

Exercises for Higher Structures in Differential Geometry

SS 2013

Sheet 06 – Solution Sketch

Exercise 29

Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow Z$ be a principal G -bundle. Show that $Y \times_Z Y$ is isomorphic to the trivial principal G -bundle over Y . **Hint:** $(y, y') \in Y \times_Z Y$ gives rise to a unique $g \in G$ with $y' = y \cdot g$.

We first observe that $y \cdot g = y \cdot h$ implies $g = h$. In fact, if Φ is a trivialisation around $\pi(y)$ and $\Phi(y) = (\pi(y), k)$, then $y \cdot g = y \cdot h$ implies $\Phi(y) \cdot g = (\pi(y), kg) = \Phi(y) \cdot h = (\pi(y), kh)$ and thus $g = h$. If $(y, y') \in Y \times_G Y$, then there exists a $g(y, y') \in G$ such that $y' = y \cdot g(y, y')$, which is unique by the previous argument. Thus $(y, y') \mapsto (y, g(y, y'))$ is a map, which has $(y, g) \mapsto (y, y \cdot g)$ as inverse. That the first map is smooth and G -equivariant can be seen in local trivialisations. Thus it is an isomorphism of principal G -bundles.

Exercise 30

Let X be a lcs such that the topology of X is induced by a countable family of semi-norms $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

- a) Show that if we set $p'_n := \sum_{i \leq n} p_i$, we obtain another family of semi-norms inducing the same topology on X . We may thus w.l.o.g. assume that the family satisfies $p_n \leq p_{n+1}$.
- b) Show that the following statements are equivalent conditions for a sequence (x_k) in X and $p \in X$:
 - i) $(x_k) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} p$ in the topology of X .
 - ii) $(d(x_k, p)) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$, where d is the metric $d(x, y) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} \frac{p_n(x-y)}{1+p_n(x-y)}$.
 - iii) $(p_n(x_k - p)) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ for each n .

Moreover, if the family satisfies $p_n \leq p_{n+1}$, then show that any of these conditions is implied by

- iv) $(p_k(x_k - p)) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$.
-

- a) It is clear that p'_n is a point-separating family of semi-norms and since $p_n \leq p'_n$ we have that the topology induced from the p'_n is finer than the topology induced from the p_n . Conversely, we have $B_{J, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{|J|}, x} \subseteq B'_{J, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{M}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_{|J|}}{M}, x}$, where $M = \max J$, and thus the topology induced from the p_n is finer than the topology induced from the p'_n .

b) i) and iii) are equivalent by the definition of the topology induced by (p_n) .

If $(d(x_k, p)) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$, then we have for each n that $\frac{p_n(x_k - p)}{1 + p_n(x_k - p)} \leq 2_n d(x_k, p) \rightarrow 0$ and thus $p_n(x_k - p) \rightarrow 0$. Hence ii) implies iii).

If $(p_n(x_k - p)) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ for each n and $\varepsilon > 0$ is given, then there exists M such that $2 \cdot 2^{-M} < \varepsilon$. If $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $p_n(x_k - p) < 2^{-M}$ for all $n < M$ and all $k > N$, then $d(x_k, p) < 2^{-M} + 2^{-M} < \varepsilon$. Since ε was arbitrary this shows that iii) implies ii).

Since for each n we have $p_n \leq p_k$ if $k > n$ we have that iv) implies iii).

Exercise 31

Show that for a Hausdorff space X and a metrisable space Y the topology of compact convergence equals the compact open topology. **Hint:** This involves various typical compactness arguments.

Let d be a metric on Y inducing the topology. Recall that $C(X, Y)_{c.o.}$ has as a subbasis consisting of the sets

$$[K, U] := \{\gamma \in C(X, Y) \mid \gamma(K) \subseteq U\},$$

where K runs through the compacts of X and U through the opens of Y . To see that each $[K, U]$ is also open in $C(X, Y)_c$ take $f \in [K, U]$. For each $x \in K$ we have $f(x) \in U$ and thus there exists $\varepsilon_x > 0$ such that $B_{f(x)}(\varepsilon_x) \subseteq U$ and $x \in V_x \subseteq X$ such that $f(V_x) \subseteq B_{f(x)}(\frac{\varepsilon_x}{2})$. Since K is compact it is covered by V_{x_1}, \dots, V_{x_n} and we set $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2} \min\{\varepsilon_{x_1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{x_n}\}$. Then $O_f(K, \varepsilon) \subseteq [K, U]$: each $x \in K$ is contained in $x \in V_{x_i}$ for some i and thus $\gamma \in O_f(K, \varepsilon)$ implies

$$d(\gamma(x), f(x_i)) \leq d(\gamma(x), f(x)) + d(f(x), f(x_i)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_{x_i}}{2} \leq \varepsilon_i$$

and thus $\gamma(x) \in U$. Hence $O_f(K, \varepsilon) \subseteq [K, U]$ and $[K, U]$ is open in $C(X, Y)_c$.

To see that each $O_f(K, \varepsilon)$ is also open in $C(X, Y)_{c.o.}$, choose for each $x \in K$ some $x \in V_x \subseteq X$ such that $f(V_x) \subseteq B_{f(x)}(\frac{\varepsilon}{4})$. This implies

$$f(\overline{V_x}) \subseteq \overline{f(V_x)} \subseteq \overline{B_{f(x)}(\frac{\varepsilon}{4})} \subseteq B_{f(x)}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}).$$

Now K is covered by V_{x_1}, \dots, V_{x_n} and we set $K_i := K \cap \overline{V_{x_i}}$ and $U_i = B_{f(x_i)}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})$. If

$$\gamma \in [K_1, U_1] \cap \dots \cap [K_n, U_n],$$

then each $x \in K$ is in some $\overline{V_{x_i}}$ and this implies

$$d(f(x), \gamma(x)) \leq d(f(x), f(x_i)) + d(f(x_i), \gamma(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Thus $\gamma \in O_f(K, \varepsilon)$. This implies that $[K_1, U_1] \cap \dots \cap [K_n, U_n] \subseteq O_f(K, 2\varepsilon)$ and since $f \in [K_1, U_1] \cap \dots \cap [K_n, U_n]$ we see that $O_f(K, 2\varepsilon)$ is open in $C(X, Y)_{c.o.}$

Exercise 32

Show that a morphism of diffeological spaces is continuous for the d-topology.

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism in **Diff**, then for each plot $\varphi: U \rightarrow X$ we have that $f \circ \varphi: U \rightarrow Y$ is a plot. Thus if $O \subseteq Y$ is open, then $\varphi^{-1}(f^{-1}(O)) = (f \circ \varphi)^{-1}(O)$ is open, and thus $f^{-1}(O)$ is open in X .

Exercise 33

Show that if M, N are manifolds, M is compact, N is locally metrisable and $O \subseteq N$ is open, then $C^\infty(M, O)$ is open in the d-topology on $C^\infty(M, N)$.

Since the d-topology on $C^\infty(M, N)$ is the final topology for all plots we have to show that for each $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and for each plot $\varphi: U \rightarrow C^\infty(M, N)$ the inverse image $\varphi^{-1}(C^\infty(M, O))$ is open. Note that

$$x \in \varphi^{-1}(C^\infty(M, O)) \Leftrightarrow \varphi(x)(y) \in O \text{ for all } y \in M.$$

thus if $x \in \varphi^{-1}(C^\infty(M, O))$, then there exists for each $y \in M$ open neighbourhoods $U_y \subseteq U$ and $V_y \subseteq M$ such that $\varphi(U_y)(V_y) \subseteq O$. Since M is compact it is covered by V_{y_1}, \dots, V_{y_n} and $\tilde{U} := U_{y_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{y_n}$ is an open neighbourhood of x . If $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{U}$ and $\tilde{y} \in M$, then $\tilde{y} \in V_{y_k}$ for some k and since $\tilde{x} \in U_{y_k}$ we thus have $\varphi(\tilde{x})(\tilde{y}) \in O$. Since \tilde{y} was arbitrary this shows $\tilde{x} \in \varphi^{-1}(C^\infty(M, O))$ and thus the latter is open.