

Mathematical Practice and Human Cognition

Remarks on Quinn's "Science of Mathematics"

Bernd Buldt

Department of Philosophy
Indiana U - Purdue U Fort Wayne (IPFW)
Fort Wayne, IN, USA e-mail: buldtb@ipfw.edu

CL 16 – Hamburg 2016 – September 11, 2016

Overview

- ▶ Introduction: Remark on mathematical practice
- ▶ Frank Quinn's Contributions (to a Science of Contemporary Mathematics)
- ▶ Mathematical Concepts
 - ▶ A historical example
 - ▶ Mathematical practice: Defining concept (FQ)
 - ▶ Mathematical practice: Acquisition of concepts (FQ)
 - ▶ Mathematical practice: Corroboration by math ed (cogn. sci.)
 - ▶ *Mathematical practice: convergence with phenomenology

Introduction: Remark on mathematical practice (MP)

Three meanings of MP

- ▶ MP in Math Ed and PME
- ▶ MP in “traditional” PoM: Kitcher (1984), Tymoczko (1986), Mancou (2008)
- ▶ MP in “new” PoM: PhiMSAMP (2006–2011)
 - ▶ Deliberate inclusion of insights from various disciplines

Introduction: Remark on mathematical practice (MP)

MP as a culture

- ▶ “that complex whole that includes knowledge, belief, art, morals, law, custom and any other capabilities and habits acquired by [mathematicians] as members of [their trade].”
Edward Tylor, *Primitive Culture* (1871), vol. 1, p. 1

Frank Quinn: Relevant Publications

- ▶ 1992: “Theoretical Mathematics” (BAMS; jointly w/ A. Jaffe)
- ▶ 2011: “Science-of-Learning Approach to MathEd” (NAMS)
- ▶ 2012: “Revolutions in Mathematics?” (NAMS)

- ▶ 2011: *Contributions to a Science of Mathematics*

- ▶ Quinn’s three periods
 - ▶ I. ??–1600: “qualitative and philosophical”
 - ▶ II. 1600–late 19th c: “quantitative and mathematical”
scientific needs; elite practioner syndrome
 - ▶ III. late 19th c through Hilbert’s Göttingen–??
ontologically autonomous, methodologically unique

Quinn's Third Period: Rigor

- ▶ Traumatic transition
“the changes were forced by [the] increasingly difficulty of the mathematics and [the] ambition of the profession.”
- ▶ Methodology
Rigorous definitions along with “genuinely error-displaying methods” secure the “complete reliability” of all mathematical conclusions.
- ▶ “The slavish devotion of mathematicians to rigorous methodology is required by the subject [...] Rigor plays the same role in mathematics that agreement with the physical world plays in other sciences. Relaxing rigor is like ignoring data.”

Concepts: Continuity as an example

- ▶ Period I. Philosophy and application: Leibniz' principle of continuity
- ▶ Period II. Quantitative and mathematical: ϵ - δ approach
Cauchy, building on d'Alembert, Euler, Lagrange, followed by Bolzano, Dedekind, Weierstrass
- ▶ Period III. Purely mathematical: topological definition
Maurice Fréchet, Frigyes Reisz (not Marcel), Felix Hausdorff, Kazimierz Kuratowski, among others

Concepts: Continuity a a topological notion

Definition. A topological space $\langle X, \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a set X together with a topology \mathcal{T} , i. e., a family of open subsets of X , such that

1. \emptyset and X are both open,
2. arbitrary unions of open sets are open,
3. finite intersection of open sets are open.

Definition. A function $f : S \rightarrow T$ between two topological spaces is continuous iff the pre-image $f^{-1}(Q)$ of every open set $Q \subset T$ is an open set $P \subset S$.

Concepts: Quinn's question

- ▶ Increase in rigor and loss of experiential or intuitive contents result in a concentration on the mathematical substance
- ▶ Definitions are *not* simply a codification of an intuitive understanding” but “were developed and refined over long periods and with great effort,” and were, in fact, “frequently a community effort.”
- ▶ Quinn's question: How do human agents acquire such concepts?

Concepts: Quinn's answer

1. Sever as many ties to ordinary language as possible and limit ordinary language explanations to an absolute minimum
2. Introduce axiomatic definitions and bundle them up with a sufficient number of examples, lemmata, propositions, etc. into small cognitive packages
3. Have students practice hard with one new cognitive package at a time
4. Lather, rinse, repeat.

Concepts: How did it evolve and into what?

- ▶ Natural selection: those who did adopt another approach could no longer compete and eventually sank into oblivion
- ▶ Outcomes:
 - ▶ Core mathematics (vs mathematical sciences)
 - ▶ Empowering rank-and-file faculty (vs elite-practitioner)
 - ▶ Mathematical altruism: faculty develop habits that support and nurse such practices of conceptual and methodic rigor

Quinn: Is he right?

- ▶ Soft empirical evidence
 - ▶ Quinn's own expert testimony
 - ▶ Graduate level textbooks

- ▶ Hard empirical evidence?
 - ▶ Well, 2nd part of the talk ;-)

Digression: PoMP & Quinn is right?

- ▶ Traditional PoM reduced cognitive labor to deductive proof
 - ▶ Legacy of logicism

- ▶ A PoMP may realize that such a reduction is wrong
 - ▶ Philosophy becomes richer and much more complex

Evidence from CS, MathEd, PME: Caution

- ▶ General caution: “reproducibility crisis” (Nosek 2015, Nature 2016, and representability (eg, Heinrich et al. 2010: WEIRD))
- ▶ Caution re neuroimaging: It’s too early to tell
- ▶ Caution re MathEd/PME
 - ▶ Undue influence of P&P
 - ▶ No focus on advanced mathematics
 - ▶ Lack of empirical reliability: sample sizes, reproducibility
 - ▶ Lack of theoretical sophistication (eg, Anderson&Reder&Simon (2000): “Applications and Misapplications of Cognitive Psychology to Mathematics Education”)

Supporting evidence from MathEd/PME (and CS)

Quinn's No 1: Sever ties to ordinary language

- ▶ From lexical decision task to priming: fact or fiction?
(eg, Kahneman 2012 letter)
- ▶ Embodied knowledge and met-befores
(eg, Tall 2008, 2013)
- ▶ Generic extension principle & epistemic obstacles
(eg, Tall 1986; Cornu 1982, Sierpińska 1985ab)
- ▶ CS: Importance of inhibition control
(eg, Houdé&Tzourio-Mazoyer 2003, Houdé&Borst 2015)

Supporting evidence from MathEd/PME (and CS)

Quinn's No 2: Cognitive packaging: definitions plus exercises

- ▶ Adding properties (ie, meaning) and fluidity (ie, mastery) (eg, Dreyfus 1991)
- ▶ Concept definition vs concept image (eg, Vinner 1983, 1991)
- ▶ CS: Package size matters (eg, Anderson&Lee&Fincham 2014); inhibition control (eg, Houdé et al., op cit.)

Supporting evidence from MathEd/PME (and CS)

Quinn's No 3: Practice hard!

1. Automation: load issues (eg, Thurston 1990: compressibility; Lee&Ng&Ng 2009: word problems)
2. Mathematical “Habits of Mind”
(eg, Selden&Lim 2010; Wilkerson-Jerde&Wilensky 2009, 2011: novices vs experts)

Qualifying evidence

- ▶ Contradicting evidence
 - ▶ Different cultures: Mathematicians responding to Jaffe-Quinn
 - ▶ Tall 2013: Introduction

- ▶ Enriching evidence
 - ▶ Studies that lend support for Quinn's thesis also provide a much richer, higher-resolution picture of the cognitive processes involved

Enriching perspectives from MathEd/PME (and CS)

Quinn's No 1: Sever ties to ordinary language

- ▶ Continuity and motivation:
conceptual-embodied – proceptual-symbolic – axiomatic
formal (eg, Tall 2008, 2013)
- ▶ Continuity and generalization vs abstraction:
 \mathbb{R}^n vs vector space
(eg, Dreyfuss 1991, Dubinsky 1991, Vinner 1991)

Enriching perspectives from MathEd/PME (and CS)

Quinn's No 2: Cognitive packaging: definitions plus exercises

- ▶ Deduction vs construction: building properties of abstract objects
- ▶ Concept definition vs concept image: focus on generic or otherwise disrupting images

Enriching perspectives from MathEd/PME (and CS)

Quinn's No 3: Practice hard!

- ▶ Fluidity among images
(eg, Dreyfus 1991; Tall 2013)
- ▶ Reification: point-wise vs. object-valued operators – focus enhancing (eg, Harel&Kaput 1991)

Some examples)

1. Concept definition vs concept image (generic images)
 - ▶ Fluidity among images
(eg, Dreyfus 1991; Tall 2013)
 - ▶ Reification: point-wise vs. object-valued operators – focus enhancing (eg, Harel&Kaput 1991)

Some examples)

1. Conceptual entities (reification)

- ▶ Fluidity among images
(eg, Dreyfus 1991; Tall 2013)
- ▶ Reification: point-wise vs. object-valued operators – focus enhancing (eg, Harel&Kaput 1991)

Summary

1. While empirical findings lend support to Quinn's claims about the adequacy of the mathematical practice as he describes it (as a three-step program), they also suggest that a more nuanced approach is advisable.
2. Empirical findings strongly suggest that MP includes a plethora of cognitive processes that go beyond deductive proof; therefore,

PoM is dead, long live PoMP!

Thank You!