^{m+1})$$

**Special case** m=1: For a  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -function f(x) we obtain

$$f(x) = f(x^0) + \operatorname{grad} f(x^0) \cdot (x - x^0) + O(\|x - x^0\|^2)$$

### The Hesse-matrix.

The matrix

$$\mathsf{H} f(\mathsf{x}_0) := \left( egin{array}{cccc} f_{\mathsf{x}_1 \mathsf{x}_1}(\mathsf{x}_0) & \dots & f_{\mathsf{x}_1 \mathsf{x}_n}(\mathsf{x}_0) \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_{\mathsf{x}_n \mathsf{x}_1}(\mathsf{x}_0) & \dots & f_{\mathsf{x}_n \mathsf{x}_n}(\mathsf{x}_0) \end{array} 
ight)$$

is called Hesse-matrix of f at  $x_0$ .

Hesse–matrix = Jacobi–matrix of the gradient  $\nabla f$ 

The Taylor-expansion of a  $C^3$ -function can be written as

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \operatorname{grad} f(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)^T H f(x_0)(x - x_0) + O(\|x - x_0\|^3)$$

The Hesse–matrix of a  $C^2$ –function is symmetric.

**◆□▶◆□▶◆壹▶◆壹▶ ⊙ 9**00

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

55 / 182

### Chapter 2. Applications of multivariate differential calculus

#### 2.1 Extrem values of multivariate functions

**Definition:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $x^0 \in D$ . Then at  $x^0$  the function f has

- a global maximum if  $f(x) \le f(x^0)$  for all  $x \in D$ .
- a strict global maximum if  $f(x) < f(x^0)$  for all  $x \in D$ .
- ullet a local maximum if there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$f(x) \le f(x^0)$$
 for all  $x \in D$  with  $||x - x^0|| < \varepsilon$ .

ullet a strict local maximum if there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$f(x) < f(x^0)$$
 for all  $x \in D$  with  $||x - x^0|| < \varepsilon$ .

Analogously we define the different forms of minima.

□ → <□ → < ē → < ē → </li>
 □

### Necessary conditions for local extrem values.

**Theorem:** If a  $C^1$ -function f(x) has a local extrem value (minimum or maximum) at  $x^0 \in D^0$ , then

$$\operatorname{grad} f(x^0) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

**Proof:** For an arbitrary  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $v \neq 0$  the function

$$\varphi(t) := f(x^0 + tv)$$

is differentiable in a neighborhood of  $t^0 = 0$ .

 $\varphi(t)$  has a local extrem value at  $t^0 = 0$ . We conclude:

$$\varphi'(0) = \operatorname{grad} f(x^0) v = 0$$

Since this holds true for all  $v \neq 0$  we obtain

grad 
$$f(x^0) = (0, ..., 0)^T$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

57 / 182

#### Remarks to local extrem values.

#### Bemerkungen:

- Typically the condition grad  $f(x^0) = 0$  gives a non-linear system of n equations for n unknowns for the calculation of  $x = x^0$ .
- The points  $x^0 \in D^0$  with grad  $f(x^0) = 0$  are called stationary points of f. Stationary points are **not** necessarily local extram values. As an example take

$$f(x,y) := x^2 - y^2$$

with the gradient

$$\operatorname{grad} f(x,y) = 2(x,-y)$$

and therefore with the only stationary point  $x^0 = (0,0)^T$ . However, the point  $x^0$  is a saddel point of f, i.e. in every neighborhood of  $x^0$  there exist two points  $x^1$  and  $x^2$  with

$$f(x^1) < f(x^0) < f(x^2).$$

# Classification of stationary points.

**Theorem:** Let f(x) be a  $C^2$ -function on  $D^0$  and let  $x^0 \in D^0$  be a stationary point of f(x), i.e. grad  $f(x^0) = 0$ .

#### a) necessary condition

If  $x^0$  is a local extrem value of f, then:

 $x^0$  local minimum  $\Rightarrow H f(x^0)$  positiv semidefinit

 $x^0$  local maximum  $\Rightarrow H f(x^0)$  negativ semidefinit

#### b) sufficient condition

If  $H f(x^0)$  is positiv definit (negativ definit) then  $x^0$  is a strict local minimum (maximum) of f.

If H  $f(x^0)$  is indefinit then  $x^0$  is a saddel point, i.e. in every neighborhood of  $x^0$  there exist points  $x^1$  and  $x^2$  with  $f(x^1) < f(x^0) < f(x^2)$ .

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

59 / 182

# Proof of the theorem, part a).

Let  $x^0$  be a local minimum. For  $v\neq 0$  and  $\varepsilon>0$  sufficiently small we conclude from the Taylor–expansion

$$f(\mathbf{x}^0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{v}) - f(\mathbf{x}^0) = \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon \mathbf{v})^T \mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^0 + \theta \varepsilon \mathbf{v}) (\varepsilon \mathbf{v}) \ge 0$$
 (1)

with  $\theta = \theta(\varepsilon, v) \in (0, 1)$ .

The gradient in the Taylor expansion grad  $f(x^0) = 0$  vanishes since  $x^0$  is stationary.

From (1) it follows

$$v^T H f(x^0 + \theta \varepsilon v) v \ge 0$$
 (2)

Since f is a  $C^2$ -function, the Hesse–matrix is a continuous map. In the limit  $\varepsilon \to 0$  we conclude from (2),

$$v^T H f(x^0) v \geq 0$$

i.e.  $H f(x^0)$  is positiv semidefinit.

### Proof of the theorem, part b).

If  $H f(x^0)$  is positiv definit, then H f(x) is positiv definit in a sufficiently small neighborhood  $x \in K_{\varepsilon}(x^0) \subset D$  around  $x^0$ . This follows from the continuity of the second partial derivatives.

For  $x \in K_{\varepsilon}(x^0)$ ,  $x \neq x^0$  we have

$$f(x) - f(x^{0}) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x^{0})^{T} H f(x^{0} + \theta(x - x^{0}))(x - x^{0})$$
  
> 0

with  $\theta \in (0,1)$ , i.e. f has a strict local minimum at  $x^0$ .

If H  $f(x^0)$  is indefinit, then there exist Eigenvectors v, w for Eigenvalues of H  $f(x^0)$  with opposite sign with

$$v^T H f(x^0) v > 0$$
  $w^T H f(x^0) w < 0$ 

and thus  $x^0$  is a saddel point.

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

61 / 182

#### Remarks.

- A stationary point  $x^0$  with  $\det Hf(x^0) = 0$  is called degenerate. The Hesse–matrix has an Eigenvalue  $\lambda = 0$ .
- If  $x^0$  is **not** degenerate, then there exist 3 cases for the Eigenvalues of  $Hf(x^0)$ :

all Eigenvalues are strictly positive  $\Rightarrow$   $x^0$  is a strict local minimum

all Eigenvalues are strictly negative  $\Rightarrow$   $x^0$  is a strict local maximum

there are strictly positive and negative Eigenvalues  $\Rightarrow$   $x^0$  saddel point

• The following implications are true (but not the inverse)

 $x^0$  local minimum  $\Leftrightarrow x^0$  strict local minimum

 $\uparrow$ 

 $Hf(x^0)$  positiv semidefinit  $\Leftarrow$   $Hf(x^0)$  positiv definit

### Further remarks.

• If f is a  $\mathcal{C}^3$ -function,  $x^0$  a stationary point of f and  $Hf(x^0)$  positiv definit. Then the following estimate is true:

$$(x - x^0)^T Hf(x^0) (x - x^0) \ge \lambda_{min} \cdot ||x - x^0||^2$$

where  $\lambda_{min}$  denoted the smallest Eigenvalue of the Hesse–matrix.

Using the Taylor theorem we obtain:

$$f(x) - f(x^{0}) \ge \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{min} ||x - x^{0}||^{2} + R_{3}(x; x^{0})$$
  
  $\ge ||x - x^{0}||^{2} \left( \frac{\lambda_{min}}{2} - C ||x - x^{0}|| \right)$ 

with an appropriate constant C > 0.

The function f grows at least quadratically around  $x^0$ .

□ > <□ > <□ > <□ > <□ > <□</li>
 □

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

63 / 182

### Example.

We consider the function

$$f(x,y) := y^2(x-1) + x^2(x+1)$$

and look for stationary points:

grad 
$$f(x, y) = (y^2 + x(3x + 2), 2y(x - 1))^T$$

The condition grad f(x, y) = 0 gives two stationary points

$$x^0 = (0,0)^T$$
 und  $x^1 = (-2/3,0)^T$ .

The related Hesse-matrices of f at  $x^0$  and  $x^1$  are

$$\mathsf{H}f(\mathsf{x}^0) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{array} \right) \qquad \mathsf{and} \qquad \mathsf{H}f(\mathsf{x}^1) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -10/3 \end{array} \right)$$

The matrix  $Hf(x^0)$  is indefinit, therefore  $x^0$  is a saddel point.  $Hf(x^1)$  is negative definit and thus  $x^1$  is a strict local ein strenges maximum of f.

### Chapter 2. Applications of multivariate differential calculus

#### 2.2 Implicitely defined functions

**Aim:** study the set of solutions of the system of *non-linear* equations of the form

$$g(x) = 0$$

with  $g:D\to\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $D\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ . I.e. we consider m equations for n unknowns with

$$m < n$$
.

**Thus:** there are less equations than unknowns.

We call such a system of equations underdetermined and the set of solutions  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  contains typically *infinitely* many points.

◆ロ → ◆昼 → ◆ 壹 ト → 壹 ・ 夕 Q ()

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

65 / 182

### Solvability of (non-linear) equations.

**Question:** can we **solve** the system g(x) = 0 with respect to certain unknowns, i.e. with respect to the last m variables  $x_{n-m+1}, \ldots, x_n$ ?

**In other words:** is there a function  $f(x_1, ..., x_{n-m})$  with

$$g(x) = 0 \iff (x_{n-m+1}, ..., x_n)^T = f(x_1, ..., x_{n-m})$$

**Terminology:** "solve" means express the last m variables by the first n-m variables?

**Other question:** with respect to which m variables can we solve the system? Is the solution possible *globally* on the domain of defintion D? Or only *locally* on a subdomain  $\tilde{D} \subset D$ ?

**Geometrical interpretation:** The set of solution G of g(x) = 0 can be expressed (at least locally) as graph of a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ .

◆ロト ◆同ト ◆ 重ト ◆ 重 ・ 夕 Q (~)

### Example.

The equation for a circle

$$g(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 - r^2 = 0$$
 mit  $r > 0$ 

defines an underdetermined non-linear system of equations since we have **two** unknowns (x, y), but only **one** scalar equation.

The equation for the circle can be solved locally and defines the four functions:

$$y = \sqrt{r^2 - x^2}, \quad -r \le x \le r$$

$$y = -\sqrt{r^2 - x^2}, \quad -r \le x \le r$$

$$x = \sqrt{r^2 - y^2}, \quad -r \le y \le r$$

$$x = -\sqrt{r^2 - y^2}, \quad -r \le y \le r$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

### Example.

Let g be an affin-linear function, i.e. g has the form

$$g(x) = Cx + b$$
 for  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

We split the variables x into two vectors

$$\mathsf{x}^{(1)} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-m})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$$
 and  $\mathsf{x}^{(2)} = (x_{n-m+1}, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

Splitting of the matrix C = [B, A] gives the form

$$g(x) = Bx^{(1)} + Ax^{(2)} + b$$

with  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (n-m)}$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ .

The system of equations g(x) = 0 can be solved (uniquely) with respect to the variables  $x^{(2)}$ , if A is regular. Then

$$g(x) = 0 \iff x^{(2)} = -A^{-1}(Bx^{(1)} + b) = f(x^{(1)})$$

### Continuation of the example.

Question: How can we write the matrix A as dependent of g?

From the equation

$$g(x) = Bx^{(1)} + Ax^{(2)} + b$$

we see that

$$A = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^{(2)}}(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})$$

holds, i.e. A is the Jacobian of the map

$$x^{(2)} \to g(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})$$

for fixed  $x^{(1)}$ !

We conclude: Solvability is given if the Jacobian is regular (invertible).

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

### Implicit function theorem.

**Theorem:** Let  $g:D\to\mathbb{R}^m$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -function,  $D\subset\mathbb{R}^n$  open. We denote the variables in D by (x,y) with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$  und  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $Der(x^0,y^0) \in D$  be a solution of  $g(x^0, y^0) = 0$ .

If the Jacobi-matrix

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x^0, y^0) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial y_1}(x^0, y^0) & \dots & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial y_m}(x^0, y^0) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_m}{\partial y_1}(x^0, y^0) & \dots & \frac{\partial g_m}{\partial y_m}(x^0, y^0) \end{pmatrix}$$

is regular, then there exist neighborhoods U of  $x^0$  and V of  $y^0$ ,  $U \times V \subset D$  and a uniquely determined continuous differentiable function  $f:U \to V$  with

$$f(x^0) = y^0$$
 und  $g(x, f(x)) = 0$  für alle  $x \in U$ 

and

$$J\,f(x) = -\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x,f(x))\right)^{-1}\,\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x,f(x))\right)$$

# Example.

For the equation of a circle  $g(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 - r^2 = 0$ , r > 0 we have at  $(x^0, y^0) = (0, r)$ 

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(0,r) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(0,r) = 2r \neq 0$$

Thus we can solve the equation of a circle in a neighborhod of (0, r) with respect to y:

$$f(x) = \sqrt{r^2 - x^2}$$

The derivative f'(x) can be calculated by implicit diffentiation:

$$g(x,y(x))=0 \implies g_x(x,y(x))+g_y(x,y(x))y'(x)=0$$

and therefore

$$2x + 2y(x)y'(x) = 0 \Rightarrow y'(x) = f'(x) = -\frac{x}{y(x)}$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

71 / 182

### Another example.

Consider the equation  $g(x, y) = e^{y-x} + 3y + x^2 - 1 = 0$ .

It is

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial v}(x,y) = e^{y-x} + 3 > 0$$
 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Therefore the equation con be solved fpr every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with respect to y =: f(x) and f(x) is a continuous differentiable function. Implicit differentiation ives

$$e^{y-x}(y'-1) + 3y' + 2x = 0 \implies y' = \frac{e^{y-x} - 2x}{e^{y-x} + 3}$$

Differentiating again gives

$$e^{y-x}y'' + e^{y-x}(y'-1)^2 + 3y'' + 2 = 0 \implies y' = -\frac{2 + e^{y-x}(y'-1)^2}{e^{y-x} + 3}$$

But: Solving the equation with respect to y (in terms of elementary functions) is not possible in this case!

# general remark.

Implicit differentiation of a implicitely defined function

$$g(x,y)=0, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\neq 0$$

y = f(x), with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , gives

$$f'(x) = -\frac{g_x}{g_y}$$

$$f''(x) = -\frac{g_{xx}g_y^2 - 2g_{xy}g_xg_y + g_{yy}g_x^2}{g_y^3}$$

Therefore the opint  $x^0$  is a stationary point of f(x) if

$$g(x^0, y^0) = g_x(x^0, y^0) = 0$$
 and  $g_y(x^0, y^0) \neq 0$ 

And  $x^0$  is a local maximum (minimum) if

$$\frac{g_{xx}(x^0, y^0)}{g_y(x^0, y^0)} > 0 \qquad \left( \text{ bzw. } \frac{g_{xx}(x^0, y^0)}{g_y(x^0, y^0)} < 0 \right)$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

73 / 182

# Implicit representation of curves.

Consider the set of solutions of a scalar equation

$$g(x,y)=0$$

If

$$\operatorname{grad} g = (g_X, g_V) \neq 0$$

then g(x, y) defines locally a function y = f(x) or  $x = \overline{f}(y)$ .

**Definition**: A solution point  $(x^0, y^0)$  of the equation g(x, y) = 0 with

- grad  $g(x^0, y^0) \neq 0$  is called regular point,
- grad  $g(x^0, y^0) = 0$  is called singular point.

Example: Consider (again) the equation for a circle

$$g(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 - r = 0$$
 mit  $r > 0$ .

on the circle there are no singular points!

# Horizontal and vertical tangents.

#### Remarks:

a) If for a regular point  $(x^0, y^0)$  we have

$$g_x(x^0) = 0$$
 und  $g_y(x^0) \neq 0$ 

then the set of solutions contains a horizontal tangent in  $x^0$ .

b) If for a regular point  $(x^0, y^0)$  we have

$$g_x(x^0) \neq 0$$
 und  $g_y(x^0) = 0$ 

then the set of solutions contains a vertical tangent in  $x^0$ .

c) If  $x^0$  is a singular point, then the set of solutions is approximated at  $x^0$  "in second order" by the following quadratic equation

$$g_{xx}(x^0)(x-x^0)^2 + 2g_{xy}(x^0)(x-x^0)(y-y^0) + g_{yy}(x^0)(y-y^0)^2 = 0$$



Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

75 / 182

## Remarks.

Due to c) for  $g_{xx}, g_{xy}, g_{yy} \neq 0$  we obtain:

 $\det Hg(x^0) > 0$  :  $x^0$  is an isolated point of the set of solutions

 $\det Hg(x^0) < 0$  :  $x^0$  is a double point

 $\det Hg(x^0) = 0$  :  $x^0$  is a return point or a cusp

### Geometric interpretation:

- a) If det  $Hg(x^0) > 0$ , then both Eigenvalues of  $Hg(x^0)$  are or strictly positiv or strictly negativ, i.e.  $x^0$  is a strict local minimum or maximum of g(x).
- b) If det  $Hg(x^0) < 0$ , then both Eigenvalues of  $Hg(x^0)$  have opposite sign, i.e.  $x^0$  is a saddel point of g(x).
- c) If  $\det Hg(x^0) = 0$ , then the stationary point  $x^0$  of g(x) is degenerate.

# Example 1.

Consider the singular point  $x^0 = 0$  of the implicit equation

$$g(x,y) = y^{2}(x-1) + x^{2}(x-2) = 0$$

Calculate the partial derivatives up to order 2:

$$g_x = y^2 + 3x^2 - 4x$$

$$g_y = 2y(x-1)$$

$$g_{xx} = 6x - 4$$

$$g_{xy} = 2y$$

$$g_{yy} = 2(x-1)$$

$$Hg(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore  $x^0 = 0$  is an isolated point.

**◆□▶◆□▶◆壹▶◆壹▶ 壹 か**900

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

77 / 182

# Example 2.

Consider the singular point  $x^0=0$  of the implicit equation

$$g(x,y) = y^{2}(x-1) + x^{2}(x+q^{2}) = 0$$

Calculate the partial derivatives up to order 2:

$$g_x = y^2 + 3x^2 + 2xq^2$$

$$g_y = 2y(x-1)$$

$$g_{xx} = 6x + 2q^2$$

$$g_{xy} = 2y$$

$$g_{yy} = 2(x-1)$$

$$Hg(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2q^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore  $x^0 = 0$  is an double point.

# Example 3.

Consider the singular point  $x^0 = 0$  of the implicit equation

$$g(x,y) = y^2(x-1) + x^3 = 0$$

Calculate the partial derivatives up to order 2:

$$g_x = y^2 + 3x^2$$

$$g_y = 2y(x-1)$$

$$g_{xx} = 6x$$

$$g_{xy} = 2y$$

$$g_{yy} = 2(x-1)$$

$$Hg(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore  $x^0 = 0$  is a cusp (or a return point).

◆ロ → ◆昼 → ◆ き → き → へ○

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

79 / 182

# Implicit representation of surfaces.

- The set of solutions of a scalar equation g(x, y, z) = 0 for grad  $g \neq 0$  is locally a surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- For the tangential in  $x^0 = (x^0, y^0, z^0)^T$  with  $g(x^0) = 0$  and grad  $g(x^0) \neq 0^T$  we obtain by Taylor expanding (denoting  $\Delta x^0 = x x^0$ )

grad 
$$g \cdot \Delta x^0 = g_x(x^0)(x - x^0) + g_y(x^0)(y - y^0) + g_z(x^0)(z - z_0) = 0$$

i.e. the gradient is vertical to the surface g(x, y, z) = 0.

• If for example  $g_z(x^0) \neq 0$ , then locally there exists a a representation at  $x^0$  of the form

$$z = f(x, y)$$

and for the partial derivatives of f(x, y) we obtain

grad 
$$f(x, y) = (f_x, f_y) = -\frac{1}{g_z}(g_x, g_y) = \left(-\frac{g_x}{g_z}, \frac{g_y}{g_z}\right)$$

using the implicit function theorem.

## The inverted Problem.

Question: Given the set of equations

$$y = f(x)$$

with  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open. Can we solve it with respect to x, i.e. can we **invert** the probem?

Theorem: (Inversion theorem)

Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$  a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -function. If the Jacobian–matrix  $J f(x^0)$  is regular for an  $x^0 \in D$ , then there exist neighborhoods U and V of  $x^0$  and  $y^0 = f(x^0)$  such that f maps U on V bijectively.

The inverse function  $f^{-1}:V\to U$  is also  $\mathcal{C}^1$  and for all  $x\in U$  we have:

$$J f^{-1}(y) = (J f(x))^{-1}, y = f(x)$$

**Remark:** We call f locally a  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism.

**◆□▶◆□▶◆壹▶◆壹▶ 壹 か**900

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

81 / 182

# Chapter 2. Applications of multivariate differential calculus

## 2.3 Extrem value problems under constraints

**Question:** What is the size of a metallic cylindrical can in order to minimize the material amount by given volume?

**Ansatz for solution:** Let r > 0 be the radius and h > 0 the height of the can. Then

$$V = \pi r^2 h$$

$$O = 2\pi r^2 + 2\pi rh$$

Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  be the given volume (with x := r, y := h),

$$f(x,y) = 2\pi x^2 + 2\pi xy$$

$$g(x,y) = \pi x^2 y - c = 0$$

Determine the minimum of the function f(x, y) on the set

$$G := \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \mid g(x,y) = 0\}$$

# Solution of the constraint minimisation problem.

From  $g(x, y) = \pi x^2 y - c = 0$  follows

$$y = \frac{c}{\pi x^2}$$

We plug this into f(x, y) and obtain

$$h(x) := 2\pi x^2 + 2\pi x \frac{c}{\pi x^2} = 2\pi x^2 + \frac{2c}{x}$$

Determine the minimum of the function h(x):

$$h'(x) = 4\pi x - \frac{2c}{x^2} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 4\pi x = \frac{2c}{x^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad x = \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^{1/3}$$

Sufficient condition

$$h''(x) = 4\pi + \frac{4c}{x^3}$$
  $\Rightarrow$   $h''\left(\left(\frac{c}{\pi}\right)^{1/3}\right) = 12\pi > 0$ 

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

83 / 182

# General formulation of the problem.

Determine the extrem values of the function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  under the constraint

$$g(x) = 0$$

where  $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ .

The constraints are

$$g_1(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = 0$$

:

$$g_m(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = 0$$

**Alternatively:** Determine the extrem values of the function f(x) on the set

$$G:=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\,|\,g(x)=0\}$$

(ロ) (部) (差) (差) を つく()

# The Lagrange-function and the Lagrange-Lemma.

We define the Lagrange-function

$$F(x) := f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i g_i(x)$$

and look for the extrem values of F(x) for fixed  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)^T$ .

The numbers  $\lambda_i$ , i = 1, ..., m are called Lagrange–multiplier.

**Theorem:** (Lagrange–Lemma) If  $x^0$  minimizes (or maximizes) the Lagrange–function F(x) (for a fixed  $\lambda$ ) on D and if  $g(x^0) = 0$  holds, then  $x^0$  is the minimum (or maximum) of f(x) on  $G := \{x \in D \mid g(x) = 0\}$ .

**Proof:** For an arbitrary  $x \in D$  we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}^0) + \lambda^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^0) \le f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$$

If we choose  $x \in G$ , then  $g(x) = g(x^0) = 0$ , thus  $f(x^0) \le f(x)$ .

(ㅁ▶◀♬▶◀불▶◀불▶ 불 쒸٩C

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

85 / 182

# A necessary condition for local extrema.

Let f and  $g_i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,m$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -functions, then a necessary condition for an extrem value  $x^0$  of F(x) is given by

$$\operatorname{grad} F(x) = \operatorname{grad} f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \operatorname{grad} g_i(x) = 0$$

Together with the constraints g(x) = 0 we obtain a set of (non-linear) equations with (n + m) equations and (n + m) unknowns x and  $\lambda$ .

The solutions  $(x^0, \lambda^0)$  are the candidates for the extrem values, since these solutions satisfy the above necessary condition.

Alternatively: Define a Langrange-function

$$G(\mathsf{x},\lambda) := f(\mathsf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i g_i(\mathsf{x})$$

and look for the extrem values of  $G(x, \lambda)$  with respect to x and  $\lambda$ .

## Some remarks on sufficient conditions.

- We can formulate a **sufficient** condition: If the functions f and g are  $C^2$ -functions and if the Hesse-matrix  $HF(x^0)$  of the Lagrange-function is positiv (negativ) definit, then  $x^0$  is a strict local minimum (maximum) of f(x) on G.
- 2 In most of the applications the necessary condition are **not** satisfied, allthough  $x^0$  is a strict local extremum.
- 3 And from the indefinitness of the Hesse–matrix  $HF(x^0)$  we cannot conclude, that  $x^0$  is not an extremum.
- We have a similar problem with the necessary condition which is obtained from the Hesse–matrix of the Lagrange–function  $G(x, \lambda)$  with respect to x and x.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆■▶ ◆■▶ ● りへ()

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

87 / 182

# An example of a minimisation problem with constraints.

We look for extrem values of f(x, y) := xy on the disc

$$K := \{(x, y)^T \mid x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}$$

Since the function f is continuous and  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  compact we conclude from the min–max–property the existence of global maxima and minima on K.

We consider first the interior  $K^0$  of K, i.e. the open set

$$K^0 := \{(x,y)^T \mid x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$$

The necessary condition for an extrem value is given by

$$\operatorname{grad} f = (y, x) = 0$$

Thus the origin  $x^0 = 0$  is a candidate for a (local) extrem value.

◆ロ → ◆ 個 → ◆ 差 → ● ● り へ ○ ○

# continuation of the example.

The Hesse-matrix at the origin is given by

$$\mathsf{H}f(0) = \left( egin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} 
ight)$$

and is indefinit. Thus  $x^0$  is a saddel point.

Therefore the extrem values have to be on the boundary which is represented by a constraint equation:

$$g(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0$$

Therefore we look for the extrem values of f(x, y) = xy under the constraint g(x, y) = 0.

The Lagrange-function is given by

$$F(x, y) = xy + \lambda(x^2 + y^2 - 1)$$

(□ ▶ ◀륜 ▶ ◀Ē ▶ · Ē · · · 의익()

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

89 / 182

# Completion of the example.

We obtain the non-linear system of equations

$$y + 2\lambda x = 0$$
  
$$x + 2\lambda y = 0$$
  
$$x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

with the four solution

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \quad : \quad \mathsf{x}^{(1)} = (\sqrt{1/2}, -\sqrt{1/2})^T \quad \mathsf{x}^{(2)} = (-\sqrt{1/2}, \sqrt{1/2})^T$$

$$\lambda = -\frac{1}{2} \quad : \quad \mathsf{x}^{(3)} = (\sqrt{1/2}, \sqrt{1/2})^T \quad \mathsf{x}^{(4)} = (-\sqrt{1/2}, -\sqrt{1/2})^T$$

Minima and Maxima can be concluded from the values of the function

$$f(x^{(1)}) = f(x^{(2)}) = -1/2$$
  $f(x^{(3)}) = f(x^{(4)}) = 1/2$ 

i.e. minima are  $x^{(1)}$  and  $x^{(2)}$ , maxima are  $x^{(3)}$  and  $x^{(4)}$ .

# Lagrange-multiplier-rule.

**Satz:** Let  $f, g_1, \ldots, g_m : D \to \mathbb{R}$  be  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -functions, und let  $x^0 \in D$  a local extrem value of f(x) under the constraint g(x) = 0. In addition let the regularity condition

$$\mathsf{rang}\left(\mathsf{J}\,\mathsf{g}(\mathsf{x}^0)\right)=\mathit{m}$$

hold true. Then there exist Lagrange-multiplier  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ , such that for the Lagrange function

$$F(x) := f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i g_i(x)$$

the following first order necessary condition holds true:

$$\operatorname{grad} F(x^0) = 0$$

**◆□▶◆□▶◆壹▶◆壹▶ ⊙ へ**⊙

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

91 / 182

# Necessary condition of second order and sufficient condition.

**Theorem:** 1) Let  $x^0 \in D$  a local minimum of f(x) under the constraint g(x) = 0, let the regularity condition be satisfied and let  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$  be the related Lagrange–multiplier. Then the Hesse–matrix  $HF(x^0)$  of the Lagrange–function is positiv semi-definit on the tangential space

$$TG(\mathsf{x}^0) := \{ \mathsf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \operatorname{\mathsf{grad}} g_i(\mathsf{x}^0) \cdot \mathsf{y} = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m \}$$

i.e. it is  $y^T HF(x^0) y \ge 0$  for all  $y \in TG(x^0)$ .

2) Let the regularity condition for a point  $x^0 \in G$  be staisfied. If there exist Lagrange–multiplier  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ , such that  $x^0$  is a stationary point of the related Lagrange–function. Let the Hesse–matrix  $HF(x^0)$  be positiv definit on the tangential space  $TG(x^0)$ , i.e. it holds

$$y^T HF(x^0) y > 0 \quad \forall y \in TG(x^0) \setminus \{0\},$$

then  $x^0$  is a strict local minimum of f(x) under the constraint g(x) = 0.

◆ロ → ◆ 個 → ◆ 差 → ● ● り へ ○ ○

# Example.

Determine the global maximum of the function

$$f(x,y) = -x^2 + 8x - y^2 + 9$$

under the constraint

$$g(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0$$

The Lagrange-function is given by

$$F(x) = -x^2 + 8x - y^2 + 9 + \lambda(x^2 + y^2 - 1)$$

From the necessary condition we obtain the non-linear system

$$-2x + 8 = -2\lambda x$$
$$-2y = -2\lambda y$$
$$x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

93 / 182

# Continuation of the example.

From the necessary condition we obtain the non-linear system

$$-2x + 8 = -2\lambda x$$
$$-2y = -2\lambda y$$
$$x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

The first equation gives  $\lambda \neq 1$ . Using this in the second equation we get y=0. From the third equation we obtain  $x=\pm 1$ .

Therefore the two points (x, y) = (1, 0) and (x, y) = (-1, 0) are candidates for a global maximum. Since

$$f(1,0) = 16$$
  $f(-1,0) = 0$ 

the global maximum of f(x, y) under the constraint g(x, y) = 0 is given at the point (x, y) = (1, 0).

# Another example.

Determine the local extrem values of

$$f(x, y, z) = 2x + 3y + 2z$$

on the intersection of the cylinder surface

$$M_Z := \{(x, y, z)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 2\}$$

with the plane

$$E := \{(x, y, z)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x + z = 1\}$$

**Reformulation:** Determine the extrem values of the function f(x, y, z) under the constraint

$$g_1(x, y, z) := x^2 + y^2 - 2 = 0$$

$$g_2(x, y, z) := x + z - 1 = 0$$

**◆□▶◆□▶◆壹▶◆壹▶ ⊙ へ**⊙

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

95 / 182

# Continuation of the example.

The Jacobi-matrix

$$Jg(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x & 2y & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank 2, i.e. we can determine extrem values using the Lagrange-function:

$$F(x, y, z) = 2x + 3y + 2z + \lambda_1(x^2 + y^2 - 2) + \lambda_2(x + z - 1)$$

The necessary condition gives the non-linear system

$$2 + 2\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 = 0$$
$$3 + 2\lambda_1 y = 0$$
$$2 + \lambda_2 = 0$$
$$x^2 + y^2 = 2$$
$$x + z = 1$$

# Continuation of the example.

The necessary condition gives the non-linear system

$$2 + 2\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 = 0$$
$$3 + 2\lambda_1 y = 0$$
$$2 + \lambda_2 = 0$$
$$x^2 + y^2 = 2$$
$$x + z = 1$$

From the first and the third equation it follows

$$2\lambda_1 x = 0$$

From the second equation it follows  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ , i.e. x = 0. Thus we have possible extrem values

$$(x, y, z) = (0, \sqrt{2}, 1)$$
  $(x, y, z) = (0, -\sqrt{2}, 1)$ 

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

97 / 182

# Completion if the example.

The possible extrem values are

$$(x, y, z) = (0, \sqrt{2}, 1)$$
  $(x, y, z) = (0, -\sqrt{2}, 1)$ 

and lie on the cylinder surface  $M_Z$  of the cylinder Z with

$$Z = \{(x, y, z)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le 2\}$$

$$M_Z = \{(x, y, z)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 2\}$$

We calculate the related function values

$$f(0,\sqrt{2},1) = 3\sqrt{2} + 2$$

$$f(0, -\sqrt{2}, 1) = -3\sqrt{2} + 2$$

Thus the point  $(x, y, z) = (0, \sqrt{2}, 1)$  is a maximum an the point  $(x, y, z) = (0, -\sqrt{2}, 1)$  a minimum.

# Chapter 2. Applications of multivariate differential calculus

#### 2.4 the Newton-method

**Aim:** We look for the zero's of a function  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$f(x) = 0$$

We already know the fixed-point iteration

$$x^{k+1} := \Phi(x^k)$$

with starting point  $x^0$  and iteration map  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ .

• Convergence results are given by the Banach Fixed Point Theorem.

Advantage: this method is derivative-free.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- the numerical scheme converges to slow (only linear),
- there is no unique iteratin map.

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

99 / 182

## The construction of the Newton method.

Starting point: Let  $C^1$ -function  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open.

We look for a zero of f, i.e. a  $x^* \in D$  with

$$f(x^*) = 0$$

#### Construction of the Newton-method:

The Taylor–expansion of f(x) at  $x^0$  is given by

$$f(x) = f(x^0) + Jf(x^0)(x - x^0) + o(||x - x^0||)$$

Setting  $x = x^*$  we obtain

$$Jf(x^0)(x^*-x^0) \approx -f(x^0)$$

An approximative solution for  $x^*$  is given by  $x^1$ ,  $x^1 \approx x^*$ , the solution of the linear system of equations

$$Jf(x^0)(x^1-x^0) = -f(x^0)$$

# The Newton-method as algorithm.

The Newton-method can be formulated as algorithm.

Algorithm (Newton-method):

(1) FOR 
$$k = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

(2a) Solve 
$$Jf(x^k) \cdot \Delta x^k = -f(x^k)$$
;

**(2b)** Set 
$$x^{k+1} = x^k + \Delta x^k$$
;

- In every Newton-step we solve a set of linear equations.
- The solution  $\Delta x^k$  is called Newton-correction.
- The Newton-method is scaling-invariant.

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

101 / 182

# Scaling-invariance of the Newton-method.

**Theorem:** the Newton-method is invariant under linear transformations of the form

$$f(x) \to g(x) = Af(x)$$
 for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  regular,

i.e. the iterates for f and g are identical.

**Proof:** Constructing the Newton-method for g(x), then the Newton-correction is given by

$$\Delta x^{k} = -(Jg(x^{k}))^{-1} \cdot g(x^{k})$$

$$= -(AJf(x^{k}))^{-1} \cdot Af(x^{k})$$

$$= -(Jf(x^{k}))^{-1} \cdot A^{-1}A \cdot f(x^{k})$$

$$= -(Jf(x^{k}))^{-1} \cdot f(x^{k})$$

and thus the Newton-correction of f and g conincide.

Using the same starting point  $x^0$  we obtain the same iterates  $x^k$ .

# Local convergence of the Newton-method.

**Theorem:** Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -function,  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open and convex. Let  $x^* \in D$  a zero of f, i.e.  $f(x^*) = 0$ .

Let the Jacobi-matrix Jf(x) be regular for  $x \in D$ , and suppose the Lipschitz-condition

$$\|(Jf(x)^{-1}(Jf(y) - Jf(x))\| \le L\|y - x\|$$
 for all  $x, y \in D$ ,

holds true with L > 0. Then the Newton-method is well defined for all starting points  $x^0 \in D$  with

$$\|\mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x}^*\| < \frac{2}{I} =: r \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_r(\mathbf{x}^*) \subset D$$

with  $x^k \in K_r(x^*)$ , k = 0, 1, 2, ..., and the Newton-iterates  $x^k$  converge quadratically to  $x^*$ , i.e.

$$\|\mathbf{x}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\| \le \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2$$

 $x^*$  is the unique zero of f(x) within the ball  $K_r(x^*)$ .

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

# The damped Newton-method.

#### Additional obserrvations:

- The Newton-method converges quadratically, but only locally.
- Global convergence can be obtained if applicable by a damping term:

**Algorithm** (Damped Newton-method):

(1) FOR 
$$k = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

(2a) Solve 
$$Jf(x^k) \cdot \Delta x^k = -f(x^k)$$
;

(2b) Set 
$$x^{k+1} = x^k + \lambda_k \Delta x^k$$
;

**Frage:** How should we choose the damping parameters  $\lambda_k$ ?

# Choice of the damping paramter.

**Strategy:** Use a testfunction T(x) = ||f(x)|| such that

$$T(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in D$$

$$T(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f(x) = 0$$

Choose  $\lambda_k \in (0,1)$  such that the sequence  $T(x^k)$  decreases strictly monotonically, i.e.

$$\|f(x^{k+1})\| < \|f(x^k)\|$$
 für  $k \ge 0$ .

Close to the solution  $x^*$  we should choose  $\lambda_k=1$  to guarantee (local) quadratic convergence.

The following Theorem guarantees the existence of damping parameters.

**Theorem:** Let f a  $C^1$ -function on the open and convex set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $x^k \in D$  with  $f(x^k) \neq 0$  there exists a  $\mu_k > 0$  such that

$$\|f(x^k + \lambda \Delta x^k)\|_2^2 < \|f(x^k)\|_2^2$$
 for all  $\lambda \in (0, \mu_k)$ .

□ > <□ > <□ > <□ > <□</li>
 □

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

105 / 182

# Damping strategy.

For the **initial iteration** k=0: Choose  $\lambda_0\in\{1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{4},\ldots,\lambda_{\textit{min}}\}$  as big as possible such that

$$\|f(x^0)\|_2 > \|f(x^0 + \lambda_0 \Delta x^0)\|_2$$

holds. For **subsequent iterations** k > 0: Set  $\lambda_k = \lambda_{k-1}$ .

**IF**  $||f(x^k)||_2 > ||f(x^k + \lambda_k \Delta x^k)||_2$  **THEN** 

- $\bullet \ \mathsf{x}^{k+1} := \mathsf{x}^k + \lambda_k \Delta \mathsf{x}^k$
- $\lambda_k := 2\lambda_k$ , falls  $\lambda_k < 1$ .

#### **ELSE**

• Determine  $\mu = \max\{\lambda_k/2, \lambda_k/4, \dots, \lambda_{min}\}$  with

$$\|f(x^k)\|_2 > \|f(x^k + \lambda_k \Delta x^k)\|_2$$

 $\bullet \lambda_k := \mu$ 

#### **END**

# Chapter 3. Integration in higher dimensions

#### 3.1 Area integrals

Given a function  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  with domain of defintion  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Aim:** Calculate the volume under the graph of f(x):

$$V = \int_D f(x) dx$$

**Remember (Analysis II):** Riemann–Integral of a function f on the interval [a, b]:

$$I = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$$

The integral *I* is defined as limit of Riemann upper— and lower-sums, if the limits exist and coincide.

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

107 / 182

# Construction of area integrals.

Procedure: Same as in the one dimensional case.

**But:** the domain of definition D is more complex.

**Starting point:** consider the case of two variables n=2 and a domain of definition  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  of the form

$$D = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

i.e. D is compact cuboid (rectangle).

Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function.

**Definition:** We call  $Z = \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m)\}$  a partition of the cuboid  $D = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$  if it holds

$$a_1 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b_1$$

$$a_2 = y_0 < y_1 < \cdots < y_m = b_2$$

Z(D) denotes the set of partitions of D.

## Partitions and Riemann sums.

#### **Definition:**

• The fineness of a partition  $Z \in Z(D)$  is given by

$$||Z|| := \max_{i,j} \{|x_{i+1} - x_i|, |y_{j+1} - y_j|\}$$

For a given partition Z the sets

$$Q_{ij} := [x_i, x_{i+1}] \times [y_j, y_{j+1}]$$

are called the subcuboid of the partition Z. The volume of the subcuboid  $Q_{ij}$  is given by

$$vol(Q_{ij}) := (x_{i+1} - x_i) \cdot (y_{j+1} - y_j)$$

ullet For arbitrary points  $x_{ij} \in Q_{ij}$  of the subcuboids we call

$$R_f(Z) := \sum_{i,j} f(\mathsf{x}_{ij}) \cdot \mathsf{vol}(Q_{ij})$$

a Riemann sum of the partition Z.

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

109 / 182

# Riemann upper and lower sums.

#### **Definition:**

In analogy to the integral for the univariate case we call for a partition Z

$$U_f(Z) := \sum_{i,j} \inf_{\mathsf{x} \in Q_{ij}} f(\mathsf{x}) \cdot \mathsf{vol}(Q_{ij})$$

$$O_f(Z) := \sum_{i,j} \sup_{\mathsf{x} \in Q_{ij}} f(\mathsf{x}) \cdot \mathsf{vol}(Q_{ij})$$

the Riemann lower sum and the Riemann upper sum of f(x), respectively.

#### Remark:

A Riemann sum for the partition Z lies always between the lower and the upper sum of that partition i.e.

$$U_f(Z) \leq R_f(Z) \leq O_f(Z)$$

□ → <□ → < = → < = → < ○</li>

## Remark.

If a partition  $Z_2$  is obtained from a partition  $Z_1$  by adding additional intermediate points  $x_i$  and/or  $y_i$ , then

$$U_f(Z_2) \geq U_f(Z_1)$$
 and  $O_f(Z_2) \leq O_f(Z_1)$ 

For arbitrary two partitions  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  we always have:

$$U_f(Z_1) \leq O_f(Z_2)$$

**Question:** what happens to the lower and upper sums in the limit  $||Z|| \to 0$ :

$$U_f := \sup\{U_f(Z) : Z \in \mathsf{Z}(D)\}$$

$$O_f := \inf\{O_f(Z) : Z \in \mathsf{Z}(D)\}$$

**Observation:** Both values  $U_f$  and  $O_f$  exist since lower and upper sum are monoton and bounded.

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

111 / 182

# Riemann upper and lower integrals.

#### **Definition:**

**1** The Riemann lower and upper integral of a function f(x) on D is given by

$$\int_D f(x)dx := \sup\{U_f(Z) : Z \in Z(D)\}$$

$$\int_{\overline{D}} f(x) dx := \inf\{O_f(Z) : Z \in Z(D)\}$$

2 The function f(x) is called Riemann-integrable on D, if lower and upper integral conincide. The Riemann-integral of f(x) on D is then given by

$$\int_{D} f(x)dx := \int_{\underline{D}} f(x)dx = \int_{\overline{D}} f(x)dx$$

## Remark.

Up to now we habe "only" considered the case of two variables:

$$f: D \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad D \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

In higher dimensions, n > 2, the procdeure is the same.

**Notation:** for n = 2 and n = 3

$$\int_D f(x,y)dxdy \quad \text{bzw.} \quad \int_D f(x,y,z)dxdydz$$

or

$$\iint_D f(x,y) dxdy \quad \text{bzw.} \quad \iiint_D f(x,y,z) dxdydz$$

respectively.

<ロ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ ○ < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

113 / 182

# Elementary properties of the integral.

#### Theorem:

a) Linearity

$$\int_{D} (\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x)) dx = \alpha \int_{D} f(x) dx + \beta \int_{D} g(x) dx$$

b) Monotonicity

If  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for all  $x \in D$ , then:

$$\int_D f(x)dx \le \int_D g(x)dx$$

c) Positivity

If for all  $x \in D$  the relation  $f(x) \ge 0$  holds, i.e. f(x) is non-negativ, then

$$\int_D f(x)dx \ge 0$$

# Additional properties of the integral.

#### Theorem:

a) Let  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  and D be cuboids,  $D = D_1 \cup D_2$  and  $vol(D_1 \cap D_2) = 0$ , then f(x) is on D integrable if and only if f(x) is integrable on  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ . And we have

$$\int_{D} f(x)dx = \int_{D_1} f(x)dx + \int_{D_2} f(x)dx$$

b) The following estimate holds for the integral

$$\left| \int_{D} f(x) dx \right| \leq \sup_{x \in D} |f(x)| \cdot \text{vol}(D)$$

c) Riemann criterion

f(x) is integrable on D if and only if :

$$\forall \, \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \, Z \in \mathsf{Z}(D) \quad : \quad O_f(Z) - U_f(Z) < \varepsilon$$

□ → <□ → < ē → < ē → </li>
 ○

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

115 / 182

## Fubini's theorem.

**Theorem:** (Fubini's theorem) Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  be integrable,  $D = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$  be a cuboid. If the integrals

$$F(x) = \int_{a_2}^{b_2} f(x, y) dy$$
 und  $G(y) = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f(x, y) dx$ 

exist for all  $x \in [a_1,b_1]$  and  $y \in [a_2,b_2]$ , respectively, then

$$\int_D f(x)dx = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \int_{a_2}^{b_2} f(x,y)dydx$$

$$\int_{D} f(x)dx = \int_{a_2}^{b_2} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f(x,y)dxdy$$

holds true.

#### Importance:

Fubini's theorem allows to reduce higher-dimensional integrals to one-dimensional integrals.

# Example.

Given the cuboid  $D = [0,1] \times [0,2]$  and the function

$$f(x,y)=2-xy$$

We will show that continuous functions are integrable on cuboids. Thus we can apply Fubini's theorem:

$$\int_{D} f(x)dx = \int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} f(x,y)dxdy = \int_{0}^{2} \left[2x - \frac{x^{2}y}{2}\right]_{x=0}^{x=1} dy$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2} \left(2 - \frac{y}{2}\right) dy = \left[2y - \frac{y^{2}}{4}\right]_{y=0}^{y=2} = 3$$

**Remark:** Fubini's theorem requires the integrability of f(x). The existence of the two integrals F(x) and G(y) does **not** guarantee the integrability of f(x)!

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

117 / 182

## The characteristic function.

**Definition:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  compact and  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  bounded. We set

$$f^*(x) := \begin{cases} f(x) & : & \text{if } x \in D \\ 0 & : & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus D \end{cases}$$

In particular for f(x) = 1 we call  $f^*(x)$  the characteristic function of D. The characteristic function of D is called  $\mathcal{X}_D(x)$ .

Let Q be the smallest cuboid with  $D \subset Q$ . The function f(x) is called integrable on D, if  $f^*(x)$  is integrable on Q. We set

$$\int_D f(x)dx := \int_Q f^*(x)dx$$

# Measurability and null sets.

**Definition:** The compact set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is called measurable, if the integral

$$\operatorname{vol}(D) := \int_D 1 d\mathsf{x} = \int_Q \mathcal{X}_D(\mathsf{x}) d\mathsf{x}$$

exists. We call vol(D) the volume of D in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The compact set D is called null set, if D is measurable and if vol(D) = 0 holds.

#### Remark:

• If D a cuboid, then Q = D and thus

$$\int_{D} f(x)dx = \int_{Q} f^{*}(x)dx = \int_{Q} f(x)dx$$

i.e. the introduced concepts of integrability coincide.

- Cuboids are measurable sets.
- $\operatorname{vol}(D)$  is the volume of the cuboid on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

119 / 182

# Three more properties of integration.

We have the following theorems for integrals in higher dimensions.

**Theorem:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be compact. D is measurable if and only if the boundary  $\partial D$  of D is a null set.

**Theorem:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be compact and measurable. Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Then f(x) is integrable on D.

**Theorem:** (Mean value theorem) Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be compact, connected and measurable, and let  $f:D \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous, then there exist a point  $\xi \in D$  with

$$\int_D f(x)dx = f(\xi) \cdot \text{vol}(D)$$

4□ → 4□ → 4 □ → 4 □ → 9 Q P

"Normal" areas.

#### **Definition:**

• A subset  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is called "normal" area, there exist continuous functions g,h and  $\tilde{g},\tilde{h}$  with

$$D = \{(x, y) \mid a \le x \le b \text{ und } g(x) \le y \le h(x)\}$$

and

$$D = \{(x, y) \mid \tilde{a} \le y \le \tilde{b} \text{ und } \tilde{g}(y) \le x \le \tilde{h}(y)\}$$

respectively.

ullet A subset  $D\subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is called "normal" area , if there is a representation

$$D = \{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid a \le x_i \le b, \ g(x_i) \le x_j \le h(x_i)$$
  
and 
$$\varphi(x_i, x_i) \le x_k \le \psi(x_i, x_i) \}$$

with a permutation (i, j, k) of (1, 2, 3) and continuos functions  $g, h, \varphi$  and  $\psi$ .

◆ロ → ◆昼 → ◆ 壹 ト → 壹 ・ 夕 Q ()

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

121 / 182

# Projectable sets.

**Definition:** A subset  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is called projectable in the direction  $x_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , if there exist a measurable set  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and continuous functions  $\varphi, \psi$  such that

$$D = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \tilde{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)^T \in B$$
$$\text{und } \varphi(\tilde{x}) \le x_i \le \psi(\tilde{x}) \}$$

#### Remark:

- Projectable sets are measurable sets. Since "normal" areas are projectable, "normal" areas are measurable.
- Often the area of integration D can be represented by a union of finite many "normal" areas. Such areas are then also measurable.

# Integration on "normal" areas and projectable sets.

**Theorem:** If f(x) is a continuous function on a "normal" area

$$D = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : a \le x \le b \text{ and } g(x) \le y \le h(x) \}$$

then we have

$$\int_{D} f(x)dx = \int_{a}^{b} \int_{g(x)}^{h(x)} f(x, y)dy dx$$

Analogous relations hold in higher dimensions: If  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a projectable set in the direction  $x_i$ , i.e. D has a representation of the form

$$D = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \tilde{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)^T \in B$$
and  $\varphi(\tilde{x}) \le x_i \le \psi(\tilde{x}) \}$ 

then it holds

$$\int_{D} f(x) dx = \int_{B} \left( \int_{\varphi(\tilde{x})}^{\psi(\tilde{x})} f(x) dx_{i} \right) d\tilde{x}$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

123 / 182

# Example.

Given a function

$$f(x,y) := x + 2y$$

Calculate the integral on the area bounded by two parabolas

$$D := \{(x, y) \mid -1 \le x \le 1 \text{ und } x^2 \le y \le 2 - x^2\}$$

The set D is a "normal" area and f(x, y) is continuous. Thus

$$\int_{D} f(x,y)dx = \int_{-1}^{1} \left( \int_{x^{2}}^{2-x^{2}} (x+2y)dy \right) dx = \int_{-1}^{1} \left[ xy + y^{2} \right]_{x^{2}}^{2-x^{2}} dx$$

$$= \int_{-1}^{1} (x(2-x^{2}) + (2-x^{2})^{2} - x^{3} - x^{4}) dx$$

$$= \int_{-1}^{1} (-2x^{3} - 4x^{2} + 2x + 4) dx = \frac{16}{3}$$

# Example.

Calculate the volume of the rotational paraboloid

$$V := \{(x, y, z)^T \mid x^2 + y^2 \le 1 \text{ and } x^2 + y^2 \le z \le 1\}$$

Representation of V as "normal" area

$$V = \{(x, y, z)^T \mid -1 \le x \le 1, -\sqrt{1 - x^2} \le y \le \sqrt{1 - x^2} \text{ and } x^2 + y^2 \le z \le 1\}$$

Then we have

$$vol(V) = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \int_{x^2+y^2}^{1} dz dy dx = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{\sqrt{1-x^2}} (1-x^2-y^2) dy dx$$

$$= \int_{-1}^{1} \left[ (1-x^2)y - \frac{y^3}{3} \right]_{y=-\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{y=\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx = \frac{4}{3} \int_{-1}^{1} (1-x^2)^{3/2} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left[ x(\sqrt{1-x^2})^3 + \frac{3}{2} x\sqrt{1-x^2} + \frac{3}{2} \arcsin(x) \right]_{-1}^{1} = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

125 / 182

# Integration over arbitrary domains.

**Definition:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ba a compact and measurable set. We call  $Z = \{D_1, \dots, D_m\}$  an universal partition of D, if the sets  $D_k$  are compact, measurable and connected and if

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^m D_j = D$$
 and  $\forall i \neq j : D_i^0 \cap D_j^0 = \emptyset$ .

We call

$$diam(D_i) := sup \{ ||x - y|| | x, y \in D_i \}$$

the diameter of the set  $D_i$  and

$$||Z|| := \max \{ \operatorname{diam}(D_j) \mid j = 1, \dots, m \}$$

the fineness of the universal partition Z.

# Riemann sums for universal partitions.

For a continuous function  $f:D\to\mathbb{R}$  we define the Riemann sums

$$R_f(Z) = \sum_{j=1}^m f(x^j) \operatorname{vol}(D_j)$$

with arbitrary  $x^j \in D_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, m$ .

**Theorem:** For any sequence  $(Z_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  of universal partitions of D with  $\|Z_k\|\to 0$  (as  $k\to\infty$ ) and for ony sequence of related Riemann sums  $R_f(Z_k)$  we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} R_f(Z_k) = \int_D f(x)dx$$

◆ロ → ◆昼 → ◆ 壹 → ○ ● り へ ○

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

127 / 182

# Center (of mass) of areas and solids.

An important application of the area integrals is the calculation of the centers (of mass) of areas and solids.

**Definition:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  (or  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) be a measurable set and  $\rho(x)$ ,  $x \in D$ , a given mass density. Then the center (of mass) of the area (or the solid) D is given by

$$x_s := \frac{\int_D \rho(x) x dx}{\int_D \rho(x) dx}$$

The numerator integral (over a vector valued function) is intended componentwise (and gives as result a vector).

# Example.

Calculate the center of mass of the pyramid P

$$P := \left\{ (x, y, z)^T \mid \max(|y|, |z|) \le \frac{ax}{2h}, \quad 0 \le x \le h \right\}$$

Calculate the volume of P under assumption of constant mass density

$$vol(P) = \int_0^h \int_{-\frac{ax}{2h}}^{\frac{ax}{2h}} \int_{-\frac{ax}{2h}}^{\frac{ax}{2h}} dz \, dy \, dx$$
$$= \int_0^h \int_{-\frac{ax}{2h}}^{\frac{ax}{2h}} \frac{ax}{h} dy \, dx$$
$$= \int_0^h \left(\frac{ax}{h}\right)^2 dx = \frac{1}{3}a^2h$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

129 / 182

# Continuation of the example.

and 
$$\int_0^h \int_{-\frac{ax}{2h}}^{\frac{ax}{2h}} \int_{-\frac{ax}{2h}}^{\frac{ax}{2h}} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} dz dy dx = \int_0^h \int_{-\frac{ax}{2h}}^{\frac{ax}{2h}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{ax^2}{h} \\ \frac{axy}{h} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} dy dx$$

$$= \int_0^h \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{a^2 x^3}{h^2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right) dx$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4}a^2h^2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The center of mass of P lies in the point  $x_s = (\frac{3}{4}h, 0, 0)^T$ .

4□ → 4□ → 4 □ → 4 □ → 9 Q P

## Moments of inertia of areas and solids.

Another important application of area integrals is the calculation of moments of inertia of areas and solids.

#### **Definition:** (moments of inertia with respect to an axis)

Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  (or  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) be a measurable set,  $\rho(x)$  denotes for  $x \in D$  a mass density and r(x) the distance of the point  $x \in D$  from the given axis of rotation.

Then the moment of inertia of D with respect to this axis is given by

$$\Theta := \int_{D} \rho(\mathsf{x}) r^{2}(\mathsf{x}) d\mathsf{x}$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

131 / 182

# Example.

We calculate the moment of inertia of a homogeneous cylinder

$$Z := \{ (x, y, z)^T : x^2 + y^2 \le r^2, -1/2 \le z \le 1/2 \}$$

with respect to the x-axis assuming a constant density  $\rho$ .

$$\Theta = \int_{Z} \rho(y^{2} + z^{2}) d(x, y, z) = \rho \int_{Z} (y^{2} + z^{2}) d(x, y, z)$$

$$= \rho \int_{-r}^{r} \int_{-\sqrt{r^{2} - x^{2}}}^{\sqrt{r^{2} - x^{2}}} \int_{-l/2}^{l/2} (y^{2} + z^{2}) dz dy dx$$

$$= \rho \int_{-r}^{r} \int_{-\sqrt{r^{2} - x^{2}}}^{\sqrt{r^{2} - x^{2}}} (ly^{2} + \frac{l^{3}}{12}) dy dx$$

$$= \rho \frac{\pi l r^{2}}{12} (3r^{2} + l^{2})$$

## The theorem of transformation.

Aim: A generalisation of the (one dimensional) rule of substitution

$$\int_{\varphi(a)}^{\varphi(b)} f(x) \, dx = \int_{a}^{b} f(\varphi(t)) \varphi'(t) \, dt$$

**Theorem:** (Theorem of transformation) Let  $\Phi: U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -map. Let  $D \subset U$  be a compact, measurable set such that  $\Phi$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -diffeomorphisms on  $D^0$ . Then  $\Phi(D)$  is compact and measurable and for any continuous function  $f: \Phi(D) \to \mathbb{R}$  the rule of transformation

$$\int_{\Phi(D)} f(x) dx = \int_{D} f(\Phi(u)) |\det J\Phi(u)| du$$

holds.

**Remark:** Note that the rule of transformation requires the bijectivety of  $\Phi$  only on the inertior  $D^0$  of D – not on the boundary  $\partial D$ !

**◆□▶◆□▶◆壹▶◆壹▶ ⊙ へ**⊙

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

133 / 182

## Example.

Calculate the center of mass of a homogeneous spherical octant

$$V = \{(x, y, z,)^T \mid x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \le 1 \text{ und } x, y, z \ge 0\}$$

It is easier to calculate the center of mass using spherical coordinates:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r\cos\varphi\cos\psi \\ r\sin\varphi\cos\psi \\ r\sin\psi \end{pmatrix} = \Phi(r,\varphi,\psi)$$

The transformation is defined on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and with

$$D = [0,1] imes \left[0,rac{\pi}{2}
ight] imes \left[0,rac{\pi}{2}
ight]$$

we have  $\Phi(D) = V$ . It is  $\Phi$  on  $D^0$  a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -diffeomorphisms with

$$\det \mathsf{J}\Phi(r,\varphi,\psi) = r^2 \cos \psi$$

# Continuation of the example.

According to the theorem of transformation it follows

$$vol(V) = \int_{V} dx = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} r^{2} \cos \psi d\psi d\varphi dr = \frac{\pi}{6}$$

and

$$\operatorname{vol}(V) \cdot x_{s} = \int_{V} x \, dx = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} (r \cos \varphi \cos \psi) \, r^{2} \cos \psi \, d\psi \, d\varphi \, dr$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} r^{3} \, dr \cdot \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \cos \varphi \, d\varphi \cdot \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \cos^{2} \psi \, d\psi = \frac{\pi}{16}$$

The it follows  $x_s = \frac{3}{8}$ .

In Analogy we calculate  $y_s = z_s = \frac{3}{8}$ .

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

135 / 182

## The Theorem of Steiner.

**Theorem:** (Theorem of Steiner) For the moment of inertia of a homogeneous solid K with total mass m with respect to a given axis of rotation A we have

$$\Theta_A = md^2 + \Theta_S$$

S is the axis through to center of mass of the solid K parallel to the axis A and d the distance of the center of mass  $x_s$  from the axis A.

**Idea of the proof:** Set  $x := \Phi(u) = x_s + u$ . Then with the unit vector a in direction of the axis A

$$\Theta_{A} = \rho \int_{\mathcal{K}} (\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a} \rangle^{2}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \rho \int_{D} (\langle \mathbf{x}_{s} + \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}_{s} + \mathbf{u} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x}_{s} + \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a} \rangle^{2}) d\mathbf{x}$$

where

$$D := \{ x - x_s \mid x \in K \}$$

# Chapter 3. Integration over general areas

#### 3.2 Line integrals

We already had a defintion of a line integral of a scalar field for a piecewise  $C^1$ -curve  $c: [a, b] \to D$ ,  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and a continuous scalar function  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} f(\mathsf{x}) \, d\mathsf{s} := \int_{\mathcal{S}}^{b} f(\mathsf{c}(t)) \|\dot{\mathsf{c}}(t)\| \, dt$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euklidian norm.

**Generalisation:** Line integrals of vector valued functions, i.e.

$$\int_{c} f(x) dx := ?$$

**Application:** A point mass is moving along c(t) in a force field f(x).

Question: How much physical work has to be done along the curve?

<ロ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ ○ < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

137 / 182

# Line integral on vector fields.

**Definition:** For a continuous vector field  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open, and a piecewise  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -curve  $c: [a,b] \to D$  we define the line integral on vector fields by

$$\int_{c} f(x)dx := \int_{a}^{b} \langle f(c(t), \dot{c}(t)) \rangle dt$$

**Derivation:** Approximate the curve by piecewise linear line segments with corners  $c(t_i)$ , where

$$Z = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m = b\}$$

is a partition of the interval [a, b].

Then the workload along the curve c(t) in the force field f(x) is approximately given by :

$$Approx \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \langle \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{c}(t_i)), \mathsf{c}(t_{i+1}) - \mathsf{c}(t_i) 
angle$$

# Continuation of the derivation.

Thus:

$$egin{array}{lll} A & pprox & \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f_j(\mathsf{c}(t_i))(c_j(t_{i+1}) - c_j(t_i)) \ & = & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f_j(\mathsf{c}(t_i)) \dot{c}_j( au_{ij})(t_{i+1} - t_i) \end{array}$$

For a sequence of partitions Z with  $||Z|| \to 0$  the left side converges to the above defined line integral on vector fields.

**Remarks:** For a closed curve c(t), i.e. c(a) = c(b), we use the notation

$$\oint_{c} f(x) dx$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

139 / 182

# Properties of the line integral on vector fields.

• Linearity:

$$\int_{C} (\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x)) dx = \alpha \int_{C} f(x) dx + \beta \int_{C} g(x) dx$$

It is:

$$\int_{-c} f(x) dx = - \int_{c} f(x) dx,$$

where (-c)(t) := c(b+a-t),  $a \le t \le b$ , denotes the inverted path.

It is

$$\int_{c_1+c_2} f(x) \, dx = \int_{c_1} f(x) \, dx + \int_{c_2} f(x) \, dx$$

where  $c_1 + c_2$  denotes the path composed by  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that the end point of  $c_1$  coincides with the starting point of  $c_2$ .

# Further properties of the line integral on vector fields.

- The line integral on vector fields is invariant under paramterisation.
- It is

$$\int_{c} f(x) dx = \int_{a}^{b} \langle f(c(t)), T(t) \rangle \|\dot{c}(t)\| dt = \int_{c} \langle f, T \rangle ds$$

with the tangent unit vector  $T(t) := \frac{\dot{c}(t)}{\|\dot{c}(t)\|}$ .

• Formal notation:

$$\int_{c} f(x) dx = \int_{c} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x) dx_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{c} f_{i}(x) dx_{i}$$

with

$$\int_{c} f_{i}(x) dx_{i} := \int_{a}^{b} f_{i}(c(t)) \dot{c}_{i}(t) dt$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

141 / 182

# Example.

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and

$$f(x) := (-y, x, z^2)^T$$

$$c(t) := (\cos t, \sin t, at)^T$$
 with  $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ 

We calculate

$$\int_{c} f(x) dx = \int_{c} (-ydx + xdy + z^{2}dz)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} (-\sin t)(-\sin t) + \cos t \cos t + a^{2}t^{2}a) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} (1 + a^{3}t^{2}) dt$$

$$= 2\pi + \frac{a^{3}}{3}(2\pi)^{3}$$

# The circulation of a field along a curve.

**Definition:** Let u(x) be the velocity field of a moving fluid. We call the line integral  $\oint_C u(x)dx$  along a closed curve the circulation of the field u(x).

**Example:** For the field  $u(x,y) = (y,0)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$  we obtain along the curve  $c(t) = (r \cos t, 1 + r \sin t)^T$ ,  $0 \le t \le 2\pi$  the circulation

$$\oint_{c} u(x) dx = \int_{0}^{2\pi} (1 + r \sin t)(-r \sin t) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} (-r \sin t - r^{2} \sin^{2} t) dt$$

$$= \left[ r \cos t - \frac{r^{2}}{2} (t - \sin t \cos t) \right]_{0}^{2\pi} = -\pi r^{2}$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

143 / 182

## Curl free vector fields.

**Definition:** A continuous vector field f(x),  $x \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , is called **curl free**, if the line integral along **all** closed and piecewise  $C^1$ -curves c(t) in D vanishes, i.e.

$$\oint_c f(x) dx = 0 \qquad \text{for all closed c.}$$

**Remark:** A vector field is curl free if an only if the value of the line integral  $\int_C f(x)dx$  depends only from the starting and the end point of the path, but not on the specific path c. In this case we call the line integral path independent.

**Question:** Which criteria on the vector field f(x) guarantee the path independency of the line integral?

#### Connected sets.

**Definition:** A subset  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is called **connected**, if any two points in D can be connected by a piecewise  $C^1$ -curve:

$$\forall x^0, y^0 \in D : \exists c : [a, b] \rightarrow D : c(a) = x^0 \land c(b) = y^0$$

An open and connected set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is called domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Remark:** An **open** set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is **not** connected if and only if there exist **disjoint** and open sets  $U_1, U_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with

$$U_1 \cap D \neq \emptyset$$
,  $U_2 \cap D \neq \emptyset$ ,  $D \subset U_1 \cup U_2$ 

Not connected sets are – in contrary to connected sets – a separable in at least two disjoint open sets.

□ > <□ > <□ > <□ > <□</li>
 □

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

145 / 182

### Gradient fields, antiderivatives, potentials.

**Definition:** Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a vector field on a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . The vector field is called gradient field, if there is a scalar  $C^1$ -function  $\varphi: D \to \mathbb{R}$  with

$$f(x) = \nabla \varphi(x)$$

The function  $\varphi(x)$  is called antiderivative or potential of f(x), and the vector field f(x) is called conservativ.

**Remark:** Suppose a mass point is moving in a conservative force field K(x), i.e. K has a potential  $\varphi(x)$  such that K(x) =  $\nabla \varphi(x)$ . The the function  $U(x) = -\varphi(x)$  gives the potential energy:

$$K(x) = m\ddot{x} = -\nabla U(x)$$

Multiplying this relation with  $\dot{x}$  we obtain

$$m\langle \ddot{\mathbf{x}}, \dot{\mathbf{x}} \rangle + \langle \nabla U(\mathbf{x}), \dot{\mathbf{x}} \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} m ||\dot{\mathbf{x}}||^2 + U(\mathbf{x}) \right) = 0$$

4□ → 4□ → 4 = → 4 = → 9 < ○</p>

### Fundamental theorem on line integrals.

Theorem: (Fundamental theorem on line integrals)

Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain and f(x) a continuous vector field on D.

1) If f(x) has a potential  $\varphi(x)$ , then for all piecewise  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -curves  $c:[a,b]\to D$  we have:

$$\int_{C} f(x) dx = \varphi(c(b)) - \varphi(c(a))$$

In particular the line integral is path independent and f(x) is curl free.

2) In the opposite direction we have: If f(x) is curl free, then f(x) has a potential  $\varphi(x)$ .

Let  $x^0 \in D$  be a fixed point and  $c_x$  (for  $x \in D$ ) denotes an arbitrary piecewise  $C^1$ -curve in D connecting the points  $x^0$  and x, then  $\varphi(x)$  is given by:

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{c_x} f(x) dx + const.$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

147 / 182

### Example I.

The central force field

$$\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{x}) := \frac{\mathsf{x}}{\|\mathsf{x}\|^3}$$

has the potential

$$U(x) = -\frac{1}{\|x\|} = -(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-1/2}$$

since

$$\nabla U(x) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-3/2} (x, y, z)^T = \frac{x}{\|x\|^3}$$

The workload along a piecewise  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -curve  $\mathsf{c}:[a,b] o\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\{0\}$  is given by

$$A = \int_{c} \mathsf{K}(\mathsf{x}) \, d\mathsf{x} = \left( \frac{1}{\|\mathsf{c}(\mathsf{a})\|} - \frac{1}{\|\mathsf{c}(\mathsf{b})\|} \right)$$

4□ → 4□ → 4 = → 4 = → 9 < ○</p>

## Example II.

The vector field

$$f(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 2xy + z^3 \\ x^2 + 3z \\ 3xz^2 + 3y \end{pmatrix}$$

has the potential

$$\varphi(x) = x^2y + xz^3 + 3yz$$

For an arbitrary  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -curve  $\mathsf{c}(t)$  from P=(1,1,2) to Q=(3,5,-2) we have

$$\int_{C} f(x) dx = \varphi(Q) - \varphi(P) = -9 - 15 = -24$$

If we interpret f(x) as electrical field, then the line integral on vector fields represents the electrical voltage between the two points P and Q.

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

149 / 182

### Example III.

Consider the vector field

$$f(x,y) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \quad mit (x,y)^T \in D = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$$

For the unit sphere  $c(t) := (\cos t, \sin t)^T$ ,  $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ , we obtain

$$\int_{c} f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \langle f(c(t), \dot{c}(t)) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -\sin t \\ \cos t \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\sin t \\ \cos t \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} 1 dt = 2\pi$$

f(x, y) is therefore not curl free and has no potential on D.

### Requirements for potentials.

**Remark:** If f(x),  $x \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is a  $C^1$ -vector field with potential  $\varphi(x)$ , then

$$\operatorname{curl} f(x) = \operatorname{curl} (\nabla \varphi(x)) = 0$$
 für alle  $x \in D$ 

Thus curl f(x) = 0 is a necessary condition for the existence of a potential.

If we define for a vector field  $f:D\to\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $D\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ , the **scalar** curl

curl 
$$f(x,y) := \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x}(x,y) - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y}(x,y)$$

then curl f(x, y) = 0 is a necessary condition even in 2 dimensions.

The condition

$$\operatorname{curl} f(x) = 0$$

is a sufficient condition, if the domain D is simply connected, i.e. if D has no "holes".

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

151 / 182

#### Example.

We consider the vector field

$$f(x,y) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } (x,y)^T \in D = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$$

Calculating the curl gives

curl 
$$\left[\frac{1}{r^2} \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix}\right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} - \frac{2x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} + \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} - \frac{2y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}$$

$$= 0$$

The curl of f(x, y) vanishes.

But f(x,y) has on the set  $D=\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{0\}$  no potential.

The domain is **not** simply connected.

# The integral theorem of Green for vector fields in $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Theorem: (Integral theorem of Green)

Let f(x) be a  $C^1$ -vector field on a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $K \subset D$  be compact and projectable with respect to both coordinates, such that K is bounded by a closed and piecewise  $C^1$ -curve c(t).

The parameterisation of c(t) is chosen such that K is always on the left when going along the curve with increasing parameter (positive circulation). Then:

$$\oint_{c} f(x) dx = \int_{K} \operatorname{curl} f(x) dx$$

#### Remark:

The integral theorem is also valid for domains which can be splittet in *finite* many domains which all are projectable with respect to both coordinate directions, so called **Green domains**.

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

153 / 182

# Alternative formulation of the integral theorem of Green I.

We have seen that the relation

$$\oint_c f(x) dx = \oint_c \langle f, T \rangle ds$$

holds, where  $\mathsf{T}(t) = \frac{\dot{\mathsf{c}}(t)}{\|\dot{\mathsf{c}}(t)\|}$  denotes the tangent unit vector.

With the intergral thoerem of Green we obtain

$$\int_{K} \operatorname{curl} f(x) \, dx = \oint_{\partial K} \langle f, T \rangle \, ds$$

Is f(x) a velocity field, then the fluid motion described by f is curl free if curl f(x)=0, since

$$\oint_C f(x) dx$$

is the circulation of f(x).

# Alternative formulation of the integral theorem of Green II.

If we substitute in the above equations the vector T by the outer normal vector  $n = (T_2, -T_1)^T$ , we obtain

$$\oint_{\partial K} \langle f, n \rangle \, ds = \oint_{\partial K} (f_1 T_2 - f_2 T_1) ds = \oint_{\partial K} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -f_2 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix}, T \right\rangle \, ds$$

$$= \int_{K} \operatorname{rot} \begin{pmatrix} -f_2 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix} \, dx = \int_{K} \operatorname{div} f \, dx$$

and thus the relation

$$\int_{K} \operatorname{div} f(x) \, dx = \oint_{\partial K} \langle f, n \rangle \, ds$$

If f(x) is the velocity field of a fluid motion, then the right side describes describes the total flow of the fluid through the boundary of K. Therefore if div f(x) = 0, then the fluid motion is is source and sink free (or divergence free).

**◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 壹▶ ◆ 壹 ◆ 夕**ぐ

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

155 / 182

### Back again to the existence of potentials.

**Conclusion:** If curl f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in D$ ,  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  a domain, then we have

$$\oint_{c} f(x) dx = 0$$

for every closed piecewise  $C^1$ -curve, which surrounds a Green domain  $B \subset D$  completely.

**Definition:** A domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is called simply connected, if any closed curve  $c : [a, b] \to D$  can be shrinked continuously in D to a point in D. More precise: There is a continuous map for  $x^0 \in D$ 

$$\Phi: [a,b] \times [0,1] \rightarrow D$$

with  $\Phi(t,0) = c(t)$ , for all  $t \in [a,b]$  and  $\Phi(t,1) = x^0 \in D$ , for all  $t \in [a,b]$ . The map  $\Phi(t,s)$  is called a homotopy.

## Criteria for integrability for potentials.

**Theorem:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a simply connected domain. A  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -vector field  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$  has a potential on D if and only if the integrability criteria

$$Jf(x) = (Jf(x))^T$$
 for all  $x \in D$ 

are satisfied, i.e. if

$$\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_k} \qquad \forall j, k$$

**Remark:** For n = 2, 3 the integrability criteria coincide with

$$rot f(x) = 0$$

.

**◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 壹▶ ◆ 壹 ◆ 夕**ぐ

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

157 / 182

### Example.

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$  let the vector field be

$$f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2xy}{r^2} + \sin z \\ \ln r^2 + \frac{2y^2}{r^2} + ze^y \\ \frac{2yz}{r^2} + e^y + x\cos z \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2.$$

We would like to study the existence of a potential for f(x).

The set  $D = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$  is apparentely simply connected. In addition we have

$$\operatorname{curl} f(x) = 0$$

Thus f(x) has a potential.

### Calculation of the potential.

We need to have:  $f(x) = \nabla \varphi(x)$ . Thus:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} = f_1(x, y, z) = \frac{2xy}{r^2} + \sin z$$

By integration with respect to the variable x we obtain

$$\varphi(x) = y \ln r^2 + x \sin z + c(y, z)$$

with an unknown function c(y, z).

Pluging into the equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} = f_2(x, y, z) = \ln r^2 + \frac{2y^2}{r^2} + ze^y$$

gives

$$\ln r^{2} + \frac{2y^{2}}{r^{2}} + \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} = \ln r^{2} + \frac{2y^{2}}{r^{2}} + ze^{y}$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

159 / 182

# Calculation of the potential (continuation).

From this we get the condition

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial y} = ze^y$$

and therefore

$$c(y,z)=ze^y+d(z)$$

for an unknown function d(z). So far we know:

$$\varphi(x) = y \ln r^2 + x \sin z + z e^y + d(z)$$

The last condition is

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} = f_3(x, y, z) = \frac{2yz}{r^2} + e^y + x \cos z$$

Therefore d'(z) = 0 and the potential is given by

$$\varphi(x) = y \ln r^2 + x \sin z + ze^y + c$$
 for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ 

### Chapter 3. Integration in higher dimensions

#### 3.3 Surface integrals

**Definition:** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a domain and  $\mathsf{p}:D \to \mathbb{R}^3$  a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -map

$$\mathsf{x} = \mathsf{p}(\mathsf{u}) \quad \mathsf{with} \ \mathsf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{u} = (\mathit{u}_1, \mathit{u}_2)^T \in \mathit{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

If for all  $u \in D$  the two vectors

$$\frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial u_1}$$
 and  $\frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial u_2}$ 

are linear independent, we call

$$F := \{ \mathsf{p}(\mathsf{u}) \mid \mathsf{u} \in D \}$$

a surface or a piece o surface. The map x = p(u) is called a parameterisation or parameter representation of the surface F.

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

### Example I.

We consider for a given r > 0 the map

$$\mathrm{p}(arphi,z) = \left(egin{array}{c} r\cosarphi \ r\sinarphi \ z \end{array}
ight) \qquad \mathrm{for}\; (arphi,z) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

The corresponding parameterized surface is an unbounded cylinder in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . If we restrict the area of definition, e.g.

$$(\varphi, z) \in K := [0, 2\pi] \times [0, H] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

we obtain a bounded cylinder of height H.

The partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial \varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} -r \sin \varphi \\ r \cos \varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial z} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

of  $p(\varphi, z)$  are linearly independent on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

### Example II.

The graph of a scalar  $C^1$ -function  $\varphi: D \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , is a surface.

A parametrisation is given by

$$\mathsf{p}(u_1,u_2) := \left(egin{array}{c} u_1 \ u_2 \ arphi(u_1,u_2) \end{array}
ight) \qquad \mathsf{for} \ \mathsf{u} \in D$$

The partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial u_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \varphi_{u_1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial u_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \varphi_{u_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

are linear independent.

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

163 / 182

## The tangential plane on a surface.

The two linear independent vectors

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial u_1}(u^0)$$
 und  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial u_2}(u^0)$ 

are tangential on the surface F.

The two vectore span the tangential plane  $T_{x^0}F$  of the surface F at the point  $x^0 = p(u)$ .

The tangential plane has a parameter representation

$$T_{x^0}F: \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^0 + \lambda \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_1}(\mathbf{u}^0) + \mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_2}(\mathbf{u}^0) \qquad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Question:** How can wie calculate the size of a given surface F?

## The surface integral of a piece of surface.

**Definition:** Let  $p:D\to\mathbb{R}^3$  be a parameterisation of a surface, and let  $K\subset D$  be compact, measurable and connected. Then the "content" of p(K) is defined by the surface integral

$$\int_{p(K)} do := \int_{K} \left\| \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_{1}}(u) \times \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_{2}}(u) \right\| du$$

We call

$$do := \left\| \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_1}(u) \times \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_2}(u) \right\| du$$

the surface element of the surface x = p(u).

**Remark:** The surface integral is **independent** of the particular parameterisation of the surface. This follows from the theorem of transformation.

□ > <□ > <□ > <□ > <□</li>
 □

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

165 / 182

#### Example.

For the lateral surface of a cylinder Z = p(K) with

$$K := [0, 2\pi] \times [0, H] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

and

$$\mathsf{x} = \mathsf{p}(\varphi, z) := \left( egin{array}{c} r\cos\varphi \\ r\sin\varphi \\ z \end{array} \right) \qquad \mathsf{for} \ (\varphi, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial \varphi} \times \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial z} \right\| = r$$

the value

$$O(Z) = \int_{Z} do = \int_{K} rd(\varphi, z) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{H} rdzd\varphi = 2\pi rH$$

4□ → 4 同 → 4 豆 → 4 豆 → 9 Q (~)

# Example.

If the surface is the graph of a scalar function, i.e.  $x_3 = \varphi(x_1, x_2)$ , the for the related tangential vectors we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial x_1} \times \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial x_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \varphi_{x_1} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \varphi_{x_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\varphi_{x_1} \\ -\varphi_{x_2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial x_1} \times \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial x_2} \right\| = \sqrt{1 + \varphi_{x_1}^2 + \varphi_{x_2}^2}$$

and

$$O(p(K)) = \int_{p(K)} do$$

$$= \int_{K} \sqrt{1 + \varphi_{x_1}^2 + \varphi_{x_2}^2} d(x_1, x_2)$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

167 / 182

#### Example.

For the surface of the parabloid P, given by

$$P := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_3 = 2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2, x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 2\},\$$

we have

$$O(P) = \int_{x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 2} \sqrt{1 + 4x_1^2 + x_2^2} \ d(x_1, x_2)$$

$$= \int_0^{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{1 + 4r^2} \ r \ d\varphi \ dr = \pi \int_0^2 \sqrt{1 + 4s} \ ds$$

$$= \pi \left[ \frac{1}{6} (1 + 4s)^{3/2} \right]_0^2 = \pi \left( \frac{1}{6} (27 - 1) \right) = \frac{13}{3} \pi$$

#### Remark.

For the vector product of two vectors  $a,b\in\mathbb{R}^3$  we have

$$\|\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{a}\|^2 \|\mathbf{b}\|^2 - \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle^2$$

Thus we have

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial x_1} \times \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial x_2} \right\|^2 = \left\| \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial x_1} \right\|^2 \left\| \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial x_2} \right\|^2 - \left\langle \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial x_2} \right\rangle^2$$

If we define

$$E := \left\| \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial x_1} \right\|^2, \quad F := \left\langle \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial x_2} \right\rangle^2, \quad G := \left\| \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial x_2} \right\|^2,$$

we obtain the relation

$$do = \sqrt{EG - F^2} d(u_1, u_2)$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

### Example.

For the surface element of the sphere

$$S_r^2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = r^2\}$$

we obtain using the parameterisation via spherical coordinates

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \cos \theta \\ \sin \varphi \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{für } (\varphi, \theta) \in [0, 2\pi] \times \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right]$$

the relations

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \varphi} = r \begin{pmatrix} -\sin\varphi\cos\theta \\ \cos\varphi\cos\theta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{und} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \theta} = r \begin{pmatrix} -\cos\varphi\sin\theta \\ -\sin\varphi\sin\theta \\ \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we have

$$E=r^2\cos^2\theta, \quad F=0, \quad G=r^2$$

### Continuation of the examples.

With

$$E = r^2 \cos^2 \theta$$
,  $F = 0$ ,  $G = r^2$ 

we obtain the relation

$$do = \sqrt{EG - F^2} d(u_1, u_2)$$

and therefore

$$do = r^2 \cos \theta \, d(\varphi, \theta)$$
 für  $(\varphi, \theta) \in [0, 2\pi] \times \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right]$ 

We can calculate the surface of the sphere as follows

$$O = \int_{S_r^2} do = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 \cos \theta \ d\varphi \ d\theta$$
$$= 2\pi r^2 \sin \theta \Big|_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} = 4\pi r^2$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

171 / 182

### Surface integrals of scalar and vector fields.

**Definition:** Let x = p(u) be a  $C^1$ -parametrisation of a surface F = p(K), where  $K \subset D$  is compact, measurable and connected.

• For a continuous function  $f: F \to \mathbb{R}$  the surface integral of a scalar field is defined as

$$\int_{F} f(x) do := \int_{K} f(p(u)) \left\| \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_{1}} \times \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_{2}} \right\| du$$

ullet For a continuous vector field  $f: F \to \mathbb{R}^3$  the surface integral of a vector field is defined as

$$\int_{F} f(x) do := \int_{K} \left\langle f(p(u)), \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_{1}} \times \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_{2}} \right\rangle du$$

### Alternative representation of surface integrals.

#### Othere representations of surface integrals of vector fields

The unit normal vector n(x) on a surface F is given by

$$n(x) = n(p(u)) = \frac{\frac{\partial p}{\partial u_1} \times \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_2}}{\left\| \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_1} \times \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_2} \right\|}$$

Therefore we can write

$$\int_{F} f(x) do = \int_{K} \left\langle f(p(u)), \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_{1}} \times \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_{2}} \right\rangle du$$

$$= \int_{K} \left\langle f(p(u)), n(p(u)) \right\rangle \left\| \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_{1}} \times \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_{2}} \right\| du$$

$$= \int_{F} \left\langle f(x), n(x) \right\rangle do$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

173 / 182

### Interpretation of surface integrals.

#### Remark:

- If f(x) is the mass density of a surface with a mass distribution, the the surface integral of the scalar field (mass density) gives the total mass of the surface.
- If f(x) is the velocity field of a stationary flow, then the surface integral of the vector field (velocity field) gives the amount of flow which passes the surface F per time unit, i.e. the flow of f(x) through the surface F.
- If F is a closed surface, i.e. surface (boundary) of a compact and simply connected region (body) in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we write

$$\oint_{F} f(x) do \qquad \text{bzw.} \qquad \oint_{F} f(x) do$$

The parameterisation is chosen such that the unit normal vector n(x) is pointing outwards.

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

# The divergence theorem (Gauß theorem).

**Theorem:** (divergence theorem/Gauß theorem) Let  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  a compact and measurable standard domain, i.e. G is projectable with respect to all coordinates. The boundary  $\partial G$  consists of finite many smooth surfaces with outer normal vector n(x).

If  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^3$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -vector field with  $G \subset D$ , then

$$\int_{G} \operatorname{div} f(x) \, dx = \oint_{\partial G} f(x) \, do$$

**Interpretation of the Gauß theorem:** The left side is an integral of the scalar function g(x) := div f(x) over G. The right hand side is a surface integral of the vector field f(x). If f(x) is the vectorfield of an incompressible flow, then div f(x) = 0 and therefore

$$\oint_{\partial G} f(x) do = 0$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

175 / 182

### Example.

Consider the vector field

$$f(x) = x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T$$

and the sphere K:

$$K := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \le 1\}$$

We have

$$div f(x) = 3$$

and thus

$$\int_{K} \operatorname{div} f(x) \, dx = 3 \cdot \operatorname{vol}(K) = 4\pi$$

The related surface integral can be calculated easily using spherical coordinates.

#### The Green formulas.

**Theorem:** (Green formulas) Let the set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  satisfy the prerequisites of the Gauß theorem. For  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -functions  $f,g:D\to\mathbb{R},\ G\subset D$  we have the relations:

$$\int_{G} (f\Delta g + \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle) \, dx = \oint_{\partial G} f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathsf{n}} \, do$$

$$\int_{G} (f\Delta g - g\Delta f) \, dx = \oint_{\partial G} \left( f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathsf{n}} - g \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathsf{n}} \right) \, do$$

We denote by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}) = D_{\mathbf{n}} f(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial G$$

the directional derivative of f(x) in the direction of the outer unit normal vector n(x).

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

177 / 182

#### Proof of the Green formulas.

We set

$$F(x) = f(x) \cdot \nabla g(x)$$

Then we have

$$\operatorname{div} F(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left( f \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \left( f \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \left( f \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_3} \right)$$
$$= f \cdot \Delta g + \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle$$

Now we apply the Gauß theorem:

$$\int_{G} (f\Delta g + \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle) dx = \int_{G} \operatorname{div} F(x) dx = \oint_{\partial G} \langle F, n \rangle do$$

$$= \oint_{\partial G} f \langle \nabla g, n \rangle do = \oint_{\partial G} f \frac{\partial g}{\partial n} do$$

The second formula follows directly by exchanging f and g.

#### The Stokes theorem.

Theorem: (Stokes theorem)

Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -vector field on a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Let F = p(K) be a surface in D,  $F \subset D$ , with parameterisation x = p(u),  $u \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a Green area.

The boundary  $\partial K$  is parameterised by a piecewise smooth  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -curve c and the image  $\tilde{c}(t) := p(c(t))$  parameterises the boundary  $\partial F$  of the surface F.

The orientation of the boundary curve  $\tilde{c}(t)$  is chosen such that  $n(\tilde{c}(t)) \times \dot{\tilde{c}}(t)$  points in the direction of the surface.

Then we have

$$\int_{F} \operatorname{curl} f(x) \, do = \oint_{\partial F} f(x) \, dx$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

Analysis III for students in engineering

179 / 182

### Example.

Given the vector field

$$f(x, y, z) = (-y, x, -z)^T$$

and let the closed curve  $c:[0,2\pi] \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be parameterised by

$$c(t) = (\cos t, \sin t, 0)^T$$
 für  $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ 

Then:

$$\oint_{c} f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \langle f(c(t)), \dot{c}(t) \rangle dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -\sin t \\ \cos t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\sin t \\ \cos t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} (\sin^{2} t + \cos^{2} t) dt = 2\pi$$

## Continuation of the example.

We define a surface  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , bounded by the curve c(t):

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \cos \psi \\ \sin \varphi \cos \psi \\ \sin \psi \end{pmatrix} =: p(\varphi, \psi)$$

with  $(\varphi, \psi) \in K = [0, 2\pi] \times [0, \pi/2]$ , i.e. the surface F is the upper half sphere.

Stokes theorem tells us:

$$\int_{F} \operatorname{curl} f(x) \, do = \oint_{c=\partial F} f(x) \, dx$$

We have already calculated the right side, a surface integral of a vector field:

$$\oint_{c=\partial F} f(x) dx = 2\pi$$

Jens Struckmeier (Mathematik, UniHH)

### Completion of the example.

It remains a surface integral of a vector field:

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathsf{rot}\,\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x})\,do = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \left\langle \mathsf{rot}\,\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{p}(\varphi,\psi)), \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial \varphi} \times \frac{\partial \mathsf{p}}{\partial \psi} \right\rangle \,d\varphi d\psi$$

**Attention:** the right hand side is an **intergal over a domain**.

We have curl  $f(x) = (0,0,2)^T$  and

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \varphi} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \cos^2 \psi \\ \sin \varphi \cos^2 \psi \\ \sin \psi \cos \psi \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus:

$$\int_{F} \operatorname{curl} f(x) \, do = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} 2 \sin \psi \cos \psi \, d\varphi \, d\psi = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \sin(2\psi) \, d\psi = 2\pi$$