Annika Burmester (Universität Hamburg)

joint work with H. Bachmann

JENTE Seminar, 17th November 2020

## Multiple zeta values

### Definition

• For integers  $k_1 \geq 2, k_2, \ldots, k_d \geq 1$ , we call

$$\zeta(k_1,\ldots,k_d) = \sum_{m_1 > \cdots > m_d > 0} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_d^{k_d}}$$

a multiple zeta value (MZV) of weight  $k_1 + \cdots + k_d$  and depth d. • Let

$$\mathcal{Z} = \langle \zeta(k_1, \ldots, k_d) | d \geq 0, k_1 \geq 2, k_2, \ldots, k_d \geq 1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where  $\zeta(\emptyset) = 1$ , be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space spanned by the MZVs.

## Multiple zeta values - EDS relations

Products of MZVs are  $\mathbb Q\text{-linear}$  combinations of MZVs, so the space  $\mathcal Z$  is an algebra. There are two kinds of such formulas, e.g., in depth 2, we have

$$\zeta(k_1)\zeta(k_2) = \begin{cases} \zeta(k_1, k_2) + \zeta(k_2, k_1) + \zeta(k_1 + k_2) & \text{(stuffle product)} \\ \sum_{k_1+k_2-1} \left( \binom{j-1}{k_1-1} + \binom{j-1}{k_2-1} \right) \zeta(j, k_1 + k_2 - j) & \text{(shuffle product)}. \end{cases}$$

We get the **double shuffle relations**.

Actually, the MZVs satisfy the **extended double shuffle relations (EDS)** obtained by regularization. Conjecturally, these are all relations between MZVs.

### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{Z}^f$  be the algebra of **formal multiple zeta values**, i.e., the formal symbols  $\zeta^f(k_1, \ldots, k_d)$  satisfy the extended double shuffle relations and no other relations.

- The algebra  $\mathcal{Z}^f$  is graded for the weight.
- We have a surjective algebra homomorphism

$$\mathcal{Z}^f o \mathcal{Z},$$
  
 $\zeta^f(k_1,\ldots,k_d) \mapsto \zeta(k_1,\ldots,k_d).$ 

Conjecturally, this morphism is an isomorphism.

### Formal multiple zeta values

Racinet introduced a pro-unipotent affine group scheme  $\mathsf{DM}_0$  with values in a graded Hopf algebra, such that we have for all  $\mathbb{Q}\text{-algebras }R$ 

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\operatorname{-alg}}\left( \overset{\mathcal{Z}^{f}}{\swarrow} (\zeta^{f}(2)), R \right) \simeq \operatorname{DM}_{0}(R).$$

By a deep theorem of Racinet, there is a bijection between  $\mathsf{DM}_0$  and its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{dm}_0,$  from which we obtain

### Theorem (Ecalle, Racinet)

The algebra  $\mathcal{Z}^f$  of formal MZVs is a free polynomial algebra.

It is conjectured by Ihara-Deligne that  $\partial \mathfrak{m}_0$  is a free Lie algebra with exactly one generator in each odd degree  $\geq 3$ . From this, we can deduce

### Zagier's conjecture for formal MZVs

The dimensions of the homogeneous subspaces (w.r.t. the weight) of  $\mathcal{Z}^{f}$  are given by

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{Z}^f_k)t^k = \frac{1}{1-t^2-t^3}.$$

### Formal multiple zeta values

Racinet introduced a pro-unipotent affine group scheme  $\mathsf{DM}_0$  with values in a graded Hopf algebra, such that we have for all  $\mathbb{Q}\text{-algebras }R$ 

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\operatorname{-alg}}\left( \overset{\mathcal{Z}^{f}}{\swarrow} (\zeta^{f}(2)), R \right) \simeq \operatorname{DM}_{0}(R).$$

By a deep theorem of Racinet, there is a bijection between  $\mathsf{DM}_0$  and its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{dm}_0,$  from which we obtain

### Theorem (Ecalle, Racinet)

The algebra  $\mathcal{Z}^f$  of formal MZVs is a free polynomial algebra.

It is conjectured by Ihara-Deligne that  $\mathfrak{dm}_0$  is a free Lie algebra with exactly one generator in each odd degree  $\geq 3$ . From this, we can deduce

### Zagier's conjecture for formal MZVs

The dimensions of the homogeneous subspaces (w.r.t. the weight) of  $\mathcal{Z}^{f}$  are given by

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{Z}^f_k)t^k=\frac{1}{1-t^2-t^3}.$$

## Multiple q-zeta values

#### q-analog

A q-analog of an expression is a generalization involving the variable q, which returns the original expression by taking the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ .

For a natural number  $n \ge 1$ , the q-analog is given by

$$\{n\}_q = 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1} = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$$

#### Schlesinger-Zudilin multiple q-zeta values

For  $k_1 \geq 1, k_2, \ldots, k_d \geq 0$ , a (modified) q-analog of the MZVs is given by

$$\zeta_q^{SZ}(k_1,\ldots,k_d) = \sum_{m_1 > \cdots > m_d > 0} rac{q^{m_1k_1}}{(1-q^{m_1})^{k_1}} \cdots rac{q^{m_dk_d}}{(1-q^{m_d})^{k_d}},$$

We obtain for  $k_1 \geq 2, k_2, \ldots k_d \geq 1$ 

$$\lim_{q \to 1} (1-q)^{k_1 + \dots + k_d} \zeta_q^{SZ}(k_1, \dots, k_d) = \lim_{q \to 1} \sum_{m_1 > \dots > m_d > 0} \frac{q^{m_1 k_1}}{\{m_1\}_q^{k_1}} \cdots \frac{q^{m_d k_d}}{\{m_d\}_q^{k_d}} = \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_d).$$

## Multiple q-zeta values

#### Definition

• For integers  $k_1, ..., k_d \ge 1$  and polynomials  $R_1(t), R_2(t), ..., R_d(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ ,  $R_1(0) = 0$ , define the **multiple q-zeta value (qMZV)** 

$$\zeta_q(k_1,...,k_d;R_1,...,R_d) = \sum_{m_1 > \cdots > m_d > 0} \frac{R_1(q^{m_1})}{(1-q^{m_1})^{k_1}} \cdots \frac{R_d(q^{m_d})}{(1-q^{m_d})^{k_d}}.$$

 $\bullet\,$  Define the  $\mathbb Q\text{-vector}$  space generated by the qMZVs

$$\mathcal{Z}_q = \langle \zeta_q(k_1, ..., k_d; R_1, ..., R_d) | d \ge 0, k_1, ..., k_d \ge 1, \deg(R_j) \le k_j \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}},$$
  
where  $\zeta_q(\emptyset; \emptyset) = 1.$ 

For  $k_1 > 1$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{q \to 1} (1-q)^{k_1 + \dots + k_d} \zeta_q(k_1, \dots, k_d; R_1, \dots, R_d) = R_1(1) \cdots R_d(1) \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_d).$$

## Multiple q-zeta values

#### Definition

w

• For integers  $k_1, ..., k_d \ge 1$  and polynomials  $R_1(t), R_2(t), ..., R_d(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ ,  $R_1(0) = 0$ , define the **multiple q-zeta value (qMZV)** 

$$\zeta_q(k_1,...,k_d;R_1,...,R_d) = \sum_{m_1 > \cdots > m_d > 0} \frac{R_1(q^{m_1})}{(1-q^{m_1})^{k_1}} \cdots \frac{R_d(q^{m_d})}{(1-q^{m_d})^{k_d}}.$$

 $\bullet\,$  Define the  $\mathbb Q\text{-vector}$  space generated by the qMZVs

$$\mathcal{Z}_q = \langle \zeta_q(k_1, ..., k_d; R_1, ..., R_d) | d \ge 0, k_1, ..., k_d \ge 1, \deg(R_j) \le k_j \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}},$$
  
here  $\zeta_q(\emptyset; \emptyset) = 1.$ 

The power series multiplication (**q-stuffle product**) endows  $Z_q$  with the structure of an algebra. For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_q(k_1;R_1)\zeta_q(k_2;R_2) &= \zeta_q(k_1,k_2;R_1,R_2) + \zeta_q(k_2,k_1;R_2,R_1) \\ &+ \zeta_q(k_1+k_2;R_1R_2) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $deg(R_1R_2) \le k_1 + k_2$ , the product is indeed an element in  $Z_q$ .

# Bi-brackets (Bachmann)

#### Definition

For integers  $k_1, ..., k_d \ge 1, n_1, ..., n_d \ge 0$ , define

$$\begin{bmatrix} k_1, ..., k_d \\ n_1, ..., n_d \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{m_1 > \dots > m_d > 0} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{m_j^{n_j}}{n_j!} \frac{P_{k_j}(q^{m_j})}{(1-q^{m_j})^{k_j}}$$

where the Eulerian polynomials  $P_k(t)$  are defined by

$$\frac{P_k(t)}{(1-t)^k} = \sum_{r\geq 1} \frac{r^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} t^r.$$

For a bi-bracket  $\begin{bmatrix} k_1, \ldots, k_d \\ n_1, \ldots, n_d \end{bmatrix}$ , we call  $k_1 + \cdots + k_d + n_1 + \cdots + n_d$  its **weight** and *d* its **depth**.

# Filtrations on $\mathcal{Z}_q$

### Theorem (Bachmann-Kühn)

The space  $\mathcal{Z}_q$  is spanned by the bi-brackets.

We define a weight filtration

$$\mathsf{Fil}_{k}^{W}(\mathcal{Z}_{q}) = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} k_{1}, \dots, k_{d} \\ n_{1}, \dots, n_{d} \end{bmatrix} \middle| \ 0 \leq d \leq k, k_{1} + \dots + k_{d} + n_{1} + \dots + n_{d} \leq k \right\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

and a depth filtration

$$\mathsf{Fil}_d^D(\mathcal{Z}_q) = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} k_1, \dots, k_l \\ n_1, \dots, n_l \end{bmatrix} \middle| \ l \leq d \right\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

on the algebra  $\mathcal{Z}_q$ .

Define the generating series of bi-brackets of depth  $d \ge 1$  by

$$\mathfrak{g}\binom{X_1,\ldots,X_d}{Y_1,\ldots,Y_d} = \sum_{\substack{k_1,\ldots,k_d \ge 1\\n_1,\ldots,n_d \ge 0}} \begin{bmatrix} k_1,\ldots,k_d\\n_1,\ldots,n_d \end{bmatrix} X_1^{k_1-1} \cdots X_d^{k_d-1} Y_1^{n_1} \cdots Y_d^{n_d}.$$

The q-stuffle product of the bi-brackets in depth 2 can be expressed as

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2 \\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_2, X_1 \\ Y_2, Y_1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{X_1 - X_2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 + Y_2 \end{pmatrix} - \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_1 + Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &+ 2\beta(X_2 - X_1) \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 + Y_2 \end{pmatrix} - \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_1 + Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 + Y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_1 + Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{split}$$

where

$$\beta(X) = -\sum_{k\geq 2} \frac{B_k}{2k!} X^{k-1}.$$

Define the generating series of bi-brackets of depth  $d \ge 1$  by

$$\mathfrak{g}\binom{X_1,\ldots,X_d}{Y_1,\ldots,Y_d} = \sum_{\substack{k_1,\ldots,k_d \ge 1\\n_1,\ldots,n_d \ge 0}} \begin{bmatrix} k_1,\ldots,k_d\\n_1,\ldots,n_d \end{bmatrix} X_1^{k_1-1} \cdots X_d^{k_d-1} Y_1^{n_1} \cdots Y_d^{n_d}.$$

The q-stuffle product of the bi-brackets in depth 2 can be expressed as

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2 \\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_2, X_1 \\ Y_2, Y_1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{X_1 - X_2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 + Y_2 \end{pmatrix} - \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_1 + Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &+ 2\beta(X_2 - X_1) \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 + Y_2 \end{pmatrix} - \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_1 + Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 + Y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_1 + Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{split}$$

where

$$\beta(X) = -\sum_{k\geq 2} \frac{B_k}{2k!} X^{k-1}.$$

We call a sequence of power series satisfying the blue coloured formula **symmetril**, their coefficients fulfill the **(weight-)graded q-stuffle product**.

In depth 3 the q-stuffle product of the bi-brackets can be described as

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1} \\ Y_{1} \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} &= \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{1} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{3}, Y_{1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{\mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} - \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix}}{X_{1} - X_{2}} + \frac{\mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{1} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} - \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix}}{X_{1} - X_{3}} \\ &+ 2\beta(X_{2} - X_{1}) \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} - \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &+ 2\beta(X_{3} - X_{1}) \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{1} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} - \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, Y_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{3} \\ Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{3} \\ Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{$$

In depth 3 the q-stuffle product of the bi-brackets can be described as

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1} \\ Y_{1} \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} &= \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{1} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{3}, Y_{1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{\mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} - \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix}}{X_{1} - X_{2}} + \frac{\mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{1} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} - \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix}}{X_{1} - X_{3}} \\ &+ 2\beta(X_{2} - X_{1}) \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} - \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &+ 2\beta(X_{3} - X_{1}) \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{1} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} - \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2}, Y_{1} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, X_{3} \\ Y_{1} + Y_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, X_{3} \\ Y_{2} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{2}, Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{3} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{3} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{3} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{3} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{3} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{3} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{3} + Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_{3} + Y_$$

We call a sequence of power series satisfying the blue coloured formula **symmetril**, their coefficients fulfill the **(weight-)graded q-stuffle product**.

## Swap invariance of bi-brackets

#### Proposition (Bachmann)

For all  $d \ge 1$ , the generating series of the bi-brackets is **swap invariant**, i.e., we have

$$\mathfrak{g}\binom{X_1,\ldots,X_d}{Y_1,\ldots,Y_d} = \mathfrak{g}\binom{Y_1+\cdots+Y_d,Y_1+\cdots+Y_{d-1},\ldots,Y_1}{X_d,X_{d-1}-X_d,\ldots,X_1-X_2}$$

The relations between bi-brackets obtained from the swap invariance of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are homogeneous w.r.t. the weight.

E.g., in depth  $\leq$  2, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}\begin{pmatrix} X\\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \mathfrak{g}\begin{pmatrix} Y\\ X \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathfrak{g}\begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2\\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathfrak{g}\begin{pmatrix} Y_1 + Y_2, Y_1\\ X_2, X_1 - X_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which leads to the following relations between bi-brackets:

$$\begin{bmatrix} k \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} k_1, k_2 \\ n_1, n_2 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^{n_1} \sum_{k=0}^{k_2-1} (-1)^k \binom{k_1-1+k}{k} \binom{n_2+n}{n} \begin{bmatrix} n_2+n+1, n_1-n+1 \\ k_2-1-k, k_1-1+k \end{bmatrix}._{11/24}$$

### Conjecture (Bachmann)

All algebraic relations in the algebra  $Z_q$  of multiple q-zeta values can be obtained from combining the q-stuffle product and the swap invariance of bi-brackets.

Inspired by the classical MZVs, we expect the following:

#### Conjecture

There is a spanning set of the space  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ , which satisfies the graded q-stuffle product formula and whose generating series is swap invariant.

In the following, we will construct such a spanning set of  $Z_q$  up to depth 3, we will call these the combinatorial multiple Eisenstein series.

### Conjecture (Bachmann)

All algebraic relations in the algebra  $Z_q$  of multiple q-zeta values can be obtained from combining the q-stuffle product and the swap invariance of bi-brackets.

Inspired by the classical MZVs, we expect the following:

### Conjecture

There is a spanning set of the space  $Z_q$ , which satisfies the graded q-stuffle product formula and whose generating series is swap invariant.

In the following, we will construct such a spanning set of  $Z_q$  up to depth 3, we will call these the combinatorial multiple Eisenstein series.

The multiplication of quasi-modular forms is graded, therefore we make the following ansatz:

$$G\binom{k}{n} = \delta_{n,0}\left(-\frac{B_k}{2k!}\right) + n! \begin{bmatrix}k\\n\end{bmatrix}, \quad k > n+1 \ge 1.$$

- For k ≥ 2 even, the elements G 
   <sup>k</sup>
   <sub>0</sub> are the Eisenstein series of weight k (with rational coefficients),
- For  $k + n \ge 2$  even, the elements  $G\binom{k}{n}$  are the derivatives of Eisenstein series

Let  $G\binom{k_1, k_2}{0, 0}$ ,  $k_1 \ge 3, k_2 \ge 2$  even, be the combinatorial double Eisenstein series of Gangl-Kaneko-Zagier, then we obtain the graded q-stuffle product formula:

$$G\begin{pmatrix}k_1\\0\end{pmatrix}G\begin{pmatrix}k_2\\0\end{pmatrix}=G\begin{pmatrix}k_1,k_2\\0,0\end{pmatrix}+G\begin{pmatrix}k_2,k_1\\0,0\end{pmatrix}+G\begin{pmatrix}k_1+k_2\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

### Proposition (Drinfeld+Furusho, Racinet)

There is a (non-unique) sequence  $\beta(X_1), \beta(X_1, X_2), \ldots$  with rational coefficients satisfying the stuffle and shuffle product.

Explicit formulas for  $\beta$  in low depths are given by Gangl-Kaneko-Zagier, Brown and Écalle.

#### Proposition (Bachmann-Matthes-Kühn)

We can lift every series  $\beta(X_1), \beta(X_1, X_2), \ldots$  with coefficients satisfying the stuffle and shuffle product to a sequence  $\beta\begin{pmatrix}X_1\\Y_1\end{pmatrix}, \beta\begin{pmatrix}X_1, X_2\\Y_1, Y_2\end{pmatrix}, \ldots$  of power series, which is symmetril and swap invariant.

In the following, we fix such a sequence  $\beta \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\beta \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2 \\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,... of power series with rational coefficients. In particular, we have in depth 1:

$$\beta\binom{X_1}{Y_1} = \beta(X_1) + \beta(Y_1) = -\sum_{k\geq 2} \frac{B_k}{2k!} (X_1^{k-1} + Y_1^{k-1}).$$

Theorem (Bachmann-Kühn-Matthes d = 2, Bachmann-B. d = 3)

There is an explicit symmetril sequence

$$\mathfrak{g}\begin{pmatrix}X_1\\Y_1\end{pmatrix},\mathfrak{g}^{il}\begin{pmatrix}X_1,X_2\\Y_1,Y_2\end{pmatrix},\mathfrak{g}^{il}\begin{pmatrix}X_1,X_2,X_3\\Y_1,Y_2,Y_3\end{pmatrix}\in\mathcal{Z}_q[[X_1,X_2,X_3,Y_1,Y_2,Y_3]],$$

such that the following sequence is symmetril and swap invariant:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{G} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} &= \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathfrak{G} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2 \\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \mathfrak{g}^{il} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2 \\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \beta \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2 \\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathfrak{G} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2, X_3 \\ Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \end{pmatrix} &= \mathfrak{g}^{il} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2, X_3 \\ Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g}^{il} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2, X_3 \\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \beta \begin{pmatrix} X_3 \\ Y_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \beta \begin{pmatrix} X_2, X_3 \\ Y_2, Y_3 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2, X_3 \\ Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

### Definition

The combinatorial multiple Eisenstein series (CMES) G in depth  $d \leq 3$  are defined to be the coefficients of the series  $\mathfrak{G}$ :

$$\mathfrak{G}\binom{X_1,\ldots,X_d}{Y_1,\ldots,Y_d} = \sum_{\substack{k_1,\ldots,k_d \ge 1\\n_1,\ldots,n_d \ge 0}} G\binom{k_1,\ldots,k_d}{n_1,\ldots,n_d} X_1^{k_1-1} \cdots X_d^{k_d-1} \frac{Y_1^{n_1}}{n_1!} \cdots \frac{Y_d^{n_d}}{n_d!}$$

Towards the conjecture about a spanning set of  $\mathcal{Z}_q$ , we have:

#### Theorem

- The CMES satisfy the graded q-stuffle product and their generating series is swap invariant.
- For d = 1, 2, 3, the CMES of depth d span the space  $\operatorname{Fil}_d^D(\mathbb{Z}_q)$ .

- The Q-vector space generated by the CMES of depth d ≤ 2 contains the quasi-modular forms with rational coefficients.
- The space generated by the CMES is closed under taking the derivative  $q \frac{d}{da}$ .
- The CMES can be seen as a bi-version of the multiple Eisenstein series, since they are constructed analogously to the Fourier expansion of the multiple Eisenstein series.
- The CMES are linear combinations of q-analogs of MZVs. In particular, we have:

$$\lim_{q\to 1} (1-q)^{k_1+\cdots+k_d} G\binom{k_1,\ldots,k_d}{0,\ldots,0} = \zeta(k_1,\ldots,k_d)$$

for  $k_1 \ge 2, k_2, \ldots, k_d \ge 1, d \le 3$ . • The elements  $\lim_{q \to 0} G\begin{pmatrix} X_1, \ldots, X_d \\ 0, \ldots, 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $d \le 3$ , are rational numbers satisfying the stuffle and shuffle product.

- The Q-vector space generated by the CMES of depth d ≤ 2 contains the quasi-modular forms with rational coefficients.
- The space generated by the CMES is closed under taking the derivative  $q \frac{d}{da}$ .
- The CMES can be seen as a bi-version of the multiple Eisenstein series, since they are constructed analogously to the Fourier expansion of the multiple Eisenstein series.
- The CMES are linear combinations of q-analogs of MZVs. In particular, we have:

$$\lim_{q\to 1} (1-q)^{k_1+\cdots+k_d} G\binom{k_1,\ldots,k_d}{0,\ldots,0} = \zeta(k_1,\ldots,k_d)$$

for  $k_1 \ge 2, k_2, \ldots, k_d \ge 1, d \le 3$ . • The elements  $\lim_{q \to 0} G\begin{pmatrix} X_1, \ldots, X_d \\ 0, \ldots, 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $d \le 3$ , are rational numbers satisfying the stuffle and shuffle product.

- The Q-vector space generated by the CMES of depth d ≤ 2 contains the quasi-modular forms with rational coefficients.
- The space generated by the CMES is closed under taking the derivative  $q \frac{d}{da}$ .
- The CMES can be seen as a bi-version of the multiple Eisenstein series, since they are constructed analogously to the Fourier expansion of the multiple Eisenstein series.
- The CMES are linear combinations of q-analogs of MZVs. In particular, we have:

$$\lim_{q\to 1} (1-q)^{k_1+\cdots+k_d} G\binom{k_1,\cdots,k_d}{0,\cdots,0} = \zeta(k_1,\cdots,k_d)$$

for  $k_1 \ge 2, k_2, \ldots, k_d \ge 1, \ d \le 3$ . • The elements  $\lim_{q \to 0} G\begin{pmatrix} X_1, \ldots, X_d \\ 0, \ldots, 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $d \le 3$ , are rational numbers satisfying the stuffle and shuffle product.

- The Q-vector space generated by the CMES of depth d ≤ 2 contains the quasi-modular forms with rational coefficients.
- The space generated by the CMES is closed under taking the derivative  $q \frac{d}{da}$ .
- The CMES can be seen as a bi-version of the multiple Eisenstein series, since they are constructed analogously to the Fourier expansion of the multiple Eisenstein series.
- The CMES are linear combinations of q-analogs of MZVs. In particular, we have:

$$\lim_{q\to 1}(1-q)^{k_1+\cdots+k_d}G\binom{k_1,\ldots,k_d}{0,\ldots,0}=\zeta(k_1,\ldots,k_d)$$

 $\text{for } k_1\geq 2, k_2, \ldots, k_d\geq 1, \ d\leq 3.$ 

• The elements  $\lim_{q\to 0} G\begin{pmatrix} X_1, \dots, X_d \\ 0, \dots, 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $d \leq 3$ , are rational numbers satisfying the stuffle and shuffle product.

- The Q-vector space generated by the CMES of depth d ≤ 2 contains the quasi-modular forms with rational coefficients.
- The space generated by the CMES is closed under taking the derivative  $q \frac{d}{da}$ .
- The CMES can be seen as a bi-version of the multiple Eisenstein series, since they are constructed analogously to the Fourier expansion of the multiple Eisenstein series.
- The CMES are linear combinations of q-analogs of MZVs. In particular, we have:

$$\lim_{q\to 1}(1-q)^{k_1+\cdots+k_d}G\binom{k_1,\ldots,k_d}{0,\ldots,0}=\zeta(k_1,\ldots,k_d)$$

for  $k_1 \ge 2, k_2, \ldots, k_d \ge 1, \ d \le 3$ . • The elements  $\lim_{q \to 0} G\begin{pmatrix} X_1, \ldots, X_d \\ 0, \ldots, 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $d \le 3$ , are rational numbers satisfying the stuffle and shuffle product.

The CMES satisfy the bi-version of the double shuffle relations. E.g., in depth 2 they are given by

$$\begin{split} &G\binom{k_1}{n_1}G\binom{k_2}{n_2} = G\binom{k_1,k_2}{n_1,n_2} + G\binom{k_2,k_1}{n_2,n_1} + G\binom{k_1+k_2}{n_1+n_2} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_1}\sum_{n=0}^{n_2}\binom{k_1+k_2-k-1}{k_1-k}\binom{n_1+n_2-n}{n_1}(-1)^{n_2-n}G\binom{k_1+k_2-k,k}{n,n_1+n_2-n} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{k_2}\sum_{n=0}^{n_1}\binom{k_1+k_2-k-1}{k_2-k}\binom{n_1+n_2-n}{n_2}(-1)^{n_1-n}G\binom{k_1+k_2-k,k}{n,n_1+n_2-n} \\ &+ \binom{k_1+k_2-2}{k_1-1}G\binom{k_1+k_2-1}{n_1+n_2+1}. \end{split}$$

If  $n_1 = n_2 = 0$ , taking the limit  $q \to 1$  (and multiplying with  $(1 - q)^{k_1 + k_2}$ ) yields the classical double shuffle relations for double zeta values.

# Proof: Construction of the $\mathfrak{g}^{il}$

Before we indicate the proof, recall:

Theorem (Bachmann-Kühn-Matthes d = 2, Bachmann-B. d = 3)

There is an explicit symmetril sequence

$$\mathfrak{g}\binom{X_1}{Y_1}, \mathfrak{g}^{il}\binom{X_1, X_2}{Y_1, Y_2}, \mathfrak{g}^{il}\binom{X_1, X_2, X_3}{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3} \in \mathcal{Z}_q[[X_1, X_2, X_3, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3]],$$

such that the following sequence is symmetril and swap invariant:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{G} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} &= \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathfrak{G} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2 \\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \mathfrak{g}^{il} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2 \\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \beta \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2 \\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathfrak{G} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2, X_3 \\ Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \end{pmatrix} &= \mathfrak{g}^{il} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2, X_3 \\ Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{g}^{il} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2 \\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \beta \begin{pmatrix} X_3 \\ Y_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \beta \begin{pmatrix} X_2, X_3 \\ Y_2, Y_3 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2, X_3 \\ Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

# Construction of the $\mathfrak{g}^{il}$

The generating series of bi-brackets of depth  $d \ge 1$  can also be written as

$$\mathfrak{g}\binom{X_1,\ldots,X_d}{Y_1,\ldots,Y_d} = \sum_{m_1 > \cdots > m_d > 0} L_{m_1}\binom{X_1}{Y_1} \cdots L_{m_d}\binom{X_d}{Y_d}$$

where

$$L_m\binom{X}{Y} = \frac{e^{X+mY}q^m}{1-e^Xq^m}.$$

In order to build from the series  $\mathfrak{g}$  a symmetril series  $\mathfrak{g}^{il}$ , we will define a symmetril multiple version of the  $L_m$ .

# Construction of the $\mathfrak{g}^{il}$

#### Definition

For  $d, m \ge 1$ , define the power series

$$\beta^{R} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, \dots, X_{d} \\ Y_{1}, \dots, Y_{d} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{2^{i} i!} \beta \begin{pmatrix} -X_{i+1}, \dots, -X_{d} \\ Y_{1}, \dots, Y_{d-i} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$L_{m} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1}, \dots, X_{d} \\ Y_{1}, \dots, Y_{d} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \beta \begin{pmatrix} X_{1} - X_{j}, \dots, X_{j-1} - X_{j} \\ Y_{1}, \dots, Y_{j-1} \end{pmatrix} L_{m} \begin{pmatrix} X_{j} \\ Y_{1} + \dots + Y_{d} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\cdot \beta^{R} \begin{pmatrix} X_{j} - X_{d}, \dots, X_{j} - X_{j+1} \\ -Y_{d}, \dots, -Y_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

### Proposition (Bachmann-B.)

- The series  $\beta^R$  are swap invariant up to signs.
- Up to depth 3, the  $\beta^R$  are symmetril up to signs.
- Up to depth 3, the  $L_m$ ,  $m \ge 1$ , are symmetril.

# Construction of the $\mathfrak{g}^{il}$

The symmetrility of the  $L_m$ ,  $m \ge 1$ , implies:

### Proposition

The following sequence of power series is symmetril

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{g} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} &= \sum_{m > 0} \mathcal{L}_m \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathfrak{g}^{ii} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2 \\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \sum_{m_1 > m_2 > 0} \mathcal{L}_{m_1} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{m_2} \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{m > 0} \mathcal{L}_m \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2 \\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathfrak{g}^{ii} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2, X_3 \\ Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \end{pmatrix} &= \sum_{m_1 > m_2 > m_3 > 0} \mathcal{L}_{m_1} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{m_2} \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{m_3} \begin{pmatrix} X_3 \\ Y_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \sum_{m_1 > m_2 > 0} \left( \mathcal{L}_{m_1} \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2 \\ Y_1, Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{m_2} \begin{pmatrix} X_3 \\ Y_3 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{L}_{m_1} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{m_2} \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{m_2} \begin{pmatrix} X_3 \\ Y_3 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{L}_{m_1} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{m_2} \begin{pmatrix} X_2, X_3 \\ Y_2, Y_3 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m > 0} \mathcal{L}_m \begin{pmatrix} X_1, X_2, X_3 \\ Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

This conclusion works for all depths. The crucial point in arbitrary depths is to show that the  $L_m$ ,  $m \ge 1$ , are symmetril and that the  $\mathfrak{G}$  are swap invariant.

# Outlook: Formal graded multiple q-zeta values

### Definition

Let  $Z_q^f$  be the graded  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra of **formal multiple q-zeta values**, i.e., the generating series of the formal symbols  $\zeta_q^f \begin{pmatrix} k_1, \ldots, k_d \\ n_1, \ldots, n_d \end{pmatrix}$  are swap invariant and symmetril and there are no other relations among these formal symbols.

#### Goal

- Construct a surjective algebra morphism  $\mathcal{Z}_q^f \to \mathcal{Z}_q$ .
- Show that this morphism is an isomorphism.

Conjecturally, the previously given construction of the generating series  ${\mathfrak G}$  works for all depths. Thus, the association

$$\zeta_q^f igg( egin{array}{c} k_1, \dots, k_d \ n_1, \dots, n_d igg) \mapsto G igg( egin{array}{c} k_1, \dots, k_d \ n_1, \dots, n_d igg), & d \leq 3, \end{array}$$

should extend to a surjective algebra morphism  $\mathcal{Z}_q^f \to \mathcal{Z}_q.$ 

Injectivity in general depths seems to be out of reach for the moment, though it is expected to be a lot easier as for MZVs.

Having a surjective algebra morphism  $\mathcal{Z}_q^f \to \mathcal{Z}_q$ , we can hopefully continue analogously to Racinet's work on MZVs:

- Construct dual graded Hopf algebras and reformulate the relations satisfied by the formal qMZV
  → Obtain an affine group scheme and a corresponding Lie algebra.
- Prove that the algebra  $\mathcal{Z}_q^f$  of formal q-MZVs is a free polynomial algebra.
- Show that the dimension conjectures on qMZVs (Bachmann-Kühn) hold for the formal qMZV.