

Inner models of measurability

κ measurable $:\Leftrightarrow$ ex κ -complete normal ultrafilter over κ .

investigate \rightsquigarrow

investigate \rightarrow

$L[U]$

contractible universe relative to U
 smallest inner model M with $x \cap U \in M$
 for all $x \in M$.

Def. $L_0[U] = \emptyset$

$L_{\alpha+1}[U] = \text{def}^U(L_\alpha[U])$

$\text{def}^U(x) := \{ y \subseteq x \mid y \text{ definable over } (x, \in, U \cap x) \}$

$L_\delta[U] = \bigcup_{\alpha < \delta} L_\alpha[U]$

$L[U] := \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{Ord}} L_\alpha[U]$

Fact $L_1[\mathbb{R}] = L$

• Iterated Ultrapowers

• κ measurable $\Rightarrow ({}^\kappa M/U, \epsilon_U)$ well-founded

Def (M, ϵ, U) iterable if the UP iteration

ranges over Ord.

Fact $(L[U], \epsilon, U)$ is iterable, i.e. for all limits δ

$\text{dir lim}_{\alpha < \delta} (M_\alpha)$ well-founded.

U measure over κ

Def (M, ϵ, U) and (N, ϵ, W) are comparable if

$U = W \cap M$ or $W = U \cap N$.

Fact $(L[U], \epsilon, U)$ and $(L[W], \epsilon, W)$ always have comparable iterates.

Thm Let $(L[U], \epsilon, U)$, $(L[W], \epsilon, W)$ be κ -models,
 then $U=W$ and $L[U]=L[W]$.

Def. $(L[U], \epsilon, U)$ is κ -model if
 $(L[U], \epsilon, U) \models "U \text{ is a normal UF over } \kappa"$ $\left(U \in L[U] \right)$

Proof: By fact $(L[U], \epsilon, U)$ and $(L[W], \epsilon, W)$ have
 a common iterator $(L[F], \epsilon, F)$ of both.

- i: $(L[U], \epsilon, U) < (L[F], \epsilon, F)$
- j: $(L[W], \epsilon, W) < (L[F], \epsilon, F)$

Then $\{ \theta > \kappa \mid \theta = | \theta | = i(\theta) = j(\theta) \}$
 is a proper class.

Let B be any subset of this class with $|B| \geq \kappa^+$.

Let δ be ordinal $> \sup(B)$.

It holds: for $X \in U$ there are
definable term \dagger and $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in B$ with
 $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in \kappa$

$$X = \dagger^{(L_\delta[U], \epsilon, U)} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \quad (*)$$

Set $(L_\delta[W], \epsilon, W)$

$$Y := \dagger (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in W$$

$$i(X) = i^{(L_\delta[W], \epsilon, W)} (\dagger^{(L_\delta[U], \epsilon, U)} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)) = \dagger^{(L_\delta[F], \epsilon, F)} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) = j(Y)$$

For every $X \in F$ $i(X) = j(Y) \in F$.

$$X = i(X) \cap K = j(Y) \cap K \Rightarrow X \in W$$

So $U \subseteq W$ and $W \subseteq U$ analogously.

□

Cor. $(L[U], \epsilon, U)$ K -model $\Rightarrow U$ is unique normal UF over K in $L[U]$.

Proof: Let W be normal UF over K in $L[U]$.

$$\text{Let } \bar{W} := W \cap L[W].$$

$L[\bar{W}]$ is K -model

$$\Rightarrow \bar{W} = U$$

Therefore $U \subseteq W$ and $U = W$ since both UF. □

Remark $(L[A], \epsilon, A)$ K -model $\Rightarrow A \in L[A]$. □

Lemma for (*) $(L[U], \epsilon, U)$ κ -model, $B \subseteq \text{Ord}$, $|B| \geq \kappa^+$
 S limit ordinal with $B \subseteq L_S[U]$.

Then $\mathcal{P}(\kappa) \cap L[U]$ is a subset
of Skolem hull of $\kappa \cup B$ in $(L_S[U], \epsilon, U)$, i.e.

for any $X \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \cap L[U]$
 $(L_S[U], \epsilon, U)$
 $X = \dagger (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$

$\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in \kappa$, $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in B$, \dagger definierbarer Term.

Proof Let $(H, \epsilon, U \cap H)$ with H Skolem hull
of $\kappa \cup B$. Let (N, ϵ, W) be its transitive collapse,
For every $\alpha < \kappa$ holds with map $\bar{\iota}$.

$\bar{\iota}(\alpha) = \alpha$

Therefore $\bar{\iota}(X) = X$ for $X \in H \cap \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$.

$W = U \cap N$ and

$N = L_\epsilon[U]$ with $\epsilon \geq \kappa^+$ since $|B| \geq \kappa^+$

This implies $\mathcal{P}(\kappa) \cap L[U] \subseteq N$

and $\mathcal{P}(\kappa) \cap L[U] \subseteq H$ since $\bar{\iota}(X) = X$ for $X \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$

□

Thm $(L[U], \epsilon, U)$ κ -model
 $(L[W], \epsilon, W)$ λ -model

and $\kappa < \lambda$.

Then $(L[W], \epsilon, W)$ is an iterate of $(L[U], \epsilon, U)$.

In particular $L[W] \subseteq L[U]$

and $L[W]$ definable in $(L[U], \epsilon, U)$

with parameter λ .