

In our application to Floer theory, the construction of the path of symplectic matrices from the linearization of the flow along a periodic orbit<sub>x</sub> depended on a choice of trivialization of  $x^*TM$ , which in turn depended on a capping disk for  $x$ . Different homotopy classes of such disks differ by taking a connected sum with a map  $v: S^2 \rightarrow M$ , and one shows that

$$\mu_{EZ}(x, [u \# v]) = \mu_{EZ}(x, [u]) + 2 \langle c_1(TM), [v] \rangle$$

where  $c_1(TM) \in H^2(M)$  is the first Chern class of the bundle  $(TM, \omega) \rightarrow M$ , and the term  $\langle c_1(TM), [v] \rangle$  can be interpreted as the obstruction to the existence of a symplectic trivialization of  $v^*TM \rightarrow S^2$ .

So if

$$\langle c_1(TM), [v] \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } v: S^2 \rightarrow M,$$

then the Conley-Zehnder index of any periodic orbit is well-defined.

Def: A symplectic manifold is called symplectically aspherical if

$$\omega|_{\pi_2(M)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_1|_{\pi_2(M)} = 0.$$

Examples include products of surfaces and cotangent bundles.

On symplectically aspherical manifold  $(M, \omega)$ :

- the action functional  $\mathcal{A}_H$  associated to a Hamiltonian  $H: \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is well-defined on  $\mathcal{L}_0 M$
- every nondegenerate critical point of  $\mathcal{A}_H$  (i.e. 1-periodic orbit of the Hamiltonian flow) has a well-defined Conley-Zehnder index.

Symplectic asphericity is a rather restrictive assumption. The theory can still be developed without a massive additional machinery in a more general framework:

Def: A symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  is called

\* monotone if there exists a constant  $\tau > 0$  such that

$$\int_{S^2} v^* c_1^{\text{orb}}(M) = \tau \int_{S^2} v^* \omega \quad \text{for all } v: S^2 \rightarrow M$$

\* weakly monotone if  $\tau \geq 0$  in the above condition

\* semipositive if for all  $A \in \pi_2(M)$

$$\omega(A) > 0 \text{ and } c_1(A) \geq 3-n \Rightarrow c_1(A) \geq 0$$

\* strongly semipositive if for all  $A \in \pi_2(M)$

$$\omega(A) > 0 \text{ and } c_1(A) \geq 2-n \Rightarrow c_1(A) \geq 0.$$

Examples:

\* ~~For~~  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega_{FS})$  with the normalization

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} \omega_{FS} = 1 \quad \text{is monotone with } \tau = n+1.$$

\* A product  $\mathbb{C}P^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{C}P^{n_r}$  is monotone if and only if all factors are normalized to have the same monotonicity constant  $\tau$

\* all symplectically aspherical manifolds are (trivially) monotone

\* every weakly monotone symplectic manifold is (strongly) semipositive

\* Any Calabi-Yau manifold (meaning  $c_1(TM) = 0$ ) is weakly monotone.

Remarks:

- The book of Audin + Damian treats the simplest interesting case of symplectically aspherical manifolds.
- Salamon's lecture notes treat the case of monotone symplectic manifolds
- The semi-positive case is discussed in the book " $J$ -holomorphic curves and symplectic topology" by McDuff and Salamon

The semi-positivity assumption is enough to define Floer homology of a given  $H$ . To prove invariance, one needs strong semi-positivity.

All of these conditions make sure that one can avoid the following problem:

Suppose  $(M, \omega, J)$  are such that there exists a map  $v: S^2 \rightarrow M$  with  $\overline{\partial}_J v = 0$  and  $\underbrace{\langle c_1(TM), [v] \rangle}_{=: c_1([v])} < 0$

We know from last semester that

$$\dim \mathcal{M}(J; A) = 2n - 6 + 2 \langle c_1(TM), A \rangle$$

If the  $v$  above is a simple curve ( $[v]$  is not an integer multiple of another class), and  $c_1([v]) \geq 3 - n$ , then for generic  $J$  the map  $v$  could be regular. But then for this  $J$ , all moduli spaces

$$\mathcal{M}(J, kA) \quad \text{with } k \geq 1$$

are nonempty, because they contain branched covers of maps in  $\mathcal{M}(J, A)$  such as  $v$ .

On the other hand, for  $k$  sufficiently large, the expected dimension

$$\dim \mathcal{M}(J, k \underbrace{[v]}_{[v]}) = 2n - 6 + 2k c_1([v]) < 0$$

Since

$\mathcal{M}(J, [v])$  is regular, perturbing  $J$  will not make these  $\mathcal{M}(J, k[v])$  transversely cut out.

We will now discuss the symplectically aspherical case first, and remark on changes needed for the other cases later.

55

Assumption (until further notice)

$(M, \omega)$  is closed and symplectically aspherical

Theorem 1:

Let  $H: \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be 1-periodic with all 1-periodic orbits nondegenerate. Then for a generic (possibly time-dependent) a.e. structure  $\mathcal{J} = \{\mathcal{J}_t\}_{t \in S^1}$  on  $M$  the moduli space

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(x^+, x^-; H, \mathcal{J}) = \left\{ u: \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow M : \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}, H} u = 0, \lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(s, t) = x^\pm(t) \right\}$$

is a manifold of dimension

$$\mu_{\text{CF}}(x^+) - \mu_{\text{CF}}(x^-).$$

Remarks:

① As in the Morse case, one views  $\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}, H}$ , given as

$$\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}, H} u = \partial_s u + \mathcal{J}_t (\partial_t u + X_{H_t}(u))$$

as a section of a Banach space bundle  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, M)$  with fiber at  $u$  given by  $L^2(u^*TM)$ .

In a unitary trivialization of  $u^*TM$  at a solution  $u$ , we get a linearization

$$D_u: W^{1,2}(u^*TM) \rightarrow L^2(u^*TM)$$

of the form

$$D_u f = \partial_s f + \mathcal{J} \partial_t f + S f,$$

which is a lower order perturbation of a Cauchy-Riemann operator.

Using this and the nondegeneracy of the asymptotic, one proves that  $D_u$  is Fredholm. Then the index is computed by a spectral flow argument.

(2) If  $M$  is not symplectically aspherical,  $\tilde{M}(x^+, x^-; H, \gamma)$  can have components of different dimensions. Still, the formula ~~remains~~ for the local dimension at a map  $u \in \tilde{M}(x^+, x^-; H, \gamma)$  remains correct if the capping disks used to compute the index are related by  $u^+ = u^- \# u$ .

(3) Transversality can be achieved either by perturbing  $\{H_t\}$  or by perturbing  $\{\gamma_t\}$ . The latter corresponds to the perturbation of the metric in Floer theory, but note that the metrics obtained from varying  $\gamma$  form only a thin subset of all metrics.