

## Solutions for exercise sheet # 04 Topics in representation theory WS 2017

(Ingo Runkel)

---

### Exercise 13

The topological definition of “connected” is: If  $G = U \cup V$  is a disjoint union with both  $U$  and  $V$  open, then either  $U$  or  $V$  is empty. Denote the set in the statement of the problem by  $H$  and write  $K = G \setminus H$ . The aim is to show that both  $H$  and  $K$  are open. Since  $e \in H$ ,  $H$  is not empty and so  $K \neq \emptyset$ .

$H$  is clearly open (a point  $h \in H$  has open neighbourhood  $h \cdot V$ ).  $K$  is open for the same reason: if  $k \in K$  then also  $k \cdot V \subset K$ , for if not we can write  $k \cdot x = g_1 \cdots g_n$  with  $x, g_1, \dots, g_n \in V$ , and so  $k \in G$ , contradiction.

### Exercise 14

It is easy to see that  $f(t + \varepsilon) = f(t)f(\varepsilon)$  and  $g(t + \varepsilon) = g(t)g(\varepsilon)$ . Since matrix multiplication is bilinear we therefore have

$$f'(t_0) = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} f(t)f(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = f(t) \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} f(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = f(t_0)f'(0) .$$

Similarly, for  $g$  we find  $g'(t_0) = g(t_0)g'(0)$ . Next we compute the derivatives at  $t = 0$ :

$$f'(0) = \frac{d}{dt} \rho(\exp(tX))|_{t=0} = D\rho\left(\frac{d}{dt} \exp(tX)|_{t=0}\right) = D\rho(X) ,$$

and clearly also  $g'(0) = D\rho(X)$ . Thus both  $f$  and  $g$  solve  $h'(t) = h(t)D\rho(X)$  with initial condition  $f(0) = id_W = g(0)$ . Therefore  $f(t) = g(t)$  for all  $t$ , in particular for  $t = 1$ .

If one applies this to the one-dimensional representation of  $GL(N, \mathbb{C})$  given by  $\rho(M) = \det(M)$  and uses  $\det(1 + tX) = 1 + t \operatorname{tr}(X) + O(t^2)$  to compute  $D\rho(X) = \operatorname{tr}(X)$  one finds the claimed special case.

### Exercise 15

*Semidirect product:* Let  $N, H$  be groups and  $\varphi : H \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(N)$  a group homomorphism. Then  $N \rtimes_{\varphi} H$  is  $N \times H$  as a set and has the product

$$(n, h)(n', h') = (n\varphi_h(n'), hh') .$$

Let  $A \in UA(\mathcal{H})$  be any choice of anti-unitary map (these always exist, e.g. take any ON-basis of  $\mathcal{H}$  and define  $A$  by complex conjugating the coefficients).

Consider  $U(\mathcal{H}) \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}_2$  where  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$  maps the generator  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  to  $A(-)A^{-1} \in \operatorname{Aut}(U(\mathcal{H}))$ . We claim that

$$F : U(\mathcal{H}) \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow UA(\mathcal{H}) \quad , \quad (U, a) \mapsto UA^a$$

is a group-isomorphism. Injectivity is clear, for surjectivity note that if  $X$  is unitary, then  $F(X, 0) = X$ ; if  $X$  is anti-unitary then  $F(XA^{-1}, 1) = XA^{-1}A = X$ . It remains to check that  $F$  is a group-homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} F((U, a)(U', a')) &= F(UA^aU'A^{-a}, a + a') = UA^aU'A^{-a}A^{a+a'} \\ &= UA^aU'A^{a'} = F(U, a)F(U', a') . \end{aligned}$$

### Exercise 16

For another representative  $\varphi' = \lambda\varphi$  we have

$$T([\varphi']) = [X\varphi'] = [X(\lambda\varphi)] = [\tilde{\lambda}X(\varphi)] = [X\varphi] = T([\varphi]) ,$$

where  $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda$  if  $X$  is unitary and  $\tilde{\lambda} = \bar{\lambda}$  if  $X$  is anti-unitary.

To check that  $T \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}))$  we compute, for  $X$  unitary,

$$\delta(T[\varphi], T[\psi]) = \delta([X\varphi], [X\psi]) = \left| \frac{(X\varphi, X\psi)}{\|\varphi\|\|\psi\|} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{(\varphi, \psi)}{\|\varphi\|\|\psi\|} \right|^2 = \delta([\varphi], [\psi]) .$$

For  $X$  anti-unitary, the calculation is the same except that  $(X\varphi, X\psi) = (\psi, \varphi)$ , which makes no difference due to the absolute value.

That  $T$  is invertible follows from the next computation and from  $T = id$  for  $X = id$ .

The group-homomorphism property follows from

$$\gamma(X)\gamma(Y)([\varphi]) = \gamma(X)([Y\varphi]) = [XY\varphi] = \gamma(XY)([\varphi]) .$$

### Exercise 17

- (sketch) Let  $\Lambda \in L_+^\uparrow$  be given. Write  $v = (\Lambda_{10}, \Lambda_{20}, \Lambda_{30})$ , i.e. the first column of  $\Lambda$  less its first entry.

If  $v = 0$  one can verify (using  $\Lambda^t J \Lambda = J$  and  $\Lambda_{00} \geq 1, \det(\Lambda) = 1$ ) that in this case  $\Lambda = \hat{R}$  for some  $R \in SO(3)$ , so we are done. E.g. to see  $\Lambda_{01} = 0$  recall that the condition  $\Lambda^t J \Lambda = J$  just encodes the property  $\eta(\Lambda x, \Lambda y) = \eta(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . Now take  $x = e_0, y = e_0$  to see that  $(\Lambda_{00})^2 = 1$  and  $x = e_0, y = e_1$  to get  $\Lambda_{01} = 0$ .

Assume now that  $v \neq 0$ . Pick a rotation  $R \in SO(3)$  such  $Rv = (r, 0, 0)$ . Write  $\hat{R}$  for the block-diagonal matrix with 1 in the upper left corner and  $R$  in the remaining  $3 \times 3$  block. Then

$$\hat{R}\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ r & * & * & * \\ 0 & y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \\ 0 & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Denote the two 3-vectors by  $\vec{y}$  and  $\vec{z}$ . Note that  $\vec{y}$  and  $\vec{z}$  are orthonormal (since  $R\Lambda \in L$ ). Pick the unique  $\vec{x}$  such that the  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $R'$  with columns  $(\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z})$  is in  $SO(3)$  (that is, take  $\vec{x} = \vec{y} \times \vec{z}$ ). Then

$$\hat{R}\Lambda\hat{R}' = \begin{pmatrix} a & c & * & * \\ b & d & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

But  $M := \hat{R}\Lambda\hat{R}' \in L$  and so  $M$  satisfies  $M^t J M = J$  where  $J = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ . With some fiddling (similar to what we did in the case  $v = 0$ ) one finds that all “\*” entries are actually zero, so that

$$\hat{R}\Lambda\hat{R}' = \begin{pmatrix} a & c & 0 & 0 \\ b & d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can write down all solutions to  $a, b, c, d$  such that  $M^t J M = J$  and  $M_{00} \geq 1$ ,  $\det(M) = 1$ , and a short calculation gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\theta) & \sinh(\theta) \\ \sinh(\theta) & \cosh(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $\hat{R}\Lambda\hat{R}'$  is a boost, as required.

Connectedness is now clear.

2. (sketch) Define

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $Id, P, T, PT$  lie in different connected components of  $L$  as discussed in Remark 2.1.7.

The rest of the computation is very similar to the  $UA(\mathcal{H})$  computation above. Namely after appropriate choice of  $\varphi$  one checks that

$$\psi : L_+^\uparrow \rtimes_\varphi (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow L, \quad (\Lambda, a, b) \mapsto \Lambda P^a T^b$$

is an isomorphism.