Nichols algebras and the cohomology of finite-dimensional Hopf algebras



Workshop: Hopf algebras and Tensor categories. August 2020 Joint with N. Andruskiewitsch, J. Pevtsova, S. Witherspoon.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - のへで

 $k = \overline{k}$ algebraically closed field.

Let *G* be a finite group and $H = \Bbbk G$ its group algebra.

ション 小田 マイビット ビックタン

Theorem (Golod 1959, Evens 1961, Venkov 1959)

- $k = \overline{k}$ algebraically closed field.
- Let *G* be a finite group and $H = \Bbbk G$ its group algebra.

Theorem (Golod 1959, Evens 1961, Venkov 1959)

• The cohomology ring $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is finitely generated.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - のへで

- $k = \overline{k}$ algebraically closed field.
- Let *G* be a finite group and $H = \Bbbk G$ its group algebra.

Theorem (Golod 1959, Evens 1961, Venkov 1959)

- The cohomology ring $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is finitely generated.
- **2** For any finitely generated H-module M, H(H, M) is a finitely generated module over $H(H, \Bbbk)$.

- $k = \overline{k}$ algebraically closed field.
- Let *G* be a finite group and $H = \Bbbk G$ its group algebra.

Theorem (Golod 1959, Evens 1961, Venkov 1959)

- The cohomology ring $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is finitely generated.
- **2** For any finitely generated H-module M, H(H, M) is a finitely generated module over $H(H, \Bbbk)$.

ション 小田 マイビット ビックタン

• The result is meaningful when $\operatorname{char} \Bbbk > 0$.

- $k = \overline{k}$ algebraically closed field.
- Let *G* be a finite group and $H = \Bbbk G$ its group algebra.

Theorem (Golod 1959, Evens 1961, Venkov 1959)

- The cohomology ring $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is finitely generated.
- **2** For any finitely generated H-module M, H(H, M) is a finitely generated module over $H(H, \Bbbk)$.
 - The result is meaningful when $\operatorname{char} \Bbbk > 0$.
 - If char k = 0, then H is semisimple (Maschke theorem). Also, any cocommutative fd Hopf algebra is like this.

Example

Let g be a finite-dimensional *restricted* Lie algebra; i.e. it comes with a suitable map $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$.Let

$$H = \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g})/\langle x^p - x^{[p]} : x \in \mathfrak{g} \rangle$$

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - のへで

(the restricted enveloping algebra of g).

Example

Let g be a finite-dimensional *restricted* Lie algebra; i.e. it comes with a suitable map $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$.Let

$$H = \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g})/\langle x^p - x^{[p]} : x \in \mathfrak{g} \rangle$$

◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

(the restricted enveloping algebra of g).

Theorem (Friedlander & Parshall 1983)

Example

Let g be a finite-dimensional *restricted* Lie algebra; i.e. it comes with a suitable map $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$.Let

$$H = \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g})/\langle x^p - x^{[p]} : x \in \mathfrak{g} \rangle$$

◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

(the restricted enveloping algebra of g).

Theorem (Friedlander & Parshall 1983)

• The cohomology ring $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is finitely generated.

Example

Let g be a finite-dimensional *restricted* Lie algebra; i.e. it comes with a suitable map $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$.Let

$$H = \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g})/\langle x^p - x^{[p]} : x \in \mathfrak{g} \rangle$$

(the restricted enveloping algebra of g).

Theorem (Friedlander & Parshall 1983)

- The cohomology ring $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is finitely generated.
- **2** For any finitely generated H-module M, H(H, M) is a finitely generated module over $H(H, \Bbbk)$.

Theorem (Friedlander & Suslin 1997)

Let H be a cocommutative finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.

They also observe that the cohomology ring of a finite-dimensional *commutative* Hopf algebra is easily seen to be finite generated using the structure and add:

▲ロト ▲ 同 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Theorem (Friedlander & Suslin 1997)

Let H be a cocommutative finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.

• The cohomology ring $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is finitely generated.

They also observe that the cohomology ring of a finite-dimensional *commutative* Hopf algebra is easily seen to be finite generated using the structure and add:

▲ロト ▲ 同 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Theorem (Friedlander & Suslin 1997)

Let H be a cocommutative finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.

- The cohomology ring $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is finitely generated.
- **2** For any finitely generated H-module M, H(H, M) is a finitely generated module over $H(H, \Bbbk)$.

They also observe that the cohomology ring of a finite-dimensional *commutative* Hopf algebra is easily seen to be finite generated using the structure and add:

Theorem (Friedlander & Suslin 1997)

Let H be a cocommutative finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.

- The cohomology ring $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is finitely generated.
- **2** For any finitely generated H-module M, H(H, M) is a finitely generated module over $H(H, \Bbbk)$.

They also observe that the cohomology ring of a finite-dimensional *commutative* Hopf algebra is easily seen to be finite generated using the structure and add:

We do not know whether it is reasonable to expect finite generation of the cohomology of an arbitrary finitedimensional Hopf algebra.

We say that a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra *H* has finite generation of the cohomology (fgc) if

Previous experience suggests to split in families and use proper structure of this family.

We say that a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra *H* has finite generation of the cohomology (fgc) if

• The cohomology ring $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is finitely generated.

Previous experience suggests to split in families and use proper structure of this family.

We say that a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra *H* has finite generation of the cohomology **(fgc)** if

- The cohomology ring $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is finitely generated.
- ② For any finitely generated *H*-module *M*, H(H, M) is a finitely generated module over $H(H, \Bbbk)$.

Previous experience suggests to split in families and use proper structure of this family.

We say that a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra *H* has finite generation of the cohomology **(fgc)** if

- The cohomology ring $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is finitely generated.
- ② For any finitely generated *H*-module *M*, H(H, M) is a finitely generated module over $H(H, \Bbbk)$.

Previous experience suggests to split in families and use proper structure of this family.

◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Definition

H a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.

We say that a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra *H* has finite generation of the cohomology **(fgc)** if

- The cohomology ring $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is finitely generated.
- ② For any finitely generated *H*-module *M*, H(H, M) is a finitely generated module over $H(H, \Bbbk)$.

Previous experience suggests to split in families and use proper structure of this family.

◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Definition

H a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.

• H basic: every simple H-module has dim 1.

We say that a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra *H* has finite generation of the cohomology **(fgc)** if

- The cohomology ring $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is finitely generated.
- ② For any finitely generated *H*-module *M*, H(H, M) is a finitely generated module over $H(H, \Bbbk)$.

Previous experience suggests to split in families and use proper structure of this family.

Definition

H a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.

- *H* basic: every simple *H*-module has dim 1.
- *H* **pointed**: every simple *H*-comodule has dim $1 \equiv H^*$ basic.

Ginzburg & Kumar 1993 char $\Bbbk = 0$, $H = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, \mathfrak{g} a simple Lie algebra, $q \in \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ with restrictions on the order. Actually $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of rational functions on the nilpotent cone of \mathfrak{g} .

Ginzburg & Kumar 1993 char $\Bbbk = 0$, $H = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, \mathfrak{g} a simple Lie algebra, $q \in \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ with restrictions on the order. Actually $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of rational functions on the nilpotent cone of \mathfrak{g} .

Gordon 2000 char $\Bbbk = 0$, $H = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$, \mathfrak{g} a simple Lie algebra, $q \in \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ with restrictions on the order.

Ginzburg & Kumar 1993 char $\Bbbk = 0$, $H = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, \mathfrak{g} a simple Lie algebra, $q \in \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ with restrictions on the order. Actually $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of rational functions on the nilpotent cone of \mathfrak{g} .

Gordon 2000 char $\Bbbk = 0$, $H = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$, \mathfrak{g} a simple Lie algebra, $q \in \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ with restrictions on the order.

Bendel, Nakano, Parshall & Pillen 2014 char $\Bbbk = 0$, $H = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, \mathfrak{g} a simple Lie algebra, $q \in \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ of any order.

Ginzburg & Kumar 1993 char $\Bbbk = 0$, $H = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, \mathfrak{g} a simple Lie algebra, $q \in \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ with restrictions on the order. Actually $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of rational functions on the nilpotent cone of \mathfrak{g} .

Gordon 2000 char $\mathbb{k} = 0$, $H = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$, \mathfrak{g} a simple Lie algebra, $q \in \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ with restrictions on the order.

Bendel, Nakano, Parshall & Pillen 2014 char $\Bbbk = 0$, $H = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, \mathfrak{g} a simple Lie algebra, $q \in \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ of any order.

Drupieski 2011 char k > 0, $H = u_q(g)$, g a simple Lie algebra, $q \in \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ with restrictions on the order.

Ginzburg & Kumar 1993 char $\Bbbk = 0$, $H = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, \mathfrak{g} a simple Lie algebra, $q \in \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ with restrictions on the order. Actually $H(H; \Bbbk)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of rational functions on the nilpotent cone of \mathfrak{g} .

Gordon 2000 char $\mathbb{k} = 0$, $H = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$, \mathfrak{g} a simple Lie algebra, $q \in \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ with restrictions on the order.

Bendel, Nakano, Parshall & Pillen 2014 char $\Bbbk = 0$, $H = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, \mathfrak{g} a simple Lie algebra, $q \in \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ of any order.

Drupieski 2011 char k > 0, $H = u_q(g)$, g a simple Lie algebra, $q \in \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ with restrictions on the order.

Drupieski 2016 char k > 0, H =finite supergroup scheme.

Stefan & Vay 2016 (char $\Bbbk = 0$). $H = \mathcal{B}(V) \# \Bbbk S_3$, dim $\mathcal{B}(V) = 12$ Fomin-Kirillov algebra, non-abelian group.

.

Stefan & Vay 2016 (char $\Bbbk = 0$). $H = \mathcal{B}(V) \# \Bbbk S_3$, dim $\mathcal{B}(V) = 12$ Fomin-Kirillov algebra, non-abelian group.

ション ふゆ マ キャット マックタン

Stefan & Vay 2016 (char $\Bbbk = 0$). $H = \mathcal{B}(V) \# \Bbbk S_3$, dim $\mathcal{B}(V) = 12$ Fomin-Kirillov algebra, non-abelian group.

Negron & Plavnik 2018 Some reduction arguments for (fgc) for finite tensor categories.

.

Stefan & Vay 2016 (char $\Bbbk = 0$). $H = \mathcal{B}(V) \# \Bbbk S_3$, dim $\mathcal{B}(V) = 12$ Fomin-Kirillov algebra, non-abelian group.

Negron & Plavnik 2018 Some reduction arguments for (fgc) for finite tensor categories.

Conjecture (Etingof & Ostrik 2005)

.

Finite generation of cohomology (fgc) holds for finite tensor categories.

Main result and scheme of the proof

From now on char $\Bbbk = 0$.

Theorem (AAPW 2020)

Let H be a finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebra such that G(H) is abelian (but **no restrictions** on |G(H)|). Then H has **(fgc)**.

Main result and scheme of the proof

From now on char $\Bbbk = 0$.

Theorem (AAPW 2020)

Let H be a finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebra such that G(H) is abelian (but **no restrictions** on |G(H)|). Then H has **(fgc)**.

Corollary (AAPW 2020)

Let H be a finite-dim. basic Hopf algebra such that $\text{Hom}_{Alg}(H, \Bbbk)$ is abelian. Then H has (fgc).

Main result and scheme of the proof

From now on char $\Bbbk = 0$.

Theorem (AAPW 2020)

Let H be a finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebra such that G(H) is abelian (but **no restrictions** on |G(H)|). Then H has **(fgc)**.

Corollary (AAPW 2020)

Let H be a finite-dim. basic Hopf algebra such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{Alg}(H, \Bbbk)$ is abelian. Then H has (fgc).

Corollary (AAPW 2020)

Let H be a finite-dim. Hopf algebra such that H fits into an extension $\Bbbk \to K \to H \to B \to \Bbbk$ with K semisimple and B pointed or basic as above. Then H has (fgc).

Let H a Hopf subalgebra of a fd Hopf algebra L. If L has (fgc), then so does H.

 $H \sim_{Mor} H' : \iff D(H) \simeq D(H')$ as quasitriangular Hopf algebras. For example, let *H* be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Let H a Hopf subalgebra of a fd Hopf algebra L. If L has (fgc), then so does H.

 $H \sim_{Mor} H' : \iff D(H) \simeq D(H')$ as quasitriangular Hopf algebras. For example, let *H* be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のへで

• $H \sim_{Mor} H^*$ since $D(H) \simeq D(H^*)$.

Let H a Hopf subalgebra of a fd Hopf algebra L. If L has (fgc), then so does H.

 $H \sim_{Mor} H' : \iff D(H) \simeq D(H')$ as quasitriangular Hopf algebras. For example, let *H* be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.

- $H \sim_{Mor} H^*$ since $D(H) \simeq D(H^*)$.
- $F \in H \otimes H$ twist \implies Rep $H \simeq_{\otimes}$ Rep $H^F \implies H \sim_{Mor} H^F$.

Let H a Hopf subalgebra of a fd Hopf algebra L. If L has (fgc), then so does H.

 $H \sim_{Mor} H' : \iff D(H) \simeq D(H')$ as quasitriangular Hopf algebras. For example, let *H* be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.

- $H \sim_{Mor} H^*$ since $D(H) \simeq D(H^*)$.
- $F \in H \otimes H$ twist \implies Rep $H \simeq_{\otimes}$ Rep $H^F \implies H \sim_{Mor} H^F$.

◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

• $\sigma: H \otimes H \to \Bbbk$ 2-cocycle $\implies H \sim_{\mathsf{Mor}} H_{\sigma}$.

Let H a Hopf subalgebra of a fd Hopf algebra L. If L has (fgc), then so does H.

 $H \sim_{Mor} H' : \iff D(H) \simeq D(H')$ as quasitriangular Hopf algebras. For example, let *H* be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.

- $H \sim_{Mor} H^*$ since $D(H) \simeq D(H^*)$.
- $F \in H \otimes H$ twist \implies Rep $H \simeq_{\otimes}$ Rep $H^F \implies H \sim_{Mor} H^F$.

◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

• $\sigma: H \otimes H \to \Bbbk$ 2-cocycle $\implies H \sim_{\mathsf{Mor}} H_{\sigma}$.

Corollary

 $H \sim_{Mor} H' \& D(H)$ satisfies (fgc), then so does H'.

Let *H* be a fd **pointed** Hopf algebra with G(H) abelian. Let gr *H* be the graded Hopf algebra arising from the coradical filtration of *H*: $H_0 = \Bbbk G(H) \subset H_1 \subset H_2 \cdots \subset H_n \ldots$

Theorem (A. 2013)

gr $H \simeq \mathcal{B}(V) \# \Bbbk G(H)$, where V is of diagonal type. $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is the Nichols algebra of V.

ション ふゆ マ キャット マックタン

Let *H* be a fd **pointed** Hopf algebra with G(H) abelian. Let gr *H* be the graded Hopf algebra arising from the coradical filtration of *H*: $H_0 = \Bbbk G(H) \subset H_1 \subset H_2 \cdots \subset H_n \ldots$

Theorem (A. 2013)

gr $H \simeq \mathcal{B}(V) \# \Bbbk G(H)$, where V is of diagonal type. $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is the Nichols algebra of V.

Theorem (A. & García Iglesias 2019)

There exists a 2-cocycle $\sigma : H \otimes H \to \Bbbk$ such that gr $H \simeq H_{\sigma}$.

ション ふゆ マ キャット マックタン

We now can present the different steps.

Step 1 If V is of diagonal type and dim $\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, then $\mathcal{B}(V)$ has (fgc). $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is the Nichols algebra of V.

We now can present the different steps.

Step 1 If V is of diagonal type and dim $\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, then $\mathcal{B}(V)$ has (fgc). $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is the Nichols algebra of V.

Step 2 If V is of diagonal type, $V \in {}_{K}^{K} \mathcal{YD}$ with K semisimple and dim $\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, then $\mathcal{B}(V) \# K$ has (fgc).

We now can present the different steps.

- Step 1 If V is of diagonal type and dim $\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, then $\mathcal{B}(V)$ has (fgc). $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is the Nichols algebra of V.
- Step 2 If V is of diagonal type, $V \in {}_{K}^{K} \mathcal{YD}$ with K semisimple and dim $\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, then $\mathcal{B}(V) \# K$ has (fgc).

ション キョン キョン キョン しょう

Step 3 If Γ is a finite abelian group, $V \in \frac{\Bbbk\Gamma}{\Bbbk\Gamma} \mathcal{YD}$ (hence of diagonal type), and dim $\mathcal{B}(V \oplus \overline{V}) < \infty$, then the Drinfeld double $D(\mathcal{B}(V) \# \Bbbk \Gamma)$ has (fgc).

We now can present the different steps.

- Step 1 If V is of diagonal type and dim $\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, then $\mathcal{B}(V)$ has (fgc). $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is the Nichols algebra of V.
- Step 2 If V is of diagonal type, $V \in {}_{K}^{K} \mathcal{YD}$ with K semisimple and dim $\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, then $\mathcal{B}(V) \# K$ has (fgc).
- Step 3 If Γ is a finite abelian group, $V \in \mathbb{k}\Gamma \mathcal{YD}$ (hence of diagonal type), and dim $\mathcal{B}(V \oplus \overline{V}) < \infty$, then the Drinfeld double $D(\mathcal{B}(V) \# \mathbb{k}\Gamma)$ has (fgc).
- Step 4 *H* fd pointed Hopf algebra, G(H) abelian.

$$H^* \sim_{\mathsf{Mor}} H \overset{\mathsf{AGI'19}}{\sim}_{\mathsf{Mor}} \operatorname{gr} H \overset{\mathsf{A'13}}{\simeq} \mathcal{B}(V) \# \Bbbk G(H).$$

By Step 1, $\mathcal{B}(V \oplus \overline{V})$ has (fgc). By Step 3, $D(\mathcal{B}(V) \# \Bbbk G(H))$ has (fgc). By (Negron & Plavnik 2018), H and H^* have (fgc).

• $V \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma} \mathcal{YD} \implies$ Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(V) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{B}^n(V)$: (graded) Hopf algebra in ${}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\kappa\Gamma} \mathcal{YD}$ such that

 $\mathcal{B}^{0}(V) \simeq \Bbbk, \quad \mathcal{B}^{1}(V) \simeq V, \quad \mathcal{B}(V) = \Bbbk \langle V \rangle, \quad \mathsf{Prim}(\mathcal{B}(V)) = \mathcal{B}^{1}(V).$

イロト (母) (ヨ) (ヨ) (ヨ) () () ()

• $V \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma} \mathcal{YD} \implies$ Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(V) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{B}^n(V)$: (graded) Hopf algebra in ${}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\kappa\Gamma} \mathcal{YD}$ such that

 $\mathcal{B}^{0}(V) \simeq \Bbbk, \quad \mathcal{B}^{1}(V) \simeq V, \quad \mathcal{B}(V) = \Bbbk \langle V \rangle, \quad \mathsf{Prim}(\mathcal{B}(V)) = \mathcal{B}^{1}(V).$

Kharchenko proved ∃ a PBW-basis: Ø ≠ S ⊂ B(V) with a total order <, h : S ↦ N ∪ {∞} (the *height*), such that

$$B = \{s_1^{e_1} \dots s_t^{e_t} : t \in \mathbb{N}_0, s_1 > \dots > s_t \in S, 0 < e_i < h(s_i)\}.$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(V)$. Each $s \in S$ is a *PBW-generator* of $\mathcal{B}(V)$.

• $V \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma} \mathcal{YD} \implies$ Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(V) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{B}^n(V)$: (graded) Hopf algebra in ${}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\kappa\Gamma} \mathcal{YD}$ such that

 $\mathcal{B}^{0}(V) \simeq \Bbbk, \quad \mathcal{B}^{1}(V) \simeq V, \quad \mathcal{B}(V) = \Bbbk \langle V \rangle, \quad \mathsf{Prim}(\mathcal{B}(V)) = \mathcal{B}^{1}(V).$

Kharchenko proved ∃ a PBW-basis: Ø ≠ S ⊂ B(V) with a total order <, h : S ↦ N ∪ {∞} (the *height*), such that

$$B = \{s_1^{e_1} \dots s_t^{e_t} : t \in \mathbb{N}_0, s_1 > \dots > s_t \in S, 0 < e_i < h(s_i)\}.$$

- コン・1日・1日・1日・1日・1日・

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(V)$. Each $s \in S$ is a *PBW-generator* of $\mathcal{B}(V)$.

We can choose the order < to be convex: the monomial filtration is an algebra filtration ⇒ the associated graded ring gr B(V) is a quantum linear space.

• $V \in {}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}^{\Bbbk\Gamma} \mathcal{YD} \implies$ Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(V) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{B}^n(V)$: (graded) Hopf algebra in ${}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}^{\Bbbk\Gamma} \mathcal{YD}$ such that

 $\mathcal{B}^{0}(V) \simeq \Bbbk, \quad \mathcal{B}^{1}(V) \simeq V, \quad \mathcal{B}(V) = \Bbbk \langle V \rangle, \quad \mathsf{Prim}(\mathcal{B}(V)) = \mathcal{B}^{1}(V).$

Kharchenko proved ∃ a PBW-basis: Ø ≠ S ⊂ B(V) with a total order <, h : S ↦ N ∪ {∞} (the *height*), such that

$$B = \{s_1^{e_1} \dots s_t^{e_t} : t \in \mathbb{N}_0, s_1 > \dots > s_t \in S, 0 < e_i < h(s_i)\}.$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(V)$. Each $s \in S$ is a *PBW-generator* of $\mathcal{B}(V)$.

- We can choose the order < to be convex: the monomial filtration is an algebra filtration ⇒ the associated graded ring gr B(V) is a quantum linear space.
- The cohomology ring of gr B(V) is well-known, but we provide a computation using the Anick resolution to relate it to permanent cycles in a suitable spectral sequence.

 Since the Anick resolution is compatible with the mentioned filtration on B(V), we use a spectral sequence argument to reduce the finite generation of H(B(V), k) to the statement:

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Since the Anick resolution is compatible with the mentioned filtration on B(V), we use a spectral sequence argument to reduce the finite generation of H(B(V), k) to the statement:

For every positive root α , there is $L_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the chains $(\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}^{L_{\alpha}N_{\alpha}})^{*}$ are cocycles representing elements in $H(\mathcal{B}(V), \mathbb{k})$.

ション キョン キョン キョン しょう

Since the Anick resolution is compatible with the mentioned filtration on B(V), we use a spectral sequence argument to reduce the finite generation of H(B(V), k) to the statement:

For every positive root α , there is $L_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the chains $(\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}^{L_{\alpha}N_{\alpha}})^{*}$ are cocycles representing elements in $H(\mathcal{B}(V), \mathbb{k})$.

ション キョン キョン キョン しょう

• We reduce the verification of the statement to claims on root systems of Nichols algebras of diagonal type.

Since the Anick resolution is compatible with the mentioned filtration on B(V), we use a spectral sequence argument to reduce the finite generation of H(B(V), k) to the statement:

For every positive root α , there is $L_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the chains $(\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}^{L_{\alpha}N_{\alpha}})^{*}$ are cocycles representing elements in $H(\mathcal{B}(V), \mathbb{k})$.

- We reduce the verification of the statement to claims on root systems of Nichols algebras of diagonal type.
- We check these claims on each Nichols algebra of diagonal type in the list of Heckeberger, using the specific form of the PBW-basis as in the survey (A-Angiono).

• (MPSW) *H* a Hopf algebra, *R* a bialgebra in ${}_{H}^{H}\mathcal{YD}$. Assume that either *H* or *R* is finite-dimensional. Then the (opposite of) the Hochschild cohomology $HH(R, \mathbb{k})$ is a braided commutative graded algebra in ${}_{H}^{H}\mathcal{YD}$.

- コン・1日・1日・1日・1日・1日・

- (MPSW) *H* a Hopf algebra, *R* a bialgebra in ${}_{H}^{H}\mathcal{YD}$. Assume that either *H* or *R* is finite-dimensional. Then the (opposite of) the Hochschild cohomology $HH(R, \mathbb{k})$ is a braided commutative graded algebra in ${}_{H}^{H}\mathcal{YD}$.
- Γ a finite group, A a braided commutative algebra in ^{kΓ}_{kΓ} 𝒴𝔅𝔅
 such that A is fg (as an algebra). Then A is Noetherian.

- コン・1日・1日・1日・1日・1日・

- (MPSW) *H* a Hopf algebra, *R* a bialgebra in ${}_{H}^{H}\mathcal{YD}$. Assume that either *H* or *R* is finite-dimensional. Then the (opposite of) the Hochschild cohomology $HH(R, \mathbb{k})$ is a braided commutative graded algebra in ${}_{H}^{H}\mathcal{YD}$.
- Γ a finite group, A a braided commutative algebra in ^{kΓ}_{kΓ}
 such that A is fg (as an algebra). Then A is Noetherian.
- K a ss Hopf algebra, A = ⊕_{n∈ℕ0}Aⁿ a graded K-module algebra. If A is connected and (left) Noetherian, then A^K is finitely generated.

- (MPSW) *H* a Hopf algebra, *R* a bialgebra in ${}_{H}^{H}\mathcal{YD}$. Assume that either *H* or *R* is finite-dimensional. Then the (opposite of) the Hochschild cohomology $HH(R, \mathbb{k})$ is a braided commutative graded algebra in ${}_{H}^{H}\mathcal{YD}$.
- Γ a finite group, A a braided commutative algebra in ^{kΓ}_{kΓ}
 such that A is fg (as an algebra). Then A is Noetherian.
- K a ss Hopf algebra, A = ⊕_{n∈ℕ0}Aⁿ a graded K-module algebra. If A is connected and (left) Noetherian, then A^K is finitely generated.
- (Stefan & Vay 2016) K a ss Hopf algebra, R a fd K-module algebra. Then H(R#K, k) = H(R, k)^K.

Proof of Step 3: If Γ is finite abelian, $V \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma} \mathcal{YD}$ and dim $\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, then $D(\mathcal{B}(V) \# \Bbbk \Gamma)$ has (fgc)

 $V \in {}^{k\Gamma}_{k\Gamma} \mathcal{YD}$ is of diagonal type. By (Beattie 2003)

gr $D(\mathcal{B}(V) \# \Bbbk G(H)) \simeq \mathcal{B}(V \oplus \overline{V}) \# \Bbbk (G(H) \times \widehat{G(H)}).$

Then gr $D(\mathcal{B}(V) \# \Bbbk G(H))$ has (fgc) by Step 2.

Now the cohomology of $D(\mathcal{B}(V) \# \Bbbk G(H))$ is controlled by the cohomology of gr $D(\mathcal{B}(V) \# \Bbbk G(H))$ by a classical spectral sequence argument (that requires however a detailed verification).

- コン・1日・1日・1日・1日・1日・

Muchas gracias

Danke schön

Thanks!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ