

# Very large cardinals

---

Philipp Moritz Lücke  
Universität Hamburg

Young Set Theory Workshop 2025 – TU Wien  
08.–12. September 2025

# 1. Tutorial

# Large cardinals

---

Large cardinal axioms postulate the existence of cardinals possessing closure properties strong enough to imply the consistency of ZFC, and whose existence can therefore not be proven in ZFC by Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem.

These principles play an important role in the search for the right axioms for mathematics for two reasons:

First, they are themselves strong candidates for new axioms, because strong arguments can be made to intrinsically justify them and they can be shown to answer important questions left open by ZFC in the desired way.

Second, these notions can be used to measure and compare the strength of mathematical theories through equiconsistency results between such theories and extensions of ZFC by large cardinal axioms.

The simplest example of large cardinal axioms originates from Hausdorff's work on cardinal arithmetic:

### Definition

An uncountable cardinal is *weakly inaccessible* if it is a regular limit cardinal.

If  $\kappa$  is weakly inaccessible, then the corresponding initial segment  $L_\kappa$  of Gödel's constructible universe  $L$  is a model of ZFC.

By Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem, this shows that, if ZFC is consistent, then this theory does not prove the existence of weakly inaccessible cardinals.

Another fundamental example of large cardinal axioms is given by Ulam's work on the *Measure Problem*:

### Definition

An infinite cardinal is *measurable* if there exists a normal ultrafilter over  $\kappa$ .

Using an ultrapower construction, this large cardinal property can also be characterized by the existence of certain elementary embeddings:

### Theorem (Keisler, Keisler–Tarski, Scott)

An infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  is measurable if and only if there exists an inner model  $M$  and a non-trivial elementary embedding  $j : V \longrightarrow M$  with

$$\kappa = \text{crit}(j) = \min\{\alpha \in \text{Ord} \mid j(\alpha) > \alpha\}.$$

If we use a normal ultrafilter on a measurable cardinal  $\kappa$  in an ultrapower construction to obtain an elementary embedding  $j : V \longrightarrow M$  with critical point  $\kappa$ , then the resulting ultrapower  $M$  is closed under  $\kappa$ -sequences in  $V$ .

A canonical way to obtain stronger axioms is to postulate the existence of elementary embeddings into inner models with stronger closure properties.

## Definition

Given uncountable cardinals  $\kappa < \lambda$ , the cardinal  $\kappa$  is *huge with target*  $\lambda$  if there is a  $<\kappa$ -complete, normal ultrafilter  $U$  over  $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  with

$$\{x \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda) \mid \text{otp}(x) = \kappa\} \in U.$$

## Theorem

An uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$  is huge with target  $\lambda$  if and only if there exists an inner model  $M$  with  ${}^\lambda M \subseteq M$  and a non-trivial elementary embedding  $j : V \longrightarrow M$  with  $\kappa = \text{crit}(j)$  and  $j(\kappa) = \lambda$ .

The above property can be strengthened further in a canonical way:

## Definition

Given a natural number  $n > 0$  and uncountable cardinals

$$\kappa_0 < \kappa_1 < \dots < \kappa_n,$$

the cardinal  $\kappa_0$  is *n-huge with targets*  $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$  if there is a  $<\kappa$ -complete, normal ultrafilter  $U$  over  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa_n)$  with

$$\{x \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa_n) \mid \text{otp}(x \cap \kappa_{\ell+1}) = \kappa_\ell\} \in U$$

for all  $\ell < n$ .

## Theorem

An uncountable cardinal  $\kappa_0$  is *n-huge with targets*  $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$  if and only if there exists an inner model  $M$  with  ${}^{\kappa_n}M \subseteq M$  and a non-trivial elementary embedding  $j : V \longrightarrow M$  with  $\kappa_0 = \text{crit}(j)$  and  $j(\kappa_\ell) = \kappa_{\ell+1}$  for all  $\ell < n$ .



It is now natural to consider transfinite versions of the above axioms.

## Definition

Given a non-trivial elementary embedding  $j : V \longrightarrow M$ , the *critical sequence of  $j$*  is the sequence  $\langle \kappa_\ell \mid \ell < \omega \rangle$  defined by  $\kappa_0 = \text{crit}(j)$  and  $\kappa_{\ell+1} = j(\kappa_\ell)$  for all  $\ell < \omega$ .

Note that, in the situation of the definition, the supremum of the critical sequence of  $j$  is the least non-trivial fixed point of  $j$ , i.e.,  $\sup_{\ell < \omega} \kappa_\ell$  is the least ordinal  $\lambda > \kappa_0$  with  $j(\lambda) = \lambda$ .

A surprising theorem of Kunen shows that the closure properties of the target inner models of elementary embeddings of  $V$  are severely restricted by the supremum of the corresponding critical sequence.

# The Kunen Inconsistency

---

The Kunen's theorem can also be applied to embeddings that are not necessarily definable classes. To phrase the result in this wider context, we extend the language of set theory by a binary function symbol  $j$  and a unary relation symbol  $\mathfrak{M}$ , and consider the extension  $\text{ZFC}_*$  of ZFC that extends the Replacement and Separation Scheme to formulas in the enriched language.

### Theorem (The Kunen Inconsistency, Version I., $\text{ZFC}_*$ )

If  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an inner model,  $j : V \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is an elementary embedding and  $\lambda$  is the supremum of the critical sequence of  $j$ , then  $j[\lambda] \notin \mathfrak{M}$ .

Kunen's proof of the above result relies on the following concept:

### Definition

Given an infinite cardinal  $\lambda$ , a function  $f : [\lambda]^\omega \longrightarrow \lambda$  is  $\omega$ -Jónsson if

$$f [[A]^\omega] = \lambda$$

holds for every  $A \in [\lambda]^\lambda$ .

### Theorem (Erdős–Hajnal)

For every infinite cardinal  $\lambda$ , there exists an  $\omega$ -Jónsson function

$$f : [\lambda]^\omega \longrightarrow \lambda.$$

Proof.

Assume that there exists no  $\omega$ -Jónsson function for  $\lambda$ .

Define a binary relation  $E$  on  $[\lambda]^\omega$  by setting

$$x E y \iff \sup(x) = \sup(y) \wedge \exists \alpha < \sup(x) [x \setminus \alpha = y \setminus \alpha]$$

for all  $x, y \in [\lambda]^\omega$ . Then  $E$  is an equivalence relation on  $[\lambda]^\omega$ .

Using the Axiom of Choice, we can find a *selector for  $E$* , i.e., a function  $s : [\lambda]^\omega \longrightarrow [\lambda]^\omega$  such that

$$x E s(x)$$

and

$$x E y \implies s(x) = s(y)$$

hold for all  $x, y \in [\lambda]^\omega$ .

Given  $x \in [\lambda]^\omega$ , let  $g(x)$  denote the least  $\alpha \in s(x)$  with

$$x \setminus (\alpha + 1) = s(x) \setminus (\alpha + 1).$$

Our assumption now implies that for every  $A \in [\lambda]^\lambda$ , there is  $B \in [A]^\lambda$  with the property that  $A \not\subseteq g[[B]^\omega]$ . This allows us to inductively define a descending sequence  $\langle A_n \in [\lambda]^\lambda \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that  $A_0 = \lambda$  and there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $\langle \alpha_n < \lambda \mid n < \omega \rangle$  with  $\alpha_n < \min(A_{n+1})$  and

$$\alpha_n \in A_n \setminus g[[A_{n+1}]^\omega]$$

for all  $n < \omega$ . Define

$$x = \{\alpha_n \mid n < \omega\} \in [\lambda]^\omega.$$

Then there exists  $m < \omega$  with

$$s(x) \setminus \alpha_m = \{\alpha_n \mid m \leq n < \omega\}$$

and

$$g(\{\alpha_n \mid m < n < \omega\}) = \alpha_m \in g[[A_{m+1}]^\omega],$$

a contradiction. □

## Proof of Kunen's Theorem.

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be an inner model, let  $j : V \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  be a non-trivial elementary embeddings and let  $\lambda$  denote the supremum of the critical sequence of  $j$ .

Assume, towards a contradiction, that  $j[\lambda]$  is an element of  $M$ .

Fix an  $\omega$ -Jónsson function  $f : [\lambda]^\omega \longrightarrow \lambda$  for  $\lambda$ .

Since  $j[\lambda] \in ([\lambda]^\lambda)^{\mathfrak{M}}$  and elementarity implies that  $j(f)$  is an  $\omega$ -Jónsson function for  $\lambda$  in  $\mathfrak{M}$ , we can find  $y \in ([j[\lambda]]^\omega)^{\mathfrak{M}}$  with  $j(f)(y) = \text{crit}(j) \notin j[\lambda]$ .

Set  $x = j^{-1}[y]$ . Since  $y \in [j[\lambda]]^\omega$ , we then have  $x \in [\lambda]^\omega$  and

$$j(x) = j[x] = y.$$

But this implies

$$\text{crit}(j) = j(f)(y) = j(f)(j(x)) = j(f(x)) \in j[\lambda],$$

a contradiction.



Since all  $\omega$ -Jónsson functions for a singular cardinal  $\lambda$  of countable cofinality are elements of  $V_{\lambda+2}$ , the above argument also yields the following ZFC-result:

### Theorem (The Kunen Inconsistency, Version II.)

For every ordinal  $\lambda$ , there is no non-trivial elementary embedding from  $V_{\lambda+2}$  into itself.



# Rank-into-rank embeddings

---

Motivated by Kunen's result, Kanamori, Reinhardt and Solovay initiated the study of large cardinal notion just below the Kunen Inconsistency:

## Definition

- An *I3-embedding* is a non-trivial elementary embedding  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  for some limit ordinal  $\lambda$ .
- An *I2-embedding* is a non-trivial elementary embedding  $j : V \longrightarrow M$  with the property that  $V_\lambda \subseteq M$ , where  $\lambda$  is the supremum of the critical sequence of  $j$ .
- An *I1-embedding* is a non-trivial elementary embedding  $j : V_{\lambda+1} \longrightarrow V_{\lambda+1}$  for some ordinal  $\lambda$ .

If either  $j : V_{\lambda+1} \longrightarrow V_{\lambda+1}$  is an I1-embedding or  $j : V \longrightarrow M$  is an I2-embedding whose critical sequence has supremum  $\lambda$ , then  $j(\lambda) = \lambda$  and  $j \upharpoonright V_\lambda$  is an I3-embedding.

The definition of critical sequences also makes sense for I1- and I3-embeddings, and, if either  $j : V_{\lambda+1} \longrightarrow V_{\lambda+1}$  is an I1-embedding or  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  is an I3-embedding, then the Kunen's Inconsistency implies that  $\lambda$  is the supremum of the critical sequence of  $j$  and hence  $j(\gamma) > \gamma$  holds for all  $\gamma \in [\text{crit}(j), \lambda)$ .

Moreover, if  $\langle \kappa_\ell \mid \ell < \omega \rangle$  is the critical sequence of  $j$  in this situation, then we can inductively show that  $V_{\kappa_\ell} \prec V_{\kappa_{\ell+1}}$  holds for all  $\ell < \omega$ . In particular, we have  $V_{\kappa_\ell} \prec V_\lambda$  for all  $\ell < \omega$  and, since  $\kappa_0$  is strongly inaccessible, it follows that  $V_\lambda$  is a model of ZFC.

More far-reaching implications between the above three properties are less obvious. In order to isolate such implications, we use canonical liftings of  $\mathcal{L}$ -embeddings  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  to functions from  $V_{\lambda+1}$  to itself.

### Definition

If  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  is an elementary embedding for some limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , then we define

$$j_+ : V_{\lambda+1} \longrightarrow V_{\lambda+1}; \quad A \longmapsto \bigcup \{j(A \cap V_\gamma) \mid \gamma < \lambda\}.$$

### Theorem

If  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  is an elementary embedding for some limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , then  $j_+$  is the unique  $\Sigma_0$ -elementary function from  $V_{\lambda+1}$  into itself that extends  $j$ .

We can now state and prove an alternative characterization of  $I_2$ -embeddings:

### Theorem

The following statements are equivalent for every  $I_3$ -embedding  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$ :

- The map  $j$  can be extended to an  $I_2$ -embedding  $i : V \longrightarrow M$ .
- If  $R$  is a well-founded relation on  $V_\lambda$ , then  $j_+(R)$  is a well-founded relation on  $V_\lambda$ .

## Proof.

First, assume that  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  can be extended to an  $I_2$ -embedding  $i : V \longrightarrow M$  and  $R$  is a well-founded relation on  $V_\lambda$ .

Then  $i(R)$  is a well-founded relation on  $V_\lambda$  in  $M$  and, since well-foundedness is absolute between  $V$  and  $M$ , it follows that  $i(R)$  is well-founded.

Given  $A \in V_{\lambda+1}$ , elementarity implies that

$$i(A) = \bigcup \{i(A \cap V_\alpha) \mid \alpha < \lambda\}.$$

Since  $i \upharpoonright V_\lambda = j$  and  $i \upharpoonright V_{\lambda+1} : V_{\lambda+1} \longrightarrow V_{\lambda+1}$  is  $\Sigma_0$ -elementary, the uniqueness of  $j_+$  implies that  $j_+ = i \upharpoonright V_{\lambda+1}$ .

In particular, we can conclude that  $j_+(R)$  is well-founded.

Proof.

Now, assume that  $j_+(R)$  is well-founded for every well-founded relation  $R$  on  $V_\lambda$ . Let  $\langle \kappa_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  denote the critical sequence of  $j$ .

Given  $0 < n < \omega$ , we let

$$U_n = \{A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa_n) \mid j[\kappa_n] \in j(A)\}$$

denote the  $n$ -huge filter over  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa_n)$  induced by  $j$ .

Since each  $U_n$  is a  $<\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter, we can identify the corresponding ultrapower  $M_n$  with its transitive collapse and let

$$i_n : V \longrightarrow M_n$$

denote the corresponding ultrapower embedding.

Given  $0 < n < \omega$ , standard arguments then show that:

- If  $\gamma \leq \kappa_n$ , then

$$[x \mapsto \text{otp}(x \cap \gamma)]_{U_n} = \gamma.$$

In particular, we have

$$i_n \restriction (\kappa_{n-1} + 1) = j \restriction (\kappa_{n-1} + 1)$$

and  $\text{crit}(i_n) = \kappa_0$ .

- $[\text{id}_{\mathcal{P}(\kappa_n)}]_{U_n} = i_n[\kappa_n] \in M_n$ .
- $V_{\kappa_n} \in M_n$  and  $i_n \restriction V_{\kappa_{n-1}} = j \restriction V_{\kappa_{n-1}}$ .



Given  $0 < m \leq n < \omega$ , we define

$$i_{m,n} : M_m \longrightarrow M_n; [f]_{U_m} \longmapsto [x \mapsto f(x \cap \kappa_m)]_{U_n}.$$

Then each  $i_{m,n}$  is an elementary embedding satisfying

$$i_{m,n} \circ i_m = i_n$$

and

$$i_{m,n} \upharpoonright (\kappa_m + 1) = \text{id}_{\kappa_m + 1}.$$

By standard arguments, this implies that

$$i_{m,n} \upharpoonright V_{\kappa_m} = \text{id}_{V_{\kappa_m}}$$

holds for all  $0 < m \leq n < \omega$ .

Let

$$\langle \langle (M_n, \in) \mid 0 < n < \omega \rangle, \langle i_{m,n} : M_m \longrightarrow M_n \mid 0 < m \leq n < \omega \rangle \rangle$$

denote the corresponding directed system and let

$$((M, E), \langle k_n : M_n \longrightarrow M \mid 0 < n < \omega \rangle)$$

denote its direct limit. Assume that  $(M, E)$  is ill-founded.

Then there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $\langle n(\ell) \mid \ell < \omega \rangle$  and a sequence  $\langle f_\ell : \mathcal{P}(\kappa_{n(\ell)}) \longrightarrow \text{Ord} \mid \ell < \omega \rangle$  of functions such that

$$k_{n(\ell+1)}([f_{\ell+1}]_{U_{n(\ell+1)}}) \ E \ k_{n(\ell)}([f_\ell]_{U_{n(\ell)}})$$

holds for all  $\ell < \omega$ .

Define

$$D = \bigcup \{\text{ran}(f_\ell) \mid \ell < \omega\} \subseteq \text{Ord}.$$

Then  $|D| \leq \lambda$  and there is a well-founded relation  $R$  on  $\lambda$  such that there exists an order-embedding  $e : (D, \in) \longrightarrow (\lambda, R)$ .

Given  $\ell < \omega$ , we then have

$$[f_{\ell+1}]_{U_{n(\ell+1)}} \in i_{\kappa_{n(\ell)}, n(\ell+1)}([f_\ell]_{U_{n(\ell)}})$$

and this implies that the set of all  $y \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa_{n(\ell+1)})$  satisfying

$$(e \circ f_{\ell+1})(y) \ R \ (e \circ f_\ell)(y \cap \kappa_{n(\ell)})$$

is an element of  $U_{\kappa_{n(\ell+1)}}$ .

This allows us to conclude that

$$j_+(e \circ f_{\ell+1})(j[\kappa_{n(\ell+1)}]) \ j_+(R) \ j_+(e \circ f_\ell)(j[\kappa_{n(\ell)}])$$

holds for all  $\ell < \omega$ , contradicting the well-foundedness of  $j_+(R)$ .

We can now identify  $(M, E)$  with its transitive collapse. The universal property of direct limits then yields an elementary embedding

$$i : V \longrightarrow M$$

satisfying

$$k_n \circ i_n = i$$

for all  $0 < n < \omega$ .

In combination with earlier computations, these equalities ensure that

$$i \upharpoonright V_\lambda = j.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.



## Corollary

Every  $\mathcal{I}_1$ -embedding  $j : V_{\lambda+1} \longrightarrow V_{\lambda+1}$  can be extended to an  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -embedding.

Proof.

If  $R$  is a well-founded relation on  $V_\lambda$ , then  $(j \restriction V_\lambda)_+(R) = j(R)$  is a well-founded relation on  $V_\lambda$ .

By the above theorem, this shows that  $j \restriction V_\lambda$  can be extended to an  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -embedding  $i : V \longrightarrow M$ .

The uniqueness of  $(j \restriction V_\lambda)_+$  now allows us to conclude that

$$j = (j \restriction V_\lambda)_+ = i \restriction V_{\lambda+1}$$

holds.



## 2. Tutorial

## Definition

- An *I3-embedding* is a non-trivial elementary embedding  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  for some limit ordinal  $\lambda$ .
- An *I2-embedding* is a non-trivial elementary embedding  $j : V \longrightarrow M$  with the property that  $V_\lambda \subseteq M$ , where  $\lambda$  is the supremum of the critical sequence of  $j$ .
- An *I1-embedding* is a non-trivial elementary embedding  $j : V_{\lambda+1} \longrightarrow V_{\lambda+1}$  for some ordinal  $\lambda$ .

## Iterations of rank-into-rank embeddings

---



Recall the following concept from the last lecture:

### Definition

If  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  is an elementary embedding for some limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , then we define

$$j_+ : V_{\lambda+1} \longrightarrow V_{\lambda+1}; A \longmapsto \bigcup \{j(A \cap V_\gamma) \mid \gamma < \lambda\}.$$

### Theorem

If  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  is an elementary embedding for some limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , then  $j_+$  is the unique  $\Sigma_0$ -elementary function from  $V_{\lambda+1}$  into itself that extends  $j$ .

Note that, given a limit ordinal  $\lambda$  and elementary embeddings  $j$  and  $k$  from  $V_\lambda$  into itself, the map  $j$  is a subset of  $V_\lambda$  and hence we can apply  $k_+$  to it.

## Lemma

Let  $\lambda$  be a limit ordinal and let  $k, j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  be elementary embeddings.

- The set  $k_+(j)$  is an elementary embedding of  $V_\lambda$  into itself.
- If  $j = \text{id}_{V_\lambda}$ , then  $k_+(j) = \text{id}_{V_\lambda}$ .
- If  $j$  is an I3-embedding with critical sequence  $\vec{\kappa} = \langle \kappa_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$ , then  $k_+(j)$  is an I3-embedding with critical sequence  $k_+(\vec{\kappa}) = \langle k(\kappa_n) \mid n < \omega \rangle$ .

Proof.

Since  $k_+$  is a  $\Sigma_0$ -elementary embedding, we know that  $k_+(j)$  is a function with domain  $V_\lambda$ .

Given a set-theoretic formula  $\varphi(v_0, \dots, v_{n-1})$ , we have

$$V_{\lambda+1} \models \forall x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \in V_\lambda \\ [\varphi^{V_\lambda}(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \longleftrightarrow \varphi^{V_\lambda}(j(x_0), \dots, j(x_{n-1}))]$$

and therefore the  $\Sigma_0$ -elementarity of  $k_+$  implies that

$$V_{\lambda+1} \models \forall x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \in V_\lambda \\ [\varphi^{V_\lambda}(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \longleftrightarrow \varphi^{V_\lambda}(k_+(j)(x_0), \dots, k_+(j)(x_{n-1}))].$$

The other statements can be proven in a similar way using the  $\Sigma_0$ -elementarity of  $k_+$ . □

Given a limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , various statements can be proven about the algebraic structure of sets of elementary embeddings from  $V_\lambda$  into itself equipped with the operations of composition and application.

The following equality will be used in the subsequent constructions:

### Proposition

If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal and  $k, j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  are elementary embeddings, then

$$k_+ \circ j_+ = (k_+(j))_+ \circ k_+.$$

Fix an I3-embdding  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow \lambda$  with critical sequence  $\langle \kappa_\ell \mid \ell < \omega \rangle$ . The above lemma allows us to inductively define a sequence

$$\langle j_{n,n+1} : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda \mid n < \omega \rangle$$

of I3-embeddings by setting

- $j_{0,1} = j$ , and
- $j_{n+1,n+2} = j_+(j_{n,n+1})$  for all  $n < \omega$ .

Given  $n < \omega$ , we then know that  $\langle \kappa_{n+\ell} \mid \ell < \omega \rangle$  is the critical sequence of  $j_{n,n+1}$ .

Moreover, the above proposition shows that

$$j_{n+1,n+2} = j^+(j_{n,n+1}) = j_{n,n+1}^+(j_{n,n+1})$$

holds for all  $n < \omega$ .

We now let

$$\langle j_{m,n} : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda \mid m \leq n < \omega \rangle$$

denote the directed system of elementary embeddings induced by the above maps.

Then each  $j_{m,n}$  with  $m < n$  is an I3-embedding with  $\text{crit}(j_{m,n}) = \kappa_m$  and

$$j_{n,n+k}(\kappa_{n+\ell}) = \kappa_{m+\ell+k}$$

holds for all  $k, \ell, n < \omega$ .

Let

$$\langle (M_j, E_j), \langle j_{n,\omega} : V_\lambda \longrightarrow M_j \mid n < \omega \rangle \rangle$$

denote the direct limit of this system.

In addition, let  $wf(E_j)$  denote the well-founded part of  $(M_j, E_j)$  and identify it with its transitive collapse.

Easy computations now show that  $V_\lambda \cup \{\lambda\} \subseteq wf(M_j)$  and  $j_{0,\omega}(\kappa_0) = \lambda$ .

## Definition

A  $\text{I3}$ -embedding  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  is *iterable* if the corresponding direct limit  $(M_j, E_j)$  is well-founded.

The next result shows that the consistency strength of the existence of iterable  $\text{I3}$ -embeddings is strictly larger than the consistency strength of the existence of  $\text{I3}$ -embeddings:

## Theorem

If  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  is an iterable  $\text{I3}$ -embedding and  $\alpha < \lambda$ , then there is an  $\text{I3}$ -embedding  $i : V_{\lambda'} \longrightarrow V_{\lambda'}$  for some  $\alpha < \lambda' < \lambda$ .

## Proof.

Define  $T$  to be the set of all partial elementary embeddings  $i : V_\lambda \xrightarrow{\text{part}} V_\lambda$  such that there exists a natural number  $0 < \ell < \omega$  and a strictly increasing sequence  $\langle \lambda_k \mid k \leq \ell \rangle$  of cardinals below  $\lambda$  with  $\alpha < \lambda_\ell$ ,  $\text{dom}(i) = V_{\lambda_{\ell-1}}$ ,  $\text{ran}(i) \subseteq V_{\lambda_\ell}$ ,  $i \upharpoonright \lambda_0 = \text{id}_{\lambda_0}$ ,  $i(\lambda_k) = \lambda_{k+1}$  and  $V_{\lambda_k} \prec V_\lambda$  for all  $k < \ell$ .

By ordering  $T$  under inclusion, we can turn this set into a tree of height at most  $\omega$ . Since the restrictions of  $j$  to sufficiently large elements of the critical sequence are elements of  $T$ , we know that  $T$  has height  $\omega$  and there is a cofinal branch through  $T$ .

Since the tree  $T$  is definable in  $M_j$ , it is an element of  $M_j$  and the absoluteness of ill-foundedness yields a cofinal branch  $b$  through  $T$  in  $M_j$ .

By the definition of  $T$ , there is  $\alpha < \lambda' \leq \lambda$  such that  $\bigcup b : V_{\lambda'} \longrightarrow V_{\lambda'}$  is an I3-embedding in  $M_j$ .

Since  $\lambda = j_{0,\lambda}(\text{crit}(j))$  is regular in  $M_j$ , it follows that  $\lambda' < \lambda$ . □



## Theorem

If  $i : V \longrightarrow M$  is an I2-embedding and  $\lambda$  is the supremum of the critical sequence of  $j$ , then  $i \upharpoonright V_\lambda$  is iterable.

## Proof.

Set  $j = i \upharpoonright V_\lambda$  and let  $\langle j_{m,n} : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda \mid m \leq n < \omega \rangle$  denote the corresponding directed system of I3-embeddings.

Remember that, in the last session, we showed that from the parameter  $j$ , we can define an I2-embedding  $i_{0,1} : V \longrightarrow N_1$  with  $i_{0,1} \upharpoonright V_\lambda = j$ . Then  $i_{0,1}(j) = j_+(j) = (j_{0,1})_+(j_{0,1}) = j_{1,2}$ .

We can now repeat this construction in  $N_1$  using the parameter  $j_{1,2}$  and define an I2-embedding  $i_2 : N_1 \longrightarrow N_2$  in  $N_1$  with the property that  $i_{1,2} \upharpoonright V_\lambda = j_{1,2}$  and  $i_{1,2}(j_{1,2}) = (j_{1,2})_+(j_{1,2}) = j_{2,3}$ .

By iteration this process, we obtain a directed system

$$(\langle N_n \mid n < \omega \rangle, \langle i_{m,n} : N_m \longrightarrow N_n \mid m \leq n < \omega \rangle)$$

of inner models and elementary embeddings with  $N_0 = V$  and if  $n < \omega$ , then  $i_{n,n+1} : N_n \longrightarrow N_{n+1}$  is the  $I_2$ -embedding constructed from  $j_{n,n+1} = i_{0,n}(j)$  in  $N_n$ . In particular, we have

$$j_{m,n} = i_{m,n} \upharpoonright V_\lambda$$

for all  $m \leq n < \omega$ . In  $V$ , this system is definable from the parameter  $j$ .

Given  $k < \omega$ , if we carry out the same construction in  $N_k$  using the parameter  $j_{k,k+1}$ , then we obtain the directed system

$$\vec{N}_k = (\langle N_n \mid k \leq n < \omega \rangle, \langle i_{m,n} : N_m \longrightarrow N_n \mid k \leq m \leq n < \omega \rangle).$$

Moreover, for all  $k, m, n < \omega$ , we have  $i_{0,k}[N_m] \subseteq N_{m+k}$  and

$$i_{0,k} \circ i_{m,n} = i_{m+k,n+k} \circ (i_{0,k} \upharpoonright N_m).$$

Let

$$((N, E), \langle i_{n,\omega} : N_n \longrightarrow N \mid n < \omega \rangle)$$

denote the direct limit of  $\vec{N}_0$ .

Given  $k < \omega$ , we then know that

$$((N, E), \langle i_{k+n,\omega} : N_{k+n} \longrightarrow N \mid n < \omega \rangle)$$

is the direct limit of  $\vec{N}$  in  $N_k$  and  $i_{0,k}[N] \subseteq N$  holds.

Moreover, for all  $n < \omega$ , we have

$$i_{0,k} \circ i_{n,\omega} = i_{k+n,\omega} \circ (i_{0,k} \upharpoonright N_n).$$

Assume that  $(N, E)$  is ill-founded and let  $\alpha$  be the minimal ordinal  $\xi$  such that  $i_{0,\omega}(\xi)$  is an element of the ill-founded part of  $N$ .

Given  $k < \omega$ , elementarity implies that  $i_{0,k}(\alpha)$  is the minimal ordinal  $\xi$  such that  $i_{k,\omega}(\xi)$  is an element of the ill-founded part of  $N$ .

The ill-founded part of  $N$  contains an ordinal smaller than  $i_{0,\omega}(\alpha)$  and we can find  $k < \omega$  and an ordinal  $\beta$  such that  $i_{k,\omega}(\beta)$  is an element of the ill-founded part of  $N$  and  $i_{k,\omega}(\beta) E i_{0,\omega}(\alpha)$  holds.

Since this means that  $\beta < i_{0,k}(\alpha)$ , we obtained a contradiction.

This shows that  $(N, E)$  is well-founded. By construction, the direct limit  $(M_j, E_j)$  of

$$\langle j_{m,n} : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda \mid m \leq n < \omega \rangle$$

is an initial segment of  $(N, E)$  and we therefore know that  $(M_j, E_j)$  is well-founded. □

# Prikry forcing

---

Recall that, if  $U$  is a normal ultrafilter over a measurable cardinal  $\kappa$ , then *Prikry forcing with  $U$*  is the partial order  $\mathbb{P}_U$  defined by the following clauses:

- Conditions in  $\mathbb{P}_U$  are pairs  $p = (s_p, A_p)$  with the property that  $A_p \in U$  and  $s_p : n_p \longrightarrow \min(A_p)$  is a strictly increasing function with  $n_p < \omega$ .
- Given conditions  $p$  and  $q$  in  $\mathbb{P}_U$ , we have  $p \leq_{\mathbb{P}_U} q$  if and only if  $A_p \subseteq A_q$ ,  $n_q \leq n_p$ ,  $s_p \upharpoonright n_q = s_q$  and  $s_p(\ell) \in A_q$  for all  $n_q \leq \ell < n_p$ .

## Theorem

Let  $U$  be a normal ultrafilter over a measurable cardinal  $\kappa$  and let  $G$  be  $\mathbb{P}_U$ -generic over  $V$ .

- $V$  and  $V[G]$  have the same cardinals and contain the same bounded subsets of  $\kappa$ .
- The set

$$x_G = \bigcup \{ \text{ran}(s_p) \mid p \in G \}$$

is a cofinal subset of  $\kappa$  of order-type  $\omega$  in  $V[G]$ .

## Lemma

If  $U$  is a normal ultrafilter over a measurable cardinal  $\kappa$  and  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}_U$ -generic over  $V$ , then

$$U = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa)^V \mid \exists \alpha < \kappa \quad x_G \setminus \alpha \subseteq A\}.$$

In particular, we have  $V[G] = V[x_G]$ .

## Theorem (Mathias criterion)

If  $M$  is an inner model of ZFC and  $U$  is a normal ultrafilter over a measurable cardinal  $\kappa$  in  $M$ , then the following statements are equivalent for every cofinal subset  $x$  of  $\kappa$  of order-type  $\omega$ :

- There exists  $G$   $\mathbb{P}_U^M$ -generic over  $M$  with  $x = x_G$ .
- For all  $A \in U$ , there is  $\alpha < \kappa$  with  $x \setminus \alpha \subseteq A$ .

## Lemma

If  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  is an iterable I3-embedding with critical sequence  $\vec{\kappa} = \langle \kappa_\ell \mid \ell < \omega \rangle$ ,  $j_{0,\omega} : V_\lambda \longrightarrow M_j$  is the corresponding  $\omega$ -th iteration map and

$$U = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa_0) \mid \kappa_0 \in j(A)\}$$

is the induced normal ultrafilter over  $\kappa_0$ , then  $M_j[\vec{\kappa}]$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_{j_{0,\omega}(U)}^{M_j}$ -generic extension of  $M_j$ .

## Proof.

Fix  $A \in j_{0,\omega}(U)$ . Then there is  $k < \omega$  and  $A_k \subseteq \kappa_k$  with  $A = j_{k,\omega}(A_k)$ .

Given  $k \leq \ell < \omega$ , elementarity implies that

$$j_{k,\ell}(A_k) \in j_{0,\ell}(U) = \{B \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa_\ell) \mid \kappa_\ell \in j_{\ell,\ell+1}(B)\}$$

and hence  $\kappa_\ell \in A$  for all  $k \leq \ell < \omega$ .

By the Mathias criterion, the cofinal subset  $\{\kappa_\ell \mid \ell < \omega\}$  of  $\lambda$  induces a  $\mathbb{P}_{j_{0,\omega}(U)}^{M_j}$ -generic filter over  $M_j$ . □



# 3. Tutorial

In this talk, we will use the theory developed in the previous two sessions to prove that the consistency strength of a large cardinal principle isolated in recent joint work with Juan Aguilera (TU Wien) and Joan Bagaria (Barcelona) is surprisingly low.

As a motivation for the formulation of this notion, we consider a large cardinal property appearing in the work of Gabriel Goldberg and Farmer Schlutzenberg that, by the Kunen Inconsistency, contradicts the Axiom of Choice:

### Definition (Goldberg & Schlutzenberg, ZF)

A cardinal  $\lambda$  is *rank-Berkeley* if for all  $\alpha < \lambda < \beta$ , there is a non-trivial elementary embedding  $j : V_\beta \longrightarrow V_\beta$  with  $\alpha < \text{crit}(j) < \lambda$  and  $j(\lambda) = \lambda$ .

It now turns out that we can weaken this property in a canonical way in order to obtain an axiom that does not contradict the Kunen Inconsistency and still possesses key features of rank-Berkeleyness.

### Definition (Aguilera–Bagaria–L.)

A cardinal  $\lambda$  is *exacting* if for all  $\alpha < \lambda < \beta$ , there exists

- an elementary submodel  $X$  of  $V_\beta$  with  $V_\lambda \cup \{\lambda\} \subseteq X$ , and
- an elementary embedding

$$j : X \longrightarrow V_\beta$$

with  $\alpha < \text{crit}(j) < \lambda$  and  $j(\lambda) = \lambda$ .

It can be easily show that the exactingness of a cardinal is witnessed by a single embedding whose domain is an elementary substructure of a sufficiently elementary initial segment of the set-theoretic universe.

## Proposition

The following statements are equivalent for every cardinal  $\lambda$ :

- $\lambda$  is an exacting cardinal.
- There is an ordinal  $\beta > \lambda$  with  $V_\beta \prec_{\Sigma_2} V$ , an elementary submodel  $X$  of  $V_\beta$  with  $V_\lambda \cup \{\lambda\} \subseteq X$ , and an elementary embedding

$$j : X \longrightarrow V_\beta$$

with  $\text{crit}(j) < \lambda$  and  $j(\lambda) = \lambda$ .

We continue by showing that the above notion can also be obtained by strengthening well-studied large cardinal notions defined through model-theoretic reflection properties.

## Definition

A cardinal  $\lambda$  is Jónsson if every structure in a countable first-order language with domain  $\lambda$  has a proper elementary substructure of cardinality  $\lambda$ .

## Theorem (Aguilera–Bagaria–L.)

The following are equivalent for each cardinal  $\lambda$  with  $|V_\lambda| = \lambda$ :

- $\lambda$  is an exacting cardinal.
- For every class  $\mathcal{C}$  of structures in a countable first-order language that is definable by a formula with parameters in  $V_\lambda \cup \{\lambda\}$ , every structure of cardinality  $\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  contains a proper elementary substructure of cardinality  $\lambda$  isomorphic to a structure in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- For every class  $\mathcal{C}$  of structures in a countable first-order language that is definable by a formula with parameters in  $V_\lambda \cup \{\lambda\}$ , every structure of cardinality  $\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is isomorphic to a proper elementary substructure of a structure of cardinality  $\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

In another direction, exactingness can also be represented as a natural strengthening of the existence of I3-embeddings:

### Theorem (Aguilera–Bagaria–Goldberg–L.)

The following are equivalent for every cardinal  $\lambda$ :

- $\lambda$  is an exacting cardinal.
- For every non-empty, ordinal definable subset  $A$  of  $V_{\lambda+1}$ , there exist  $x, y \in A$  and a non-trivial elementary embedding

$$j : (V_\lambda, \in, x) \longrightarrow (V_\lambda, \in, y).$$

Proof.

First, assume that  $\lambda$  is an exacting cardinal and the second property fails.

Let  $A$  be the minimal non-empty subset of  $V_{\lambda+1}$  in the canonical well-ordering of OD with the property that for all  $x, y \in A$ , there is no elementary embedding  $i : (V_\lambda, \in, x) \longrightarrow (V_\lambda, \in, y)$ .

Then  $A$  is definable from the parameter  $\lambda$ .

Pick  $\beta > \lambda$  such that  $V_\beta$  is sufficiently elementary. Then there exists an elementary submodel  $X$  of  $V_\beta$  with  $V_\lambda \cup \{\lambda\} \subseteq X$  and an elementary embedding

$$j : X \longrightarrow V_\beta$$

with  $\text{crit}(j) < \lambda$  and  $j(\lambda) = \lambda$ .

We then know that  $A \in X$ ,  $j(A) = A$  and there is  $x \in A \cap X$ .

Then  $j(x) \in A$  and  $j \upharpoonright V_\lambda : (V_\lambda, \in, x) \longrightarrow (V_\lambda, \in, j(x))$  is a non-trivial elementary embedding, a contradiction.

Now, assume that  $\lambda$  has the property that for every non-empty, ordinal definable subset  $A$  of  $V_{\lambda+1}$ , there exist  $x, y \in A$  and a non-trivial elementary embedding  $j : (V_\lambda, \in, x) \longrightarrow (V_\lambda, \in, y)$ . Then  $|V_\lambda| = \lambda$ .

Pick  $\beta > \lambda$  with  $V_\beta \prec_{\Sigma_2} V$  and let  $A$  denote the set of all subsets of  $V_\lambda$  that code elementary submodels  $X$  of  $V_\beta$  with  $V_\lambda \cup \{\lambda\} \subseteq X$  in some fixed canonical way.

Then  $A$  is a non-empty, ordinal definable subset of  $V_{\lambda+1}$  and we can find  $x, y \in A$  with the property that there exists a non-trivial elementary embedding  $i : (V_\lambda, \in, x) \longrightarrow (V_\lambda, \in, y)$ .

If we picked our coding of substructures in the right way, then this embedding yields elementary submodels  $X$  and  $Y$  of  $V_\beta$  with  $V_\lambda \cup \{\lambda\} \subseteq X \cap Y$  and an elementary embedding

$$j : X \longrightarrow Y \prec V_\beta$$

with  $\text{crit}(j) < \lambda$  and  $j(\lambda) = \lambda$ .





The above result can be used to show that exacting cardinals have the surprising property that they imply the existence of sets that are not ordinal definable.

## Theorem (Aguilera–Bagaria–L.)

If  $\lambda$  is an exacting cardinal, then  $\lambda$  is regular in  $\text{HOD}_{V_\lambda}$ .

Proof.

Assume that  $\lambda$  is singular in  $\text{HOD}_{V_\lambda}$ . Then  $\lambda$  is singular in  $\text{HOD}_{\{z\}}$  for some  $z \in V_\lambda$ . Moreover, since  $\lambda$  is a limit of inaccessible cardinals, a theorem of Vopenka shows that  $\text{HOD}_{\{z\}}$  is a forcing extension of  $\text{HOD}$  using a partial order of size strictly less than  $\lambda$  and therefore we know that  $\lambda$  is also singular in  $\text{HOD}$ .

Let  $c$  be the least cofinal subset of  $\lambda$  with order-type  $\text{cof}(\lambda)^{\text{HOD}}$  and  $\min(c) > \text{cof}(\lambda)^{\text{HOD}}$  in the canonical well-ordering of  $\text{HOD}$ .

Then  $\{c\}$  is a non-empty ordinal definable subset of  $V_{\lambda+1}$  and there exists a non-trivial elementary embedding  $j : (V_\lambda, \in, c) \rightarrow (V_\lambda, \in, c)$ .

We then have  $\text{crit}(j) > \text{cof}(\lambda)^{\text{HOD}}$  and  $j \upharpoonright c = \text{id}_c$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Lower bounds for the  
consistency strength of  
exactness

---

We want to show that there are many I3-embeddings below an exacting cardinals.

For this purpose, we adapt the corresponding tree argument for iterable I3-embeddings by replacing the  $\omega$ -th iterate  $M_j$  with the inner model  $\text{HOD}_{V_\lambda}$ .

Here, the additional complication arises that the Axiom of Choice might fail in  $\text{HOD}_{V_\lambda}$  and therefore the ill-foundedness of trees is not equivalent to the existence of cofinal branches in this model.

This is taken care of with the following lemma:

### Lemma

Let  $\lambda$  be a strong limit cardinal, let  $M$  be an inner model of ZF with  $V_\lambda \subseteq M$  and let  $T \in M$  be a tree of height  $\omega$  whose underlying set is a subset of  $V_\lambda$ . If  $T$  has an infinite branch in  $V$  and  $\lambda$  is regular in  $M$ , then  $T$  has an infinite branch in  $M$ .

Since we know that an exacting cardinal  $\lambda$  is regular in  $\text{HOD}_{V_\lambda}$ , we can repeat an earlier argument using the tree of partial attempts to build an I3-embedding with this inner model and obtain the following result:

### Theorem(Aguilera–Bagaria–Goldberg–L.)

If  $\lambda$  is an exacting cardinal and  $\alpha < \lambda$ , then there is an I3-embedding  $i : V_{\lambda'} \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  for some  $\alpha < \lambda' < \lambda$ .

Upper bounds for the  
consistency strength of  
exactness

---

The first consistency result for exacting cardinals contained in joint work with Aguilera and Bagaria derived this consistency from assumptions much stronger than the existence of an I1-embedding.

The following result shows that the consistency strength is surprisingly low:

### Theorem (Aguilera–Bagaria–Goldberg–L.)

Let  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  be an iterable I3-embedding with critical point  $\kappa$  and let

$$U = \{A \subseteq \kappa \mid \kappa \in j(A)\}.$$

If  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}_U$ -generic over  $V$ , then  $\kappa$  is an exacting cardinal in  $V[G]$ .

The starting point of the proof of the above result is the observation that, in the directed system constructed from an iterable I3-embeddings, images of subsets of the critical point of the original embedding in the limit model are invariant under the original embedding:

### Lemma

If  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  is an iterable I3-embedding,  $j_{0,\omega} : V_\lambda \longrightarrow M_j$  is the corresponding embedding into the direct limit and  $A \subseteq V_{\text{crit}(j)}$ , then

$$j_+(j_{0,\omega}(A)) = j_{0,\omega}(A).$$

## Proof of the Theorem.

Let  $j : V_\lambda \longrightarrow V_\lambda$  be an iterable I3-embedding with critical point  $\kappa$ , let  $j_{0,\omega} : V_\lambda \longrightarrow M_j$  denote corresponding embedding into the direct limit of the induced directed system and set  $U = \{A \subseteq \kappa \mid \kappa \in j(A)\}$ .

Then  $M_j$  is a transitive set with  $V_\lambda \cup \{\lambda\} \subseteq M_\omega$ ,  $\text{crit}(j_{0,\omega}) = \kappa$  and  $j_{0,\omega}(\kappa) = \lambda$ .

Fix  $\rho > \lambda$  such that  $V_\rho$  is sufficiently elementary in  $V$  and pick an elementary submodel  $X$  of  $V_\rho$  of cardinality  $\kappa$  with  $V_\kappa \cup \{U\} \subseteq X$ .

Let  $\pi : X \longrightarrow N$  denote the transitive collapse. Pick a well-founded relation  $R$  on  $\kappa$  such that  $(N, \in)$  is the transitive collapse of  $(\kappa, R)$  and this collapse sends 0 to  $\kappa$ , 1 to  $\pi(U)$  and  $\omega \cdot \alpha$  to  $\alpha$  for all  $0 < \alpha < \kappa$ .

Set  $N_* = j_{0,\omega}(N)$ ,  $R_* = j_{0,\omega}(R)$  and  $U_* = j_{0,\omega}(\pi(U))$ .

Then  $V_\lambda \subseteq N_*$  and the critical sequence  $\vec{\kappa}$  of  $j$  is  $\mathbb{P}_{U_*}^{N_*}$ -generic over  $N_*$ .

We then have  $j_+(R_*) = R_*$  and  $(N_*, \in)$  is the transitive collapse of  $(\lambda, R_*)$  and this collapse  $\tau$  sends 0 to  $\lambda$ , 1 to  $U_*$  and  $\omega \cdot \alpha$  to  $\alpha$  for  $0 < \alpha < \lambda$ .



We now know that

$$i = \tau \circ (j \restriction \lambda) \circ \tau^{-1} : N_* \longrightarrow N_*$$

is an elementary embedding with  $i \restriction (\lambda + 1) = j \restriction (\lambda + 1)$  and  $j(U_*) = U_*$ .

In this situation, the embedding  $i$  can be canonically lifted to

$$i_* : N_*[\vec{\kappa}] \longrightarrow N_*[\vec{\kappa}].$$

Now, in  $N_*[\vec{\kappa}]$ , fix a non-empty subset  $A$  of  $V_{\lambda+1}$  that is definable by a formula with parameter  $\lambda$ . Pick  $x \in A$  and set  $y = i_*(x)$ . Then  $y \in A$  and  $i_*$  induces a non-trivial elementary embedding of  $(V_\lambda, \in, x)$  into  $(V_\lambda, \in, y)$ .

Since  $\lambda$  has countable cofinality in  $N_*[\vec{\kappa}]$  and this model satisfies a sufficiently large fragment of ZFC, a well-foundedness argument shows that such an embedding already exists in  $N_*[\vec{\kappa}]$ .

The characterization of exacting cardinals through I3-embeddings now shows that  $\lambda$  is an exacting cardinal in  $N_*[\vec{\kappa}]$  and hence elementarity ensures that Prikry forcing with  $U$  over  $V$  turns  $\kappa$  into an exacting cardinal.  $\square$

Thank you for listening!