

# Geometry and classification of string AdS backgrounds

George Papadopoulos

King's College London

Field equations on Lorentzian space-times

University of Hamburg  
Germany  
19-23 March 2018

Work presented is in collaboration with

Sam Beck, Ulf Gran, Jan Gutowski, [Sebastian Lautz](#), Alexander Haupt

## Supersymmetry of AdS backgrounds

- ▶ The classification of AdS supergravity backgrounds,  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$ , is a longstanding problem raised in the context of supergravity compactifications [Freund-Rubin] that goes back into the early '80s
- ▶ Recently they have found applications in string theory and in M-theory as near horizon geometries for black holes and branes
- ▶ In AdS/CFT, supergravity  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$  solutions are associated to the vacuum state of a dual superconformal theory. Fluctuations of  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$  are associated to certain gauge invariant operators of the dual theory.

## Supersymmetry of AdS backgrounds

- ▶ The classification of AdS supergravity backgrounds,  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$ , is a longstanding problem raised in the context of supergravity compactifications [Freund-Rubin] that goes back into the early '80s
- ▶ Recently they have found applications in string theory and in M-theory as near horizon geometries for black holes and branes
- ▶ In AdS/CFT, supergravity  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$  solutions are associated to the vacuum state of a dual superconformal theory. Fluctuations of  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$  are associated to certain gauge invariant operators of the dual theory.

## Supersymmetry of AdS backgrounds

- ▶ The classification of AdS supergravity backgrounds,  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$ , is a longstanding problem raised in the context of supergravity compactifications [Freund-Rubin] that goes back into the early '80s
- ▶ Recently they have found applications in string theory and in M-theory as near horizon geometries for black holes and branes
- ▶ In AdS/CFT, supergravity  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$  solutions are associated to the vacuum state of a dual superconformal theory. Fluctuations of  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$  are associated to certain gauge invariant operators of the dual theory.

## Objectives

- ▶ Describe some aspects of the geometry of the internal space  $M^{D-n}$  in 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities. These include new Lichnerowicz type of theorems
- ▶ Present the classification of warped AdS backgrounds,  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$ , with the most general allowed fluxes in  $D = 10$  and 11 dimensions that preserve more than 16,  $N > 16$ , supersymmetries

## Objectives

- ▶ Describe some aspects of the geometry of the internal space  $M^{D-n}$  in 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities. These include new Lichnerowicz type of theorems
- ▶ Present the classification of warped AdS backgrounds,  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$ , with the most general allowed fluxes in  $D=10$  and 11 dimensions that preserve more than 16,  $N > 16$ , supersymmetries

## New developments

The problem has become tractable because of three key recent developments

- ▶ The Killing spinor equations (KSEs) of type II 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities have been solved over the AdS subspace for all  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$  backgrounds with the most general allowed fluxes leading to the identification of the number of supersymmetries that can be preserved [Beck, Gutowski, GP]
- ▶ The proof of the homogeneity theorem which states that all backgrounds which preserve more than 1/2 of supersymmetry are homogeneous Lorentzian spaces [Figueroa-O'Farrill, Hustler]
- ▶ The identification of all Killing superalgebras of AdS backgrounds in 10- and 11-dimensions [Beck, Gutowski, Gran, GP]

## New developments

The problem has become tractable because of three key recent developments

- ▶ The Killing spinor equations (KSEs) of type II 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities have been solved over the AdS subspace for all  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$  backgrounds with the most general allowed fluxes leading to the identification of the number of supersymmetries that can be preserved [Beck, Gutowski, GP]
- ▶ The proof of the homogeneity theorem which states that all backgrounds which preserve more than 1/2 of supersymmetry are homogeneous Lorentzian spaces [Figuroa-O'Farrill, Hustler]
- ▶ The identification of all Killing superalgebras of AdS backgrounds in 10- and 11-dimensions [Beck, Gutowski, Gran, GP]

## New developments

The problem has become tractable because of three key recent developments

- ▶ The Killing spinor equations (KSEs) of type II 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities have been solved over the AdS subspace for all  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$  backgrounds with the most general allowed fluxes leading to the identification of the number of supersymmetries that can be preserved [Beck, Gutowski, GP]
- ▶ The proof of the homogeneity theorem which states that all backgrounds which preserve more than 1/2 of supersymmetry are homogeneous Lorentzian spaces [Figuroa-O'Farrill, Hustler]
- ▶ The identification of all Killing superalgebras of AdS backgrounds in 10- and 11-dimensions [Beck, Gutowski, Gran, GP]

# Assumptions

- ▶ The fields of warped AdS backgrounds,  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$ , are assumed to be smooth and invariant under the isometries of the AdS subspace. No other assumptions are made on the fields including assumptions on the form of **Killing spinors**
- ▶ Moreover the focus will be on warped AdS solutions with the most general allowed fluxes that admit a compact without boundary internal space  $M^{D-n}$
- ▶ The novelty of the approach is that it is completely general

# Assumptions

- ▶ The fields of warped AdS backgrounds,  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$ , are assumed to be smooth and invariant under the isometries of the AdS subspace. No other assumptions are made on the fields including assumptions on the form of [Killing spinors](#)
- ▶ Moreover the focus will be on warped AdS solutions with the most general allowed fluxes that admit a compact without boundary internal space  $M^{D-n}$
- ▶ The novelty of the approach is that it is completely general

# Assumptions

- ▶ The fields of warped AdS backgrounds,  $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{D-n}$ , are assumed to be smooth and invariant under the isometries of the AdS subspace. No other assumptions are made on the fields including assumptions on the form of [Killing spinors](#)
- ▶ Moreover the focus will be on warped AdS solutions with the most general allowed fluxes that admit a compact without boundary internal space  $M^{D-n}$
- ▶ The novelty of the approach is that it is completely general

## D=11 supergravity

**D=11 Supergravity:** The bosonic fields are the metric  $g$  and a 4-form field strength,  $F$ ,  $dF = 0$ .

The Einstein field equation of the theory is

$$R_{MN} = \frac{1}{12} F_{ML_1L_2L_3} F_N{}^{L_1L_2L_3} - \frac{1}{144} g_{MN} F_{L_1L_2L_3L_4} F^{L_1L_2L_3L_4} .$$

and the field equation of the 4-form field strength is

$$d \star_{11} F - \frac{1}{2} F \wedge F = 0 ,$$

The KSE is the vanishing condition of the supersymmetry variation of the gravitino

$$\mathcal{D}_M \epsilon \equiv \nabla_M \epsilon - \left( \frac{1}{288} \Gamma_M{}^{L_1L_2L_3L_4} F_{L_1L_2L_3L_4} - \frac{1}{36} F_{ML_1L_2L_3} \Gamma^{L_1L_2L_3} \right) \epsilon = 0$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a 32 component Majorana  $\text{spin}(10, 1)$  spinor.

- ▶ **The gravitino KSE is a parallel transport equation. The associated connection has holonomy in a GL group**
- ▶ The 10-dimensional supergravities have an additional KSE, the dilatino KSE  $\mathcal{A}\epsilon = 0$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  depends on the fields but it is algebraic in  $\epsilon$ .
- ▶ If there exist a  $\epsilon \neq 0$  solution to the KSEs, then the associated solution to the field equations is called supersymmetric.
- ▶ The number  $N$  of linear independent solutions to the KSEs is the number of supersymmetries preserved by a background.

- ▶ The gravitino KSE is a parallel transport equation. The associated connection has holonomy in a GL group
- ▶ The 10-dimensional supergravities have an additional KSE, the dilatino KSE  $\mathcal{A}\epsilon = 0$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  depends on the fields but it is algebraic in  $\epsilon$ .
- ▶ If there exist a  $\epsilon \neq 0$  solution to the KSEs, then the associated solution to the field equations is called supersymmetric.
- ▶ The number  $N$  of linear independent solutions to the KSEs is the number of supersymmetries preserved by a background.

- ▶ The gravitino KSE is a parallel transport equation. The associated connection has holonomy in a GL group
- ▶ The 10-dimensional supergravities have an additional KSE, the dilatino KSE  $\mathcal{A}\epsilon = 0$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  depends on the fields but it is algebraic in  $\epsilon$ .
- ▶ If there exist a  $\epsilon \neq 0$  solution to the KSEs, then the associated solution to the field equations is called supersymmetric.
- ▶ The number  $N$  of linear independent solutions to the KSEs is the number of supersymmetries preserved by a background.

## AdS backgrounds

The a priori number of supersymmetries preserved by D=11, IIB and IIA AdS backgrounds are [Beck, Gutowski, GP]

$AdS_n$	$N$
$n = 2$	$2k, k \leq 32$
$n = 3$	$2k, k \leq 32$
$n = 4$	$4k, k \leq 8$
$n = 5$	$8, 16, 24, 32$
$n = 6$	$16, 32$
$n = 7$	$16, 32$

**Table:** The proof for  $AdS_2$  requires an application of Hopf's maximum principle. For the rest, no such assumption is necessary.

## Sketching the proof

The warp, flux, AdS backgrounds are special cases of near horizon geometries. For  $n > 2$ , the metric is

$$ds^2 = 2du(dr + rh) + A^2(dz^2 + e^{2z/\ell} \sum_{a=1}^{n-3} (dx^a)^2) + ds^2(M^{11-n}),$$

with

$$h = -\frac{2}{\ell} dz - 2A^{-1} dA,$$

$A$  is the warp factor and  $\ell$  the AdS radius.

To find the number of supersymmetries preserved

- ▶ Solve the KSEs along the lightcone directions  $(u, r)$
- ▶ solve the KSEs along  $z$  and then the remaining  $x^a$  coordinates
- ▶ count the multiplicity of Killing spinors

## Sketching the proof

The warp, flux, AdS backgrounds are special cases of near horizon geometries. For  $n > 2$ , the metric is

$$ds^2 = 2du(dr + rh) + A^2(dz^2 + e^{2z/\ell} \sum_{a=1}^{n-3} (dx^a)^2) + ds^2(M^{11-n}),$$

with

$$h = -\frac{2}{\ell} dz - 2A^{-1} dA,$$

$A$  is the warp factor and  $\ell$  the AdS radius.

To find the number of supersymmetries preserved

- ▶ Solve the KSEs along the lightcone directions  $(u, r)$
- ▶ solve the KSEs along  $z$  and then the remaining  $x^a$  coordinates
- ▶ count the multiplicity of Killing spinors

The solution of the KSEs along the AdS subspace give

$$\epsilon = \sigma_+ + \sigma_- - \ell^{-1} e^{\frac{z}{\ell}} x^a \Gamma_{az} \sigma_- - \ell^{-1} A^{-1} u \Gamma_{+z} \sigma_- \\ + e^{-\frac{z}{\ell}} \tau_+ - \ell^{-1} A^{-1} r e^{-\frac{z}{\ell}} \Gamma_{-z} \tau_+ - \ell^{-1} x^a \Gamma_{az} \tau_+ + e^{\frac{z}{\ell}} \tau_-$$

where  $\Gamma_{\pm} \sigma_{\pm} = \Gamma_{\pm} \tau_{\pm} = 0$ .

The remaining independent KSEs on the internal space  $M^{D-n}$  are

$$D_i^{(\pm)} \sigma_{\pm} = 0, \quad D_i^{(\pm)} \tau_{\pm} = 0,$$

which are the naive restriction of the gravitino KSEs onto the internal space, and

$$\mathcal{A}^{(\pm)} \sigma_{\pm} = \mathcal{A}^{(\pm)} \tau_{\pm} = 0; \quad \mathcal{B}^{(\pm)} \sigma_{\pm} = 0, \quad \mathcal{C}^{(\pm)} \tau_{\pm} = 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}^{(\pm)}$  are the naive restrictions of the dilatino KSEs onto the internal space and

- ▶ the integration over  $z$  introduces new algebraic KSEs denoted by  $\mathcal{B}^{(\pm)}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{(\pm)}$

The solution of the KSEs along the AdS subspace give

$$\epsilon = \sigma_+ + \sigma_- - \ell^{-1} e^{\frac{z}{\ell}} x^a \Gamma_{az} \sigma_- - \ell^{-1} A^{-1} u \Gamma_{+z} \sigma_- \\ + e^{-\frac{z}{\ell}} \tau_+ - \ell^{-1} A^{-1} r e^{-\frac{z}{\ell}} \Gamma_{-z} \tau_+ - \ell^{-1} x^a \Gamma_{az} \tau_+ + e^{\frac{z}{\ell}} \tau_-$$

where  $\Gamma_{\pm} \sigma_{\pm} = \Gamma_{\pm} \tau_{\pm} = 0$ .

The remaining independent KSEs on the internal space  $M^{D-n}$  are

$$D_i^{(\pm)} \sigma_{\pm} = 0, \quad D_i^{(\pm)} \tau_{\pm} = 0,$$

which are the naive restriction of the gravitino KSEs onto the internal space, and

$$\mathcal{A}^{(\pm)} \sigma_{\pm} = \mathcal{A}^{(\pm)} \tau_{\pm} = 0; \quad \mathcal{B}^{(\pm)} \sigma_{\pm} = 0, \quad \mathcal{C}^{(\pm)} \tau_{\pm} = 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}^{(\pm)}$  are the naive restrictions of the dilatino KSEs onto the internal space and

- ▶ the integration over  $z$  introduces new algebraic KSEs denoted by  $\mathcal{B}^{(\pm)}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{(\pm)}$

The solution of the KSEs along the AdS subspace give

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon = & \sigma_+ + \sigma_- - \ell^{-1} e^{\frac{z}{\ell}} x^a \Gamma_{az} \sigma_- - \ell^{-1} A^{-1} u \Gamma_{+z} \sigma_- \\ & + e^{-\frac{z}{\ell}} \tau_+ - \ell^{-1} A^{-1} r e^{-\frac{z}{\ell}} \Gamma_{-z} \tau_+ - \ell^{-1} x^a \Gamma_{az} \tau_+ + e^{\frac{z}{\ell}} \tau_- \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma_{\pm} \sigma_{\pm} = \Gamma_{\pm} \tau_{\pm} = 0$ .

The remaining independent KSEs on the internal space  $M^{D-n}$  are

$$D_i^{(\pm)} \sigma_{\pm} = 0, \quad D_i^{(\pm)} \tau_{\pm} = 0,$$

which are the naive restriction of the gravitino KSEs onto the internal space, and

$$\mathcal{A}^{(\pm)} \sigma_{\pm} = \mathcal{A}^{(\pm)} \tau_{\pm} = 0; \quad \mathcal{B}^{(\pm)} \sigma_{\pm} = 0, \quad \mathcal{C}^{(\pm)} \tau_{\pm} = 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}^{(\pm)}$  are the naive restrictions of the dilatino KSEs onto the internal space and

- ▶ the integration over  $z$  introduces new algebraic KSEs denoted by  $\mathcal{B}^{(\pm)}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{(\pm)}$

## The counting

To count the multiplicity, it turns out that if  $\sigma_{\pm}$  is a solution, so is

$$\tau_{\pm} = \Gamma_{za}\sigma_{\pm}$$

and vice-versa

Similarly, if  $\sigma_+, \tau_+$  is a solution, so is

$$\sigma_- = A\Gamma_- \Gamma_z \sigma_+, \quad \tau_- = A\Gamma_- \Gamma_z \tau_+$$

and vice-versa.

Furthermore, if  $\sigma_+$  is Killing spinor, then

$$\sigma'_+ = \Gamma_{ab}\sigma_+, \quad a < b,$$

is also a Killing spinor.

- ▶ The number of supersymmetries are derived by counting the linearly independent solutions

## Lichnerowicz Theorem

This relates the zero modes of the Dirac operator to parallel spinors. In particular notice that  $D^2 = \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{4}R$  where  $D$  is the Dirac operator and  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection. Then after a partial integration

$$\int \| D\epsilon \|^2 = \int \| \nabla\epsilon \|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int R \| \epsilon \|^2$$

- ▶ If  $R = 0$ , all zero modes of the Dirac operator are parallel
- ▶ if  $R > 0$ , the Dirac operator has no zero modes

Some applications include

- ▶ Counting problems, ie the number of parallel (Killing) spinors of 8-d manifolds with holonomy strictly  $Spin(7)$ ,  $SU(4)$ ,  $Sp(2)$  and  $\times^2 Sp(1)$  is given by the index of the Dirac operator
- ▶ Necessary conditions for the existence of metrics with  $R > 0$

## New Lichnerowicz type of theorems

One can establish new Lichnerowicz type theorems ( $D = 11$ ) as

$$\mathcal{D}^{(\pm)}\sigma_{\pm} = 0 \iff D_i^{(\pm)}\sigma_{\pm} = 0, \quad \mathcal{B}^{(\pm)}\sigma_{\pm} = 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{D}^{(\pm)} = \Gamma^i D_i^{(\pm)} + q\mathcal{B}^{(\pm)}$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ .

These are based on maximum principle formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \|\sigma_+\|^2 + nA^{-1}\partial^i A\partial_i \|\sigma_+\|^2 &= 2\langle \mathbb{D}_i^{(+)}\sigma_+, \mathbb{D}^{(+)}\sigma_+ \rangle \\ &+ 2\frac{9n-18}{11-n} \|\mathcal{B}^{(+)}\sigma_+\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is established after using the field equations, where

$$\mathbb{D}_i^{(+)} = D_i^{(+)} + \frac{2-n}{11-n}\Gamma_i\mathcal{B}^{(+)}.$$

- ▶ If the solution is smooth, the warp factor  $A$  is nowhere zero.

## New Lichnerowicz type of theorems

One can establish new Lichnerowicz type theorems ( $D = 11$ ) as

$$\mathcal{D}^{(\pm)}\sigma_{\pm} = 0 \iff D_i^{(\pm)}\sigma_{\pm} = 0, \quad \mathcal{B}^{(\pm)}\sigma_{\pm} = 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{D}^{(\pm)} = \Gamma^i D_i^{(\pm)} + q\mathcal{B}^{(\pm)}$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ .

These are based on maximum principle formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \|\sigma_+\|^2 + nA^{-1}\partial^i A\partial_i \|\sigma_+\|^2 &= 2\langle \mathbb{D}_i^{(+)}\sigma_+, \mathbb{D}^{(+)}\sigma_+ \rangle \\ &+ 2\frac{9n-18}{11-n} \|\mathcal{B}^{(+)}\sigma_+\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is established after using the field equations, where

$$\mathbb{D}_i^{(+)} = D_i^{(+)} + \frac{2-n}{11-n}\Gamma_i\mathcal{B}^{(+)}.$$

- ▶ If the solution is smooth, the warp factor  $A$  is nowhere zero.

# Homogeneity

**Conjecture:** All solutions of a supergravity theory preserving more than half of the supersymmetry are homogenous. [Meessen]

**Theorem:** All solutions of  $D = 11$ , IIB and IIA supergravities that preserve strictly more than 16 supersymmetries are homogeneous [Figueroa-O'Farrill, Hustler]

**Proof:** One can show that given two Killing spinors  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$ , the 1-form bilinear

$$\langle \epsilon_1, \Gamma_M \epsilon_2 \rangle_D dx^M$$

is Killing and leaves all the remaining fields invariant. In the Euclidean case where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is positive definite, the proof simplifies. If the vector bilinears do not span the tangent space of the spacetime there is an  $X$  such that

$$X^M \langle \epsilon_1, \Gamma_M \epsilon_2 \rangle = \langle \epsilon_1, \not{X} \epsilon_2 \rangle = 0$$

Thus the spinors  $\not{X}\epsilon$  for every Killing spinor  $\epsilon$  are orthogonal to all Killing spinors, and so

$$\not{X} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\perp$$

But  $\not{X}^2 = |X|^2 \mathbf{1}$  and as  $X \neq 0$ , the map is an injection. However this cannot be if  $\dim \mathcal{K}^\perp < \dim \mathcal{K}$  which is the case for more than 16 supersymmetries. Thus  $X = 0$  and the spacetime is homogenous.  $\square$

# Homogeneity

**Conjecture:** All solutions of a supergravity theory preserving more than half of the supersymmetry are homogenous. [Meessen]

**Theorem:** All solutions of  $D = 11$ , IIB and IIA supergravities that preserve strictly more than 16 supersymmetries are homogeneous [Figueroa-O'Farrill, Hustler]

**Proof:** One can show that given two Killing spinors  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$ , the 1-form bilinear

$$\langle \epsilon_1, \Gamma_M \epsilon_2 \rangle_D dx^M$$

is Killing and leaves all the remaining fields invariant. In the Euclidean case where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is positive definite, the proof simplifies. If the vector bilinears do not span the tangent space of the spacetime there is an  $X$  such that

$$X^M \langle \epsilon_1, \Gamma_M \epsilon_2 \rangle = \langle \epsilon_1, \not{X} \epsilon_2 \rangle = 0$$

Thus the spinors  $\not{X} \epsilon$  for every Killing spinor  $\epsilon$  are orthogonal to all Killing spinors, and so

$$\not{X} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\perp$$

But  $\not{X}^2 = |X|^2 \mathbf{1}$  and as  $X \neq 0$ , the map is an injection. However this cannot be if  $\dim \mathcal{K}^\perp < \dim \mathcal{K}$  which is the case for more than 16 supersymmetries. Thus  $X = 0$  and the spacetime is homogenous. □

# Homogeneity

**Conjecture:** All solutions of a supergravity theory preserving more than half of the supersymmetry are homogenous. [Meessen]

**Theorem:** All solutions of  $D = 11$ , IIB and IIA supergravities that preserve strictly more than 16 supersymmetries are homogeneous [Figueroa-O'Farrill, Hustler]

**Proof:** One can show that given two Killing spinors  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$ , the 1-form bilinear

$$\langle \epsilon_1, \Gamma_M \epsilon_2 \rangle_D dx^M$$

is Killing and leaves all the remaining fields invariant. In the Euclidean case where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is positive definite, the proof simplifies. If the vector bilinears do not span the tangent space of the spacetime there is an  $X$  such that

$$X^M \langle \epsilon_1, \Gamma_M \epsilon_2 \rangle = \langle \epsilon_1, \not{X} \epsilon_2 \rangle = 0$$

Thus the spinors  $\not{X}\epsilon$  for every Killing spinor  $\epsilon$  are orthogonal to all Killing spinors, and so

$$\not{X} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\perp$$

But  $\not{X}^2 = |X|^2 \mathbf{1}$  and as  $X \neq 0$ , the map is an injection. However this cannot be if  $\dim \mathcal{K}^\perp < \dim \mathcal{K}$  which is the case for more than 16 supersymmetries. Thus  $X = 0$  and the spacetime is homogenous.  $\square$

## Warping AdS

One of the issues that arise in the classification of warped AdS backgrounds [Gran, Gutowski, GP] is that the metric on  $AdS_{k+1}$  can be written as a warped product  $AdS_k \times_w \mathbb{R}$

$$ds^2(AdS_{k+1}) = \ell^2 dy^2 + \ell^2 \cosh^2 y ds^2(AdS_k), \quad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

- ▶ Any  $AdS_n \times_w M^{D-n}$  solution can be re-interpreted as a  $AdS_k \times_w M^{D-k}$  solution for  $k < n$ .
- ▶ The Killing spinors of AdS backgrounds **do not** factorize into Killing spinors on AdS and Killing spinors on the internal space. This is particularly obvious for  $\mathbb{R}^k \times_w M^{D-k}$  solutions.
- ▶ D=11 supergravity admits  $AdS_k \times_w M^{11-k}$  maximally supersymmetric solutions for  $k \leq 7$ . Similar results apply to other theories.
- ▶ There are de Sitter supersymmetric solutions in 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities
- ▶ This nesting of warped AdS backgrounds presents one of the difficulties in the classification

## Warping AdS

One of the issues that arise in the classification of warped AdS backgrounds [Gran, Gutowski, GP] is that the metric on  $AdS_{k+1}$  can be written as a warped product  $AdS_k \times_w \mathbb{R}$

$$ds^2(AdS_{k+1}) = \ell^2 dy^2 + \ell^2 \cosh^2 y ds^2(AdS_k), \quad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

- ▶ Any  $AdS_n \times_w M^{D-n}$  solution can be re-interpreted as a  $AdS_k \times_w M^{D-k}$  solution for  $k < n$ .
- ▶ The Killing spinors of AdS backgrounds **do not** factorize into Killing spinors on AdS and Killing spinors on the internal space. This is particularly obvious for  $\mathbb{R}^k \times_w M^{D-k}$  solutions.
- ▶ D=11 supergravity admits  $AdS_k \times_w M^{11-k}$  maximally supersymmetric solutions for  $k \leq 7$ . Similar results apply to other theories.
- ▶ There are de Sitter supersymmetric solutions in 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities
- ▶ This nesting of warped AdS backgrounds presents one of the difficulties in the classification

## Warping AdS

One of the issues that arise in the classification of warped AdS backgrounds [Gran, Gutowski, GP] is that the metric on  $AdS_{k+1}$  can be written as a warped product  $AdS_k \times_w \mathbb{R}$

$$ds^2(AdS_{k+1}) = \ell^2 dy^2 + \ell^2 \cosh^2 y ds^2(AdS_k), \quad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

- ▶ Any  $AdS_n \times_w M^{D-n}$  solution can be re-interpreted as a  $AdS_k \times_w M^{D-k}$  solution for  $k < n$ .
- ▶ The Killing spinors of AdS backgrounds **do not** factorize into Killing spinors on AdS and Killing spinors on the internal space. This is particularly obvious for  $\mathbb{R}^k \times_w M^{D-k}$  solutions.
- ▶ D=11 supergravity admits  $AdS_k \times_w M^{11-k}$  maximally supersymmetric solutions for  $k \leq 7$ . Similar results apply to other theories.
- ▶ There are de Sitter supersymmetric solutions in 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities
- ▶ This nesting of warped AdS backgrounds presents one of the difficulties in the classification

## Warping AdS

One of the issues that arise in the classification of warped AdS backgrounds [Gran, Gutowski, GP] is that the metric on  $AdS_{k+1}$  can be written as a warped product  $AdS_k \times_w \mathbb{R}$

$$ds^2(AdS_{k+1}) = \ell^2 dy^2 + \ell^2 \cosh^2 y ds^2(AdS_k), \quad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

- ▶ Any  $AdS_n \times_w M^{D-n}$  solution can be re-interpreted as a  $AdS_k \times_w M^{D-k}$  solution for  $k < n$ .
- ▶ The Killing spinors of AdS backgrounds **do not** factorize into Killing spinors on AdS and Killing spinors on the internal space. This is particularly obvious for  $\mathbb{R}^k \times_w M^{D-k}$  solutions.
- ▶ D=11 supergravity admits  $AdS_k \times_w M^{11-k}$  maximally supersymmetric solutions for  $k \leq 7$ . Similar results apply to other theories.
- ▶ There are de Sitter supersymmetric solutions in 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities
- ▶ This nesting of warped AdS backgrounds presents one of the difficulties in the classification

## Warping AdS

One of the issues that arise in the classification of warped AdS backgrounds [Gran, Gutowski, GP] is that the metric on  $AdS_{k+1}$  can be written as a warped product  $AdS_k \times_w \mathbb{R}$

$$ds^2(AdS_{k+1}) = \ell^2 dy^2 + \ell^2 \cosh^2 y ds^2(AdS_k), \quad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

- ▶ Any  $AdS_n \times_w M^{D-n}$  solution can be re-interpreted as a  $AdS_k \times_w M^{D-k}$  solution for  $k < n$ .
- ▶ The Killing spinors of AdS backgrounds **do not** factorize into Killing spinors on AdS and Killing spinors on the internal space. This is particularly obvious for  $\mathbb{R}^k \times_w M^{D-k}$  solutions.
- ▶ D=11 supergravity admits  $AdS_k \times_w M^{11-k}$  maximally supersymmetric solutions for  $k \leq 7$ . Similar results apply to other theories.
- ▶ There are de Sitter supersymmetric solutions in 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities
- ▶ This nesting of warped AdS backgrounds presents one of the difficulties in the classification

## Killing superalgebras

The Killing superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$  with  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbb{R}\langle V_{K_{mn}} \rangle$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbb{R}\langle Q_{\epsilon_m} \rangle$  of supersymmetric backgrounds are defined as follows [Gauntlett, Myers, Townsend; Figueroa-O'Farrill]:

$$\{Q_{\epsilon_m}, Q_{\epsilon_n}\} = V_{K_{mn}}, \quad [V_{K_{mn}}, Q_{\epsilon_p}] = Q_{\mathcal{L}_{K_{mn}} \epsilon_p}, \quad [V_{K_{mn}}, V_{K_{pq}}] = V_{[K_{mn}, K_{pq}]},$$

where  $K_{mn} = \langle \Gamma_0 \epsilon_m, \Gamma_M \epsilon_n \rangle dx^M$  is the 1-form bilinear,  $[K_{mn}, K_{pq}]$  is the Lie commutator of two vector fields and

$$\mathcal{L}_X \epsilon = \nabla_X \epsilon + \frac{1}{8} dX_{MN} \Gamma^{MN} \epsilon,$$

is the spinorial Lie derivative of  $\epsilon$  with respect to the vector field  $X$ .

- ▶  $V_{K_{mn}} = V_{mn}$  are the even generators and  $Q_{\epsilon_m} = Q_m$  are the odd ones.

# AdS<sub>k</sub> Killing Superalgebras

The Killing superalgebras of warped AdS<sub>k</sub>,  $k > 3$ , backgrounds are

## AdS<sub>k</sub> KSAs in $D = 10$ and $D = 11$

$N$	AdS <sub>4</sub>	AdS <sub>5</sub>	AdS <sub>6</sub>	AdS <sub>7</sub>
4	$\mathfrak{osp}(1 4)$	-	-	-
8	$\mathfrak{osp}(2 4)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(1 4)$	-	-
12	$\mathfrak{osp}(3 4)$	-	-	-
16	$\mathfrak{osp}(4 4)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2 4)$	$\mathfrak{f}^*(4)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(6, 2 2)$
20	$\mathfrak{osp}(5 4)$	-	-	-
24	$\mathfrak{osp}(6 4)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(3 4)$	-	-
28	$\mathfrak{osp}(7 4)$	-	-	-
32	$\mathfrak{osp}(8 4)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(4 4)/1_{8 \times 8}$	-	$\mathfrak{osp}(6, 2 4)$

**Table:** For AdS<sub>k</sub> backgrounds with compact without boundary internal space  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(k-1, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{t}_0$ .  $\mathfrak{f}^*(4)$  is a different real form to  $\mathfrak{f}(4)$  which appears in the AdS<sub>3</sub> case.

## AdS<sub>3</sub> superalgebras

AdS<sub>3</sub> is locally a group manifold and the Killing superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  decomposes as  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_L \oplus \mathfrak{g}_R$ .

### AdS<sub>3</sub> KSAs in type II and $d = 11$

$N_L$	$\mathfrak{g}_L/\mathfrak{c}$
$2n$	$\mathfrak{osp}(n 2)$
$4n, n > 1$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n 2)$
$8n, n > 1$	$\mathfrak{osp}^*(4 2n)$
16	$\mathfrak{f}(4)$
14	$\mathfrak{g}(3)$
8	$\mathfrak{D}(2, 1, \alpha)$
8	$\mathfrak{sl}(2 2)/1_{4 \times 4}$

**Table:** If the internal space is compact without boundary,  $(\mathfrak{g}_L/\mathfrak{c})_0 = \mathfrak{so}(1, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{t}_0/\mathfrak{c}$ . The may be a central term  $\mathfrak{c}$

### Isometry algebras of internal space

$N$	AdS <sub>4</sub>	AdS <sub>5</sub>	AdS <sub>6</sub>	AdS <sub>7</sub>
4	0	-	-	-
8	$\mathfrak{so}(2)$	$\mathfrak{u}(1)$	-	-
12	$\mathfrak{so}(3)$	-	-	-
16	$\mathfrak{so}(4)$	$\mathfrak{u}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(3)$	$\mathfrak{so}(3)$
20	$\mathfrak{so}(5)$	-	-	-
24	$\mathfrak{so}(6)$	$\mathfrak{u}(3)$	-	-
28	$\mathfrak{so}(7)$	-	-	-
32	$\mathfrak{so}(8)$	$\mathfrak{su}(4)$	-	$\mathfrak{so}(5)$

**Table:** These algebras must act effectively on the internal spaces of AdS backgrounds

# Isometry algebras of internal space for $AdS_3$

Table:  $AdS_3$  Killing superalgebras in type II and 11D

$N_L$	$\mathfrak{g}_L/\mathfrak{c}_L$	$(\mathfrak{t}_L)_0/\mathfrak{c}_L$	$\dim \mathfrak{c}_L$
$2n$	$\mathfrak{osp}(n 2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n)$	0
$4n, n > 2$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n 2)$	$\mathfrak{u}(n)$	0
$8n, n > 1$	$\mathfrak{osp}(4 2n)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$	0
16	$\mathfrak{f}(4)$	$\mathfrak{spin}(7)$	0
14	$\mathfrak{g}(3)$	$\mathfrak{g}_2$	0
8	$\mathfrak{D}(2, 1, \alpha)$	$\mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$	0
8	$\mathfrak{sl}(2 2)/1_{4 \times 4}$	$\mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\leq 3$

## Sketch of proof:

These results have been established under the assumptions either that

- ▶ the field are smooth and the internal space is compact without boundary or that
- ▶ the even part of the superalgebra decomposes to that of isometries of AdS and those of the internal space,  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{iso}(AdS) \oplus \mathfrak{t}_0$ .

As the dependence of the Killing spinors on the AdS coordinates is known some of the (anti-) commutators of the Killing superalgebra can be explicitly calculated.

These are

- ▶  $\{Q, Q\} = V_{\mathfrak{iso}(AdS)} + V_{\mathfrak{t}_0}$
- ▶  $[V_{\mathfrak{iso}(AdS)}, Q]$

The key commutator that remains to be evaluated is  $[V_{\mathfrak{t}_0}, Q]$ . It turns out that for  $AdS_n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , this can also be found uniquely as a consequence of the assumptions above and the **super-Jacobi identities**.

For  $AdS_n$ ,  $n = 2, 3$  this is not the case. However the problem can be still solved as it can be shown to be related to groups acting transitively and effectively on spheres.  $\square$

# Main points

The main conclusions of the analysis are

- ▶ The internal spaces of AdS backgrounds must admit an **almost effective** action of a group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}_0$
- ▶ For solutions that preserve more than half of supersymmetry ( $N > 16$ ) the internal space must admit a **transitive** and an **almost effective** action of a group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}_0$

## Main points

The main conclusions of the analysis are

- ▶ The internal spaces of AdS backgrounds must admit an **almost effective** action of a group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}_0$
- ▶ For solutions that preserve more than half of supersymmetry ( $N > 16$ ) the internal space must admit a **transitive** and an **almost effective** action of a group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}_0$

## Main points

The main conclusions of the analysis are

- ▶ The internal spaces of AdS backgrounds must admit an **almost effective** action of a group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}_0$
- ▶ For solutions that preserve more than half of supersymmetry ( $N > 16$ ) the internal space must admit a **transitive** and an **almost effective** action of a group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}_0$

## AdS<sub>6</sub> and AdS<sub>7</sub>

**Th [Figuroa-O'Farrill, GP]:** The maximal supersymmetric AdS solutions ( $N = 32$ ) in 10 and 11 dimensions up to a local isometry are as follows .

- ▶  $D = 11$ : AdS<sub>4</sub> × S<sup>7</sup> and AdS<sub>7</sub> × S<sup>4</sup>
- ▶  $D = 10$  IIB: AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup>

□

AdS<sub>6</sub> and AdS<sub>7</sub> backgrounds can preserve either 16 or 32 supersymmetries. So for  $N > 16$ , these solutions must be maximally supersymmetric. Thus

- ▶ There are no  $N > 16$  AdS<sub>6</sub> supersymmetric solutions
- ▶ The  $N > 16$  supersymmetric AdS<sub>7</sub> solutions are locally isometric to the maximally supersymmetric AdS<sub>7</sub> × S<sup>4</sup> solution of 11-dimensional supergravity

## AdS<sub>6</sub> and AdS<sub>7</sub>

**Th** [Figuera-O'Farrill, GP]: The maximal supersymmetric AdS solutions ( $N = 32$ ) in 10 and 11 dimensions up to a local isometry are as follows .

- ▶  $D = 11$ : AdS<sub>4</sub> × S<sup>7</sup> and AdS<sub>7</sub> × S<sup>4</sup>
- ▶  $D = 10$  IIB: AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup>

□

AdS<sub>6</sub> and AdS<sub>7</sub> backgrounds can preserve either 16 or 32 supersymmetries. So for  $N > 16$ , these solutions must be maximally supersymmetric. Thus

- ▶ There are no  $N > 16$  AdS<sub>6</sub> supersymmetric solutions
- ▶ The  $N > 16$  supersymmetric AdS<sub>7</sub> solutions are locally isometric to the maximally supersymmetric AdS<sub>7</sub> × S<sup>4</sup> solution of 11-dimensional supergravity

# AdS<sub>5</sub>

AdS<sub>5</sub> backgrounds preserve  $8k$  supersymmetries.

Th[Beck, Gutowski, GP]: Let the internal space of AdS<sub>5</sub> backgrounds be compact without boundary.

- ▶ There are no solutions which preserve 24 and 32 supersymmetries in (massive) IIA and 11-dimensional supergravities.
- ▶ In IIB, all solutions that preserve  $N > 16$  supersymmetries are locally isometric to the maximally supersymmetric AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> solution

# AdS<sub>5</sub>

AdS<sub>5</sub> backgrounds preserve  $8k$  supersymmetries.

**Th**[Beck, Gutowski, GP]: Let the internal space of AdS<sub>5</sub> backgrounds be compact without boundary.

- ▶ There are no solutions which preserve 24 and 32 supersymmetries in (massive) IIA and 11-dimensional supergravities.
- ▶ In IIB, all solutions that preserve  $N > 16$  supersymmetries are locally isometric to the maximally supersymmetric AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> solution

## $AdS_5$ , $N = 24$

**Th:** There are no smooth  $AdS_5$  solutions preserving 24 supersymmetries with compact without boundary internal space in  $D = 11$  supergravity.

There are plenty of  $AdS_5$  solutions apart from the IIB  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  preserving less supersymmetry. Previous systematic investigations include [Aruzzi, Fazzi, Passias, Tomasiello].

**Proof:** The background is

$$ds^2 = 2du(dr + rh) + A^2(ds^2 + e^{\frac{2z}{\ell}}(dx^a)^2) + ds^2(M^6),$$

$$F = X, \quad h = -\frac{2}{\ell}dz - 2A^{-1}dA.$$

In this case

$$D_i^{(\pm)} = D_i \pm \frac{1}{2}\partial_i \log A - \frac{1}{288}\Gamma_i^{j_1 \dots j_4} X_{j_1 \dots j_4} + \frac{1}{36}X_{ij_1 j_2 j_3} \Gamma^{j_1 j_2 j_3}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^{(\pm)} = -\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_z \Gamma^i \partial_i \log A \mp \frac{1}{2\ell}A^{-1} + \frac{1}{288}\Gamma_z \Gamma^{j_1 \dots j_4} X_{j_1 \dots j_4}$$

## $AdS_5$ , $N = 24$

**Th:** There are no smooth  $AdS_5$  solutions preserving 24 supersymmetries with compact without boundary internal space in  $D = 11$  supergravity.

There are plenty of  $AdS_5$  solutions apart from the IIB  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  preserving less supersymmetry. Previous systematic investigations include [Aruzzi, Fazzi, Passias, Tomasiello].

**Proof:** The background is

$$ds^2 = 2du(dr + rh) + A^2(ds^2 + e^{\frac{2z}{\ell}}(dx^a)^2) + ds^2(M^6),$$

$$F = X, \quad h = -\frac{2}{\ell}dz - 2A^{-1}dA.$$

In this case

$$D_i^{(\pm)} = D_i \pm \frac{1}{2}\partial_i \log A - \frac{1}{288}\Gamma_i^{j_1 \dots j_4} X_{j_1 \dots j_4} + \frac{1}{36}X_{ij_1 j_2 j_3} \Gamma^{j_1 j_2 j_3}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^{(\pm)} = -\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_z \Gamma^i \partial_i \log A \mp \frac{1}{2\ell}A^{-1} + \frac{1}{288}\Gamma_z \Gamma^{j_1 \dots j_4} X_{j_1 \dots j_4}$$

## $AdS_5$ , $N = 24$

**Th:** There are no smooth  $AdS_5$  solutions preserving 24 supersymmetries with compact without boundary internal space in  $D = 11$  supergravity.

There are plenty of  $AdS_5$  solutions apart from the IIB  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  preserving less supersymmetry. Previous systematic investigations include [Aruzzi, Fazzi, Passias, Tomasiello].

**Proof:** The background is

$$ds^2 = 2du(dr + rh) + A^2(ds^2 + e^{\frac{2z}{\ell}}(dx^a)^2) + ds^2(M^6) ,$$

$$F = X , \quad h = -\frac{2}{\ell}dz - 2A^{-1}dA .$$

In this case

$$D_i^{(\pm)} = D_i \pm \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \log A - \frac{1}{288} \Gamma_i^{j_1 \dots j_4} X_{j_1 \dots j_4} + \frac{1}{36} X_{ij_1 j_2 j_3} \Gamma^{j_1 j_2 j_3}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^{(\pm)} = -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_z \Gamma^i \partial_i \log A \mp \frac{1}{2\ell} A^{-1} + \frac{1}{288} \Gamma_z \Gamma^{j_1 \dots j_4} X_{j_1 \dots j_4}$$

$AdS_5, N = 24$ 

Using the gravitino and algebraic KSEs and maximum principle, one can establish that

$$\| \sigma_+ \| = \text{const}$$

Furthermore

$$W_i = A \langle \sigma_+, \Gamma_{z12i} \sigma_+ \rangle$$

is Killing and leaves the fields invariant.  $\mathfrak{t}_0$  is spanned by the  $W$ 's. Moreover, from the algebraic KSE one has

$$i_W \star_6 X = 6 \| \sigma_+ \|^2 dA,$$

This implies

$$i_W dA = 0$$

Then the homogeneity theorem gives  $A$  constant and  $X = 0$ . The fluxes vanish and the warp factor field equation cannot be satisfied.  $\square$

AdS<sub>4</sub>

AdS<sub>4</sub> solutions preserve  $4k$  supersymmetries.

**Th** [Lautz, Haupt, GP]: Let the internal space of AdS<sub>4</sub> backgrounds be compact without boundary.

- ▶ There are no AdS<sub>4</sub> solutions in IIB and massive IIA supergravities that preserve  $N > 16$  supersymmetries.
- ▶ All AdS<sub>4</sub> solutions of 11-dimensional supergravity that preserve  $N > 16$  supersymmetries are locally isometric to the maximally supersymmetric AdS<sub>4</sub> × S<sup>7</sup> solution.
- ▶ All IIA AdS<sub>4</sub> solutions that preserve  $16 < N \leq 24$  supersymmetries are locally isometric to the AdS<sub>4</sub> × CP<sup>3</sup>,  $N = 24$ , solution of IIA supergravity. There are no IIA AdS<sub>4</sub> solutions that preserve 28 and 32 supersymmetries.

Sketching the **proof**:

- ▶ Unlike the AdS<sub>5</sub> case to establish the above theorem one has to investigate in detail the homogeneous  $G/H$  spaces with  $\mathfrak{Lie} G = \mathfrak{t}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(k)$  for  $k > 4$

## Sketching the proof:

Consider the 11-dimensional case where the internal space is a 7-dimensional homogeneous manifold.

First one establishes that

$$\|\sigma_+\| = \text{const}$$

and that for  $N > 16$  supersymmetries the warp factor  $A$  is constant as well. Therefore

- ▶ all  $N > 16$   $\text{AdS}_4$  backgrounds are products  $\text{AdS}_4 \times M^7$ , where  $M^7$  is a homogeneous space admitting a transitive and effective  $\mathfrak{so}(k)$  action.
- ▶ The proof proceeds with a case by case analysis



Table: 7-dimensional compact, simply connected, homogeneous spaces

	$M^7 = G/H$
(1)	$\frac{\text{Spin}(8)}{\text{Spin}(7)} = S^7$ , symmetric space
(2)	$\frac{\text{Spin}(7)}{G_2} = S^7$
(3)	$\frac{SU(4)}{SU(3)}$ diffeomorphic to $S^7$
(4)	$\frac{Sp(2)}{Sp(1)}$ diffeomorphic to $S^7$
(5)	$\frac{Sp(2)}{Sp(1)_{max}}$ , Berger space
(6)	$\frac{Sp(2)}{\Delta(Sp(1))} = V_2(\mathbb{R}^5)$ , not spin
(7)	$\frac{SU(3)}{\Delta_{k,l}(U(1))} = W^{k,l}$ $k, l$ coprime, Aloff-Wallach space
(8)	$\frac{SU(2) \times SU(3)}{\Delta_{k,l}(U(1)) \cdot (1 \times SU(2))} = N^{k,l}$ $k, l$ coprime
(9)	$\frac{SU(2)^3}{\Delta_{p,q,r}(U(1)^2)} = Q^{p,q,r}$ $p, q, r$ coprime
(10)	$M^4 \times M^3$ , $M^4 = \frac{Spin(5)}{Spin(4)}$ , $\frac{SU(3)}{S(U(1) \times U(2))}$ , $\frac{SU(2)}{U(1)} \times \frac{SU(2)}{U(1)}$ $M^3 = SU(2)$ , $\frac{SU(2) \times SU(2)}{\Delta(SU(2))}$
(11)	$M^5 \times \frac{SU(2)}{U(1)}$ , $M^5 = \frac{Spin(6)}{Spin(5)}$ , $\frac{SU(3)}{SU(2)}$ , $\frac{SU(2) \times SU(2)}{\Delta_{k,l}(U(1))}$ , $\frac{SU(3)}{SO(3)}$

## Classification of $N > 16$ AdS backgrounds

Assuming that the internal space is compact without boundary, a summary of the results so far is as follows

	$AdS_4$	$AdS_5$	$AdS_6$	$AdS_7$
$N = 20$	–			
$N = 24$	<i>IIA</i>	–		
$N = 28$	–			
$N = 32$	$D = 11$	<i>IIB</i>	–	$D = 11$

## AdS<sub>3</sub> and AdS<sub>2</sub>

AdS<sub>3</sub> and AdS<sub>2</sub> backgrounds preserve  $2k$  supersymmetries. There are several possibilities for the existence of such backgrounds with  $N > 16$  supersymmetries. However one finds

**Th** [Lautz, Haupt, GP]: Let the internal space be compact without boundary. There are no AdS<sub>3</sub> solutions that preserve  $N > 16$  supersymmetries in (massive) IIA, IIB and 11-dimensional supergravities

**Th** [Beck, Gutowski, Gran, GP]: Under the same assumptions, there are no AdS<sub>2</sub> solutions that preserve  $N > 16$  supersymmetries in (massive) IIA, IIB and 11-dimensional supergravities

Sketching the **proof**: The proof is similar to that of AdS<sub>4</sub> case. The main difference is that the group which acts on the internal space may not even be semisimple. □

## AdS<sub>3</sub> and AdS<sub>2</sub>

AdS<sub>3</sub> and AdS<sub>2</sub> backgrounds preserve  $2k$  supersymmetries. There are several possibilities for the existence of such backgrounds with  $N > 16$  supersymmetries. However one finds

**Th** [Lautz, Haupt, GP]: Let the internal space be compact without boundary. There are no AdS<sub>3</sub> solutions that preserve  $N > 16$  supersymmetries in (massive) IIA, IIB and 11-dimensional supergravities

**Th** [Beck, Gutowski, Gran, GP]: Under the same assumptions, there are no AdS<sub>2</sub> solutions that preserve  $N > 16$  supersymmetries in (massive) IIA, IIB and 11-dimensional supergravities

Sketching the **proof**: The proof is similar to that of AdS<sub>4</sub> case. The main difference is that the group which acts on the internal space may not even be semisimple. □

# Heterotic

**Th:** In heterotic theory with  $dH = 0$

- ▶ There are no  $AdS_n$ ,  $n > 3$ , supersymmetric backgrounds
- ▶ There are no smooth  $AdS_2$  backgrounds for which the internal space is compact without boundary
- ▶  $AdS_3$  backgrounds preserve 2,4,6 and 8 supersymmetries
- ▶ Smooth  $AdS_3$  backgrounds preserving 8 supersymmetries with compact without boundary internal space are locally isometric to either  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$  or  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times K_3$
- ▶ Although there is no classification of all possible backgrounds, there is a clear overview of all possibilities and what equations should be solved to achieve the task.

# Heterotic

**Th:** In heterotic theory with  $dH = 0$

- ▶ There are no  $AdS_n$ ,  $n > 3$ , supersymmetric backgrounds
- ▶ There are no smooth  $AdS_2$  backgrounds for which the internal space is compact without boundary
- ▶  $AdS_3$  backgrounds preserve 2,4,6 and 8 supersymmetries
- ▶ Smooth  $AdS_3$  backgrounds preserving 8 supersymmetries with compact without boundary internal space are locally isometric to either  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$  or  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times K_3$
- ▶ Although there is no classification of all possible backgrounds, there is a clear overview of all possibilities and what equations should be solved to achieve the task.

# Heterotic

**Th:** In heterotic theory with  $dH = 0$

- ▶ There are no  $AdS_n$ ,  $n > 3$ , supersymmetric backgrounds
- ▶ There are no smooth  $AdS_2$  backgrounds for which the internal space is compact without boundary
- ▶  $AdS_3$  backgrounds preserve 2,4,6 and 8 supersymmetries
- ▶ Smooth  $AdS_3$  backgrounds preserving 8 supersymmetries with compact without boundary internal space are locally isometric to either  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$  or  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times K_3$
- ▶ Although there is no classification of all possible backgrounds, there is a clear overview of all possibilities and what equations should be solved to achieve the task.

# Heterotic

**Th:** In heterotic theory with  $dH = 0$

- ▶ There are no  $AdS_n$ ,  $n > 3$ , supersymmetric backgrounds
- ▶ There are no smooth  $AdS_2$  backgrounds for which the internal space is compact without boundary
- ▶  $AdS_3$  backgrounds preserve 2,4,6 and 8 supersymmetries
- ▶ Smooth  $AdS_3$  backgrounds preserving 8 supersymmetries with compact without boundary internal space are locally isometric to either  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$  or  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times K_3$
- ▶ Although there is no classification of all possible backgrounds, there is a clear overview of all possibilities and what equations should be solved to achieve the task.

# Heterotic

**Th:** In heterotic theory with  $dH = 0$

- ▶ There are no  $AdS_n$ ,  $n > 3$ , supersymmetric backgrounds
- ▶ There are no smooth  $AdS_2$  backgrounds for which the internal space is compact without boundary
- ▶  $AdS_3$  backgrounds preserve 2,4,6 and 8 supersymmetries
- ▶ Smooth  $AdS_3$  backgrounds preserving 8 supersymmetries with compact without boundary internal space are locally isometric to either  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$  or  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times K_3$
- ▶ Although there is no classification of all possible backgrounds, there is a clear overview of all possibilities and what equations should be solved to achieve the task.

# Geometry

The geometry of  $\text{AdS}_3$  backgrounds is as follows:

$N$	$M^7$	$B^k$	<i>fibre</i>
2	$G_2$	—	—
4	$SU(3)$	$U(3)$	$S^1$
6	$SU(2)$	<i>self – dual – Weyl</i>	$S^3$
8	$SU(2)$	<i>hyper – Kahler</i>	$S^3$

**Table:** The  $G$ -structure of  $M^7$  is compatible with a connection with skew-symmetric torsion. For  $N = 4, 6, 8$ ,  $M^7$  is a local (twisted) fibration over a base space  $B^k$  with fibre either  $S^1$  or  $S^3$ . The base spaces  $B$  are conformally balanced with respect to the associated fundamental forms.

# Geometry

The geometry of  $\text{AdS}_3$  backgrounds is as follows:

$N$	$M^7$	$B^k$	<i>fibre</i>
2	$G_2$	—	—
4	$SU(3)$	$U(3)$	$S^1$
6	$SU(2)$	<i>self – dual – Weyl</i>	$S^3$
8	$SU(2)$	<i>hyper – Kahler</i>	$S^3$

**Table:** The G-structure of  $M^7$  is compatible with a connection with skew-symmetric torsion. For  $N = 4, 6, 8$ ,  $M^7$  is a local (twisted) fibration over a base space  $B^k$  with fibre either  $S^1$  or  $S^3$ . The base spaces  $B$  are conformally balanced with respect to the associated fundamental forms.

## Conclusion

- ▶ AdS backgrounds in 10- and 11-dimensions exhibit some novel geometric features which have led to a generalization of classic results like the Lichnerowicz theorem.
- ▶ There is a classification up to local isometry of all smooth AdS backgrounds in 10- and 11-dimensions which preserve more than 16 supersymmetries and have internal space a compact manifold without boundary
- ▶ The next few years there will be much progress towards completing this programme for  $N \leq 16$  and exploring the applications in a variety of problems in gravity, gauge theory, string theory, AdS/CFT and geometry.

## Conclusion

- ▶ AdS backgrounds in 10- and 11-dimensions exhibit some novel geometric features which have led to a generalization of classic results like the Lichnerowicz theorem.
- ▶ There is a classification up to local isometry of all smooth AdS backgrounds in 10- and 11-dimensions which preserve more than 16 supersymmetries and have internal space a compact manifold without boundary
- ▶ The next few years there will be much progress towards completing this programme for  $N \leq 16$  and exploring the applications in a variety of problems in gravity, gauge theory, string theory, AdS/CFT and geometry.

## Conclusion

- ▶ AdS backgrounds in 10- and 11-dimensions exhibit some novel geometric features which have led to a generalization of classic results like the Lichnerowicz theorem.
- ▶ There is a classification up to local isometry of all smooth AdS backgrounds in 10- and 11-dimensions which preserve more than 16 supersymmetries and have internal space a compact manifold without boundary
- ▶ The next few years there will be much progress towards completing this programme for  $N \leq 16$  and exploring the applications in a variety of problems in gravity, gauge theory, string theory, AdS/CFT and geometry.