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#### Abstract

We present a variant of a universality result of Rödl [On universality of graphs with uniformly distributed edges, Discrete Math. 59 (1986), no. 1-2, 125-134] for sparse, 3 -uniform hypergraphs contained in strongly jumbled hypergraphs. One of the ingredients of our proof is a counting lemma for fixed hypergraphs in sparse "pseudorandom" uniform hypergraphs, which is proved in the companion paper [Counting results for sparse pseudorandom hypergraphs I].


## §1. Introduction

We say that a graph $G=(V, E)$ satisfies property $\mathcal{Q}(\eta, \delta, \alpha)$ if, for every subgraph $G[S]$ induced by $S \subset V$ with $|S| \geqslant \eta|V|$, we have $(\alpha-\delta)\binom{|S|}{2}<|E(G[S])|<(\alpha+\delta)\binom{|S|}{2}$. In [7,13], answering affirmatively a question posed by Erdős (see, e.g.,[5] and [1, p. 363]; see also [10]), Rödl proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1. For all $k \geqslant 1$ and $0<\alpha, \eta<1$, there exist $\delta, n_{0}>0$ such that the following holds for all integer $n \geqslant n_{0}$.

Every $n$-vertex graph $G$ that satisfies $\mathcal{Q}(\eta, \delta, \alpha)$ contains all graphs with $k$ vertices as induced subgraphs.

The quantification in Theorem 1.1 is what makes it unexpected. Indeed, note that $\eta$ is not required to be small, it is allowed to be any constant less than 1 .

We prove a variant of this result, which allows one to count the number of copies (not necessarily induced) of certain fixed 3 -uniform linear hypergraphs in spanning subgraphs of sparse "jumbled" 3-uniform hypergraphs.
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The concept of jumbledness $[14,15]$ is well-known for graphs (see also [2-4, 9]). Let $\Gamma=(V, E)$ be a 3 -uniform hypergraph and let $X \subset\binom{V}{2}$ and $Y \subset V$ be given. Denote by $E_{\Gamma}(X, Y)$ the set of triples in $\Gamma$ containing a pair in $X$ and a vertex in $Y$. Write $e_{\Gamma}(X, Y)$ for $\left|E_{\Gamma}(X, Y)\right|$. We say that $\Gamma$ is $(p, \beta)$-jumbled if, for all subsets $X \subset\binom{V}{2}$ and $Y \subset V$, we have $\left|e_{\Gamma}(X, Y)-p\right| X||Y|| \leqslant \beta \sqrt{|X||Y|}$. A hypergraph $H$ is called linear if every pair of edges shares at most one vertex. An edge $e$ of a linear $\ell$-uniform hypergraph $H$ is a connector if there exist $v \in V(H) \backslash e$ and $\ell$ edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{\ell}$ containing $v$ such that $\left|e \cap e_{i}\right|=1$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$. Note that, for $\ell=2$, a connector is an edge that is contained in a triangle.

We prove a result that allows us to count the number of copies of small linear, connectorfree 3 -uniform hypergraphs $H$ contained in certain $n$-vertex 3 -uniform spanning subhypergraphs $G_{n}$ of ( $p, o\left(p^{2} n^{3 / 2}\right)$ )-jumbled hypergraphs, for sufficiently large $p$ and $n$. We remark that, if $p \gg n^{-1 / 4}$, then the random 3-uniform hypergraph, where each possible edge exists with probability $p$ independently of all other edges, is $\left(p, \gamma p^{2} n^{3 / 2}\right)$-jumbled with high probability for all $\gamma>0$. Therefore, our result applies to dense enough random 3 -uniform hypergraphs.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state the main result of this paper (Theorem 2.1) and we discuss the structure of its proof. Section 3 contains the statements and the proofs of the lemmas involved in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem 2.1. The final section contains some concluding remarks.

## §2. Main result

We start by generalizing property $\mathcal{Q}(\eta, \delta, \alpha)$ to 3 -uniform hypergraphs. We say that a 3uniform hypergraph $G=(V, E)$ satisfies property $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}(\eta, \delta, q)$ if, for all $X \subset\binom{V}{2}$ and $Y \subset V$ with $|X| \geqslant \eta\binom{|V|}{2}$ and $|Y| \geqslant \eta|V|$, we have $(1-\delta) q|X||Y| \leqslant\left|E_{G}(X, Y)\right| \leqslant(1+\delta) q|X||Y|$. Considering the cardinality of $E_{G}(X, Y)$ for certain $X \subset\binom{V}{2}$ and $Y \subset V$ to obtain information on the subhypergraphs of $G$ has recently been shown to be fruitful (see [11,12]).

Given a pair $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \in\binom{V}{2}$, define $N_{G}\left(\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}\right)=\left\{v_{3} \in V:\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\} \in E\right\}$. We say that a 3-graph $G=(V, E)$ satisfies property $\operatorname{BDD}(k, C, q)$ if, for all $1 \leqslant r \leqslant k$ and for all distinct $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{r} \in\binom{V}{2}$, we have $\left|N_{G}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap \ldots \cap N_{G}\left(S_{r}\right)\right| \leqslant C n q^{r}$.

An embedding of a hypergraph $H$ into another hypergraph $G$ is an injective mapping $\phi: V(H) \rightarrow V(G)$ such that $\left\{\phi\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(v_{k}\right)\right\} \in E(G)$ whenever $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \in E(H)$. We denote by $\mathcal{E}(H, G)$ the family of embeddings from $H$ into $G$. The following variant of Theorem 1.1 for 3 -uniform hypergraphs is our main result.

Theorem 2.1. For all $0<\varepsilon, \alpha, \eta<1, C>1$, and integer $k \geqslant 4$, there exist $\delta, \gamma>0$ such that if $p=p(n) \gg n^{-1 / k}$ and $p=p(n)=o(1)$ and $n$ is sufficiently large, then the following holds for every $\alpha p \leqslant q \leqslant p$ and every $\beta \leqslant \gamma p^{2} n^{3 / 2}$. Suppose that
(i) $\Gamma=\left(V, E_{\Gamma}\right)$ is an n-vertex $(p, \beta)$-jumbled 3-uniform hypergraph;
(ii) $G=\left(V, E_{G}\right)$ is a spanning subhypergraph of $\Gamma$ with $\left|E_{G}\right|=q\binom{n}{3}$ and $G$ satisfies $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}(\eta, \delta, q)$ and $\operatorname{BDD}(k, C, q)$.

Then for every linear 3-uniform connector-free hypergraph $H$ on $k$ vertices we have

$$
\left||\mathcal{E}(H, G)|-n^{k} q^{|E(H)|}\right|<\varepsilon n^{k} q^{|E(H)|} .
$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 requires several techniques. First, we shall prove that, under the conditions of the theorem, $G$ satisfies a strong property involving degrees and codegrees (see Lemmas 2.5, 3.3 and 3.4). After that we use an embedding result (Lemma 3.1) proved in [6]. Before we discuss the scheme of the proof, let us define some hypergraph properties, called Discrepancy, Pair, and Tuple.

Property 2.2 (DISC - Discrepancy property). Let $G=(V, E)$ be a 3-uniform hypergraph and let $X, Y \subset V$ be given. We say that the pair $(X, Y)$ satisfies $\operatorname{DISC}(q, p, \varepsilon)$ in $G$ (or $(X, Y)_{G}$ satisfies $\left.\operatorname{DISC}(q, p, \varepsilon)\right)$ if for all $X^{\prime} \subset\binom{X}{2}$ and $Y^{\prime} \subset Y$ we have

$$
\left|e_{G}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)-q\right| X^{\prime}| | Y^{\prime}| | \leqslant \varepsilon p\binom{|X|}{2}|Y| .
$$

Furthermore, if $(V, V)$ satisfies $\operatorname{DISC}(q, p, \varepsilon)$ in $G$, then we say that the hypergraph $G$ satisfies $\operatorname{DISC}(q, p, \varepsilon)$.

For a 3-uniform hypergraph $G=(V, E)$, a set of vertices $Y \subset V$, and pairs $S_{1}, S_{2} \in\binom{V}{2}$ we denote $N_{G}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap Y$ by $N_{G}\left(S_{1} ; Y\right)$ and $N_{G}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap N_{G}\left(S_{2}\right) \cap Y$ by $N_{G}\left(S_{1}, S_{2} ; Y\right)$.

Property 2.3 (PAIR - Pair property). Let $G=(V, E)$ be a 3-uniform hypergraph and let $X, Y \subset V$ be given. We say that the pair $(X, Y)$ satisfies $\operatorname{PAIR}(q, p, \delta)$ in $G$ (or $(X, Y)_{G}$ satisfies $\left.\operatorname{PAIR}(q, p, \delta)\right)$ if the following conditions hold:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{S_{1} \in\binom{X}{2}}| | N_{G}\left(S_{1} ; Y\right)|-q| Y| | \leqslant \delta p\binom{|X|}{2}|Y|, \\
\left.\sum_{S_{1} \in\binom{X}{2}} \sum_{S_{2} \in\binom{X}{2}}| | N_{G}\left(S_{1}, S_{2} ; Y\right)\left|-q^{2}\right| Y\left|\| \leqslant \delta p^{2}\binom{|X|}{2}^{2}\right| Y \right\rvert\, .
\end{array}
$$

Furthermore, if $(V, V)$ satisfies $\operatorname{PAIR}(q, p, \delta)$ in $G$, then we say that the hypergraph $G$ satisfies $\operatorname{PAIR}(q, p, \delta)$.

Property 2.4 (TUPLE - Tuple property). We define $\operatorname{TUPLE}(\delta, q)$ as the family of $n$ vertex 3-uniform hypergraphs $G=(V, E)$ such that the following two conditions hold:
(i) $\left|\left|N_{G}\left(S_{1}\right)\right|-n q\right|<\delta n q$ for all but at most $\delta\binom{n}{2}$ sets $S_{1} \in\binom{V}{2}$;
(ii) $\left|\left|N_{G}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap N_{G}\left(S_{2}\right)\right|-n q^{2}\right|<\delta n q^{2}$ for all but at most $\delta\left(\begin{array}{c}\binom{n}{2}\end{array}\right)$ pairs $\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}\right\}$ of distinct sets in $\binom{V}{2}$.

The next result allows us to obtain property TUPLE from PAIR. Since its proof is simple we will omit it.

Lemma 2.5. For all $0<\alpha \leqslant 1$ and $0<\delta<1$ there exists $\delta^{\prime}>0$ such that if a 3 -uniform hypergraph $G$ satisfies $\operatorname{PAIR}\left(q, p, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ for $\alpha p \leqslant q \leqslant p$, then $G$ satisfies $\operatorname{TUPLE}(\delta, q)$.

In what follows we explain the organization of the proof. Consider the setup of Theorem 2.1. In order to obtain the conclusion of the theorem, we will use a counting result (Lemma 3.1), which requires that $G$ satisfies properties BDD and TUPLE for the appropriate parameters. Since $G$ satisfies BDD by hypothesis, it suffices to prove that $G$ satisfies TUPLE. Using Lemma 3.3 it is possible to obtain DISC from property $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}$. Then, using that $G$ satisfies DISC one can show that $G$ satisfies PAIR using Lemma 3.4, which implies TUPLE by Lemma 2.5. The quantification used in these implications is carefully analyzed in Section 4.

## §3. Main lemmas

We start by stating the counting lemma needed in the proof of Theorem 2.1. In order to apply it to a 3 -uniform $n$-vertex hypergraph $G$, we shall prove that $G$ satisfies TUPLE $(\delta, q)$ for a sufficiently small $\delta$ and sufficiently large $0<q=q(n) \leqslant 1$. Since Lemma 2.5 allows us to obtain TUPLE from PAIR, we need to proof that $G$ satisfies $\operatorname{PAIR}\left(q, p, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ for a sufficiently small $\delta^{\prime}$ and appropriate functions $p$ and $q$. This is done using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, which are proved, respectively, in the Subsections 3.1 and 3.2

Given a 3-uniform hypergraph $H$, we define parameters $d_{H}=\max \{\delta(J): J \subset H\}$ and $D_{H}=\min \left\{3 d_{H}, \Delta(H)\right\}$. The following result, proved in [6], is our counting lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $k \geqslant 4$ be an integer and let $\varepsilon>0, C>1$ and an integer $d \geqslant 2$ be fixed. Let $H$ be a linear 3-uniform connector-free hypergraph on $k$ vertices such that $D_{H} \leqslant d$. Then, there exists $\delta>0$ for which the following holds for any $q=q(n)$ with $q \gg n^{-1 / d}$ and $q=o(1)$ and for sufficiently large $n$.

If $G$ is an n-vertex 3 -uniform hypergraph with $|E(G)|=q\binom{n}{3}$ hyperedges and $G$ satisfies $\operatorname{BDD}\left(D_{H}, C, q\right)$ and $\operatorname{TUPLE}(\delta, q)$, then

$$
\left||\mathcal{E}(H, G)|-n^{k} q^{|E(H)|}\right|<\varepsilon n^{k} q^{|E(H)|} .
$$

3.1. $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}$ implies DISC. Given a 3 -uniform hypergraph $G=(V, E)$ and subsets $A \subset\binom{V}{2}$ and non-empty $B \subset V$, the $q$-density between $A$ and $B$ is defined as

$$
d_{q}(A, B)=\frac{\left|E_{G}(A, B)\right|}{q|A||B|} .
$$

Before we state the main result of this subsection, Lemma 3.3, we shall prove the following result, which is inspired by a result in [13] for graphs.

Lemma 3.2. For all $0<\eta<1$ and $0<\varepsilon^{*}<(1-\eta) / 3$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, if $G=(V, E)$ is an $n$-vertex 3 -uniform hypergraph that satisfies $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}(\eta, \delta, q)$, then the following holds.

For every $C \subset\binom{V}{2}$ and $D \subset V$ such that $|C|$ is a multiple of $\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\right\rceil$ and $|D|$ is a multiple of $\left[\varepsilon^{*} n\right]$, we have

$$
1-\varepsilon^{*}<d_{q}(C, D)<1+\varepsilon^{*} .
$$

Proof. Fix $\eta>0$ and $0<\varepsilon^{*}<(1-\eta) / 3$. Let $\delta=\varepsilon^{* 3} / 24$ and put $t=1 / \varepsilon^{*}$. Suppose $G=(V, E)$ is an $n$-vertex 3 -uniform hypergraph that satisfies $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}(\eta, \delta, q)$. Now, fix $C \subset\binom{V}{2}$ and $D \subset V$ such that $|C|=k_{1}\left[\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\right]$ and $|D|=k_{2}\left[\varepsilon^{*} n\right]$ for some positive integers $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. Let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k_{1}}$ and $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k_{2}}$ be, respectively, partitions of $C$ and $D$ such that $\left|C_{1}\right|=\ldots=\left|C_{k_{1}}\right|=\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\right\rceil$ and $\left|D_{1}\right|=\ldots=\left|D_{k_{2}}\right|=\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*} n\right\rceil$. Now we partition the sets $\binom{V}{2} \backslash C$ and $V \backslash D$, respectively, in sets $C_{k_{1}+1}, \ldots, C_{t}$ and $D_{k_{2}+1}, \ldots, D_{t}$ such that $\left|C_{k_{1}+1}\right|=\ldots=\left|C_{t}\right|=\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\right\rceil$ and $\left|D_{k_{2}+1}\right|=\ldots=\left|D_{t}\right|=\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*} n\right\rceil$. Note that $\left|C_{t}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}$ and $\left|D_{t}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{*} n$.

We divide the rest of the proof into two parts. First, we prove that for any triple $i, j, j^{\prime} \in[t-1],\left|e\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right)-e\left(C_{i}, D_{j^{\prime}}\right)\right| \leqslant 6 \delta\binom{n}{2} n q$, and for any triple $i, i^{\prime}, j \in[t-1]$, $\left|e\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right)-e\left(C_{i^{\prime}}, D_{j}\right)\right| \leqslant 6 \delta\binom{n}{2} n q$. To finish the proof we put these estimates together to show that $1-\varepsilon^{*}<d_{q}(C, D)<1+\varepsilon^{*}$.

Put $X=C_{2} \cup \ldots \cup C_{t}$ and $Y=D_{3} \cup \ldots \cup D_{t}$. Since $\varepsilon^{*}<(1-\eta) / 3$, we have $|X|=$ $(t-2)\left[\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\right\rceil+\left|C_{t}\right| \geqslant(t-2) \varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2} \geqslant \eta\binom{n}{2}$ and $|Y|=(t-3)\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*} n\right\rceil+\left|D_{t}\right| \geqslant(t-3) \varepsilon^{*} n \geqslant \eta n$. Therefore, using $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}(\eta, \delta, q)$, the following two inequalities hold.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|e\left(X, D_{1} \cup Y\right)-e\left(X, D_{2} \cup Y\right)\right| \leqslant 2 \delta|X|\left(\left|D_{1}\right|+|Y|\right) q,  \tag{1}\\
\left|\frac{e\left(C_{1} \cup X, Y\right)}{\left(\left|C_{1}\right|+|X|\right)|Y| q}-\frac{e\left(C_{1} \cup X, D_{j} \cup Y\right)}{\left(\left|C_{1}\right|+|X|\right)\left(\left|D_{j}\right|+|Y|\right) q}\right| \leqslant 2 \delta, \text { for } j \in\{1,2\} . \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we define the following for $j \in\{1,2\}$

$$
p_{1 j}=\frac{e\left(C_{1} \cup X, Y\right)}{\left(\left|C_{1}\right|+|X|\right)|Y| q}-\frac{e\left(C_{1} \cup X, Y\right)+e\left(X, D_{j}\right)}{\left(\left|C_{1}\right|+|X|\right)\left(\left|D_{j}\right|+|Y|\right) q} .
$$

By (2), the following holds for $j \in\{1,2\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1 j}-2 \delta \leqslant \frac{e\left(C_{1}, D_{j}\right)}{\left(\left|C_{1}\right|+|X|\right)\left(\left|D_{j}\right|+|Y|\right) q} \leqslant p_{1 j}+2 \delta . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\left|e\left(X, D_{1}\right)-e\left(X, D_{2}\right)\right|=\left|e\left(X, D_{1} \cup Y\right)-e\left(X, D_{2} \cup Y\right)\right|$. Thus, using (1), we obtain the following inequality.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{11}-p_{12}\right|=\left|\frac{e\left(X, D_{1}\right)-e\left(X, D_{2}\right)}{\left(\left|C_{1}\right|+|X|\right)\left(\left|D_{1}\right|+|Y|\right) q}\right| \leqslant\left(\frac{|X|}{\left|C_{1}\right|+|X|}\right) 2 \delta<2 \delta . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting (3) and (4) together, we obtain the following inequality.

$$
\left|e\left(C_{1}, D_{1}\right)-e\left(C_{1}, D_{2}\right)\right|<6 \delta\left(\left|C_{1}\right|+|X|\right)\left(\left|D_{1}\right|+|Y|\right) q<6 \delta\binom{n}{2} n q .
$$

Applying the same strategy one can prove that, for any triple $i, j, j^{\prime} \in[t-1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right)-e\left(C_{i}, D_{j^{\prime}}\right)\right|<6 \delta\binom{n}{2} n q . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, we obtain the following equation for any triple $i, i^{\prime}, j \in[t-1]$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right)-e\left(C_{i^{\prime}}, D_{j}\right)\right|<6 \delta\binom{n}{2} n q . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5) and (6), we have $\left|e\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right)-e\left(C_{i^{\prime}}, D_{j^{\prime}}\right)\right|<12 \delta\binom{n}{2} n q$ for any $i, i^{\prime}, j, j^{\prime} \in[t-1]$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|d_{q}\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right)-d_{q}\left(C_{i^{\prime}}, D_{j^{\prime}}\right)\right|<\frac{12 \delta\binom{n}{2} n q}{\left|C_{i}\right|\left|D_{j}\right| q}<\frac{12 \delta}{\left(\varepsilon^{*}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\varepsilon^{*}}{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $i, i^{\prime}, j, j^{\prime} \in[t-1]$. Put $W_{C}=C_{1} \cup \ldots \cup C_{t-1}$ and $W_{D}=D_{1} \cup \ldots \cup D_{t-1}$. Since $\left|W_{C}\right| \geqslant \eta\binom{n}{2}$ and $\left|W_{D}\right| \geqslant \eta n$, we know, by property $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}(\eta, \delta, q)$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\delta<d_{q}\left(W_{C}, W_{D}\right)<1+\delta \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose for a contradiction that there exist indexes $i_{0}, j_{0} \in[t-1]$ such that either $d_{q}\left(C_{i_{0}}, D_{j_{0}}\right)>1+\varepsilon^{*}$ or $d_{q}\left(C_{i_{0}}, D_{j_{0}}\right)<1-\varepsilon^{*}$. Then, by (7), either for all $i, j \in[t-1]$ we have $d_{q}\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right)>1+\varepsilon^{*} / 2$ or for all $i, j \in[t-1]$ we have $d_{q}\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right)<1-\varepsilon^{*} / 2$. But note that

$$
d_{q}\left(W_{C}, W_{D}\right)=\frac{\sum_{i, j \in[t-1]} d_{q}\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right)\left|C_{i}\right|\left|D_{j}\right| q}{\left|W_{C}\right|\left|W_{D}\right| q}
$$

Then, either

$$
d_{q}\left(W_{C}, W_{D}\right)<\frac{(t-1)^{2}\left(1-\varepsilon^{*} / 2\right)\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\right\rceil\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*} n\right] q}{\left|W_{C}\right|\left|W_{D}\right| q}=\left(1-\varepsilon^{*} / 2\right)<1-\delta,
$$

or

$$
d_{q}\left(W_{C}, W_{D}\right)>\frac{(t-1)^{2}\left(1+\varepsilon^{*} / 2\right)\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\right\rceil\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*} n\right\rceil q}{\left|W_{C}\right|\left|W_{D}\right| q}=\left(1+\varepsilon^{*} / 2\right)>1+\delta
$$

a contradiction with (8). Therefore, for all $i, j \in[t-1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\varepsilon^{*}<d_{q}\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right)<1+\varepsilon^{*} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to estimate the densities $d_{q}\left(C_{k_{1}}, D_{j}\right)$ and $d_{q}\left(C_{i}, D_{k_{2}}\right)$ with $k_{1}=t$ and $k_{2}=t$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k_{1}$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k_{2}$. Note that $k_{1}=t\left(k_{2}=t\right)$ if and only if $\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\right\rceil=\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}$ $\left(\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*} n\right\rceil=\varepsilon^{*} n\right)$, but in these cases one can prove in the same way we proved (9). Therefore, putting all these estimates together, we obtain $1-\varepsilon^{*}<d_{q}(C, D)<1+\varepsilon^{*}$.

The next lemma shows how one can obtain discrepancy properties from $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}$ in spanning subhypergraphs of sufficiently jumbled 3-uniform hypergraphs.

Lemma 3.3. For all $0<\varepsilon^{\prime}, \eta, \sigma<1$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for every $\alpha>0$ there exists $\gamma>0$ such that the following holds.

Let $\Gamma=\left(V, E_{\Gamma}\right)$ be an n-vertex $(p, \beta)$-jumbled 3-uniform hypergraph for $0<p=p(n) \leqslant 1$ such that $\alpha p \leqslant q \leqslant p$ and $\beta \leqslant \gamma p n^{3 / 2}$. Let $G=\left(V, E_{G}\right)$ be a spanning subhypergraph of $\Gamma$. If $G$ satisfies $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}(\eta, \delta, q)$, then every pair $(X, Y)_{G}$ with $X, Y \subset V$ such that $|X|,|Y| \geqslant \sigma n$ satisfies $\operatorname{DISC}\left(q, p, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon^{\prime}, \eta, \sigma>0$ and let $\varepsilon^{*}=\min \left\{\varepsilon^{\prime 2} \sigma^{2} / 24,(1-\eta) / 4\right\}$. Let $\delta^{\prime}$ be the constant given by Lemma 3.2 applied with $\eta$ and $\varepsilon^{*}$. Put $\delta=\min \left\{\delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right\}, \alpha>0$ and $\gamma=\sigma^{3 / 2} \alpha \varepsilon^{\prime} / 2$.

Suppose that $\alpha p \leqslant q \leqslant p$ and $\beta \leqslant p \gamma n^{3 / 2}$. Let $\Gamma=\left(V, E_{\Gamma}\right)$ be an $n$-vertex $(p, \beta)$-jumbled 3-uniform hypergraph and let $G=\left(V, E_{G}\right)$ be a spanning subhypergraph of $\Gamma$ such that $G$ satisfies $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}(\eta, \delta, q)$. Let $(X, Y)_{G}$ be a pair with $X, Y \subset V$ such that $|X|,|Y| \geqslant \sigma n$. We want to prove that $(X, Y)_{G}$ satisfies $\operatorname{DISC}\left(q, p, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$. For this, fix arbitrary subsets $X^{\prime} \subset\binom{X}{2}$ and $Y^{\prime} \subset Y$. We will prove that $\left|e_{G}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)-q\right| X^{\prime}| | Y^{\prime}| | \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime} p\binom{|X|}{2}|Y|$.

Upper bound. First, consider the case where $\left|X^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime}\binom{|X|}{2}$ or $\left|Y^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime}|Y|$. Note that, from the choice of $\gamma$ and $\beta$, since $|X|,|Y| \geqslant \sigma n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \sqrt{\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|} \leqslant \alpha \varepsilon^{\prime} p\binom{|X|}{2}|Y| . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{G}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) & \leqslant p\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+\beta \sqrt{\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|} \\
& \leqslant q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+(1-\alpha) p\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+\beta \sqrt{\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|} \\
& \leqslant q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+(1-\alpha) p \varepsilon^{\prime}\binom{|X|}{2}|Y|+\beta \sqrt{\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|} \\
& \leqslant q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+\varepsilon^{\prime} p\binom{|X|}{2}|Y| \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first inequality follows from the jumbledness of $\Gamma$ and the fact that $G$ is a subhypergraph of $\Gamma$, the second one follows from the value of $q$, the third one follows from the fact that $\left|X^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime}\binom{|X|}{2}$ or $\left|Y^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime}|Y|$, and the last one is a consequence of (10). Thus, we may assume $\left|X^{\prime}\right|>\varepsilon^{\prime}\binom{|X|}{2}$ and $\left|Y^{\prime}\right|>\varepsilon^{\prime}|Y|$. We consider four cases, depending on the size of $\left|X^{\prime}\right|$ and $\left|Y^{\prime}\right|$.

Case 1: $\left(\left|X^{\prime}\right| \geqslant\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right)\binom{n}{2}\right.$ and $\left.\left|Y^{\prime}\right| \geqslant\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right) n\right)$. By the choice of $\varepsilon^{*}$, we have $\left|X^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \eta\binom{n}{2}$ and $\left|Y^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \eta n$. By $\mathcal{Q}(\eta, \delta, q)$ we conclude that

$$
e_{G}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) \leqslant(1+\delta) q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right| \leqslant q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+\varepsilon^{\prime} p\binom{|X|}{2}|Y| .
$$

Case 2: $\left(\left|X^{\prime}\right|<\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right)\binom{n}{2}\right.$ and $\left.\left|Y^{\prime}\right|<\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right) n\right)$. Note that, since $\left|X^{\prime}\right|<\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right)\binom{n}{2}$ and $\left|Y^{\prime}\right|<\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right) n$, there exist subsets $X^{*} \subset\binom{V}{2}$ and $Y^{*} \subset V$ such that $X^{*}=X^{\prime} \cup X^{\prime \prime}$ and $Y^{*}=Y^{\prime} \cup Y^{\prime \prime}$, with $X^{\prime} \cap X^{\prime \prime}=\varnothing$ and $Y^{\prime} \cap Y^{\prime \prime}=\varnothing$, where $\left|X^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}$ and $\left|X^{*}\right|$ is multiple of $\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\right\rceil$, and $\left|Y^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{*} n$ and $\left|Y^{*}\right|$ is a multiple of $\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*} n\right\rceil$. Then, we can use Lemma 3.2 to obtain the following inequality.

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{G}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) & \leqslant e_{G}\left(X^{*}, Y^{*}\right) \leqslant\left(1+\varepsilon^{*}\right)\left|X^{*}\right|\left|Y^{*}\right| q \\
& \leqslant\left(1+\varepsilon^{*}\right) q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+2 q\left(\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|X^{\prime \prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+\left|X^{\prime \prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime \prime}\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon^{*} \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime 2} \sigma^{2} / 16$, we have $\left|X^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2} \leqslant\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} / 8\right)\left|X^{\prime}\right|$ and $\left|Y^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{*} n \leqslant\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} / 8\right)\left|Y^{\prime}\right|$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{G}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) & \leqslant\left(1+\varepsilon^{*}\right) q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+2 q\left(3\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} / 8\right)\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& \leqslant q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{4} q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+\frac{3 \varepsilon^{\prime}}{4} q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right| \leqslant q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+\varepsilon^{\prime} p\binom{|X|}{2}|Y| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 3: $\left(\left|X^{\prime}\right| \geqslant\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right)\binom{n}{2}\right.$ and $\left.\left|Y^{\prime}\right|<\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right) n\right)$. As noticed before, since $\left|Y^{\prime}\right|<\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right) n$, there exist subsets $Y^{*}, Y^{\prime \prime} \subset V$ such that $Y^{*}=Y^{\prime} \cup Y^{\prime \prime}$ with $Y^{\prime} \cap Y^{\prime \prime}=\varnothing$, where $\left|Y^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{*} n$ and $\left|Y^{*}\right|$ is a multiple of $\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*} n\right\rceil$. Note that there exist subsets $\tilde{X}, X^{\prime \prime} \subset\binom{V}{2}$ such that $X^{\prime}=\tilde{X} \cup X^{\prime \prime}$ with $\tilde{X} \cap X^{\prime \prime}=\varnothing$, where $\left|X^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}$ and $|\tilde{X}|$ is a multiple of $\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\right\rceil$.

If $X^{\prime \prime}$ is empty, then put $W^{\prime \prime}=\varnothing$. If $X^{\prime \prime}$ is not empty, then we "complete" $X^{\prime \prime}$ with elements of $\binom{V}{2}$ to obtain $W^{\prime \prime}$ such that $X^{\prime \prime} \subset W^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left|W^{\prime \prime}\right|=\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\right\rceil$ (note that possibly
$W^{\prime \prime} \cap \tilde{X} \neq \varnothing$ ). Thus, $|\tilde{X}|+\left|W^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant\left|X^{\prime}\right|+\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}$. By using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{G}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) & \leqslant e_{G}\left(W^{\prime \prime}, Y^{*}\right)+e_{G}\left(\tilde{X}, Y^{*}\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(1+\varepsilon^{*}\right) q\left(\left|Y^{*}\right|\left|W^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|Y^{*}\right||\tilde{X}|\right) \\
& =\left(1+\varepsilon^{*}\right) q\left(\left|Y^{\prime}\right|\left|W^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|Y^{\prime \prime}\right|\left|W^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|Y^{\prime}\right||\tilde{X}|+\left|Y^{\prime \prime}\right||\tilde{X}|\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(1+\varepsilon^{*}\right) q\left(\left|Y^{\prime}\right|\left(\left|X^{\prime}\right|+\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\right)+\left|Y^{\prime \prime}\right|\left(\left|X^{\prime}\right|+\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(1+\varepsilon^{*}\right) q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+2 q\left(\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+\left|X^{\prime}\right| \varepsilon^{*} n+\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2} \varepsilon^{*} n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon^{*} \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime 2} \sigma^{2} / 16$, we have $\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2} \leqslant\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} / 8\right)\left|X^{\prime}\right|$ and $\varepsilon^{*} n \leqslant\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} / 8\right)\left|Y^{\prime}\right|$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{G}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) & \leqslant q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{4} q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+2 q\left(\frac{3 \varepsilon^{\prime}}{8}\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& \leqslant q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+\varepsilon^{\prime}\binom{|X|}{2}|Y| p
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 4: $\left(\left|X^{\prime}\right|<\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right)\binom{n}{2}\right.$ and $\left.\left|Y^{\prime}\right| \geqslant\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right) n\right)$. This case is analogous to Case 3.
Lower bound. If $\left|X^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime}\binom{|X|}{2}$ or $\left|Y^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime}|Y|$, then there is nothing to prove, because $\varepsilon^{\prime}\binom{|X|}{2}|Y| p>q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|$. Therefore, assume that $\left|X^{\prime}\right|>\varepsilon^{\prime}\binom{|X|}{2}$ and $\left|Y^{\prime}\right|>\varepsilon^{\prime}|Y|$. Clearly, there exist subsets $\tilde{X} \subset\binom{V}{2}$ and $\tilde{Y} \subset V$ such that $X^{\prime}=\tilde{X} \cup X^{\prime \prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}=\tilde{Y} \cup Y^{\prime \prime}$, with $\tilde{X} \cap X^{\prime \prime}=\varnothing$ and $\tilde{Y} \cap Y^{\prime \prime}=\varnothing$, where $\left|X^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}$ and $|\tilde{X}|$ is a multiple of $\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2}\right\rceil$ and $\left|Y^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{*} n$ and $|\tilde{Y}|$ is a multiple of $\left\lceil\varepsilon^{*} n\right\rceil$.

Since $\varepsilon^{*} \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime 2} \sigma^{2} / 8$, we have

$$
\left|X^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{*}\binom{n}{2} \leqslant\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} / 4\right)\left|X^{\prime}\right| \leqslant\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} / 4\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right)\right)\left|X^{\prime}\right|
$$

and $\left|Y^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{*} n \leqslant\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} / 4\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right)\right)\left|Y^{\prime}\right|$. Then, by Lemma 3.2, since $e_{G}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) \geqslant e_{G}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{G}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) & \geqslant\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right)|\tilde{X}||\tilde{Y}| q \\
& =\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right) q\left(\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|-\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime \prime}\right|-\left|X^{\prime \prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+\left|X^{\prime \prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime \prime}\right|\right) \\
& \geqslant\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right) q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|-\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right) q\left(\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|X^{\prime \prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& \geqslant q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|-\varepsilon^{*} q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|-\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right) q\left(\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} / 2\left(1-\varepsilon^{*}\right)\right)\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& \geqslant q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|-\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{2} q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|-\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{2} q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right| \\
& \geqslant q\left|X^{\prime}\right|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|-\varepsilon^{\prime}\binom{|X|}{2}|Y| p .
\end{aligned}
$$

3.2. DISC implies PAIR. The next lemma, which is a variation of Lemma 9 in [8], makes it possible to obtain PAIR from DISC in spanning subhypergraphs of sufficiently jumbled 3 -uniform hypergraphs.

Lemma 3.4. For all $0<\alpha \leqslant 1$ and $\delta^{\prime}>0$ there exists $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ such that for all $\sigma>0$ there exist $\gamma>0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large $n$.

Suppose that
(i) $\Gamma=\left(V, E_{\Gamma}\right)$ is an n-vertex 3-uniform $(p, \beta)$-jumbled hypergraph with $p \geqslant 1 / \sqrt{n}$,
(ii) $G=\left(V, E_{G}\right)$ is a spanning subhypergraph of $\Gamma$, and
(iii) $X, Y \subset V$ with $|X|,|Y| \geqslant \sigma n$.

Then, the following holds. If $\beta \leqslant \gamma p^{2} n^{3 / 2}$ and $(X, Y)_{G}$ satisfies $\operatorname{DISC}\left(q, p, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ for some $q$ with $\alpha p \leqslant q \leqslant p$, then $(X, Y)_{G}$ satisfies $\operatorname{PAIR}\left(q, p, \delta^{\prime}\right)$.

We need the following results in order to prove Lemma 3.4. First, consider the following fact, which is similar to [8, Fact 13].

Fact 3.5. Let $\Gamma$ be a 3-uniform $(p, \beta)$-jumbled hypergraph. Let $U \subset\binom{V}{2}$ and $W \subset V$ and $\xi>0$. If we have $\left|N_{\Gamma}(\{x, y\}, W)\right| \geqslant(1+\xi) p|W|$ for every $\{x, y\} \in U$ or we have $\left|N_{\Gamma}(\{x, y\}, W)\right| \leqslant(1-\xi) p|W|$ for every $\{x, y\} \in U$, then

$$
|U||W| \leqslant \frac{\beta^{2}}{\xi^{2} p^{2}}
$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma, U, W$ and $\xi$ be as in the statement and suppose that for every $\{x, y\} \in U$ we have $\left|N_{\Gamma}(\{x, y\}, W)\right| \geqslant(1+\xi) p|W|$. Suppose for a contradiction that $|U||W|>\frac{\beta^{2}}{\xi^{2} p^{2}}$. Then, $e_{\Gamma}(U, W) \geqslant|U|(1+\xi) p|W|>p|U||W|+\beta \sqrt{|U||W|}$, a contradiction to the jumbledness of $\Gamma$. The case where $\left|N_{\Gamma}(\{x, y\}, W)\right| \leqslant(1-\xi) p|W|$ for every $\{x, y\} \in U$ is analogous.

Our next result, Lemma 3.8 below, is very similar to [8, Lemma 21], but in Lemma 3.8 we consider bipartite graphs $\Gamma=\left(\binom{V}{2}, V ; E_{\Gamma}\right)$ instead of $\Gamma=\left(U, V ; E_{\Gamma}\right)$ in [8], and we consider subsets $X_{1}, X_{2}$ of $\binom{V}{2}$ with $\left|X_{1}\right|,\left|X_{2}\right| \geqslant \eta\binom{n}{2}$ instead of subsets $X_{1}, X_{2}$ of $V$ with $\left|X_{1}\right|,\left|X_{2}\right| \geqslant \eta n$. Due to this fact, the value of $\beta$ in Lemma 3.8 is $\gamma p^{2} n^{3 / 2}$, while in [8, Lemma 21] we have $\beta=\gamma p n$. The proof of Lemma 3.8 is identical to the proof of $[8$, Lemma 21] and we omit it here.

Let $\Gamma=\left(V, E_{\Gamma}\right)$ be a graph and let $X, Y \subset V$. As usual, we denote by $e_{\Gamma}(X, Y)$ the number of edges of $\Gamma$ with one end-vertex in $X$ and one end-vertex in $Y$, where edges contained in $X \cap Y$ are counted twice. We need to define jumbledness and discrepancy for graphs.

Definition 3.6 (Jumbledness for graphs). We say that $\Gamma=\left(V, E_{\Gamma}\right)$ is a ( $p, \beta$ )-jumbled graph if, for all subsets $X, Y \subset V$, we have $\left|e_{\Gamma}(X, Y)-p\right| X||Y|| \leqslant \beta \sqrt{|X||Y|}$. Furthermore, a bipartite graph $\Gamma_{B}=(U, V ; E)$ is called $(p, \beta)$-jumbled if, for all $X \subset U$ and $Y \subset V$, we have $\left|e_{\Gamma}(X, Y)-p\right| X||Y|| \leqslant \beta \sqrt{|X||Y|}$.

Property 3.7 (Discrepancy for graphs). Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph and let $X, Y \subset V$ be disjoint. We say that $(X, Y)$ satisfies $\operatorname{DISC}(q, p, \varepsilon)$ in $G\left(\right.$ or $(X, Y)_{G}$ satisfies $\left.\operatorname{DISC}(q, p, \varepsilon)\right)$ if for all $X^{\prime} \subset X$ and $Y^{\prime} \subset Y$ we have

$$
\left|e_{G}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)-q\right| X^{\prime}| | Y^{\prime}| | \leqslant \varepsilon p|X||Y| .
$$

Lemma 3.8. For all positive real $\varrho_{0}$ and $\nu$, there exists a positive real $\mu$ such that, for all $\sigma^{\prime}>0$, there exist $\gamma>0$ and $n_{0}>0$ such that for all $n \geqslant n_{0}$, the following holds.

Suppose
(i) $\Gamma=\left(\binom{V}{2}, V ; E_{\Gamma}\right)$ is a bipartite $(p, \beta)$-jumbled graph with $|V| \geqslant n, p \geqslant 1 / \sqrt{n}$ and $\beta \leqslant \gamma p^{2} n^{3 / 2}$,
(ii) $X_{1}, X_{2} \subset\binom{V}{2}$ and $Y \subset V$ with $\left|X_{1}\right|,\left|X_{2}\right| \geqslant \sigma^{\prime}\binom{n}{2},|Y| \geqslant \sigma^{\prime} n$,
(iii) $B=\left(X_{1}, X_{2} ; E_{B}\right)$ is an arbitrary bipartite graph.

Then, if $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)_{B}$ satisfies $\operatorname{DISC}(\varrho, 1, \mu)$ for some $\varrho$ with $\varrho_{0} \leqslant \varrho \leqslant 1$, then for all but at most $\nu|Y|$ vertices $y \in Y$, the pair $\left(N_{\Gamma}\left(y, X_{1}\right), N_{\Gamma}\left(y, X_{2}\right)\right)_{B}$ satisfies $\operatorname{DISC}(\varrho, 1, \nu)$.

We need two facts before proving of Lemma 3.4.
Fact 3.9 ([8, Fact 22]). Suppose $\varrho_{0}>0, \mu>0$ and $B=\left(X, E_{B}\right)$ is a graph with $\left|E_{B}\right| \geqslant \varrho_{0}\binom{|X|}{2}$. Then there exist disjoint subsets $X_{1}, X_{2} \subset X$ such that
(i) $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)_{B}$ satisfies $\operatorname{DISC}(\varrho, 1, \mu)$ for some $\varrho \geqslant \varrho_{0}$,
(ii) $\left|X_{1}\right|,\left|X_{2}\right| \geqslant \zeta|X|$ for $\zeta=\varrho_{0}^{100 / \mu^{2}} / 4$.

Fact 3.10. Let $\Gamma=(V, E)$ be a 3-uniform hypergraph and let $\left.\Gamma^{\prime}=\binom{V}{2}, V ; E^{\prime}\right)$ be a bipartite graph, where $E^{\prime}=\left\{\left\{\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}, v\right\}:\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \in\binom{V}{2}, v \in V\right.$ and $\left.\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v\right\} \in E\right\}$. Then, $\Gamma$ is $(p, \beta)$-jumbled if and only if $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is $(p, \beta)$-jumbled.

We have stated all the tools needed in the proof of Lemma 3.4. This proof is very similar to the proof of [8, Lemma 9].

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Let $0<\alpha \leqslant 1$ and $0<\delta^{\prime}<1$ be given. Put $\xi=\delta^{\prime} / 6, \varrho_{0}=\delta^{\prime} / 50$ and $\nu=\alpha^{2} \xi \varrho_{0} / 64$. Let $\mu$ be obtained by an application of Lemma 3.8 with parameters $\varrho_{0}$ and $\nu$. Without loss of generality, assume $\mu<\xi \varrho_{0} / 4$. Let $\zeta=\varrho_{0}^{100 / \mu^{2}} / 4$ be given and put $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\min \left\{\alpha \delta^{\prime 2} / 36,\left(\alpha^{3} \xi \varrho_{0} \zeta / 64\right)^{2}\right\}$. Now fix $\sigma>0$ and let $\sigma^{\prime}=\zeta \sigma^{2} / 2$. Following the
quantification of Lemma 3.8 applied with parameter $\sigma^{\prime}$ we obtain $\gamma^{\prime}$ and $n_{0}$. Then, put

$$
\gamma=\min \left\{\gamma^{\prime}, \sqrt{\sigma^{3} \delta^{\prime} / 12},(\alpha / 2) \sqrt{\xi \varrho_{0} \sigma \sigma^{\prime} / 24}\right\}
$$

Finally, consider $n$ sufficiently large and suppose $p \geqslant 1 / \sqrt{n}$.
Fix $\beta \leqslant \gamma p^{2} n^{3 / 2}$ and consider a 3 -uniform $(p, \beta)$-jumbled hypergraph $\Gamma=\left(V, E_{\Gamma}\right)$ such that $|V|=n$ and let $G=\left(V, E_{G}\right)$ be a spanning subhypergraph of $\Gamma$. Let $X, Y$ be subsets of $V$ such that $|X|,|Y| \geqslant \sigma n$. Suppose that $(X, Y)_{G}$ satisfies $\operatorname{DISC}\left(q, p, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ for some $q$ with $\alpha p \leqslant q \leqslant p$, i.e., for all $X^{\prime} \subset\binom{X}{2}$ and $Y^{\prime} \subset Y$ the following holds.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e_{G}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)-q\right| X^{\prime}| | Y^{\prime}| | \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime} p\binom{|X|}{2}|Y| \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to prove that the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{S_{1} \in\binom{X}{2}} \| N_{G}\left(S_{1} ; Y\right)|-q| Y| | \leqslant \delta^{\prime} p\binom{|X|}{2}|Y|,  \tag{13}\\
\sum_{S_{1} \in\binom{X}{2}} \sum_{S_{2} \in\binom{X}{2}}| | N_{G}\left(S_{1}, S_{2} ; Y\right)\left|-q^{2}\right| Y| | \leqslant \delta^{\prime} p^{2}\binom{|X|}{2}^{2}|Y| . \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

We start by verifying (13). For at most $\delta^{\prime}\binom{|X|}{2} / 6$ pairs $S \in\binom{X}{2}$, we have

$$
\left|\left|N_{G}(S, Y)\right|-q\right| Y\left|\|>\left(\delta^{\prime} / 3\right) q\right| Y \mid
$$

Indeed, otherwise there would be a set $B_{X} \subset\binom{X}{2}$ with at least $\delta^{\prime}\binom{|X|}{2} / 12$ elements such that, for all $\left\{x, x^{\prime}\right\} \in B_{X}$, either $\left|N_{G}\left(\left\{x, x^{\prime}\right\}, Y\right)\right|>\left(1+\delta^{\prime} / 3\right) q|Y|$ or for all of them we have $\left|N_{G}\left(\left\{x, x^{\prime}\right\}, Y\right)\right|<\left(1-\delta^{\prime} / 3\right) q|Y|$. In either case, we would have

$$
\left|e_{G}\left(B_{X}, Y\right)-q\right| B_{X}| | Y| |>\frac{\delta^{\prime 2}}{36} q\binom{|X|}{2}|Y| \geqslant \frac{\delta^{\prime 2} \alpha}{36} p\binom{|X|}{2}|Y| \geqslant \varepsilon^{\prime} p\binom{|X|}{2}|Y|
$$

where the last inequality follows from the choice of $\varepsilon^{\prime}$. But this contradicts (12) when we put $X^{\prime}=B_{X}$ and $Y^{\prime}=Y$.

Let $W$ be the set of pairs $S \in\binom{X}{2}$ such that $\left|N_{\Gamma}(S, Y)\right| \geqslant 2 p|Y|$. By Fact 3.5 applied to $W$ and $Y$ with $\xi=1$, we know that there exist at most $\beta^{2} / p^{2}|Y|$ elements $S \in W$ such that $\left|N_{\Gamma}(S, Y)\right| \geqslant 2 p|Y|$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{S \in\binom{X}{2}}| | N_{G}(S, Y)|-q| Y| | & \leqslant\binom{|X|}{2} \frac{\delta^{\prime}}{3} q|Y|+\left(\frac{\delta^{\prime}}{6}\binom{|X|}{2}\right) 2 p|Y|+\left(\beta^{2} / p^{2}|Y|\right)|Y| \\
& \leqslant p\binom{|X|}{2}|Y|\left(\frac{2 \delta^{\prime}}{3}\right)+(\beta / p)^{2} \leqslant \delta^{\prime} p\binom{|X|}{2}|Y|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the facts that $\beta \leqslant \gamma p^{2} n^{3 / 2}$ and $\gamma \leqslant \sqrt{\sigma^{3} \delta^{\prime} / 12}$. We just proved that (13) holds.

Suppose for a contradiction that (14) does not holds. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{S_{1} \in\binom{X}{2}} \sum_{S_{2} \in\binom{X}{2}}| | N_{G}\left(S_{1}, S_{2} ; Y\right)\left|-q^{2}\right| Y| |>\delta^{\prime} p^{2}\binom{|X|}{2}^{2}|Y| . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the following sets of "bad" pairs.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right) \in\binom{X}{2} \times\binom{ X}{2}:\left|N_{\Gamma}\left(S_{1}, Y\right)\right|>2 p|Y|\right\} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{2}=\left\{\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right) \in\binom{X}{2} \times\binom{ X}{2} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{1}:\left|N_{\Gamma}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, Y\right)\right|>4 p^{2}|Y|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Gamma$ is $(p, \beta)$-jumbled, it follows that

$$
\left|\mathcal{B}_{1}\right| \leqslant \frac{\beta^{2}}{p^{2}|Y|}\binom{|X|}{2} \leqslant \frac{\gamma^{2} n^{3} p^{2}}{|Y|}\binom{|X|}{2} \leqslant \frac{\gamma^{2} n^{2} p^{2}}{\sigma}\binom{|X|}{2} \leqslant \frac{\delta^{\prime}}{3} p^{2}\binom{|X|}{2}^{2}
$$

where the first inequality follows from Fact 3.5 applied to the sets

$$
W=\left\{S_{1} \in\binom{X}{2}:\left|N_{\Gamma}\left(S_{1}, Y\right)\right| \geqslant 2 p|Y|\right\}
$$

and $Y$ with $\xi=1$. The second inequality follows from the choice of $\beta$, the third one follows from $|Y| \geqslant \sigma n$, and the last one holds because $|X| \geqslant \sigma n$ and $\gamma \leqslant \sqrt{\sigma^{3} \delta^{\prime} / 12}$.

We want to bound $\left|\mathcal{B}_{2}\right|$ from above. By definition, if a pair of vertices belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{2}$, then it does not belong to $\mathcal{B}_{1}$. Then, consider a pair of vertices $S_{1} \in\binom{X}{2}$ such that $\left|N_{\Gamma}\left(S_{1}, Y\right)\right| \leqslant 2 p|Y|$. Consider a set $Y^{\prime} \subset Y$ of size exactly $2 p|Y|$ that contains $N_{\Gamma}\left(S_{1}, Y\right)$. Applying Fact 3.5 to the sets $\left\{S_{2} \in\binom{X}{2}:\left|N_{\Gamma}\left(S_{2}, Y^{\prime}\right)\right| \geqslant 2 p\left|Y^{\prime}\right|\right\}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ with $\xi=1$, we conclude that there are at most $\beta^{2} / p^{2}\left|Y^{\prime}\right|$ pairs $S_{2} \in\binom{X}{2}$ such that $\left|N_{\Gamma}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, Y\right)\right|>4 p^{2}|Y|$. Therefore,

$$
\left|\mathcal{B}_{2}\right| \leqslant\binom{|X|}{2} \frac{\beta^{2}}{p^{2} 2 p|Y|} \leqslant\binom{|X|}{2} \frac{\gamma^{2} n^{2} p}{2 \sigma} \leqslant \frac{\delta^{\prime}}{6} p\binom{|X|}{2}^{2}
$$

The summation below is over the pairs $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right) \in\binom{X}{2} \times\binom{ X}{2} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{2}$. By (15) and the upper bounds on $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum\left|\left|N_{G}\left(S_{1}, S_{2} ; Y\right)\right|-q^{2}\right| Y|\mid & >\delta^{\prime} p^{2}\binom{|X|}{2}^{2}|Y|-\left|\mathcal{B}_{1}\right||Y|-\left|\mathcal{B}_{2}\right| 2 p|Y| \\
& \geqslant \delta^{\prime} p^{2}\binom{|X|}{2}^{2}|Y|-\frac{2 \delta^{\prime}}{3} p^{2}\binom{|X|}{2}^{2}|Y|  \tag{16}\\
& =\frac{\delta^{\prime}}{3} p^{2}\binom{|X|}{2}^{2}|Y|
\end{align*}
$$

The contribution of the pairs $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right) \notin\left(\mathcal{B}_{1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$ with $\left\|N_{G}\left(S_{1}, S_{2} ; Y\right)\left|-q^{2}\right| Y\left|\| \leqslant \delta^{\prime} q^{2}\right| Y \mid / 6\right.$ to the sum in (16) is at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta^{\prime}}{6} p^{2}\binom{|X|}{2}^{2}|Y| \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, by the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{2}$, for all $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right) \notin \mathcal{B}_{1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{2}$, the following holds.

$$
\| N_{G}\left(S_{1}, S_{2} ; Y\right)\left|-q^{2}\right| Y| | \leqslant \max \left\{q^{2}|Y|,\left(4 p^{2}-q^{2}\right)|Y|\right\} \leqslant 4 p^{2}|Y|
$$

Hence, by (16) and (17), there exist at least $\delta^{\prime}\binom{|X|}{2}^{2} / 24$ pairs $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right) \in\binom{X}{2} \times\binom{ X}{2} \backslash\left(\mathcal{B}_{1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left|N_{G}\left(S_{1}, S_{2} ; Y\right)\right|-q^{2}\right| Y\left|\left|>\frac{\delta^{\prime}}{6} q^{2}\right| Y\right|=\xi q^{2}|Y| \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us define two auxiliary graphs $B^{+}$and $B^{-}$with vertex-set $\binom{X}{2}$ and edge-sets as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(B^{+}\right)=\left\{\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}\right\} \in\left(\begin{array}{c}
X \\
2 \\
2
\end{array}\right)\right. \\
& E\left(B^{-}\right)=\left\{\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}\right\} \in\left(\begin{array}{c}
X \\
2 \\
2
\end{array}\right):\left|N_{G}\left(S_{1}, S_{2} ; Y\right)\right|<(1-\xi) q^{2}|Y|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since there are at least $\delta^{\prime}\binom{|X|}{2}^{2} / 24$ pairs $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right) \in\binom{X}{2} \times\binom{ X}{2}$ such that (18) holds, we have

$$
\max \left\{e\left(B^{+}\right), e\left(B^{-}\right)\right\} \geqslant \frac{\binom{|X|}{2}^{2} \delta^{\prime} / 24}{4}-\binom{|X|}{2} \geqslant \varrho_{0}\left(\begin{array}{c}
|X| \\
2 \\
2
\end{array}\right),
$$

where in the first inequality the term " 4 " in the denominator comes from the fact that now we are counting unordered pairs and the edges belongs either to $E\left(B^{+}\right)$or $E\left(B^{-}\right)$. Furthermore, we discount the pairs $\left\{S_{1}, S_{1}\right\}$.

Suppose without lost of generality that $e\left(B^{+}\right) \geqslant \varrho_{0}\left(\begin{array}{c}\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}|X| \\ 2 \\ 2\end{array}\right.\right)\end{array}\right)$. Then, Fact 3.9 implies that there exist subsets $X_{1}, X_{2} \subset\binom{X}{2}$ with $\left|X_{1}\right|,\left|X_{2}\right| \geqslant \zeta\binom{|X|}{2}$ such that $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)_{B^{+}}$satisfies $\operatorname{DISC}(\varrho, 1, \mu)$ for some $\varrho \geqslant \varrho_{0}$.

Recall that $\Gamma=\left(V, E_{\Gamma}\right)$ is a 3 -uniform $(p, \beta)$-jumbled hypergraph with $n$ vertices. By Fact 3.10, the bipartite graph $\Gamma^{\prime}=\left(\binom{V}{2}, V ; E_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\right)$, where

$$
E_{\Gamma^{\prime}}=\left\{\left\{\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}, v\right\}:\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \in\binom{V}{2}, v \in V \text { and }\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v\right\} \in E_{\Gamma}\right\}
$$

is a $(p, \beta)$-jumbled graph. Note that $X_{1}, X_{2} \subset\binom{X}{2} \subset\binom{V}{2}$ with $\left|X_{1}\right|,\left|X_{2}\right| \geqslant \zeta\binom{|X|}{2} \geqslant$ $\zeta\binom{\sigma n}{2} \geqslant\left(\zeta \sigma^{2} / 2\right)\binom{n}{2} \geqslant \sigma^{\prime}\binom{n}{2}$. Therefore, the hypotheses of Lemma 3.8 are satisfied. By Lemma 3.8 we conclude that for all but at most $\nu|Y|$ vertices $y \in Y$, the pair $\left(N_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\left(y, X_{1}\right), N_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\left(y, X_{2}\right)\right)_{B^{+}}$satisfies $\operatorname{DISC}(\varrho, 1, \nu)$, which implies the following statement for all but at most $\nu|Y|$ vertices $y \in Y$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N_{\Gamma}\left(y, X_{1}\right), N_{\Gamma}\left(y, X_{2}\right)\right)_{B^{+}} \text {satisfies } \operatorname{DISC}(\varrho, 1, \nu) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us estimate the number of triplets $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, y\right)$ in $X_{1} \times X_{2} \times Y$ such that $\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}\right\}$ is an edge of $B^{+}$and the pairs $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ belong to the neighbourhood of $y$ in $G$. Formally, we define such triplets as follows.

$$
\mathcal{T}=\left\{\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, y\right) \in X_{1} \times X_{2} \times Y: S_{1} \in N_{G}\left(y, X_{1}\right), S_{2} \in N_{G}\left(y, X_{2}\right),\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}\right\} \in E\left(B^{+}\right)\right\}
$$

By the definition of $B^{+}$we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{T}| & >(1+\xi) q^{2}|Y| e_{B^{+}}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \geqslant(1+\xi) q^{2}|Y|(\varrho-\mu)\left|X_{1}\right|\left|X_{2}\right| \\
& >\left(1+\frac{\xi}{2}\right) \varrho q^{2}\left|X_{1}\right|\left|X_{2}\right||Y| \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the second inequality we used the fact that $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)_{B^{+}} \in \operatorname{DISC}(\varrho, 1, \mu)$ and the last one follows from the choice of $\mu$.

Now we will give an upper bound on $|\mathcal{T}|$ that contradicts (20). For that, we write $|\mathcal{T}|=\sum_{y \in Y} e_{B^{+}}\left(N_{G}\left(y, X_{1}\right), N_{G}\left(y, X_{2}\right)\right)$. Put

$$
Y^{\prime}=\left\{y \in Y: d_{\Gamma}\left(y, X_{i}\right) \leqslant 2 p\left|X_{i}\right| \text { for both } i=1,2\right\} .
$$

By (19), for all but at most $\nu|Y|$ vertices $y \in Y^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{B^{+}}\left(N_{G}\left(y, X_{1}\right), N_{G}\left(y, X_{2}\right)\right) \leqslant & \varrho\left|N_{G}\left(y, X_{1}\right)\right|\left|N_{G}\left(y, X_{2}\right)\right| \\
& +\nu\left|N_{\Gamma}\left(y, X_{1}\right)\right|\left|N_{\Gamma}\left(y, X_{2}\right)\right| \\
\leqslant & \varrho d_{G}\left(y, X_{1}\right) d_{G}\left(y, X_{2}\right)+4 \nu p^{2}\left|X_{1}\right|\left|X_{2}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that $y \in Y^{\prime}$. Now we will bound the terms related to vertices in $Y \backslash Y^{\prime}$. By Fact 3.5, we have $\left|Y \backslash Y^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \beta^{2}\left(1 / p^{2}\left|X_{1}\right|+1 / p^{2}\left|X_{2}\right|\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{T}| \leqslant & \sum_{y \in Y^{\prime}}\left(\varrho d_{G}\left(y, X_{1}\right) d_{G}\left(y, X_{2}\right)+4 \nu p^{2}\left|X_{1}\right|\left|X_{2}\right|\right)+\nu|Y| 4 p^{2}\left|X_{1}\right|\left|X_{2}\right| \\
& +\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{p^{2}\left|X_{1}\right|}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{p^{2}\left|X_{2}\right|}\right)\left|X_{1}\right|\left|X_{2}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The next inequality is obtained by putting the following facts together: $\nu=\alpha^{2} \xi \varrho / 64$, $\gamma \leqslant(\alpha / 2) \sqrt{\xi \varrho_{0} \sigma \sigma^{\prime} / 24}, q \geqslant \alpha p,\left|X_{1}\right|,\left|X_{2}\right| \geqslant \sigma^{\prime}\binom{n}{2}$ and $|Y| \geqslant \sigma n$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{T}| \leqslant \varrho \sum_{y \in Y^{\prime}}\left(d_{G}\left(y, X_{1}\right) d_{G}\left(y, X_{2}\right)\right)+\frac{\xi}{4} \varrho q^{2}\left|X_{1}\right|\left|X_{2}\right||Y| . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $Y_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{y \in Y: d_{G}\left(y, X_{i}\right)>\left(1+\sqrt{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right) q\left|X_{i}\right|\right\}$ for both $i=1,2$. Since $(X, Y)_{G} \in$ $\operatorname{DISC}\left(q, p, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$, it is not hard to see that $\left|Y_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon^{\prime}} p\binom{|X|}{2}|Y| / q\left|X_{i}\right|$ for both $i=1,2$. Since


$$
\left|Y_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \frac{\xi \varrho \alpha^{2}}{64}|Y|
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{y \in Y^{\prime}}\left(d_{G}\left(y, X_{1}\right) d_{G}\left(y, X_{2}\right)\right)= & \sum_{y \in Y^{\prime} \backslash\left(Y_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cup Y_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)}\left(d_{G}\left(y, X_{1}\right) d_{G}\left(y, X_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{y \in Y^{\prime} \cap\left(Y_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cup Y_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)}\left(d_{G}\left(y, X_{1}\right) d_{G}\left(y, X_{2}\right)\right) \\
\leqslant & |Y|\left(1+\sqrt{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)^{2} q^{2}\left|X_{1}\right|\left|X_{2}\right|+\frac{\xi \varrho \alpha^{2}}{32}|Y|\left(4 p^{2}\left|X_{1}\right|\left|X_{2}\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, since $\sqrt{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \leqslant \xi / 24$, the above inequality together with (21) implies

$$
|\mathcal{T}| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{\xi}{2}\right) \varrho q^{2}\left|X_{1}\right|\left|X_{2}\right||Y|
$$

a contradiction with (20).

## §4. Proof of the main result

In this section we show how to combine the lemmas presented in Section 3 in order to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\varepsilon, \alpha, \eta>0, C>1$ and $k \geqslant 4$ be given. Let $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}$ be all the $k$-vertex 3 -uniform hypergraphs which are linear and connector-free. Applying Lemma 3.1 with parameters $k, C, \varepsilon$ and $d=k$ for $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}$, we obtain, respectively, constants $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{r}$. Now put $\delta_{\text {min }}=\min \left\{\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{r}\right\}$. Let $\delta^{\prime}$ be given by Lemma 2.5 applied with $\alpha$ and $\delta_{\min }$. Let $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ be given by Lemma 3.4 applied with $\alpha$ and $\delta^{\prime}$. Lemma 3.3 applied with $\varepsilon^{\prime}, \eta$ and $\sigma=1$ gives $\delta$. Following the quantification of Lemma 3.3 applied with $\alpha$ we obtain $\gamma_{1}$. Finally, following the quantification of Lemma 3.4 applied with $\sigma=1$ we obtain $\gamma_{2}$.

Put $\gamma=\min \left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\}$. Let $p=p(n)=o(1)$ with $p \gg n^{-1 / k}$ and let $q=q(n)$ be such that $\alpha p \leqslant q \leqslant p$. In what follows we suppose that $n$ is sufficiently large.

Let $\Gamma=\left(V, E_{\Gamma}\right)$ be an $n$-vertex $(p, \beta)$-jumbled 3-uniform hypergraph and let $G$ be a spanning subhypergraph of $\Gamma$ with $|E(G)|=q\binom{n}{3}$ such that $G$ satisfies $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}(\eta, \delta, q)$ and $\operatorname{BDD}(k, C, q)$. Suppose that $\beta \leqslant \gamma p^{2} n^{3 / 2}$. We want to prove that $G$ contains $(1 \pm \varepsilon) n^{k} q^{|E(H)|}$ copies of all linear 3 -uniform connector-free hypergraphs $H$ with $k$ vertices. By Lemma 3.3, our hypergraph $G$ satisfies $\operatorname{DISC}\left(q, p, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$. Now apply Lemmas 3.4 and 2.5 in succession to deduce that $G$ satisfies $\operatorname{PAIR}\left(q, p, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{TUPLE}(\delta, q)$. Now let $H$ be any linear 3uniform connector-free hypergraphs $H$ with $k$ vertices. Since $G$ satisfies TUPLE $(\delta, q)$ and $\operatorname{BDD}(k, C, q)$, by Lemma 3.1, we conclude that

$$
\left||\mathcal{E}(H, G)|-n^{k} q^{|E(H)|}\right|<\varepsilon n^{k} q^{|E(H)|} .
$$

## §5. Concluding Remarks

Most of the definitions in this paper generalize naturally to $k$-uniform hypergraphs, for $k$ larger than 3 . Lemma 3.1 holds for $k$-uniform hypergraphs for every $k \geqslant 2$ (for details, see [6]). It would be interesting to obtain a version of Theorem 2.1 for $k$-uniform hypergraphs when $k>3$, but unfortunately such a generalization presents new difficulties and will be considered elsewhere.
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