Homework 4, due Tuesday 11 March, before 12.00

- 1. In the following, assume that x is not a free variable of ψ . Which of the following statements are intuitionistically valid? (If yes, give a proof, if not, give a countermodel).
 - (a) $(\exists x \varphi(x) \to \psi) \to \forall x(\varphi(x) \to \psi)$
 - (b) $\forall x(\varphi(x) \to \psi) \to (\exists x \varphi(x) \to \psi)$
 - (c) $(\forall x \varphi(x) \to \psi) \to \exists x(\varphi(x) \to \psi)$
 - (d) $\exists x(\varphi(x) \to \psi) \to (\forall x \varphi(x) \to \psi)$ [4 pts]
- 2. Show that $\forall x \neg \neg \varphi(x) \rightarrow \neg \neg \forall x \varphi(x)$ is valid on all frames with a finite number of worlds. [4 pts]
- 3. Show that the canonical model of a logic **L** between **IPC** and **CPC** has a root if and only if **L** has the disjunction property.

(We say that a logic **L** has the disjunction property if for all ϕ, ψ we have that if $\mathbf{L} \vdash \phi \lor \psi$ then $\mathbf{L} \vdash \phi$ or $\mathbf{L} \vdash \psi$.) [5 pts]

4.* Let **CD** be the logic axiomatized by $\mathbf{IQC} + \forall x(\varphi \lor \psi(x)) \to \varphi \lor \forall x\psi(x)$. You may assume that **CD** is sound and complete with regard to models with a constant domain.

Let *P* be a new unary predicate symbol. For every φ define the **relativization of** φ **to** *P*, $\varphi^{(P)}$, by induction on the complexity of φ , as follows:

- If A is atomic, then $A^{(P)} := A$
- $(\varphi \wedge \psi)^{(P)} := \varphi^{(P)} \wedge \psi^{(P)}$
- $(\varphi \lor \psi)^{(P)} := \varphi^{(P)} \lor \psi^{(P)}$
- $(\varphi \to \psi)^{(P)} := \varphi^{(P)} \to \psi^{(P)}$
- $(\forall x \varphi)^{(P)} := \forall x (P(x) \to \varphi^{(P)})$
- $(\exists x\varphi)^{(P)} := \exists x (P(x) \land \varphi^{(P)})$

(Intuitively, $\varphi^{(P)}$ says the same as φ but with all terms ranging over P).

Show that $\mathbf{CD} \vdash (\exists x P(x)) \rightarrow \varphi^{(P)}$ iff $\mathbf{IQC} \vdash \varphi$. [5 pts]