

Generic extensions preserve axioms of ZFC

Forcing & Independence Proofs Project

Quentin Gougeon

28 January 2021

Setup

We fix a countable model M of ZFC, a forcing poset $\mathbb{P} \in M$ and a \mathbb{P} -generic filter G over M .

Theorem

$M[G]$ is a model of ZFC

Axioms of ZFC

- Extensionality
- Pairing
- Union
- Power Set
- Comprehension
- Infinity
- Replacement
- Foundation
- Choice

Extensionality

Lemma

$M[G]$ is transitive.

Proof.

If $x \in \tau_G \in M[G]$ then x is of the form $x = \sigma_G$ with $(\sigma, p) \in \tau$ and $p \in G$. Since τ is a \mathbb{P} -name, so is σ , hence $\sigma_G \in M[G]$. \square

Extensionality

Lemma

$M[G]$ is transitive.

Proof.

If $x \in \tau_G \in M[G]$ then x is of the form $x = \sigma_G$ with $(\sigma, p) \in \tau$ and $p \in G$. Since τ is a \mathbb{P} -name, so is σ , hence $\sigma_G \in M[G]$. \square

Hence $M[G] \models$ Extensionality.

Pairing, Infinity, Foundation

- **Pairing:** Let $\sigma_G, \tau_G \in M[G]$. Remember that

$$\text{up}(\sigma, \tau) := \{(\sigma, \mathbf{1}), (\tau, \mathbf{1})\}$$

Then $\text{up}(\sigma, \tau)_G = \{\sigma_G, \tau_G\}$.

Pairing, Infinity, Foundation

- **Pairing:** Let $\sigma_G, \tau_G \in M[G]$. Remember that

$$\text{up}(\sigma, \tau) := \{(\sigma, \mathbf{1}), (\tau, \mathbf{1})\}$$

Then $\text{up}(\sigma, \tau)_G = \{\sigma_G, \tau_G\}$.

- **Infinity:** $\omega \in M \subseteq M[G]$

Pairing, Infinity, Foundation

- **Pairing:** Let $\sigma_G, \tau_G \in M[G]$. Remember that

$$\text{up}(\sigma, \tau) := \{(\sigma, \mathbf{1}), (\tau, \mathbf{1})\}$$

Then $\text{up}(\sigma, \tau)_G = \{\sigma_G, \tau_G\}$.

- **Infinity:** $\omega \in M \subseteq M[G]$
- **Foundation:** $M[G]$ is a submodel of \mathbf{V} , which satisfies Foundation, so $M[G] \models \text{Foundation}$ too.

Axioms of ZFC

- Extensionality ✓
- Pairing ✓
- Union
- Power Set
- Comprehension
- Infinity ✓
- Replacement
- Foundation ✓
- Choice

Comprehension

Let $\tau_G, \mu_{1G}, \dots, \mu_{nG} \in M[G]$ and $\phi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ a formula. We want for a name for

$$\{\sigma_G \in \tau_G \mid \phi(\sigma_G, \bar{\mu}_G)\}$$

Comprehension

Let $\tau_G, \mu_{1G}, \dots, \mu_{nG} \in M[G]$ and $\phi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ a formula. We want for a name for

$$\{\sigma_G \in \tau_G \mid \phi(\sigma_G, \bar{\mu}_G)\}$$

Idea:

$$\gamma := \{(\sigma, \rho) \in \tau \mid M[G] \models \phi(\sigma, \bar{\mu})\}$$

Comprehension

Lemma (Truth Lemma)

For any formula ψ ,

$$M[G] \models \psi \iff \exists p \in G, p \Vdash \psi$$

Comprehension

Lemma (Truth Lemma)

For any formula ψ ,

$$M[G] \models \psi \iff \exists p \in G, p \Vdash \psi$$

$$\gamma := \{(\sigma, p) \in \tau \mid \exists q \in G, q \Vdash \phi(\sigma, \bar{\mu})\}$$

Comprehension

Lemma

For any $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and formula ψ ,

$$p \Vdash \psi \iff M \vDash (p \Vdash^* \psi)$$

Comprehension

Lemma

For any $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and formula ψ ,

$$p \Vdash \psi \iff M \vDash (p \Vdash^* \psi)$$

$$\gamma := \{(\sigma, p) \in \tau \mid \exists q \in G, q \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \bar{\mu})\}$$

Still note a set in M .

Comprehension

Lemma

For any $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and formula ψ ,

$$p \Vdash \psi \iff M \vDash (p \Vdash^* \psi)$$

$$\gamma := \{(\sigma, p) \in \tau \mid \exists q \in G, q \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \bar{\mu})\}$$

Still note a set in M . Ideas:

- $\gamma := \{(\sigma, p) \in \tau \mid \exists q \in \mathbb{P}, q \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \bar{\mu})\}$

Too big

Comprehension

Lemma

For any $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and formula ψ ,

$$p \Vdash \psi \iff M \vDash (p \Vdash^* \psi)$$

$$\gamma := \{(\sigma, p) \in \tau \mid \exists q \in G, q \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \bar{\mu})\}$$

Still note a set in M . Ideas:

- $\gamma := \{(\sigma, p) \in \tau \mid \exists q \in \mathbb{P}, q \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \bar{\mu})\}$
- $\gamma := \{(\sigma, p) \in \tau \mid p \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \bar{\mu})\}$

Too big

Too small

Comprehension

$$\gamma := \{(\sigma, q) \mid (\sigma, p) \in \tau \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{P}\}$$

Comprehension

$$\gamma := \{(\sigma, q) \mid (\sigma, p) \in \tau \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{P} \text{ and } q \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \bar{\mu}) \quad \}$$

Comprehension

$$\gamma := \{(\sigma, q) \mid (\sigma, p) \in \tau \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{P} \text{ and } q \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \bar{\mu}) \wedge (\sigma \in \tau)\}$$

Comprehension

$$\gamma := \{(\sigma, q) \mid (\sigma, p) \in \tau \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{P} \text{ and } q \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \bar{\mu}) \wedge (\sigma \in \tau)\}$$

Alternatively

$$\gamma := \{(\sigma, q) \in \text{Dom}(\tau) \times \mathbb{P} \mid q \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \bar{\mu}) \wedge (\sigma \in \tau)\}$$

Comprehension

$$\gamma := \{(\sigma, q) \mid (\sigma, p) \in \tau \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{P} \text{ and } q \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \bar{\mu}) \wedge (\sigma \in \tau)\}$$

Alternatively

$$\gamma := \{(\sigma, q) \in \text{Dom}(\tau) \times \mathbb{P} \mid q \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \bar{\mu}) \wedge (\sigma \in \tau)\}$$

We then can check that in $M[G]$, $\gamma_G = \{\sigma_G \in \tau_G \mid \phi(\sigma_G, \bar{\mu}_G)\}$.

Axioms of ZFC

- Extensionality ✓
- Pairing ✓
- Union
- Power Set
- Comprehension ✓
- Infinity ✓
- Replacement
- Foundation ✓
- Choice

Union

In $M[G]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_G \in \bigcup \tau_G & \iff \exists \sigma_G, \mu_G \in \sigma_G \in \tau_G \\ & \iff \exists \sigma \in M^{\mathbb{P}}, p, q \in G, (\mu, q) \in \sigma \text{ and } (\sigma, p) \in \tau \end{aligned}$$

Union

In $M[G]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_G \in \bigcup \tau_G &\iff \exists \sigma_G, \mu_G \in \sigma_G \in \tau_G \\ &\iff \exists \sigma \in M^{\mathbb{P}}, p, q \in G, (\mu, q) \in \sigma \text{ and } (\sigma, p) \in \tau\end{aligned}$$

So we define

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma &:= \{(\mu, q) \in \sigma \mid (\sigma, p) \in \tau\} \\ &= \{(\mu, q) \in \sigma \mid \sigma \in \text{Dom}(\tau)\} \\ &= \bigcup \text{Dom}(\tau)\end{aligned}$$

Union

In $M[G]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_G \in \bigcup \tau_G &\iff \exists \sigma_G, \mu_G \in \sigma_G \in \tau_G \\ &\iff \exists \sigma \in M^{\mathbb{P}}, p, q \in G, (\mu, q) \in \sigma \text{ and } (\sigma, p) \in \tau\end{aligned}$$

So we define

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma &:= \{(\mu, q) \in \sigma \mid (\sigma, p) \in \tau\} \\ &= \{(\mu, q) \in \sigma \mid \sigma \in \text{Dom}(\tau)\} \\ &= \bigcup \text{Dom}(\tau)\end{aligned}$$

We have $\bigcup \tau_G \subseteq \gamma$ and we conclude by using comprehension.

In $M[G]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_G \in \mathcal{P}(\tau_G) & \iff \forall \sigma_G \in \mu_G, \sigma_G \in \tau_G \\ & \iff \forall (\sigma, p) \in \mu, (p \in G \implies \exists q \in G, (\sigma, q) \in \tau) \end{aligned}$$

In $M[G]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_G \in \mathcal{P}(\tau_G) &\iff \forall \sigma_G \in \mu_G, \sigma_G \in \tau_G \\ &\iff \forall (\sigma, p) \in \mu, (p \in G \implies \exists q \in G, (\sigma, q) \in \tau) \end{aligned}$$

In general $\gamma := \mathcal{P}(\tau)^M \times \{\mathbf{1}\}$ does not work. Instead:

In $M[G]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_G \in \mathcal{P}(\tau_G) &\iff \forall \sigma_G \in \mu_G, \sigma_G \in \tau_G \\ &\iff \forall (\sigma, \rho) \in \mu, (\rho \in G \implies \exists q \in G, (\sigma, q) \in \tau) \end{aligned}$$

In general $\gamma := \mathcal{P}(\tau)^M \times \{\mathbf{1}\}$ does not work. Instead:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &:= \{(\mu, \mathbf{1}) \mid \forall (\sigma, \rho) \in \mu, \exists q \in \mathbb{P}, (\sigma, q) \in \tau\} \\ &= \{(\mu, \mathbf{1}) \mid \forall (\sigma, \rho) \in \mu, \sigma \in \text{Dom}(\tau)\} \\ &= \{(\mu, \mathbf{1}) \mid \mu \subseteq \text{Dom}(\tau) \times \mathbb{P}\} \\ &= \mathcal{P}(\text{Dom}(\tau) \times \mathbb{P})^M \times \{\mathbf{1}\} \end{aligned}$$

Powerset

We check that $\mathcal{P}(\tau_G)^{M[G]} \subseteq \gamma_G$. Let $\mu_G \subseteq \tau_G$ in $M[G]$ and define

$$\theta := \{(\sigma, p) \mid \sigma \in \text{Dom}(\tau) \text{ and } p \Vdash^* \sigma \in \mu\}$$

We have $(\theta, \mathbf{1}) \in \gamma$ and we check that $\mu_G = \theta_G$.

Powerset

We check that $\mathcal{P}(\tau_G)^{M[G]} \subseteq \gamma_G$. Let $\mu_G \subseteq \tau_G$ in $M[G]$ and define

$$\theta := \{(\sigma, p) \mid \sigma \in \text{Dom}(\tau) \text{ and } p \Vdash^* \sigma \in \mu\}$$

We have $(\theta, \mathbf{1}) \in \gamma$ and we check that $\mu_G = \theta_G$.

- If $(\sigma, p) \in \theta$ with $p \in G$ then $\sigma_G \in \mu_G$ by the Truth Lemma.

Powerset

We check that $\mathcal{P}(\tau_G)^{M[G]} \subseteq \gamma_G$. Let $\mu_G \subseteq \tau_G$ in $M[G]$ and define

$$\theta := \{(\sigma, p) \mid \sigma \in \text{Dom}(\tau) \text{ and } p \Vdash^* \sigma \in \mu\}$$

We have $(\theta, \mathbf{1}) \in \gamma$ and we check that $\mu_G = \theta_G$.

- If $(\sigma, p) \in \theta$ with $p \in G$ then $\sigma_G \in \mu_G$ by the Truth Lemma.
- If $\sigma_G \in \mu_G$ then by the Truth Lemma there is some $p \in G$ such that $p \Vdash^* \sigma \in \mu$. Also $\sigma_G \in \tau_G$ by assumption so $\sigma \in \text{Dom}(\tau)$, and $(\sigma, p) \in \theta$.

Axioms of ZFC

- Extensionality ✓
- Pairing ✓
- Union ✓
- Power Set ✓
- Comprehension ✓
- Infinity ✓
- Replacement
- Foundation ✓
- Choice

Replacement

Let $\tau_G, \theta_{1G}, \dots, \theta_{nG} \in M[G]$ and $\phi(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ a formula satisfying

$$M[G] \models \forall x \in \tau \exists y. \phi(x, y, \bar{\theta})$$

Replacement

Let $\tau_G, \theta_{1G}, \dots, \theta_{nG} \in M[G]$ and $\phi(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ a formula satisfying

$$M[G] \models \forall x \in \tau \exists y. \phi(x, y, \bar{\theta})$$

We want a name γ such that

$$M[G] \models \forall x \in \tau \exists y \in \gamma. \phi(x, y, \bar{\theta})$$

Replacement

Let $\tau_G, \theta_{1G}, \dots, \theta_{nG} \in M[G]$ and $\phi(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ a formula satisfying

$$M[G] \models \forall x \in \tau \exists y. \phi(x, y, \bar{\theta})$$

We want a name γ such that

$$M[G] \models \forall x \in \tau \exists y \in \gamma. \phi(x, y, \bar{\theta})$$

If $\sigma_G \in \tau_G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \mu_G, M[G] \models \phi(\sigma, \mu) &\iff \exists \mu_G, p \in G, p \Vdash \phi(\sigma, \mu) \\ &\iff \exists \mu_G, p \in G, p \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \mu) \end{aligned}$$

So we need a name of the form

$$\{\mu \mid \exists \sigma \in \text{Dom}(\tau), p \in \mathbb{P}, p \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \mu)\}$$

Replacement

Let $\sigma \in \text{Dom}(\tau)$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$. If there exists a \mathbb{P} -name μ such that $p \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \mu)$ then there is one of minimal rank α , and we set

$$F(\sigma, p) := \{\mu \in V_\alpha \mid p \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \mu)\}$$

otherwise $F(\sigma, p) := \emptyset$.

Replacement

Let $\sigma \in \text{Dom}(\tau)$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$. If there exists a \mathbb{P} -name μ such that $p \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \mu)$ then there is one of minimal rank α , and we set

$$F(\sigma, p) := \{\mu \in V_\alpha \mid p \Vdash^* \phi(\sigma, \mu)\}$$

otherwise $F(\sigma, p) := \emptyset$.

By replacement we let $Q := F[\text{Dom}(\tau) \times \mathbb{P}]$ in M , and

$$\gamma := \left(\bigcup Q\right) \times \{\mathbf{1}\}$$

Axioms of ZFC

- Extensionality ✓
- Pairing ✓
- Union ✓
- Power Set ✓
- Comprehension ✓
- Infinity ✓
- Replacement ✓
- Foundation ✓
- Choice

Choice

Let $\tau_G \in M[G]$. We want to define a well-order on τ_G .

Using the axiom of choice in M , there exists an ordinal α and a bijection $f : \alpha \rightarrow \text{Dom}(\tau)$. Let us note $f(\xi) = \sigma^\xi$ for all $\xi < \alpha$.

Choice

Let $\tau_G \in M[G]$. We want to define a well-order on τ_G .

Using the axiom of choice in M , there exists an ordinal α and a bijection $f : \alpha \rightarrow \text{Dom}(\tau)$. Let us note $f(\xi) = \sigma^\xi$ for all $\xi < \alpha$.

$$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^3 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^2 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^1 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^0 \end{array}$$

Choice

Let $\tau_G \in M[G]$. We want to define a well-order on τ_G .

Using the axiom of choice in M , there exists an ordinal α and a bijection $f : \alpha \rightarrow \text{Dom}(\tau)$. Let us note $f(\xi) = \sigma^\xi$ for all $\xi < \alpha$.

$$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^3 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^2 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^1 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^3 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^2 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^1 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^0 \end{array}$$

Choice

Let $\tau_G \in M[G]$. We want to define a well-order on τ_G .

Using the axiom of choice in M , there exists an ordinal α and a bijection $f : \alpha \rightarrow \text{Dom}(\tau)$. Let us note $f(\xi) = \sigma^\xi$ for all $\xi < \alpha$.

$$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^3 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^2 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^1 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^3 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^2 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^1 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^0 \end{array}$$

suppose $\sigma^1 = \sigma_G^3$

Choice

Let $\tau_G \in M[G]$. We want to define a well-order on τ_G .

Using the axiom of choice in M , there exists an ordinal α and a bijection $f : \alpha \rightarrow \text{Dom}(\tau)$. Let us note $f(\xi) = \sigma^\xi$ for all $\xi < \alpha$.

$$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^3 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^2 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^1 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^3 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^2 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^1 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^2 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^1 \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma_G^0 \end{array}$$

suppose $\sigma_G^1 = \sigma_G^3$

Choice

We first need to define " $g := (\cdot)_G \circ f$ " in $M[G]$.

We first need to define “ $g := (\cdot)_G \circ f$ ” in $M[G]$. We set

$$\mathring{g} := \{(\text{op}(\check{\xi}, \sigma), \mathbf{1}) \mid (\xi, \sigma) \in f\}$$

It follows

$$g := \mathring{g}_G = \{(\xi, \sigma_G) \mid (\xi, \sigma) \in f\}$$

We first need to define “ $g := (\cdot)_G \circ f$ ” in $M[G]$. We set

$$\dot{g} := \{(\text{op}(\check{\xi}, \sigma), \mathbf{1}) \mid (\xi, \sigma) \in f\}$$

It follows

$$g := \dot{g}_G = \{(\xi, \sigma_G) \mid (\xi, \sigma) \in f\}$$

We then define the order well-order \leq on τ_G by setting

$$\sigma_G \leq \mu_G \iff \min g^{-1}(\sigma_G) \leq \min g^{-1}(\mu_G)$$

Axioms of ZFC

- Extensionality ✓
- Pairing ✓
- Union ✓
- Power Set ✓
- Comprehension ✓
- Infinity ✓
- Replacement ✓
- Foundation ✓
- Choice ✓