

III

THIRD LECTURE

Computability, Decidability, CD
 Incompleteness

18 APRIL 2023

Recap

Register machines

→ computation sequence

→ halting, halting times, output

Fix an upper register index n and let $F : W^{n+1} \dashrightarrow W^{n+1}$ be any partial function. We say that a register machine M performs the operation F if upon input $\vec{w} \in W^{n+1}$, if $F(\vec{w}) \uparrow$, then M diverges on input $\vec{w}$ and if $F(\vec{w}) \downarrow$, then M converges on input $\vec{w}$ with register content $F(\vec{w})$ at the time of halting. If F is a total function, we sometimes emphasise this by using the phrase " M performs the total operation F ".

A question with $k+1$ answers is a partition of W^{n+1} into $k+1$ disjoint sets $A_0, \dots, A_k$. E.g., the question "does the second register end with a ?" is the partition $A_0 := \{\vec{w} : \exists v (w_2 = va)\}$ and $A_1 := W^{n+1} \setminus A_0$. A register machine M answers a question with $k+1$ answers if it has $k+1$ designated answer states $\hat{q}_0, \dots, \hat{q}_k$ and for each $\vec{w}$, the computation of M with input $\vec{w}$ produces in finitely many steps a configuration $(\hat{q}_i, \vec{w})$ if and only if $\vec{w} \in A_i$.

Examples for performing operations

Example Operation NEVER HALT
 represented by $F : W^{n+1} \dashrightarrow W^{n+1}$ s.t.
 $\text{dom}(F) = \emptyset$.
 E.g., $q_s \mapsto + (0, a, q_s)$ produces an infinite loop.

From Lecture II

Example 2 ALWAYS HALT, DO NOT CHANGE INPUT
 Represented by $F = \text{id} : W^{n+1} \rightarrow W^{n+1}$
 (total)
 $q_s \mapsto ?(0, \epsilon, q_H, q_H)$

Examples for answering questions

Example 1 Is register i empty? Possible answers: Yes / No

$$A_0 := \{ \vec{w} ; w_i = \varepsilon \} \quad \text{YES}$$

$$A_1 := \{ \vec{w} ; w_i \neq \varepsilon \} \quad \text{NO}$$

$$q_s \mapsto ?(i, \varepsilon, \hat{q}_0, \hat{q}_1)$$

Example 2 Does register i end with letter a ?

$$A_0 := \{ \vec{w} ; \exists w (w_i = wa) \}$$

$$A_1 := W^{n+1} \setminus A_0$$

$$q_s \mapsto ?(i, a, \hat{q}_0, \hat{q}_1)$$

Proposition

(The concatenation lemma)
The subroutine lemma

If M performs F & M' performs F' ,
then there is a RM performing $F' \circ F$.

Lemma 4.7 (Case Distinction Lemma). Let $Q = \{A_i ; i \leq k\}$ be a question with $k+1$ answers and $f_i : W^{n+1} \dashrightarrow W^{n+1}$ be operations for $i \leq k$. If Q is answered by a register machine $M = (\Sigma, Q, P)$ and f_i is performed by $M_i := (\Sigma, Q_i, P_i)$ (for $i \leq k$), then we can construct a register machine that performs the operation defined by $g(\vec{w}) := f_i(\vec{w})$ if and only if $\vec{w} \in A_i$.

Many more examples

1. DELETE THE FINAL LETTER IN REG. i
(IF IT EXISTS)

$$q_s \mapsto - (i, q_H, q_H)$$

2. DELETE THE CONTENT OF REG. i
("empty reg. i ")

$$q_s \mapsto - (i, q_H, q_s)$$

3. ADD a TO THE END OF REG. i

$$q_s \mapsto + (i, a, q_H)$$

Remark: This also describes
MAKE SURE THAT REG.
 i IS NON-EMPTY.

4. ADD $w \in W$ TO THE END OF REG. i

If $w = (a_0, \dots, a_k)$, then perform the
operation "add a_j to the end of reg. i "
by the subroutine lemma.

5. REPLACE REG. i WITH $w \in W$

First empty i (with 2.); then
add $w \in W$ to the end of i
(with 4.).

6.

What is the final letter in reg. i
(if any)?

Question with $|\Sigma|+1$ answers.

$$\Sigma = \{a_0, \dots, a_k\}$$

$\longrightarrow k+2$ answers

$$A_\ell := \{\vec{w}; \exists v (w_i = va_\ell)\} \quad \ell \leq k$$

$$A_{k+1} := \{\vec{w}; w_i = \varepsilon\}.$$

Cascade $k+1$ many questions (Example from Lecture II)

Is the final letter of reg. i a_0 ?

If so $\longrightarrow \hat{q}_0$

If not:

Is the final letter of reg. i a_1 ?

If so $\longrightarrow \hat{q}_1$

If not

$\vdots$

Is the final letter of reg. i a_k ?

If so $\longrightarrow \hat{q}_k$
If not $\longrightarrow \hat{q}_{k+1}$

7. Copy final letter of reg. i (if it exists) to reg. j .

First answer the question "what's the final letter of reg. i ", get answer state

$\hat{q}_l$.

Then add q_l to reg. j or (if $l=k+1$) halt.

8. Move final letter of reg. i (if it exists) to reg. j .

Copy (as in 7.); then remove final letter (as in 1.).

9. Move content of reg. i to reg. j in reverse order.

Repeat Example 8. until the register is empty.

10. Move content of reg. i to reg. j .

Take a register k UNUSED the the machine so far, Empty reg. k .

Move content of reg. i to k in reverse order (Ex. 9)

Move content of reg. k to j in reverse order.

11.

Copy content of reg. i to reg. j
in reverse order.

Take unused reg. k ; empty it.

Perform op as.

"copy final letter of reg. i to reg. j (7)
& move final letter of reg. i to reg. k "

until reg. i is empty.

After that move reg. content of k to i
in reverse order.

12.

Copy reg. content of i to j .

Take unused reg. k ; empty it.

Copy i to k in reverse order. (11.)

Move k to j in reverse order. (9)

13.

Is the reg. content of reg. i exactly
 $w \in W$?

If $w = (a_0, \dots, a_k) \in W$, check from the
back: Check for $l = k, k-1, \dots, 0$ whether

the final letter of reg. i is a_l ;

if not, restore reg. i from intermediate
reg. and answer No;

if yes, move a_l to intermediate reg.
and continue.

if reg. i empty, restore i from inter-
mediate reg. and YES.

C.3 Computable functions & sets

If M is a register machine, I define a partial fn

$$f_{M,k}: W^k \dashrightarrow W \text{ by}$$

$$f_{M,k}(\vec{w}) \uparrow$$

if M does not halt with input $\vec{w}$

$$f_{M,k}(\vec{w}) = v$$

if M halts with input $\vec{w}$ and the reg. content at halting time is $\vec{v}$ with $v_0 = v$.

$$\text{dom}(f_{M,k}) = \{ \vec{w}; M \text{ halts with input } \vec{w} \}$$

If $k = 1$, we write

$$W_M := \text{dom}(f_{M,1})$$

Def. Two machines are called weakly equivalent

$$\text{if } W_M = W_{M'}.$$

Clearly, if M, M' are strongly eq., they are weakly equivalent.

Remark We could also define a number of intermediate equivalence notions such as

M, M' $*$ -equivalent if $f_{M,1} = f_{M',1}$

M, M' $\oplus$ -equivalent if $\forall k f_{M,k} = f_{M',k}$

For all of these, the analogue of the padding lemma holds: infinitely many eq. machines.

Definition A partial function

$$f: W^k \dashrightarrow W$$

is computable if there is a machine M

s.t.

$$f = f_{M,k}$$

REMARKS

1. The padding lemma implies that M is not unique.
2. The countability lemma from Lecture II implies that there are only countably many computable functions.

Note that this implies (in a very unsatisfactory way) our fast

LIMITATIVE THEOREM:

There are non-computable functions.

Examples (1) $id : W \mapsto W$
 Halt w/o changing anything $\longrightarrow M$

then $f_{M,1} = id.$

(2) Constant functions
 $c_{k,v} : W^k \longrightarrow W$
 $\vec{w} \mapsto v$
 $\longrightarrow M$

Replace reg. 0 by v

$f_{M,k} = c_{k,v}.$

(3) Projection function
 $\pi_{k,i} : W^k \longrightarrow W$
 $\vec{w} \mapsto w_i$

[Delete reg. 0;
 copy/move content of reg. i to reg. 0
 halt.]

If $A \subseteq W^k$, we call

Let's fix some $a \in \Sigma$.

$$\chi_A : W^k \longrightarrow W$$
$$\vec{w} \longmapsto \begin{cases} a & \text{if } \vec{w} \in A \\ \epsilon & \text{if } \vec{w} \notin A \end{cases}$$

the characteristic function of A .

We call

$$\psi_A : W^k \dashrightarrow W$$
$$\vec{w} \longmapsto \begin{cases} a & \text{if } \vec{w} \in A \\ \uparrow & \text{if } \vec{w} \notin A \end{cases}$$

the pseudocharacteristic function of A .

Definition

We call a set $A \subseteq W^k$

computable

if χ_A is computable &

computably
enumerable

if ψ_A is computable.

(c.e.)

Proposition $A \subseteq W^k$

1. If A is computable, then so is $W^k \setminus A$.
2. A is c.e. $\iff$ there is some M s.t.
 $A = \text{dom}(f_{M,k})$
3. If A is computable, then A is c.e.

Proof.

1. Prove that

$$f(w) := \begin{cases} a & \text{if } w = \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & \text{if } w \neq \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

is computable.

[Check whether reg. 0 is empty;
if so, add a & halt;
if not, empty it & halt.]

Then: $\chi_{W^k \setminus A} = f \circ \chi_A$

So by the substitution lemma, $W^k \setminus A$ is computable.

(2.)

$$A \text{ c.e.} \iff \exists M \ A = \text{dom}(f_{M,b})$$

" $\Rightarrow$ " $A \text{ c.e.} \Rightarrow \psi_A \text{ computable,}$
but $\text{dom}(\psi_A) = A$, so done.

" $\Leftarrow$ "

We already saw that $c_{1,a}$
[constant fn with value a]
is computable, so

if $A = \text{dom}(f)$, then

$$\psi_A = c_{1,a} \circ f.$$

Again, use subroutine lemma.

(3.)

$$\text{If } \chi_A \text{ is comp.} \Rightarrow \psi_A \text{ is comp.}$$

So, need to find $g: W \dashrightarrow W$ s.t.

$$g(\varepsilon) \uparrow$$
$$g(w) = w \text{ for all other words.}$$

We have seen such a function at the end of Lecture II:

Example

$$g(\vec{w}) := f(\vec{w}) := \begin{cases} \vec{w} & w_i \neq \varepsilon \\ \uparrow & w_i = \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

be explicitly given.

This can be performed by a RM:

Check whether reg_i is empty,
if so, halt without changing anything;
if not, don't halt.

IMPORTANT REMARK

While this looks like natural language, it is fully formalised, since CHECK WHETHER REG_i IS EMPTY, HALT W/O CHANGING ANYTHING, & DO NOT HALT have fixed definitions as RM.

$$\psi_A = g \circ \chi_A.$$

So, once more, the subroutine lemma yields the claim. q.e.d.