KINETIC–INDUCED MOMENT SYSTEMS FOR THE SAINT–VENANT EQUATIONS
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Abstract

Based on the relation between kinetic Boltzmann–like transport equations and nonlinear hyperbolic conservation laws we derive kinetic–induced moment systems for the spatially one–dimensional shallow water equations (the Saint–Venant equations). Using Chapman–Enskog–like asymptotic expansion techniques in terms of the relaxation parameter of the kinetic equation, the resulting moment systems are asymptotically closed without an additional closure relation. Moreover, the new second order moment equation for the (asymptotically) third order system may act as monitoring function to detect shock and rarefaction waves, which is confirmed by some numerical experiments.
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1 Introduction

The Saint Venant system (SVE) is the one–dimensional case of the shallow water equations, that describe the dynamics of a fluid flow under a free surface, e.g., open channels, rivers, coastal areas etc. Its importance comes from the fact that it is used for the prediction of tides in the ocean, floods on rivers, surges in channels, tsunamis, among other types of phenomena corresponding to shallow water flows.

On the other hand, analytical solutions of the system are in general not available, since in reality droughts and topography changes take place and new
terms have to be included in the system. Hence, it has become more relevant to use accurate numerical methods involving adaptive discretization techniques. The construction of these methods becomes more efficient, if it is possible to know or to detect in advance the location where discontinuities in the solution will occur.

Using the relation between the SVE and kinetic transport equations it is possible to compute a hierarchy of kinetic–induced moment (relaxation) systems with a relaxation parameter given by $\varepsilon$, such that, in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ the system will tend to an equilibrium given by the inviscid SVE. Using asymptotic expansions for the moments of the kinetic equation in terms of $\varepsilon$ we are able to derive moment systems which are automatically closed without an additional closure relation. In particular, the second order moment $W(x, t)$ will behave as $\delta$-function at discontinuities, which might be used as a monitoring function in the construction of adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) models.

The paper is organized as follows: Chapter 2 contains a short review on the Saint-Venant equations, later, the definition of kinetic representations is explained, which is the basis of our work. The application to the SVE and the computation of a third order moment system (relaxation system) based on a Chapman–Enskog–like expansion with its characteristic structure will be presented in Chapter 3; it is shown that it works as a monitoring function to detect discontinuities. Finally, some numerical experiments for both systems are given in Chapter 4.

2 The Saint–Venant System

2.1 Preliminaries

The Saint–Venant Equations (SVE) are a nonlinear hyperbolic system of partial differential equations, that describes the evolution of the height of water $h(t, x)$ and its horizontal velocity $u(t, x)$, at a time $t \geq 0$ and at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$. It is governed (in inviscid form) by the continuity and momentum equations given by

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(hu) = 0 \tag{1}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(hu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(hu^2 + \frac{g}{2}h^2) = 0 \tag{2}
\]

where $g$ stands for the gravitational acceleration and $hu$ for the flow discharge. For details on the derivation of the inviscid\(^1\) SVE see Leveque [4] and Stoker [9].

The previous system can be written in quasilinear form as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{h}{hu} \\
\frac{h}{hu}
\end{pmatrix}
_t + M(h, u)

\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{h}{hu} \\
\frac{h}{hu}
\end{pmatrix}
_x =
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix} \tag{3}
\]

where the matrix $M(h, u)$ is the Jacobian of the flux matrix, and is given by

\(^1\text{zero viscosity}\)
with eigenvalues \( \lambda_{1,2} = u(x,t) \mp \sqrt{gh(x,t)} \), which are distinct and real as long as \( h > 0 \), therefore, the system is considered strictly hyperbolic. As we can see, if \( h = 0 \) (vanishing water height), the system is not strictly hyperbolic any more. In our case we will have two characteristic curves and two waves corresponding to a shock or a rarefaction wave, these curves are determined by the eigenvalues of the Jacobian of the flux matrix \( M(h,u) \).

The corresponding eigenvectors are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
  r^1 &= \left( 1 \ u - \sqrt{\frac{g}{h}} \right) \\
  r^2 &= \left( 1 \ u + \sqrt{\frac{g}{h}} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

The SVE are completed by the entropy function \( E(h,u) \), corresponding to the total energy of the system, with \( q = [h,hu]^T \)

\[
E(h,u) = \frac{1}{2} hu^2 + \frac{g}{2} h^2
\]

This is an obvious choice since an entropy function should be a conserved quantity, in this case the energy whenever the unknown \( q(x,t) \) is smooth, but which has a source or a sink at discontinuities. In our case, the energy will decrease in an admissible shock but will increase across an expansion shock.

Consequently, we can also define an entropy flux together with the entropy inequality, which satisfies for weak solutions

\[
\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ u(E + \frac{g}{2} h^2) \right] \leq 0
\]

for \( q(x,t) \) smooth, the previous inequality becomes an equality. This condition will be useful to guarantee the convergence to the physical correct solution in the numerical experiments. For more details in the properties and definition of entropy functions see Leveque [4].

### 2.2 Kinetic Representation

The Kinetic Formulation as defined by Lions [6], is an equivalent formulation of a conservation laws system based on an appropriate transport equation such that:
- it contains a full family of entropy inequalities,
- it involves an additional variable \( \xi \) called kinetic or microscopic velocity,
- its \( \xi \)-moments recover the original equations and their entropy conditions.

A weaker version of this is the so-called **Kinetic Representation** since it uses only the single entropy coming from the total energy (the motivation for this can be seen in [8], sec 1.7), and it is an equivalent system to the SVE (1) and (2). It is based on the condition

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{h}{h u} \\
\frac{h u}{h u^2 + \frac{d}{2} h^2}
\end{pmatrix}
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
\frac{\xi}{\xi^2}
\end{pmatrix} M(h, \xi - u) \, d\xi \tag{9}
\]

called the **representation formula**, which is valid under the following conditions.

Consider the function \( \chi(\omega) \) on \( \mathbb{R} \), together with the properties

\[
\chi(\omega) = \chi(-\omega) \geq 0 \quad \text{(even non-negative function)} \tag{10}
\]

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(\omega) \, d\omega = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega^2 \chi(\omega) \, d\omega = \kappa \tag{11}
\]

and define \( M(h, \xi - u) \), the density of particles as

\[
M(h, \xi - u) = \sqrt{h} \chi \left( \frac{\xi - u}{\sqrt{h}} \right) \tag{12}
\]

The proof of the representation formula is just a simple computation of the right hand side of (9). Now, we can check by making integrations w.r.t. \( \xi \) against weights 1 and \( \xi \), and using the values in (9), that \( Q(t, x, \xi) \) some collision term defined as

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} M(h, \xi - u) + \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} M(h, \xi - u) := Q(t, x, \xi) \tag{13}
\]

satisfies the conservation relations

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} Q \, d\xi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi Q \, d\xi = 0 \tag{14}
\]

It is possible to consider (13) as the limit when \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) of the Boltzmann type equation with a BGK relaxation term.
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(t,x,\xi) + \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial x}f(t,x,\xi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}[M(h,\xi - u) - f(t,x,\xi)]
\]

\[\]

\[
h(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t,x,\xi) \, d\xi
\]

\[
\]

\[hu(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi f(t,x,\xi) \, d\xi
\]

where,

\[
Q = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}[M(h,\xi - u) - f(t,x,\xi)]
\]

As mentioned in Perthame [8] the rigorous proof of this consideration is still an open problem.

## 3 Kinetic induced Moment System

### 3.1 Moment System from the Kinetic Model

Until now, all of the previous theory is well known and it is going to form the basis of our work. Now, we want to derive a new system based on the SVE with the use of the kinetic representation explained in Section 2.2, which will provide useful information for numerical treatments and the general kinetic theory.

#### 3.1.1 Boltzmann-type equation and BGK relaxation model

The Boltzmann equation describes the statistical distribution of the density of particles \( f \), it is formed by the effects of the free advection of particles (left hand side), and the collisions between them since involves exchange of energy and momentum (right hand side). One of the methods to express the collision term in a simpler way (and the method used here) is the Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) model, where \( M(h,\xi - u) \) is called equilibrium function, \( f(t,x,\xi) \) is the density of particles which at time \( t \) and position \( x \) moves with velocity \( \xi \), and \( \varepsilon \ll 1 \) is the mean free path \(^2\).

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(t,x,\xi) + \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial x}f(t,x,\xi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}[M(h,\xi - u) - f(t,x,\xi)]
\]

A more deep study on the Boltzmann equation and the BGK model can be found in Struchtrup [10].

\(^2\)Average distance traveled by a particle between collisions
3.1.2 Moments of $f(t, x, \xi)$

The moments derived from the Boltzmann equation are an alternative description for $f$, since $f$ is difficult to compute and is charged with a lot of information that could be not useful. The moments are weighted averages of the distribution function much more approachable than $f$ and form a complete set of partial differential equations (see [10]); not all the moments must be considered only those who give relevant information.

Consider the equations (15) and (16), the zeroth and the first moments of the function $f(t, x, \xi)$ are already known

$$W_0 = \int_R f(t, x, \xi) \, d\xi = h(t, x) \quad (19)$$

$$W_1 = \int_R \xi f(t, x, \xi) \, d\xi = hu(t, x) \quad (20)$$

The preceding moments are defined as

$$W_k = \int_R \xi^k f(t, x, \xi) \, d\xi \quad \text{for } k = 2, 3, 4, \ldots \quad (21)$$

3.1.3 Equilibrium function $M(h, \xi - u)$

A system is in equilibrium, if there are no changes taking place in time and all the forces are balanced, i.e., it is in an homogeneous steady state. The first three equilibrium values corresponding to the first three moments ($k = 0, 1, 2$) of $M(h, \xi - u)$ are already given by the kinetic representation formula (9), starting from this it is possible to find with a little bit of algebra the general formula for any $k$, which is shown below.

$$W_k \mid_E = \int_R \xi^k M(h, \xi - u) \, d\xi = h u^k + \frac{k(k - 1)}{4} g h^2 u^{k-2} \quad \forall k \quad (22)$$

3.1.4 Moment System

Multiplying both sides of (18) by the weights 1, $\xi$ and $\xi^k$ and integrating over the microscopic velocity we obtain the moment equations, that are infinite many relations equivalent to the SVE. As a result, additional unknown quantities appear, our aim is to find a finite number of moment equations that allow us to obtain a closed system. The result of the multiplication and subsequent integration yields
\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (hu) = 0 \quad (23)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (hu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_2 = 0 \quad (24)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_k + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [hu^k + \frac{k(k-1)}{4} gh^2 u^{k-2} - W_k] \quad (25)
\]

**First order non-equilibrium system**

The difference between the scalar variables and their equilibrium values is called first order non-equilibrium values, these are useful variables because they will vanish in equilibrium. These values are defined as

\[
W_k^{(1)} = W_k - W_k |_E \quad \text{for } k = 2,3,4,\ldots \quad (26)
\]

We can see that \(W_0^{(1)} = W_1^{(1)} = 0\) and \(W_2^{(1)} = W_2 - (hu^2 + \frac{g}{2} h^2)\). The corresponding first order non-equilibrium moment system is given by

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (hu) = 0 \quad (27)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (hu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_2^{(1)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (hu^2 + \frac{g}{2} h^2) = 0 \quad (28)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_k^{(1)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (hu^k + \frac{k(k-1)}{4} gh^2 u^{k-2}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_k^{(1)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (hu^{k+1} + \frac{k(k+1)}{4} gh^2 u^{k-1}) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W_k^{(1)} \quad (29)
\]

where the last equation holds for \(k = 2,3,4,\ldots\).

The following step is to eliminate the second time derivative in (29). After some algebraic computations we will get

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_k^{(1)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_{k+1}^{(1)} + \frac{k}{4} gh^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u^{k-1}) - \frac{k(k-1)(k-2)}{4} gh^2 u^{k-3} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - ku^{k-3} u^2 - \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{4} gh \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_2^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W_k^{(1)} \quad (30)
\]

Expanding the moments in (30) according to the classical Chapman-Enskog approach in the form

\[
W_k^{(1)} = \varepsilon W_{k,1}^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2 W_{k,2}^{(1)} \quad \text{for } k = 2,3,4,\ldots \quad (31)
\]

and taking the first order terms we get
\( W^{(1)}_{2,1} = -\frac{g}{2} h^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \) \hspace{1cm} (32)

\( W^{(1)}_{k,1} = -\frac{k}{4} g h^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u^{k-1}) + \frac{k(k-1)(k-2)}{4} g^2 h^2 u^{k-3} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \) \hspace{1cm} (33)

(33) can be written as

\[ W^{(1)}_{k,1} = \frac{k(k-1)}{2} u^{k-3} [u W^{(1)}_{2,1} + \frac{(k-2)}{2} g^2 h^2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}] \] \hspace{1cm} (34)

Therefore, the zero-th order system yields the inviscid SVE

\[ \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (hu) = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (35)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (hu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (hu^2 + \frac{g}{2} h^2) = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (36)

and the first order system yields the viscous SVE

\[ \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (hu) = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (37)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (hu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (hu^2 + \frac{g}{2} h^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W^{(1)} = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (38)

where \( W^{(1)} = \varepsilon W^{(1)}_{2,1} = -\varepsilon \frac{g}{2} h^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \) is the diffusion term.

Now a third variable \( W^{(1)}_{2} \) has appeared but we only have two equations, in order to have a closed system we need to find a third equation.

**Second order non-equilibrium system**

\[ W^{(2)}_k = W^{(1)}_k - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} u^{k-3} [u W^{(1)}_2 + \frac{(k-2)}{2} g^2 h^2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}] \] \hspace{1cm} (39)

with \( W^{(1)}_k = \varepsilon W^{(1)}_{k,1} \).

The corresponding second order non-equilibrium moment system is given by
\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(hu) = 0 
\] (40)
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(hu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}W_2^{(1)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(hu^2 + \frac{g}{2}h^2) = 0 
\] (41)
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}W_2^{(1)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}W_3^{(1)} + \frac{g}{2}h^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - 2u \frac{\partial}{\partial x}W_2^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}W_1^{(1)} 
\] (42)
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}W_2^{(1)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}W_3^{(2)} + \frac{3\varepsilon}{2}g^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(k^2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}) + \left(\frac{g}{2}h^2 + 3W_2^{(1)}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial x}W_2^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}W_2^{(1)} 
\] (43)

Equation (42) comes from (30) replacing \(k = 2\) since \(W_k^{(2)}\) holds only for \(k = 3, 4, 5, \ldots\), and also replacing \(W_3^{(1)}\) using (39). The final equation of the system yields

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}W_k^{(2)} + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u^{k-2}W_2^{(1)}) + \epsilon(k-2)2g^2h^2u^{k-3}k^2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}W_k^{(2)} 
\]
\[
+ \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u^{k-1}W_2^{(1)}) + \epsilon(k-1) \frac{2}{2}g^2h^2u^{k-2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + \frac{k}{4}gh^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u^{k-1}) 
\]
\[
- \frac{k(k-1)(k-2)}{4}g^2h^2u^{k-3} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - ku^{k-3}[u^2 + \epsilon(k-1)(k-2)\epsilon W_2^{(1)}] 
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}W_k^{(2)} + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}u^{k-3}(uW_2^{(1)} + \epsilon \frac{2}{2}g^2h^2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}) 
\]

which holds only for \(k = 3, 4, 5, \ldots\).

The following step is to eliminate the second time derivative in (43). After some algebraic computations we will get

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}W_k^{(2)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}W_k^{(2)} - \frac{k(k-1)}{2}u^{k-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}W_3^{(2)} 
\]
\[
- \frac{k(k-1)(k-2)}{2h}u^{k-3} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x}W_2^{(1)} + gh \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) \epsilon(k-3) \frac{2}{2}g^2h^2u^{-1} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + W_2^{(1)} \right] 
\]
\[
+ \frac{g}{2}h^2 \epsilon gh^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}W_2^{(1)} \right] = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}W_k^{(2)} 
\]

(44)

For convenience we want to indicate the variables that are already expanded, for this we write \(h = \hat{h}, u = \hat{u}\) and \(W_2^{(1)} = \epsilon W_2^{(1)}\), the system reads

\[
\frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\hat{h}\hat{u}) = 0 
\] (45)
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\hat{h}\hat{u}) + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x}W_2^{(1)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\hat{h}\hat{u}^2 + \frac{g}{2}h^2) = 0 
\] (46)
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}W_2^{(1)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}W_3^{(2)} + \frac{3\varepsilon}{2}g^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(h^2 \frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial x}) + \left(\frac{g}{2}h^2 + 3\varepsilon W_2^{(1)}\right) \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial x} + \hat{u} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}W_2^{(1)} = -\hat{W}_2^{(1)} 
\] (47)
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_k^{(2)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_{k+1}^{(2)} - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \hat{u}^{k-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_3^{(2)} \\
- \frac{k(k-1)(k-2)}{2h} \hat{u}^{k-3} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_2^{(1)} + \hat{h} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) \left( \varepsilon (k-3) \frac{g^2}{2} \hat{h}^2 \hat{u} - 1 \frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial x} \right) + \varepsilon W_2^{(1)} \right] \\
+ \frac{g}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \varepsilon \hat{h} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_3^{(2)} \right) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W_k^{(2)}
\] (48)

Again, expanding the moments in (48) in the form

\[
W_k^{(2)} = \varepsilon^2 W_{k,2}^{(2)} + \varepsilon^3 W_{k,3}^{(2)} \quad \text{for } k = 3, 4, 5, \ldots
\] (49)

and taking the first order terms we get

\[
W_{4,2}^{(2)} = \frac{3}{2} g \left[ 2 W_2^{(1)} \frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial x} + \hat{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_2^{(1)} + \varepsilon \hat{h} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}}{\partial x^2} \right]
\] (50)

\[
W_{k,2}^{(2)} = \frac{k(k-1)(k-2)}{4} \hat{u}^{k-3} \left[ \frac{2}{3} W_3^{(2)} + (k-3)g^2 \hat{h}^2 \hat{u} - 1 \left( \frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right]
\] (51)

(51) can be written as

\[
W_{k,2}^{(2)} = \frac{k(k-1)(k-2)}{4} \hat{u}^{k-3} \left[ \frac{2}{3} W_3^{(2)} + (k-3)g^2 \hat{h}^2 \hat{u} - 1 \left( \frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] \] (52)

Third order system

Now if we define \( W_3^{(2)} = \varepsilon^2 W_3^{(2)} \) since it is already expanded, we can obtain a third order system using (45), (46) and (47)

\[
\frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\hat{h} \hat{u}) = 0
\] (53)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\hat{h} \hat{u}) + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_2^{(1)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\hat{h} \hat{u}^2 + \frac{g}{2} \hat{h}^2) = 0
\] (54)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_2^{(1)} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_3^{(2)} + \frac{3}{2} g^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \hat{h}^2 \frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial x} \right) + \left( \frac{g}{2} \hat{h}^2 + 3 \hat{W}_2^{(1)} \right) \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial x} + \hat{u} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_2^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W_2^{(1)}
\] (55)

with

\[
\hat{W}_3^{(2)} = \frac{3}{2} g \left[ 2 W_2^{(1)} \frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial x} + \hat{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_2^{(1)} + \varepsilon \hat{h} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}}{\partial x^2} \right]
\] (56)
3.2 Moment System of third order

For simplicity we re-write \( \hat{h} = h \), \( \hat{u} = u \), \( \hat{W}_2^{(1)} = W \) and replace the value of \( \hat{W}_2^{(2)} \) found in the previous section. Then we will have a third order closed system (showed below), which will be the focus of the present work.

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(hu) = 0 \tag{57}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(hu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(hu^2 + \frac{g}{2}h^2) + \varepsilon \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} = 0 \tag{58}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + \left( \frac{g}{2\varepsilon}h^2 + 3W \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + u \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\varepsilon g}{\varepsilon \delta} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + 2W \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + h \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} + g h^3 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}W \tag{59}
\]

Equation (59) can be written in balance form as

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \varepsilon W + hu^2 + \frac{g}{2}h^2 \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(3\varepsilon uW + hu^3 + \frac{3g}{2}uh^2) = -\frac{3g}{2} \varepsilon u \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + 2W \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + h \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} + g h^3 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right) - W \tag{60}
\]

3.2.1 Inviscid system

The respective homogeneous-inviscid system for (57), (58) and (60) holds

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
h
\varepsilon W + hu^2 + \frac{g}{2}h^2
\end{pmatrix}_t + M(h, u, W)
\begin{pmatrix}
h
\varepsilon W + hu^2 + \frac{g}{2}h^2
\end{pmatrix}_x =
\begin{pmatrix}
0
0
\end{pmatrix} \tag{61}
\]

with the Jacobian of the flux matrix equal to

\[
M(h, u, W) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-3u(W + hu^2 + \frac{g}{2}h^2) + 3\varepsilon W + hu^2 + \frac{g}{2}h^2 & 3\varepsilon W + hu^2 + \frac{g}{2}h^2 - 6u^2 & 4u^3 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \tag{62}
\]

The system is strictly hyperbolic for \( h > 0 \), and the eigenvalues of \( M(h, u, W) \) are given by
\[
\lambda_1 = u - \sqrt{\frac{3g}{2} h + 3\varepsilon \frac{W}{h}} \\
\lambda_2 = u \\
\lambda_3 = u + \sqrt{\frac{3g}{2} h + 3\varepsilon \frac{W}{h}}
\]

Hence, we will have 3 characteristic curves and 3 waves, where information can travel at the fluid velocity, or move as acoustic waves at speeds \( c = \pm \sqrt{\frac{3g}{2} h + 3\varepsilon \frac{W}{h}} \). The 1-wave will move to the left, while the 3-wave will move to the right; between these two waves the velocity is constant \( (u_m) \) and the 2-wave will appear with velocity \( \lambda_2 = u_m \).

Then the corresponding eigenvectors will read

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{r}^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ u - \sqrt{\frac{3g}{2} h + 3\varepsilon \frac{W}{h}} \\ (u - \sqrt{\frac{3g}{2} h + 3\varepsilon \frac{W}{h}})^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
\mathbf{r}^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ u^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
\mathbf{r}^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ u + \sqrt{\frac{3g}{2} h + 3\varepsilon \frac{W}{h}} \\ (u + \sqrt{\frac{3g}{2} h + 3\varepsilon \frac{W}{h}})^2 \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

**Shock and Rarefaction waves**

The characteristic fields 1 and 3 are *genuinely non-linear* since \( \nabla \mathbf{r}^p \cdot \mathbf{r}^p \neq 0 \), for \( p = 1, 3 \); the 1-wave and 3-wave will deform into shock or rarefaction waves, given that \( \lambda^1 \) and \( \lambda^3 \) vary along the integral curves of \( \mathbf{r}^1 \) and \( \mathbf{r}^3 \) respectively. The behavior of both waves is similar to the one described in Chapter 2 for Saint-Venant System.

In order to keep physical meaning, the weak solution must satisfy the entropy condition (8).

**Contact discontinuity**

On the contrary to fields 1 and 3, \( \nabla \mathbf{r}^2 \cdot \mathbf{r}^2 = 0 \) and the second field is called a *linearly degenerate* field. Nor shock nor rarefaction waves can occur in the 2-characteristic field, instead contact discontinuities appear.
Contact discontinuities are linear discontinuities that propagate to the characteristic speed (64) on each side, without distorting, given that \( \lambda_2 = u \) is constant along the integral curve of \( \gamma^2 \); the height will jump on the discontinuity as do variables that depend on \( h(x, t) \). Characteristics will be parallel to the wave in the \( x-t \) plane, rather than impinging on it.

### 3.2.2 Shock and rarefaction waves detector

In the formal limit \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), the system (57), (58) and (59) reads

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(hu) = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(hu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(hu^2 + \frac{g}{2}h^2) = 0
\]

\[
W = -\frac{g}{2}h^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}
\]  

(69)

Therefore, as \( \varepsilon \) goes to zero and considering that \( h > 0 \), we have that if

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = 0 \quad W = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} < 0 \quad W > 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} > 0 \quad W < 0
\]

Additionally, we could distinguish between shock and rarefaction waves, since

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \to -\infty \quad W \to \infty \quad \text{(shock wave)}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \to \infty \quad W \to -\infty \quad \text{(rarefaction wave)}
\]

As we saw in Section 2.1, the generic solution for the shallow water system consists of two waves – depending on the initial data, each one will be either a shock wave or a rarefaction wave.

In order to prove that our third order moment system (59), may act as an indicator to detect shock and rarefaction waves; consider a discontinuity located at \( s(t) \) with \( h(x, 0) \equiv h_0 \) and \( u(s(t^-), t) = -u(s(t^+), t) \), \( u(s(t^-), t) > 0 \); such that, we have a two–shock Riemann solution. The speed of the shock waves will be given by the Rankine-Hugoniot conditions.

Hence, from (69) we can infer that for \( \varepsilon \ll 1 \) the solution of \( W(x, t) \) tends to a \( \delta \)-function located at the point of the discontinuity \( s(t) \). Scaling the variable \( x \) as

\[
\eta = \frac{x - s(t)}{\varepsilon}
\]

and introducing the scaled functions
\[ \hat{h}(\eta, t) = h(\varepsilon \eta + s(t), t) \]
\[ \hat{u}(\eta, t) = u(\varepsilon \eta + s(t), t) \]
\[ \hat{W}(\eta, t) = \varepsilon W(\varepsilon \eta + s(t), t) \]

The system (57), (58) and (59) turn into

\[ \varepsilon \frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial t} + (\hat{u} - \hat{s}(t)) \frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial \eta} + \hat{h} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \eta} = 0 \tag{70} \]

\[ \varepsilon \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial t} + (\hat{u} - \hat{s}(t)) \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} + \hat{h} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \eta} = 0 \]  
\[ \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} = \hat{u} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} \]  
\[ \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} = \hat{u} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} \]  
\[ \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} = \hat{u} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} \]

Again, taking the formal limit \( \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \), the leading order of (70) and (71) is given by

\[ \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \eta} = (\hat{s}(t) - \hat{u}) \frac{1}{\hat{h}} \frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial \eta} \tag{73} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} = (\hat{s}(t) - \hat{u}) \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} \]  

Moreover, the equations (57) and (58) can be written as

\[ \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (hu) = 0 \tag{75} \]

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (hu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (hu^2 + \frac{g}{2} h^2) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} = 0 \]  
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (hu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (hu^2 + \frac{g}{2} h^2) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} = 0 \]

since \( \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} \). Now, replacing (74) into (76), we will get

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (hu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (hu^2 + \frac{g}{2} h^2) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\hat{s}(t) - \hat{u}) \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} = 0 \]

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (hu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (hu^2 + \frac{g}{2} h^2) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\hat{s}(t) - \hat{u}) \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} = 0 \]

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (hu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (hu^2 + \frac{g}{2} h^2) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\hat{s}(t) - \hat{u}) \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \eta} = 0 \]

And, replacing \( \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \) according to (75), leads to
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + s(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0 \]

This demonstrate that in the limit \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), the system (57), (58) and (59), yields the correct shock propagation.

4 Numerical Example

We will use Godunov’s Method together with the Roe linearisation and the Harten-Hyman Entropy Fix method (HHE) to get the numerical results from both of our systems the inviscid Saint-Venant Equations (1) and (2), and the third order moment system (57),(58),(60). The finite volume method is implemented inside the CLAWPACK software for conservation laws [5].

The computational domain is given by \([-15, 15]\) with \( M = 500 \) cells in the \( x \) direction, with an initial time step \( \Delta t = 0.1 \); the time step would be updated accordingly with the desired cfl condition \( \text{cfl} = 0.9 \) and the maximum value permitted \( \text{cfl}_{\text{max}} = 1 \). The final time will be \( t_{\text{final}} = 3 \).

4.1 Approximate Riemann Solvers

Define a function \( \mathcal{Q}_{i-\frac{1}{2}}(x/t) \) that approximates the true similarity solution of the Riemann problem with data \( Q_{i-1} \) and \( Q_i \). The obvious choice is to use an approximation based on the solution of the linear problem, therefore, this function will consists of a \( m \) set of waves \( W^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \) propagating at some speed \( s^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \).

\[ Q_i - Q_{i-1} = \sum_{p=1}^{m} W^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \]  

(78)

Hence, we can use the waves and speed from the approximative solution and define

\[ A^- \Delta Q_{i-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{p=1}^{m} (s^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}})^- W^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \]  

(79)

\[ A^+ \Delta Q_{i-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{p=1}^{m} (s^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}})^+ W^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \]  

(80)

where the minus and plus sign in the speed represents the \( \text{min}(\lambda, 0) \) and the \( \text{max}(\lambda, 0) \) respectively, then we can use the fluctuations into (??).

4.1.1 Linearized Riemann Solvers and the Roe Linearization

Replace the non-linear problem by a linear one, in which at each cell interface we get

\[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{q}}{\partial t} + A_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{q}}{\partial x} = 0 \]  

(81)
The matrix \( \hat{A}_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \) must satisfy:

- It is diagonalizable, with real eigenvalues
- \( \hat{A}_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \to f'(q) \) as \( Q_{i-1}, Q_i \to \bar{q} \), since the new matrix is an approximation of the original Jacobian matrix in a neighborhood of \( Q_{i-1}, Q_i \).

Hence, the approximate Riemann solution consists of \( m \) waves proportional to the eigenvectors \( \hat{r}^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \) of the matrix \( \hat{A}_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \), propagating with a speed equal to the eigenvalues \( \hat{s}^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} = \hat{\lambda}^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \). Now, it is possible to solve the linear system

\[
Q_i - Q_{i-1} = \sum_{p=1}^{m} \alpha^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{r}^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{82}
\]

in order to obtain the values of \( \alpha^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \) and compute the waves \( W^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} = \alpha^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{r}^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \).

There are many choices for the linearized matrix, one of them and the one studied in this thesis is the Roe linearisation which for the SVE problem will provide very nice properties.

In the case when the exact Riemann solution consists of a single shock, the approximate solution should agree with the exact one. Therefore it must hold that: "if \( Q_{i-1} \) and \( Q_i \) are connected by a single wave \( W^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} = Q_i - Q_{i-1} \) in the exact Riemann solution, then \( W^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \) should also be an eigenvector of \( \hat{A}_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \)."

Consequently, the Rankine-Hugoniot condition with \( q_l = Q_{i-1} \) and \( q_r = Q_i \) must be satisfied by both problems at the cell interface, then

\[
f(Q_i) - f(Q_{i-1}) = \dot{s}(Q_i - Q_{i-1}) = \hat{A}_{i-\frac{1}{2}}(Q_i - Q_{i-1}) \tag{83}
\]

since the single shock is an eigenvector of the linearized matrix. This property will guarantee that (79) and (80) yield a conservative method, considering that the following condition is satisfied

\[
\left[ A^- \Delta Q_{i-\frac{1}{2}} + A^+ \Delta Q_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \right] = f(Q_i) - f(Q_{i-1}) \tag{84}
\]

A way to obtain a suitable matrix \( \hat{A}_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \), is by integrating the Jacobian matrix over an appropriate path in state space\(^3\) between \( Q_{i-1} \) and \( Q_i \).

\[
\hat{A}_{i-\frac{1}{2}} = \int_0^1 f'(q(\zeta))d\zeta \tag{85}
\]

where \( q(\zeta) = Q_{i-1} + (Q_i - Q_{i-1})\zeta \) is a straight-line path parametrized for \( 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1 \); nevertheless, the previous integral is not always easy to calculate. We can introduce a change of variable to facilitate this integration.

Assume that \( z(q) \) is an invertible mapping so we know \( q(z) \) as well and \( f \) as a function of \( z \). The integration path will become, \( z(\zeta) = Z_{i-1} + (Z_i - Z_{i-1})\zeta \) where \( Z_j = z(Q_j) \) for \( j = i - 1, i \). Now, we can write

\(^3\)Graph of each possible state (h,hu) for the SVE
\[ f(Q_i) - f(Q_{i-1}) = \int_0^1 \frac{df(z(\zeta))}{d\zeta} d\zeta = \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{df(z(\zeta))}{dz} d\zeta \right] (Z_i - Z_{i-1}) = \hat{C}_{i-\frac{1}{2}} (Z_i - Z_{i-1}) \]

(86)

\[ Q_i - Q_{i-1} = \int_0^1 \frac{dq(z(\zeta))}{d\zeta} d\zeta = \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{dq(z(\zeta))}{dz} d\zeta \right] (Z_i - Z_{i-1}) = \hat{B}_{i-\frac{1}{2}} (Z_i - Z_{i-1}) \]

(87)

replacing in (83) we obtain our desired matrix \( \hat{A}_{i-\frac{1}{2}} = \hat{C}_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{B}_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \).

4.1.2 The Harten-Hyman Entropy Fix

In the case of transonic rarefaction waves \( f'(q_l) < 0 < f'(q_r) \) the entropy condition will be violated and the use of (79) and (80) would lead to the wrong solution. Therefore, in the case where \( \lambda^p < 0 \) to the left of the wave while \( \lambda^p > 0 \) to the right of the wave, it is necessary to modify the fluctuations performing an entropy fix.

Define a transonic rarefaction in the \( k \)-th wave as \( (\lambda^k_l < 0 < \lambda^k_r) \), where \( \lambda^k_l, r \) is the \( k \)-th eigenvalue of the Jacobian matrix computed in the states \( q^k_{l, r} \) to right and left

\[ q^k_l = Q_{i-1} + \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} W^p, \quad q^k_r = q^k_l + W^k \]

(88)

where \( W^p = W^p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \).

We want to replace the single wave \( W^k \) with speed \( \hat{\lambda}^k \) by two waves; \( W^k_l = \beta W^k \) propagating at speed \( \lambda^k_l \) and \( W^k_r = (1 - \beta) W^k \) propagating at speed \( \lambda^k_r \).

In order to keep conservation, it must hold that \( \lambda^k_l W^k_l + \lambda^k_r W^k_r = \hat{\lambda}^k W^k \) and then we can compute \( \beta \).

\[ \beta = \frac{\lambda^k_l - \hat{\lambda}^k}{\lambda^k_l - \lambda^k_r} \]

(89)

A more practical way to adjust the fluctuations in (79) and (80) is to change the positive and negative parts of the speeds \( (\hat{s}^k = \hat{\lambda}^k) \) in the \( k \)-th field by

\[ (\hat{\lambda}^k)^- \equiv \beta \lambda^k_l \]

(90)

\[ (\hat{\lambda}^k)^+ \equiv (1 - \beta) \lambda^k_r \]

(91)

they will sum up to \( \hat{\lambda}^k \) and will be non-zero in the transonic case.

For details in the Finite Volume Method and the Roe linearization see Leveque [4].

4.2 Inviscid case of the SVE

The numerical results for this hyperbolic system are included in the shallow water CLAWPACK package, here we use the same Roe Solver as used in Chapter 15 in [4].
4.2.1 Riemann Solver

Roe linearization

Using as a parameter vector \( z = h^{-\frac{1}{2}} q \), we can define

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
z_1 \\
z_2
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\sqrt{h} \\
\frac{1}{2} hu
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (92)
then

\[
q(z) = \begin{bmatrix}
(z_1)^2 \\
(z_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} g(z_1)^4
\end{bmatrix} \implies \frac{\partial q}{\partial z} = \begin{bmatrix}
2z_1 & 0 \\
z_2 & z_1
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (93)

in a similar way we calculate

\[
f(z) = \begin{bmatrix}
(z_1)^2 z_2 \\
(z_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} g(z_1)^4
\end{bmatrix} \implies \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \begin{bmatrix}
z_2^2 & z_1 \\
2g(z_1)^3 & 2z_2
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (94)

At the end we will get

\[
\hat{A}_{i-\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \hat{u} \\
\hat{u}^2 + g\bar{h} & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (95)

where \( \bar{h} \) is the arithmetic average and \( \hat{u} \) is the Roe Average.

\[
\bar{h} = \frac{1}{2} (h_{i-1} + h_i)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (96)
\[
\hat{u} = \frac{\sqrt{h_{i-1} u_{i-1}} + \sqrt{h_i u_i}}{\sqrt{h_{i-1}} + \sqrt{h_i}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (97)

The eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of our new matrix would be given by

\[
\lambda^1 = \bar{u} - \hat{c} \quad \lambda^2 = \bar{u} + \hat{c}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (98)
\[
\hat{r}^1 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
\bar{u} - \hat{c}
\end{bmatrix} \quad \hat{r}^2 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
\bar{u} + \hat{c}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (99)

with \( \hat{c} = \sqrt{gh} \). Then

\[
Q_i - Q_{i-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
\delta^1 \\
\delta^2
\end{bmatrix} = \alpha_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^1 \hat{r}^1 + \alpha_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^2 \hat{r}^2 = W_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^1 + W_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^2
\]  \hspace{1cm} (100)

Inverting the matrix of right eigenvectors we can compute the alpha coefficients by solving the linear system to the left and obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha^1_{i-\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{(\hat{u} + \hat{c})\delta^1 - \delta^2}{2\hat{c}} \\
\alpha^2_{i-\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{- (\hat{u} - \hat{c})\delta^1 + \delta^2}{2\hat{c}}
\end{align*}
\]  

(101)  

(102)

Now we have all the information to compute the waves and to update the fluctuations (79) and (80) in the Godunov’s Method using the eigenvalues as the speeds.

\[
\begin{align*}
s_l &= \hat{u} - \hat{c} \\
s_r &= \hat{u} + \hat{c}
\end{align*}
\]  

(103)  

(104)

**Sonic Entropy Fix**

We want to identify the transonic rarefactions in order to modify the fluctuations. Consider \(hr\) and \(ur\) the values to the right of the discontinuity; and \(hl\), \(ul\) the values to the left. For simplicity we do not use sub-indexes to denote the right and the left states, since later we must include the position on the grid as a sub-index.

- **Check the 1-wave.**

First we check the 1-wave (with velocity \(\lambda_1\)) in the left state \((i-1)\)

\[
s_0 = ur_{i-1} - \sqrt{g \cdot hr_{i-1}}
\]  

(105)

If \(s_0\) and \(s_l\) are greater than zero then there are no left going waves and we have a fully supersonic case, if not we must search if transonic waves occur. Define \(s_1\) as the speed corresponding to \(\lambda_1\) to the right of the 1-wave.

\[
\begin{bmatrix} hr_1 \\ hur_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} hr_{i-1} \\ hr_{i-1} \cdot ur_{i-1} \end{bmatrix} + W^1_{i-\frac{1}{2}}
\]

(106)

\[
s_1 = \frac{hur_1}{hr_1} - \sqrt{g \cdot hr_1}
\]  

(107)

If \(s_0 < 0\) and \(s_1 > 0\) then there is a transonic rarefaction in the 1-wave and the new speed will be given as in (90) and (91) by

\[
s_{1-} = s_0 \left( \frac{s_1 - s_l}{s_1 - s_0} \right)
\]  

(108)

On the other hand, if this is not the case we have two possibilities; that \(s_l < 0\) and the 1-wave is left-going with \(s_{1-} = s_l\) or if none of the previous conditions hold then it is right-going.
- Check the 2-wave.

Now we check the 2-wave corresponding to $\lambda_2$ in the right state $i$

$$s_3 = ul_i - \sqrt{g * hl_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (109)

Define $s_2$ as the speed corresponding to $\lambda_2$ to the left of the 2-wave.

$$\begin{bmatrix} hl_2 \\ hul_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} hl_i \\ hl_i * ul_i \end{bmatrix} + W_i^2 \frac{-\frac{1}{2}}{}$$  \hspace{1cm} (110)

$$s_2 = \frac{hul_2}{hl_2} - \sqrt{g * hl_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (111)

If $s_2 < 0$ and $s_3 > 0$ then there is a transonic rarefaction in the 2-wave and the new speed will be given as in (90) and (91) by

$$s_2^- = s_2 \left( \frac{s_3 - s_r}{s_3 - s_2} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (112)

On the other hand, if this is not the case we have two possibilities; that $s_r < 0$ and the 2-wave is left-going with $s_2^- = s_r$ or if none of the previous conditions hold then it is right-going.

In order to compute the fluctuations we must define the total flux difference which is $td = \sum_{p=1}^{M+2} s_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^p W_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^p$; then finally

$$A^- \Delta Q_{i-\frac{1}{2}} = s_1^- W_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^1 + s_2^- W_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (113)

$$A^+ \Delta Q_{i-\frac{1}{2}} = td - A^- \Delta Q_{i-\frac{1}{2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (114)

### 4.2.2 Initial and Boundary Conditions

For the boundary conditions CLAWPACK has already some options inside the input file; in our case we will apply a non-reflecting outflow using a zero-order extrapolation, in the following manner

$$Q^n_0 = Q^n_1 \hspace{1cm} Q^n_{M+1} = Q^n_M \hspace{1cm} Q^n_{M+2} = Q^n_M$$  \hspace{1cm} (115)

where the interior values are given by $Q^n_1, ..., Q^n_M$ and the boundaries are represented by $x_1 = -15$ and $x_{M+1} = 15$. Since in our system’s solution we will have right and left going waves, this approach will allow a non-incoming signal avoiding fake reflections in the left and right boundaries.

The computational domain is extended with two ghost cells at the end of both boundaries, in order to have the neighboring points needed for the computation of the fluxes. Furthermore, these cells will be useful for the discretization of the derivatives in the source term of the third order moment system. On the other hand, the initial conditions are not included in the software, this data will be supplied by us for each one of the variables.
\[ h(x,0) = \tan^{-1}(x) + 7.5 \quad \forall x \quad (116) \]
\[ u(x,0) = 0 \quad \forall x \quad (117) \]

### 4.3 Moment system of third order

Making an analogy with the Euler Equations from gas dynamics presented in Chapter 15 of [4], it is possible to define in a similar way the Roe-solver for the homogeneous system (61). Then, the inhomogeneous part together with the diffusion term would be solved by applying a fractional-step method.

The same computational data as in the SVE is used, including results for three different values of the relaxation term, \( \varepsilon = 0.01, 0.1, 1. \)

#### 4.3.1 Riemann Solver for the homogeneous system

**Roe linearization**

For the solution of the conservation law problem, we define

\[ H = 3 \varepsilon \frac{W + h u^2 + \frac{3}{2} h^2}{h} \]

and the parameter vector as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  z_1 \\
  z_2 \\
  z_3
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  \sqrt{h} \\
  \sqrt{h u} \\
  \sqrt{h H}
\end{bmatrix}
\quad (118)
\]

then

\[
q(\tilde{z}) = \begin{bmatrix}
  (z_1)^2 \\
  z_1 z_2 \\
  \frac{1}{3} z_1 z_3 + \frac{2}{3} (z_2)^2
\end{bmatrix}
\Rightarrow \frac{\partial q}{\partial z} = \begin{bmatrix}
  2 z_1 & 0 & 0 \\
  z_2 & z_1 & 0 \\
  4 z_3 & 4 z_2 & z_1
\end{bmatrix}
\quad (119)
\]

in a similar way we calculate

\[
f(\tilde{z}) = \begin{bmatrix}
  \frac{1}{3} z_1 z_3 + \frac{2}{3} (z_2)^2
\end{bmatrix}
\Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \begin{bmatrix}
  z_2 & z_1 & 0 \\
  4 z_3 & 4 z_2 & \frac{1}{3} z_1 \\
  0 & z_3 & z_2
\end{bmatrix}
\quad (120)
\]

At the end we will get

\[
\hat{A}_{i - \frac{1}{2}} = \begin{bmatrix}
  0 & 1 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 1 \\
  -\hat{u} \hat{H} + 2\hat{u}^3 & \hat{H} - 4\hat{u}^2 & 3\hat{u}
\end{bmatrix}
\quad (121)
\]

where \( \hat{u} \) and \( \hat{H} \) are the Roe Averages.

\[
\hat{u} = \frac{\sqrt{h_i} u_{i-1} + \sqrt{h_{i-1}} u_i}{\sqrt{h_i} + \sqrt{h_{i-1}}}
\quad (122)
\]
\[
\hat{H} = \frac{\sqrt{h_i} H_{i-1} + \sqrt{h_{i-1}} H_i}{\sqrt{h_i} + \sqrt{h_{i-1}}}
\quad (123)
\]
and \( H_i = \frac{3\varepsilon W_i + h_i u_i^2 + \frac{3\varepsilon_1}{2} h_i^2}{h_i} \). The eigenvalues and the eigenvectors are given by

\[
\hat{\lambda}_1 = \hat{u} - \hat{c} \quad \hat{\lambda}_2 = \hat{u} \quad \hat{\lambda}_3 = \hat{u} + \hat{c}
\]  

(124)

\[
\hat{r}_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
\hat{u} - \hat{c} \\
(\hat{u} - \hat{c})^2
\end{bmatrix} \quad \hat{r}_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
\hat{u} \\
(\hat{u})^2
\end{bmatrix} \quad \hat{r}_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
\hat{u} + \hat{c} \\
(\hat{u} + \hat{c})^2
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(125)

with \( \hat{c} = \sqrt{\hat{H} - \hat{u}^2} \). Then

\[
Q_i - Q_{i-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
\delta^1 \\
\delta^2 \\
\delta^3
\end{bmatrix} = \alpha_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^1 \hat{r}_1 + \alpha_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^2 \hat{r}_2 + \alpha_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^3 \hat{r}_3 = W_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^1 + W_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^2 + W_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^3
\]  

(126)

Inverting the matrix of right eigenvectors we can compute the alpha coefficients by solving the linear system and obtain

\[
\alpha_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^1 = \frac{1}{2\hat{c}^2} ((\hat{u}^2 + \hat{c}\hat{u})\delta^1 - (\hat{c} + 2\hat{u})\delta^2 + \delta^3)
\]  

(127)

\[
\alpha_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^2 = \frac{1}{2\hat{c}^2} ((\hat{c}^2 - \hat{u}^2)\delta^1 + 2\hat{u}\delta^2 - \delta^3)
\]  

(128)

\[
\alpha_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^3 = \frac{1}{2\hat{c}^2} ((\hat{u}^2 - \hat{c}\hat{u})\delta^1 + (\hat{c} - 2\hat{u})\delta^2 + \delta^3)
\]  

(129)

Now we have all the information to update the fluctuations (79) and (80) using the eigenvalues as the speeds.

**Sonic Entropy Fix**

The procedure to detect transonic rarefactions is very similar to the one described in the SVE case, with the difference that in this case we have to use the structure of the new eigenvalues for the computation of the speeds.

Also now the system has three waves, therefore we must also check the middle one which its speed is given by the velocity \( u_i \), if it is less than zero then it will be right-going if not left-going, in this case a transonic wave will not occur.

**4.3.2 Fractional-Step Method for the balance law system**

In the case of Balance law equations\(^4\), instead of solving the entire system, an easier approach is the use of fractional-step methods. Consider the following system,

\(^4\)A conservation law system with source terms
\[
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(q) = \gamma(q, q_x, q_{xx}, \ldots) \tag{130}
\]

The idea is to split the complete system into two sub-problems, that can be solved separately. The first problem will consist in the homogeneous conservation law system which can be resolved by using a finite volume method in time step \( \Delta t \), later this solution will be used as initial condition in the discretization of the second problem, which will change accordingly with the nature of the source term. Therefore, the solution of the previous system will be equivalent to solve

\[
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(q) = 0 \tag{131}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = \gamma(q, q_x, q_{xx}, \ldots) \tag{132}
\]

Using the numerical solution of (61) as initial condition, now we can solve the second problem resulting from the splitting of (57),(58),(60) accordingly with (131) and (132), which is given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{h}{\varepsilon W + h u^2 + \frac{1}{2} h^2} \\
h u \\
\varepsilon W + h u^2 + \frac{1}{2} h^2
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{3g^2}{2} \varepsilon^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{2}{h^2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + 2W \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + h \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} + h^3 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right] - W
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{133}
\]

The previous system can be written in an equivalent form as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
q_1 \\
q_2 \\
q_3
\end{pmatrix}_t
= \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
-3g^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial x} \frac{(q_2)^2}{q_1} + q_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ q_3 - \frac{(q_2)^2}{q_1} \right] - \frac{g}{2} \frac{(q_1)^2}{q_1} + g \frac{\varepsilon q_1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 q_1}{\partial x^2} \frac{q_2}{q_1} \right] - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[ q_3 - \frac{(q_2)^2}{q_1} - g (q_1)^2 \right] \\
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{134}
\]

For the discretization of this system we will use an explicit method with a forward difference for the time derivative at \( t^n \) and a central finite differences for the space derivatives at position \( x_i \)

\[
Q_i^{n+1} = Q_i^n + \Delta t (\gamma(Q_i^n)) \tag{135}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = \frac{Q_{i+1} - Q_{i-1}}{2\Delta x}
\]  
\hspace{1cm} (136)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^2} = \frac{Q_{i+1} - 2Q_i + Q_{i-1}}{(\Delta x)^2}
\]  
\hspace{1cm} (137)

\[
\frac{\partial^3 q}{\partial x^3} = \frac{Q_{i+2} - 2Q_{i+1} + 2Q_{i-1} - Q_{i-2}}{2(\Delta x)^3}
\]  
\hspace{1cm} (138)

where \(Q_i^*\) is the solution of the homogeneous system at each time step. The ghost cells defined previously are used here for the computations at the boundaries.

4.3.3 Initial and Boundary Conditions

For the boundary condition, we will use again a non-reflecting outflow; as for the initial conditions, they will be the same as in the SVE regarding the variables \(h\) and \(u\), together with \(W(x, 0) = 0 \forall x\).

4.4 Results

Now we can compare the numerical solutions for both systems and demonstrate that in practice \(W(x, t)\) will work as a singularities detector, also that the solutions for height and velocity from the moment system of third order will tend to the ones in the original SVE. The solutions are shown at times, \(t = 0, 1.875, 3\) and \(\varepsilon = 0.01, 0.1, 1\). For the initial time only the results of the first \(\varepsilon\) are presented, since they are equal for the others. The blue graphics correspond to the SVE and the red ones to the third order system.
Figure 1: Initial conditions for the SVE (blue) and the third order moment system (red)
Figure 2: Numerical results at $t = 1.875$ for the SVE and the third order moment system with $\varepsilon = 0.01$
Figure 3: Numerical results for the third order moment system at $t = 1.875$ with $\varepsilon = 0.1$ (up) and $\varepsilon = 1$ (down)
Figure 4: Numerical results at $t = 3$ for the SVE and the third order moment system with $\varepsilon = 0.01$
Figure 5: Numerical results for the third order moment system at $t = 3$ with $\varepsilon = 0.1$ (up) and $\varepsilon = 1$ (down)
As we could see in the previous graphics, the initial condition for the height of the water \( h(x,t) \) simulates the dam-break problem, which considers a wall separating the two different levels of water with zero velocity.

As time starts running, the wall is removed and water starts moving to the left side of the \( x- \text{axis} \) originating two different types of waves. The front wave will steepen into a shock wave and the back wave will spread-out as a rarefaction wave.

The formation of both waves can be observed in the Saint-Venant equations as well as in the third order moment system, proving – as we were expecting – that not only the solutions of the last system will approximate the original SVE, but also that as we decrease the value of \( \varepsilon \) the approximation will improve. On the other hand, the function \( W(x,t) \) indeed behave as \( \delta \)-function at discontinuities, going to \( \infty \) in the point of the shock wave, to zero in the regions where the velocity is constant and to \( -\infty \) in the region of the rarefaction wave; this will hold true barely for \( \varepsilon = 1 \) where we can see that the behaviour of \( W(x,t) \) is far from the theoretical result. Also, we should notice that the position of the singularities is not approximated exactly, this can cause some problems for example when using the third order moment system to construct adaptive discretization techniques.

In order to measure the differences at a fixed time, between the numerical solutions of \( h(x,t) \) and \( u(x,t) \) in both systems, we will use the discrete \( l_1 \)-norm since for conservation laws the integral of the conserved quantities is of great relevance (as seen in previous chapters); and the \( l_\infty \)-norm which helps us to prove point-wise convergence. We will use \( Q^n_m \) to denote the solutions of the third order moment system and \( q^n_m \) for the ones from the SVE.

\[
l_1^n = \frac{1}{M + 1} \sum_{m=0}^{M} | Q^n_m - q^n_m |
\]

\[
l_\infty^n = \max_{m=0,...,M} | Q^n_m - q^n_m |
\]

In table (1) the results for \( t = 0.75, 1.875, 3 \) and \( \varepsilon = 0.01 \) are shown, additionally in table (2) the values where the \( l_\infty \)-norm is achieved are presented. The errors are calculated only for the smallest value of \( \varepsilon \) since as we just saw on the graphic results, as we increase the relaxation term the differences between the SVE results and the third order moment system will increase. Capital letters are used for the results from the third order moment system and lower case letters for the ones from the SVE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( h(x,t) ) ( l_1^n ) ( l_\infty^n )</th>
<th>( u(x,t) ) ( l_1^n ) ( l_\infty^n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.0242 0.2404</td>
<td>0.0126 0.1088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.0762 0.7402</td>
<td>0.0315 0.2937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1283 1.0321</td>
<td>0.0499 0.4041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: \( l_p \) errors between solutions of \( h(x,t) \) and \( u(x,t) \) for \( \varepsilon = 0.01 \)
The previous results allow us to see that as time advances the $l_p$ errors are increasing, and at each time step the $l_\infty$-norm is achieved in the positions where the discontinuities are formed; these large differences are in part due to the fact that we are not in the formal limit of $\varepsilon$ and shifts in the exact location of the singularities will occur, becoming larger in time; another important reason for these differences comes from the use of an explicit scheme for the discretization of the source term which might not be the best approximation for the problem.

Nevertheless, in a general outlook the results obtained are very promising, and for $\varepsilon \ll 1$ the third order system represents a fine approximation for the Saint-Venant equations until now, as we can see with the $l_1$-norm. However, considerations in the approximation of the source term should be made together with a deeper study on the convergence of the third order moment to the SVE.

### Table 2: Positions and values where the $l_\infty$-norm is achieved for $\varepsilon = 0.01$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>$x_\infty$</th>
<th>$H_\infty$</th>
<th>$h_\infty$</th>
<th>$U_\infty$</th>
<th>$u_\infty$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>-0.172</td>
<td>-0.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>-4.74</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
<td>-0.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-7.56</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>-0.0719</td>
<td>-0.476</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Conclusions and Future Work

From a Boltzmann–like kinetic transport equation with BGK relaxation term and using the moments of the density of particles $f(x,t,\xi)$, it was possible to reconstruct a relaxation model, such that, in the limit of $\varepsilon \to 0$ the density of particles will tend to an equilibrium function. This equilibrium function can be constructed, with the help of the kinetic representation, to give first three moment equations that will form an equivalent system to the Saint-Venant equations.

As $\varepsilon \to 0$, the system approaches to SVE together with a higher order moment $W(x,t)$, that may act as a shock and rarefaction waves detector, given that in discontinuities it behaves like a $\delta$-function.

On the other hand, the numerical results allow us to see that the theoretical are confirmed, given that as $\varepsilon$ is decreased, the solutions of $h(x,t)$, $u(x,t)$ and $W(x,t)$ are more close to the equilibrium values. Nonetheless, the numerical errors show us that the convergence of the third order moment system to the SVE has to be improved, since large differences arise in the positions of the singularities. Hence, a more elaborated discretization for the source term should be implemented, for example using implicit schemes instead of an explicit one. Also, a deeper study of the system setting different initial conditions using the Riemann invariants can give us more information on the behavior of the solution.

Until now, we have achieved very good results for the one-dimensional case of the Saint–Venant equations, moreover we have built the basis for the construction of an adaptive discretization method that will allows to improve the time of the computations and the accuracy of the solutions. Along with this, considerations on the change of topography and dry states ($h(x,t) = 0$) can be made together with the two-dimensional case.
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