

# The Constructible Universe

## LECTURE VII

27 May 2024

### OVERALL GOAL

Given  $M \models ZF$ , construct  
 $N \subseteq M$  s.t.  $N \models ZFC + CH$ .

INNER MODEL

Gödel's Idea The constructible universe, i.e., the minimal model of set theory that includes the absolutely necessary sets.

### Template

von Neumann hierarchy

INSTEAD

$$\begin{aligned}V_0 &::= \emptyset \\V_{\alpha+1} &::= \mathcal{P}(V_\alpha) \\V_\lambda &::= \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} V_\alpha\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}L_0 &::= \emptyset \\L_{\alpha+1} &::= \mathcal{D}(L_\alpha) \\L_\lambda &::= \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} L_\alpha\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  is the "definable powerset".

$$\mathcal{D}(X) := \left\{ Y \subseteq X; \text{there is } \varphi \text{ and } \vec{p} \in X^n \right. \\ \left. z \in Y \iff X \models \varphi(z, \vec{p}) \right\}$$

Necessary to do "definable over  $X$ " since  
definability is not definable.

The operation  $\mathcal{D}$  is absolute for transitive  
models;

since absoluteness for transitive models is closed  
 under recursive definitions, this means that

$$x \in L_\alpha$$

and

$$\exists x \in L_\alpha$$

are absolute for transitive models.

Thus. If  $L = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{Ord}} L_\alpha$

Then if  $M$  is a transitive set, then

$$L \cap M \subseteq M$$

Moreover, if  $\alpha := M \cap \text{Ord}$ ,  $L \cap M = L_\alpha$ .

So: If  $L \models ZF$ , then  $L$  is the minimal transitive model of  $ZF$ .

§ 12:

$L \models$

Ext  
Found  
Inf  
Pair  
 $\cup$   
Pow

✓  
✓  
✓  
✓  
✓  
✓

generally true for transitive classes  
generally true  $\omega \in L_{\omega+1}$   
easy  
slightly harder  
So far, we do not know the rank of  $\mathcal{P}(x)$  in relation to the rank of  $x$ .

What about Sep & Rep?

Separation

& here's the reason:  
This simple technique won't work

Suppose  $x \in L_\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$  formula,  $\vec{p} \in L_\alpha^{<\omega}$

Want  $\{y \in x; L \models \varphi(y, \vec{p})\} = S$   
to be in  $L$ .

What we get is unfortunately only

$\{y \in x; L_\alpha \models \varphi(y, \vec{p})\} = S'$

If  $\varphi$  is not absolute, then  $S'$  might be very different from  $S$  and so the fact that  $S' \in \mathcal{D}(L_\alpha)$  doesn't mean much.

to ensure that  $\omega$

Lecture

VI,

page 10

# § 13 Lévy Reflection Theorem

Lecture  
VI,  
page 11

Def. An assignment  $\alpha \mapsto Z_\alpha$  is called a **HIERARCHY** if

- ①  $Z_\alpha$  is a transitive set
- ②  $\text{Ord} \cap Z_\alpha = \alpha$
- ③  $\alpha < \beta \implies Z_\alpha \subseteq Z_\beta$
- ④  $\lambda$  limit  $\implies Z_\lambda = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} Z_\alpha$

If we have a hierarchy, can define a proper class  $Z = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{Ord}} Z_\alpha$  [by ②  $\text{Ord} \subseteq Z$ ] and

$$j_Z(x) := \min\{\alpha; x \in Z_\alpha\}$$

for any  $x \in Z$ .

## Lévy Reflection Theorem

If  $M \models ZF$ ,  $\alpha \mapsto Z_\alpha$  is a hierarchy, and  $\varphi$  is a formula, there are unboundedly many  $\alpha$  s.t.  $\varphi$  is absolute between  $Z_\alpha$  and  $Z$ .

# §14 ZF in the constructible universe

Goal of this section

Prove Sep and Rep in  $\mathbb{L}$ .

Theorem  $\mathbb{L} \models \text{Sep}$ .

Proof. Fix  $x \in L_\alpha$ ,  $\varphi \in \text{Fml}$ ,  $\vec{p} \in L_\alpha^{<\omega}$ .

We need to show that

$$S := \{ y \in x; \mathbb{L} \models \varphi(y, \vec{p}) \}$$

is in  $\mathbb{L}$ .

As before, for any  $\gamma \geq \alpha$ , we know that

$$S^\gamma := \{ y \in x; \mathbb{L}_\gamma \models \varphi(y, \vec{p}) \} \in \mathcal{D}(L_\gamma)$$

"  
 $L_{\gamma+1}$

So  $S^\gamma \in \mathbb{L}$ .

In general,  $S^\gamma \neq S$ . Need to find  $\gamma$  s.t.

$$S^\gamma = S.$$

Remember that  $L$  is a hierarchy in the sense of the LRT:

So, there is  $\beta \geq \alpha$  s.t.  $\varphi$  is absolute between  $L_\beta$  and  $L$ . Thus:  $S^\beta = S$ .  
q.e.d.

## Remark

As in the proof of power set, we do not know the size of  $\mathcal{Q}$  with respect to the original  $\alpha$ , i.e., we don't know the  $\mathbb{L}$ -rank of the separation instance.

## Theorem

$\mathbb{L} \models \text{Repl.}$

### Proof.

Suppose  $\varphi$  is a formula that is functional in  $\mathbb{L}$ , i.e., for all  $\vec{p}, x, y, y'$

$$\mathbb{L} \models \varphi(x, y, \vec{p}) \ \& \ \mathbb{L} \models \varphi(x, y', \vec{p})$$

then  $y = y'$ . fix  $\alpha$  s.t.  $Z \in L_\alpha$ .

Need to show:

if  $Z \in \mathbb{L}$ , then

$$R := \{y; \exists x \in Z \ \mathbb{L} \models \varphi(x, y, \vec{p})\} \in \mathbb{L}.$$

If  $Z \in \mathbb{L}$ , find  $\alpha$  s.t.  $Z \in L_\alpha$ .

Consider for  $\gamma \geq \alpha$

$$R^\gamma := \{y; \exists x \in Z \ \mathbb{L}_\gamma \models \varphi(x, y, \vec{p})\}$$

### Added problem

Since  $\varphi$  is only functional in  $\mathbb{L}$ , and not necessarily in  $\mathbb{L}_\gamma$ , we do not even know that  $\bigcup R^\gamma$  is even a set...

Aside. [Example of a formula that is functional in  $\mathbb{L}$ , but not for all  $\mathbb{L}_\alpha$ .]

$\varphi(x, y) : \iff$  there is a largest ordinal

OR

there is no largest ordinal AND  $x=y$ .

In  $\mathbb{L}$ ,  $\varphi$  describes the identity class function and therefore, the formula  $\varphi$  is functional.

In  $\mathbb{L}_{\gamma+1} \models$  there is a largest ordinal,

so  $\mathbb{L}_{\gamma+1} \models \forall x \forall y \varphi(x, y)$ .

Thus  $\varphi$  is not functional in  $\mathbb{L}_{\gamma+1}$ .

Want to have

$$R := \{y; \exists x \in Z \mathbb{L} \models \varphi(x, y, \vec{p})\}$$

Use LRT to obtain  $\beta \geq \alpha$  where  $Z \in \mathbb{L}_\beta$  s.t.  $\varphi$  is absolute between  $\mathbb{L}_\beta$  and  $\mathbb{L}$ .

This implies:  $\varphi$  is functional for  $\mathbb{L}_\beta$ .

Thus by Replacement in  $M$ ,

$$R^\beta := \{y; \exists x \in Z \mathbb{L}_\beta \models \varphi(x, y, \vec{p})\}$$

is a set. By absoluteness  $R^\beta = R$ .  
And  $\bigcup R^\beta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{L}_\beta) = \mathbb{L}_{\beta+1}$ . q.e.d.

Corollary  $\mathbb{L} \models \text{ZF}$ .

Therefore,  $\mathbb{L}$  is the minimal model of ZF.

## AXIOM OF CONSTRUCTIBILITY

$$\forall x \exists \alpha \ x \in L_\alpha$$

We write  $V=L$  for this axiom.

Note that it looks like an equation, but it's just shorthand for  $\forall x \exists \alpha \ x \in L_\alpha$ .

Corollary  $\mathbb{L} \models \text{ZF} + V=L$ .

## § 15 The Axiom of Choice

We'll show: if  $M \models ZF$  and  $\mathbb{L}$  is built inside  $M$ , then  $\mathbb{L} \models ZFC$ .

We prove this by providing well-orderings of all of the  $L_\alpha$ . More precisely, we'll provide a class fun

$$\langle : \alpha \mapsto \langle_\alpha$$

s.t.  $(L_\alpha, \langle_\alpha)$  is wellordered and if

$\alpha < \beta$ , then  $\langle_\beta$  is an end-extension of  $\langle_\alpha$  and  $\langle_\alpha \in L$ .

Remark This is much stronger than just AC: we are producing a GLOBAL WELL-ORDER of  $\mathbb{L}$ .

Corollary Suppose we have produced such a class function  $\langle$ . Then  $\mathbb{L} \models AC$ .

Proof: Take any  $x \in \mathbb{L}$ . So there is  $\alpha$  s.t.  $x \in L_\alpha$ ; so  $x \subseteq L_\alpha$ . Thus  $(L_\alpha, \langle_\alpha)$  is wellordered and so is

$$(x, \langle_\alpha \upharpoonright (x \times x)).$$

q.e.d.

Theorem Such a class function  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  exists.

Proof. By induction on  $\alpha$ .

① If  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $L_\alpha = L_0 = \emptyset$ , so nothing to show.

② If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal. By IH have  $\langle \cdot \rangle_\beta$  s.t.  $(L_\beta, \langle \cdot \rangle_\beta)$  is a wellorder for each  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $\beta < \beta'$ , then  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta'}$  agrees with  $\langle \cdot \rangle_\beta$  on  $L_\beta$ .

Define  $x \langle \cdot \rangle_\alpha y \iff \exists \beta < \alpha$  s.t.  $x \langle \cdot \rangle_\beta y$ .

③ Suppose  $\alpha = \gamma + 1$ . By IH have  $\langle \cdot \rangle_\gamma$  s.t.  $(L_\gamma, \langle \cdot \rangle_\gamma)$  is a wellorder.

What is  $L_{\gamma+1}$ ?

$D(L_\gamma)$

Elements of  $L_\gamma$

Non-elements of  $L_\gamma$ .

Clear (a) on  $L_\gamma$ , order must be  $\langle \cdot \rangle_\gamma$

(b) all elts of  $L_\gamma$  come before all elements of  $L_{\gamma+1} \setminus L_\gamma$ .

These are given by

$\emptyset, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}$

$x \in D(L_\gamma) \iff x = \{y \in L_\gamma; L_\gamma \models \varphi(y, \mathbb{P})\}$

For each  $x \in D(L_\gamma)$  there are  $(\varphi, \vec{p})$

s.t.  $x = \{y \in L_\gamma; L_\gamma \models \varphi(y, \vec{p})\}$

Full

$<_{L_\gamma}$

We have that Full is wellordered in order type

$\omega_j$  and the wellordering  $<_\gamma$  lifts by "short-lex" to a wellordering  $<_{\text{lex}}$  of  $L_\gamma$ .

First by length, then lexicographically.

Thus define a wellorder  $<^*$  on  $\text{Full} \times L_\gamma$ , again lexicographically

If  $x \in L_\gamma \setminus L_\gamma$ , we have that

$$W := \{(\varphi, \vec{p}); x = \{y \in L_\gamma; L_\gamma \models \varphi(y, \vec{p})\}\}$$

$$\neq \emptyset,$$

so we can define

$(\varphi_x, \vec{p}_x)$  to be the  $<^*$ -minimal element of  $W$ .

Now define

$$x \prec_{\gamma+1} y : \iff x, y \in L_\gamma \wedge x \prec_\gamma y$$

OR

$$x \in L_\gamma \wedge y \notin L_\gamma$$

OR

$$x, y \notin L_\gamma \wedge (\varphi_x, \vec{p}_x) \prec^* (\varphi_y, \vec{p}_y)$$

Clearly,  $\prec_{\gamma+1}$  is a wellorder of  $L_{\gamma+1}$  and satisfies the requirements of the induction hypothesis by construction.

Remark  $\prec_\alpha$  is defined by recursion and therefore definable over a sufficiently large  $L_\beta$  s.t.  $\prec_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(L_\beta) = L_{\beta+1}$ .

q.e.d.

Theorem For all  $\alpha \geq \omega$ ,  $|L_\alpha| = |\alpha|$ .

Proof. By induction.

Clearly,  $|L_\omega| = |V_\omega| = \aleph_0 = |\omega|$ .

Successor case Suppose  $\alpha = \gamma + 1$ .

I.H.:  $|L_\alpha| = |\alpha|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha| = |L_\alpha| &\leq |L_{\alpha+1}| = |\mathcal{D}(L_\alpha)| \\ &\leq |\text{Func} \times L_\alpha^{<\omega}| \\ &= |\text{Func}| |L_\alpha^{<\omega}| \\ &= \aleph_0 \cdot |L_\alpha| = \aleph_0 \cdot |\alpha| \\ &= |\alpha| \\ &= \alpha + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Limit case Suppose  $\alpha$  limit.

$$\alpha \subseteq L_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} L_\beta$$

[I.H.:  $|L_\beta| = |\beta| \leq |\alpha|$ ]

$$|\alpha| \leq |L_\alpha| \leq |\alpha| |\alpha| = |\alpha|.$$

Remark. The cardinal arithmetic in this proof used the Axiom of Choice !!!

q.e.d.

Remark We had already seen that

$L_{\omega_1}$  is countable  
 $V_{\omega_1}$  is uncountable

thus  $L_{\omega_1} \neq V_{\omega_1}$ .

But now we see that  $L_\alpha$  (for  $\alpha < \omega_1$ )  
is countable

$L_\alpha \neq V_\alpha$  if  $\omega < \alpha < \omega_1$

but also  $V_{\omega_1} \not\subseteq L_\alpha$ .

This matters since in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $V_{\omega_1}$  is the size  
of  $\mathbb{R}$  and identifying where it lives in  
the constructible hierarchy is crucial  
for determining the size of  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .

## §16 The condensation sentence

This is a crucial preparation for the proof of CH: the main step in that proof will be called the Condensation Lemma.

If we write  $\Phi(x, \alpha)$  for  $x \in L_\alpha$ , we proved that  $\Phi$  is absolute for transitive models of ZF.

We proved more:

For  $\Delta_0$  formulas, we proved that they are absolute for trans models.

If  $\boxed{\text{ZF} \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi}$  and  $\varphi$  is absolute for trans models, then  $\psi$  is absolute for trans models of ZF.

By compactness, there is a finite  $T_\psi \subseteq \text{ZF}$  s.t.  
 $T_\psi \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ .

Thus  $\psi$  is absolute for trans models of  $T_\psi$ .

Also "ordinal" does not need all of ZF to be absolute, but only Foundation.

If  $F_0, F_S, F_L$  are absolute, then

$$\#(0, x) := F_0(x)$$

$$\#(\alpha+1, x) := F_S(\#(\alpha, x), x)$$

$$\#(\lambda, x) := F_L(\#\{\lambda \times \{x\} \times 3, x\}).$$

If  $T_0, T_S, T_L$  are finite subsets of ZF s.t.  
 $F_0, F_S, F_L$  are absolute for trans models  
of  $T_0, T_S, T_L$

and  $T \subseteq ZF$  finite s.t.  $T$  proves the  
preservation of abs. under recursion, then

$T_0 \cup T_S \cup T_L \cup T =: T_{\#}$  has the property  
that  $\#$  is absolute for transitive models  
of  $T_{\#}$ .

We obtain

Each of the notions  $\varphi$  we  
proved to be absolute for transitive  
models of ZF is actually absolute  
for transitive models of  $T_{\varphi} \subseteq ZF$   
finite for a set  $T_{\varphi}$  that we could  
determine if we wanted to.

If  $\Phi(x, \alpha)$  is the formula describing  $x \in L_\alpha$ , there is some finite  $T_\Phi$  s.t.  $\Phi$  is absolute for  $T_\Phi$  for models of  $T_\Phi$ .

Definition

The condensation sentence

(NOT QUITE: SEE BELOW)

$$\sigma := \bigwedge T_\Phi \wedge \text{Foundation} \wedge \frac{V=L}{\uparrow}$$

$$\forall x \exists \alpha \Phi(x, \alpha)$$

The following is a correction added after Lecture VIII:

The Recursion Theorem proves that  $\alpha \mapsto L_\alpha$  is a function class, i.e., that for each  $\alpha$ , there is a set  $L_\alpha$  and there is a formula  $\Phi^*$  s.t.  $x = L_\alpha \iff \Phi^*(x, \alpha)$ .

This means that  $ZF \vdash \forall \alpha \exists x \Phi^*(x, \alpha)$ .

By compactness, a finite subset of ZF, say  $T_{\Phi^*}$ , is sufficient to prove

$$T_{\Phi^*} \vdash \forall \alpha \exists x \Phi^*(x, \alpha)$$

Finally,  $ZF \vdash$  "there is no largest ordinal"

$$\forall \alpha \exists \beta \beta > \alpha$$

and, once more, there is a finite subset of ZF, say  $T_{\text{Ord}}$  s.t.  $T_{\text{Ord}} \vdash \forall \alpha \exists \beta \beta > \alpha$ .

Let

$$\sigma^* := \bigwedge T_{\Phi} \wedge \bigwedge T_{\Phi^*} \wedge \bigwedge T_{\text{Ord}} \\ \wedge T_{\text{Found}} \wedge V = L$$

We now call  $\sigma^*$  the CONDENSATION SENTENCE

Theorem If  $X$  is transitive,  $X \models \sigma^*$ , and  $\alpha := X \cap \text{Ord}$ , then  $X = L_\alpha$ .

The proof will be given in Lecture VIII.