

On weak cokernels

David and Urs

October 19, 2007

Abstract

We show that the weak cokernels of morphisms of 2-groups studied in [CarrascoGarzónVitale:2006] are isomorphic to the corresponding construction in [RobertsSchreiber:2007] which can be thought of in terms of mapping cones. Motivated by this we adopt the discussion of weak cokernels to Lie n -algebras, for arbitrary n , following [SchreiberStasheff].

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Mapping cones and weak cokernels of Lie n-algebras	3
3	Mapping cones and weak cokernels of 2-groups	7
3.1	Mapping cone of the identity	7
3.2	Mapping cone of a faithful morphism	8

1 Introduction

Given two 2-groups $G_{(2)}$ and $H_{(2)}$ and a strictly injective morphism of 2-groups

$$t : H_{(2)} \rightarrow G_{(2)}$$

[CarrascoGarzónVitale:2006] showed how to construct a weak cokernel $\text{wcoker}(t)$

$$H_{(2)} \xrightarrow{t} G_{(2)} \longrightarrow \text{wcoker}(t)$$

which is a Gray 3-group. We demonstrate that one may think of this as the mapping cone of t in a generalization of the construction considered in [RobertsSchreiber:2007] and write

$$\text{wcoker}(t) := (H_{(2)} \xrightarrow{t} G_{(2)}).$$

It follows that for any given short exact sequence of strict 2-groups

$$K_{(2)} \xrightarrow{t} G_{(2)} \longrightarrow B_{(2)}$$

one obtains the setup

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K_{(2)} & \xrightarrow{t} & G_{(2)} & \longrightarrow & (H_{(2)} \xrightarrow{t} G_{(2)}) . \\
 & & \downarrow & \swarrow \simeq & \swarrow f \\
 & & B_{(2)} & &
 \end{array}$$

We want to eventually understand the obstruction to lifting a $\Sigma B_{(2)}$ -valued 2-functor

$$\mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \Sigma B_{(2)}$$

through the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma K_{(2)} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma t} & \Sigma G_{(2)} \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & \Sigma B_{(2)}
 \end{array}$$

i.e. to construct

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma K_{(2)} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma G_{(2)} \\
 & \nearrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow \\
 P & \longrightarrow & \Sigma B_{(2)}
 \end{array}$$

The obstruction to this should be the composite denoted *obst* in

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \Sigma K_{(2)} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma t} & \Sigma G_{(2)} & \xrightarrow{i} & \Sigma(K_{(2)} \xrightarrow{t} G_{(2)}) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(i) \\
 & \nearrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow & \nearrow f^{-1} & & & \nearrow \\
 P & \longrightarrow & \Sigma B_{(2)} & & & & \\
 & & & \searrow \text{obst} & & &
 \end{array}$$

with f^{-1} some suitable "local inverse" to f . This should exist in the context of ana-2-functors.

While we do not try to make this more precise at the level of 2-groups, we can study the analogous situation in the context of (semistrict) Lie n -algebras.

Following [StasheffSchreiber] these we can conceive as quasi-free differential graded commutative algebras living in the obvious 2-category of algebra chain maps and homotopies. This allows us to work with arbitrary n .

We reproduce the construction analogous to the above one for sequences

$$\mathfrak{k}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{\quad} \mathfrak{b}_{(n)}^*$$

with t^* assumed to be particularly well behaved. (A generalization away from this assumption is certainly expected to exist, but not studied here.)

Thinking of the weak cokernel of 2-groups as a mapping cone proves to be useful for the generalization to Lie n -algebras:

we define the mapping cone Lie $(n + 1)$ -algebra

$$(\mathfrak{k}_{(n)} \xrightarrow{t} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)})$$

and show that it does fit into

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{k}_{(n)}^* & \xleftarrow{t^*} & \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^* & \xleftarrow{\quad} & (\mathfrak{k}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*) \\ & & \uparrow & \nearrow f & \\ & & \mathfrak{b}_{(n)}^* & & \end{array} .$$

Moreover, we show that in this context now the map f does have a weak inverse

$$f^{-1} : (\mathfrak{k}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*) \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}_{(n)}^* .$$

2 Mapping cones and weak cokernels of Lie n -algebras

We conceive semistrict Lie n -algebras dually as differential graded commutative algebras which are freely generated, as graded commutative algebras, in degree $1 \leq d \leq n$. We refer to them as quasi-free differential graded commutative algebras (qDGCA).

These we take here to live in the 2-category whose morphisms are chain maps that are at the same time algebra homomorphisms, and whose 2-morphisms are chain homotopies.

Definition 1 (*mapping cone of qDGCA*) *Let*

$$\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*$$

be a morphism of qDGCA such that t^ restricts to a surjective morphism on the underlying vector spaces, hence that it surjectively maps generators to generators.*

The mapping cone of t^ is the qDGCA whose underlying graded algebra is*

$$\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{sg}_{(n)}^* \oplus \mathfrak{ssf}_{(n)}^*)$$

and whose differential d_{t^} is such that it acts on generators schematically as*

$$d_{t^*} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*} & 0 \\ t^* & d_{\mathfrak{f}_{(n)}^*} \end{pmatrix} .$$

More in detail, d_{t^*} is defined as follows.

We write σt^* for the degree +1 derivation on $\bigwedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{sg}_{(n)}^* \oplus \text{ssf}_{(n)}^*)$ which acts on $\mathfrak{sg}_{(n)}^*$ as t^* followed by a shift in degree and which acts on $\text{ssf}_{(n)}^*$ as 0.

Then, for any $a \in \mathfrak{sg}_{(n)}^*$ we have

$$d_{t^*} a := d_{\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}} a + \sigma t^*(a).$$

and

$$d_{t^*} \sigma t^*(a) := -\sigma t^*(d_{\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}} a) = -d_{t^*} d_{\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}} a.$$

Proposition 1 *The differential d_{t^*} defined this way indeed satisfies $(d_{t^*})^2 = 0$.*

Proof. For $a \in \mathfrak{sg}_{(n)}^*$ we have

$$d_{t^*} d_{t^*} a = d_{t^*} (d_{\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}} a + \sigma t^*(a)) = \sigma t^*(d_{\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}} a) - \sigma t^*(d_{\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}} a) = 0.$$

Hence $(d_{t^*})^2$ vanishes on $\bigwedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{sg}_{(n)}^*)$. Since

$$d_{t^*} d_{t^*} \sigma t^*(a) = -d_{t^*} d_{t^*} d_{\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}} a$$

and since $d_{\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}} a \in \bigwedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{sg}_{(n)}^*)$ this implies $(d_{t^*})^2 = 0$. \square

We write

$$(\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*) := \left(\bigwedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{sg}_{(n)}^* \oplus \text{ssf}_{(n)}^*), d_{t^*} \right)$$

for the resulting qDGCA and

$$(\mathfrak{h}_{(n)} \xrightarrow{t} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)})$$

for the corresponding Lie $(n+1)$ -algebra.

Proposition 2 *There is a canonical morphism*

$$\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^* \longleftarrow (\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*)$$

with the property that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* & \xleftarrow{t^*} & \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^* & \xleftarrow{\quad} & (\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*) \\ & & \downarrow \tau & & \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

Proof. On components, this morphism is the identity on $\mathfrak{sg}_{(n)}^*$ and 0 on $\text{ssf}_{(n)}^*$. One checks that this respects the differentials. The homotopy to the 0-morphism sends

$$\tau : \sigma t^*(a) \mapsto t^*(a).$$

\square

Proposition 3 *Let*

$$\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{\quad} \mathfrak{f}_{(n)}^*$$

be a sequence of *qDGCA*s with t^* as above and with the property that $\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{\quad} \mathfrak{f}_{(n)}^*$ restricts, on the underlying vector spaces of generators, to the kernel of the linear map underlying t^* .

Then there is a unique morphism $f : \mathfrak{f}_{(n)}^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* & \xleftarrow{t^*} & \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^* & \xleftarrow{\quad} & (\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*) \\ & & \uparrow & \nearrow f & \\ & & \mathfrak{f}_{(n)}^* & & \end{array} .$$

Proof. The morphism f has to be in components the same as $\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{\quad} \mathfrak{f}_{(n)}^*$. By the assumption that this is in the kernel of t^* , the differentials are respected. \square

Remark. It must be possible to relax the assumptions on $\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{\quad} \mathfrak{f}_{(n)}^*$ while retaining a unique $\mathfrak{f}_{(n)}^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*)$ up to isomorphism. This would then show that

$$(\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*) = \text{coker}(t^*)$$

is the weak kernel of t^* .

Proposition 4 *With the assumptions as before, the morphism $\mathfrak{f}_{(n)}^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*)$ has a – noncanonical – weak inverse*

$$f^{-1} : (\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*) \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{(n)}^* .$$

Proof. We first construct a morphism f^{-1} and then show that it is weakly inverse to f . Choose a splitting of the vector space V underlying $\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*$ as

$$V = \ker(t^*) \oplus V_1 .$$

Take the component map of f^{-1} to be the identity on $\ker(t^*)$ and 0 on V_1 . Moreover, for $a \in V_1$ set

$$f^{-1} : \sigma t^*(a) \mapsto -d_{\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}} a \Big|_{\bullet_{\ker(t^*)}} .$$

For $a \in \ker(t^*)$ we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{\quad} & d_{\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}} a \\ \downarrow f^{-1} & & \downarrow f^{-1} \\ a & \xrightarrow{\quad} & d_{\mathfrak{g}_{(n)}} a \end{array} .$$

For $a \in V_1$ we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{\quad} & d_{\mathfrak{g}(n)} a + \sigma t^*(a) \\ f^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow f^{-1} \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & d_{\mathfrak{g}(n)} a | \bigwedge_{\ker(t^*)}^{\bullet} - d_{\mathfrak{g}(n)} a | \bigwedge_{\ker(t^*)}^{\bullet} \end{array} .$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma t^*(a) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & -\sigma t^*(d_{\mathfrak{g}(n)} a) \\ f^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow f^{-1} \\ d_{\mathfrak{g}(n)} a | \bigwedge_{\ker(t^*)}^{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & d_{\mathfrak{g}(n)}(d_{\mathfrak{g}(n)} a) | \bigwedge_{\ker(t^*)}^{\bullet} \end{array} .$$

Hence this is indeed a morphism of qDGCAs.

Next we check that f^{-1} is a weak inverse of f . Clearly

$$\mathfrak{f}_{(n)}^* \longleftarrow (\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*) \longleftarrow \mathfrak{f}_{(n)}^*$$

is the identity on $\mathfrak{f}_{(n)}^*$. What remains is to construct a homotopy

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*) & \longleftarrow & \mathfrak{f}_{(n)}^* \longleftarrow (\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}^* \xleftarrow{t^*} \mathfrak{g}_{(n)}^*) \\ & \searrow \text{Id} \swarrow & \\ & & \end{array} .$$

One checks that this is accomplished by taking τ to act on σV_1 as $\tau : \sigma V_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} V_1$ and extend suitably. \square

Example. For μ an $(n+1)$ -cocycle on \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}_μ the corresponding Baez-Crans type Lie n -algebra, the qDGCA of $(\Sigma^{n-1}\mathfrak{u}(1)^* \leftarrow \mathfrak{g}_\mu^*)$ is based on the vector space $(\bigwedge^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{sg}^* \oplus s^n \mathbb{R}^* \oplus s^{n+1} \mathbb{R}^*))$ with the differential on \mathfrak{sg}^* being the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential. For $\{b\}$ the canonical basis of $s^n \mathbb{R}^*$ and $\{c\}$ the canonical basis of $s^{n+1} \mathbb{R}^*$ the differential on these generators is

$$db = -\mu + c$$

and

$$dc = 0.$$

Then the morphism

$$f^{-1} : (\Sigma^{n-1}\mathfrak{u}(1)^* \leftarrow \mathfrak{g}_\mu^*) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

is the identity on \mathfrak{sg}^* , vanishes on b and sends

$$f^{-1} : c \mapsto \mu.$$

3 Mapping cones and weak cokernels of 2-groups

3.1 Mapping cone of the identity

In [RobertsSchreiber:2007] the mapping cone of the identity morphism on a strict 2-group was studied.

Definition 2 *The Gray groupoid which we denote either*

$$T\Sigma G_{(2)}$$

and address it as the tangent 2-groupoid of $\Sigma G_{(2)}$, or

$$\text{INN}_0(G_{(2)})$$

and address it as the inner automorphism 2-groupoid of $\Sigma G_{(2)}$ or simply

$$(G_{(2)} \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} G_{(2)})$$

and address it as the mapping cone of $\text{Id}_{G_{(2)}}$ or as the 2-crossed module induced by $\text{Id}_{G_{(2)}}$.

This 2-groupoid $T\Sigma G_{(2)}$ is defined to be the the strict pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T\Sigma G_{(2)} & \longrightarrow & (\Sigma G_{(2)})^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{dom} \\ \{\bullet\} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma G_{(2)} \end{array}$$

This means the following. An object of $T\Sigma G_{(2)}$ is a morphism

$$\bullet \xrightarrow{q} \bullet$$

in $\Sigma G_{(2)}$, hence an object of $G_{(2)}$.

A 1-morphism in $T\Sigma G_{(2)}$ is a filled triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \bullet \\ & \overset{q}{\curvearrowright} & \downarrow f \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{F} & \bullet \\ & \underset{q'}{\curvearrowleft} & \end{array}$$

in $\Sigma G_{(2)}$. Finally, a 2-morphism in $T\Sigma G_{(2)}$ looks like

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \bullet \\ & \overset{q}{\curvearrowright} & \downarrow f \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{F} & \bullet \\ & \underset{q'}{\curvearrowleft} & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \bullet \\ & \overset{L}{\curvearrowright} & \downarrow f' \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{F'} & \bullet \\ & \underset{q'}{\curvearrowleft} & \end{array} .$$

The monoidal structure on $T\Sigma G_{(2)}$ is that induced from the embedding

$$T\Sigma G_{(2)} := \text{INN}_0(\Sigma G_{(2)}) \hookrightarrow \text{AUT}(G_{(2)})$$

discussion in [RobertsSchreiber:2007].

Recall for later use that this canonically sits in the sequence

$$G_{(2)} \hookrightarrow T\Sigma G_{(2)} \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma G_{(2)} .$$

3.2 Mapping cone of a faithful morphism

This has an obvious generalization to non-identity but faithful morphisms:

Let $G_{(2)}$ and $H_{(2)}$ be strict 2-groups and write $\Sigma G_{(2)}$ and $\Sigma H_{(2)}$ be the corresponding strict one object 2-groupoids.

Let

$$t : H_{(2)} \hookrightarrow G_{(2)}$$

be a morphism of strict 2-groups, faithful as a functor of the underlying 1-groupoids. This means we have a strict 2-functor

$$\Sigma t : \Sigma H_{(2)} \hookrightarrow \Sigma G_{(2)} .$$

Definition 3 *The morphism t defines a strict 2-groupoid with a weak monoidal structure that makes it a Gray groupoid, which we denote either*

$$T^t \Sigma G_{(2)}$$

and address it as the tangent 2-groupoid of $\Sigma G_{(2)}$ relative to t , or

$$\text{INN}_0^t(G_{(2)})$$

and address it as the inner automorphism 2-groupoid of $\Sigma G_{(2)}$ relative to t or simply

$$(H_{(2)} \xrightarrow{t} G_{(2)})$$

and address it as the mapping cone of t or as the 2-crossed module induced by t .

This 2-groupoid $T^t \Sigma G_{(2)}$ is defined to be the the strict pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & T^t \Sigma G_{(2)} & & \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 \{\bullet\} & & (\Sigma G_{(2)})^2 & & \Sigma H_{(2)} \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \text{dom} & \searrow \text{codom} & \swarrow \Sigma t \\
 & & \Sigma G_{(2)} & & \Sigma G_{(2)}
 \end{array}$$

where

$$2 := \{ \bullet \xrightarrow{\simeq} \circ \}$$

is the fat point.

Equivalently this means that $T^t\Sigma G_{(2)}$ is the strict pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^t\Sigma G_{(2)} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma G_{(2)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ \Sigma H_{(2)} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma t} & \Sigma G_{(2)} \end{array} .$$

An object of $T^t\Sigma G_{(2)}$ is a morphism

$$\bullet \xrightarrow{q} \bullet$$

in $\Sigma G_{(2)}$, hence an object of $G_{(2)}$.

A 1-morphism in $T^t\Sigma G_{(2)}$ is a filled triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \bullet \\ & \overset{q}{\curvearrowright} & \downarrow t(f) \\ \bullet & \begin{array}{c} \parallel \\ F \\ \parallel \end{array} & \bullet \\ & \underset{q'}{\curvearrowleft} & \end{array}$$

in $\Sigma G_{(2)}$, with f a morphism in $\Sigma H_{(2)}$, hence an object of $H_{(2)}$. Finally, a 2-morphism in $T^t\Sigma G_{(2)}$ looks like

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \bullet \\ & \overset{q}{\curvearrowright} & \downarrow t(f) \\ \bullet & \begin{array}{c} \parallel \\ F \\ \parallel \end{array} & \bullet \\ & \underset{q'}{\curvearrowleft} & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \bullet \\ & \overset{t(L)}{\curvearrowright} & \downarrow t(f') \\ \bullet & \begin{array}{c} \parallel \\ t(f) \\ \parallel \end{array} & \bullet \\ & \underset{t(L)}{\curvearrowleft} & \end{array}$$

with

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \bullet \\ & \overset{f}{\curvearrowright} & \downarrow L \\ \bullet & \begin{array}{c} \parallel \\ L \\ \parallel \end{array} & \bullet \\ & \underset{f}{\curvearrowleft} & \end{array}$$

a 2-morphism in $\Sigma H_{(2)}$, hence a morphism in $H_{(2)}$.

The monoidal structure on $T^t\Sigma G_{(2)}$ is that induced from the embedding

$$T^t\Sigma G_{(2)} \hookrightarrow T\Sigma G_{(2)} .$$

Proposition 5 *The 2-groupoid $T^t\Sigma G_{(2)}$ is codiscrete at top level. Therefore it is equivalent to its quotient by its 2-morphisms*

$$T^t\Sigma G_{(2)} \simeq \pi_1(T^t\Sigma G_{(2)}) .$$

This quotient is isomorphic to what in [CarrascoGarzónVitale:2006] is called (p. 595) the quotient pointed groupoid: $G_{(2)}/\langle H_{(2)}, t \rangle$:

$$\pi_1(T^t \Sigma G_{(2)}) \simeq G_{(2)}/\langle H_{(2)}, t \rangle.$$

Proof. This is a matter of matching the items of the componentwise definition on the top of p. 595 in [CarrascoGarzónVitale:2006] to the above definition. \square

[CarrascoGarzónVitale:2006] prove that $G_{(2)}/\langle H_{(2)}, t \rangle$ is indeed the cokernel of t . See the last paragraph on p. 595 and item 2 on p. 596.