

Determinacy and Supercompactness of \aleph_1

Noah Abou El Wafa

16 April 2020

Strongly Compact and Supercompact cardinals

Recall that $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(A) := \{a \subseteq A : a \text{ injects into } \kappa \text{ and } |a| < \kappa\}$. An ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(A)$ is

- ▶ *fine* if $\{a \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa(A) : x \in a\} \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $x \in A$.
- ▶ *normal* if for every collection $\langle A_x : x \in A \rangle$ with $A_x \in \mathcal{U}$

$$\Delta_{x \in A} A_x := \{a \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa(A) : a \in \bigcap_{x \in a} A_x\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Strongly Compact and Supercompact cardinals

Recall that $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(A) := \{a \subseteq A : a \text{ injects into } \kappa \text{ and } |a| < \kappa\}$. An ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(A)$ is

- ▶ *fine* if $\{a \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa(A) : x \in a\} \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $x \in A$.
- ▶ *normal* if for every collection $\langle A_x : x \in A \rangle$ with $A_x \in \mathcal{U}$

$$\Delta_{x \in A} A_x := \{a \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa(A) : a \in \bigcap_{x \in a} A_x\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Definition

Let κ be a cardinal and A a set. We say κ is

- ▶ *A-strongly compact* if there is a fine, κ -complete ultrafilter on $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(A)$.
- ▶ *A-supercompact* if there is a fine, normal, κ -complete ultrafilter on $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(A)$.

Suslin cardinals

Recall $\theta = \sup\{\nu : \mathbb{R} \text{ surjects onto } \nu\}$.

A set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is λ -Suslin if there is some tree T on $\omega \times \lambda$ such that

$$X = p[T] := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : T_x \text{ is ill-founded}\}$$

Definition

A cardinal λ is a *Suslin cardinal* if there is a λ -Suslin set, that is not γ -Suslin for any $\gamma < \lambda$.

Note that any Suslin cardinal is less than θ .

\mathbb{R} -supercompactness of \aleph_1 under $AD_{\mathbb{R}}$

For $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\mathbb{R})$ consider the game

I	a_0	a_2	a_4	\dots	$a_j \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R})$
II	a_1	a_3	a_5		

where player II wins if $\bigcup_{i < \omega} a_i \in A$.

\mathbb{R} -supercompactness of \aleph_1 under $AD_{\mathbb{R}}$

For $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\mathbb{R})$ consider the game

I	a_0	a_2	a_4	\dots	$a_j \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R})$
II	a_1	a_3	a_5		

where player II wins if $\bigcup_{i < \omega} a_i \in A$.

$\mathcal{U} = \{A \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\mathbb{R}) : \text{player II has a winning strategy in this game}\}$

\mathbb{R} -supercompactness of \aleph_1 under $AD_{\mathbb{R}}$

For $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\mathbb{R})$ consider the game

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \text{I} & a_0 & & a_2 & & a_4 & & \dots & & a_j \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}) \\ \text{II} & & a_1 & & a_3 & & a_5 & & & \end{array}$$

where player II wins if $\bigcup_{i < \omega} a_i \in A$.

$\mathcal{U} = \{A \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\mathbb{R}) : \text{player II has a winning strategy in this game}\}$

Theorem (Solovay, 1978)

$(AD_{\mathbb{R}})$ This \mathcal{U} is a normal measure. Hence \aleph_1 is $< \theta$ -supercompact.

Question: How much supercompactness of \aleph_1 do we get from various weakenings of $AD_{\mathbb{R}}$?

The Harrington-Kechris result

Theorem (Harrington, Kechris, 1981)

(AD) Suppose λ is below a Suslin cardinal, then \aleph_1 is λ -supercompact.

AD^+ and supercompactness of \aleph_1

By λ -determinacy we mean the assertion that for any continuous function $f : \lambda^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ the game $G(f^{-1}(A))$ played on λ with payoff set $f^{-1}(A)$ is determined.

AD^+ and supercompactness of \aleph_1

By λ -determinacy we mean the assertion that for any continuous function $f : \lambda^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ the game $G(f^{-1}(A))$ played on λ with payoff set $f^{-1}(A)$ is determined.

Definition

AD^+ is the conjunction of the following:

- ▶ $DC_{\mathbb{R}}$
- ▶ λ -determinacy for $\lambda < \theta$
- ▶ every set of reals is ∞ -Borel

AD^+ and supercompactness of \aleph_1

By λ -determinacy we mean the assertion that for any continuous function $f : \lambda^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ the game $G(f^{-1}(A))$ played on λ with payoff set $f^{-1}(A)$ is determined.

Definition

AD^+ is the conjunction of the following:

- ▶ $DC_{\mathbb{R}}$
- ▶ λ -determinacy for $\lambda < \theta$
- ▶ every set of reals is ∞ -Borel

Note that $AD^+ \rightarrow AD$ and $AD_{\mathbb{R}} + DC \rightarrow AD^+$. However

$$AD_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow AD^+$$

is still open.

AD^+ and supercompactness of \aleph_1

The reason we are interested in AD^+ is that

$$L(\mathbb{R}) \models AD \rightarrow AD^+.$$

AD^+ and supercompactness of \aleph_1

The reason we are interested in AD^+ is that

$$L(\mathbb{R}) \models AD \rightarrow AD^+.$$

Theorem

(AD^+) Suppose λ is a Suslin cardinal, then \aleph_1 is λ -supercompact.

AD^+ and supercompactness of \aleph_1

The reason we are interested in AD^+ is that

$$L(\mathbb{R}) \models AD \rightarrow AD^+.$$

Theorem

(AD^+) Suppose λ is a Suslin cardinal, then \aleph_1 is λ -supercompact.

So assuming AD , \aleph_1 is λ -supercompact in $L(\mathbb{R})$.

The supercompact measure on \aleph_1

Let λ be an ordinal. For $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\lambda)$ consider the game

I	a_0	a_2	a_4	\dots	$a_i \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(\lambda)$
II	a_1	a_3	a_5		

where player II wins if $\bigcup_{i < \omega} a_i \in A$.

The supercompact measure on \aleph_1

Let λ be an ordinal. For $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\lambda)$ consider the game

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \text{I} & a_0 & & a_2 & & a_4 & & \dots & & a_i \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(\lambda) \\ \text{II} & & a_1 & & a_3 & & a_5 & & & \end{array}$$

where player II wins if $\bigcup_{i < \omega} a_i \in A$.

$$\mathcal{U} = \{A \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\lambda) : \text{player II has a winning strategy in this game}\}$$

The supercompact measure on \aleph_1

Let λ be an ordinal. For $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\lambda)$ consider the game

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{I} & a_0 & & a_2 & & a_4 & \dots & a_i \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(\lambda) \\ \text{II} & & a_1 & & a_3 & & a_5 & \end{array}$$

where player II wins if $\bigcup_{i < \omega} a_i \in A$.

$\mathcal{U} = \{A \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\lambda) : \text{player II has a winning strategy in this game}\}$

- ▶ Under ZF this \mathcal{U} is always a filter

The supercompact measure on \aleph_1

Let λ be an ordinal. For $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\lambda)$ consider the game

I	a_0	a_2	a_4	\dots	$a_i \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(\lambda)$
II		a_1	a_3	a_5	

where player II wins if $\bigcup_{i < \omega} a_i \in A$.

$\mathcal{U} = \{A \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\lambda) : \text{player II has a winning strategy in this game}\}$

- ▶ Under ZF this \mathcal{U} is always a filter
- ▶ The AD^+ proof shows this is a normal measure on \aleph_1 (for λ a Suslin cardinal)

The filter \mathcal{U}

Proposition

$(ZF + DC)$ *Every set in \mathcal{U} contains a club set.*

The filter \mathcal{U}

Proposition

(ZF + DC) *Every set in \mathcal{U} contains a club set.*

- ▶ (DC + AD⁺) For λ a Suslin cardinal the club (ultra-)filter on $\mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\lambda)$ is a supercompact measure on \aleph_1

The filter \mathcal{U}

Proposition

(ZF + DC) Every set in \mathcal{U} contains a club set.

- ▶ *(DC + AD⁺) For λ a Suslin cardinal the club (ultra-)filter on $\mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\lambda)$ is a supercompact measure on \aleph_1*

Theorem (Woodin, 1983)

(ZF + DC) If \mathcal{V} is a supercompact measure on \aleph_1 then $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

The filter \mathcal{U}

Proposition

(ZF + DC) Every set in \mathcal{U} contains a club set.

- ▶ *(DC + AD⁺) For λ a Suslin cardinal the club (ultra-)filter on $\mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\lambda)$ is a supercompact measure on \aleph_1*

Theorem (Woodin, 1983)

(ZF + DC) If \mathcal{V} is a supercompact measure on \aleph_1 then $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

Corollary

(DC + AD⁺) If λ is a Suslin cardinal, \aleph_1 is λ -supercompact and the club filter is the unique λ -supercompact measure on \aleph_1 .

AD and Strong Compactness of \aleph_1

Theorem

(AD) *If $\lambda < \theta$ then \aleph_1 is λ -strongly compact.*