

XI

ELEVENTH LECTURE Forcing & the Continuum Hypothesis

Saturday 15 November 2025

What we have done so far:

$M \text{ ctu} ; \mathbb{P} \in M ; F \subseteq \mathbb{P}$

$\rightsquigarrow \text{Name}^{\mathbb{P}}$

$\rightsquigarrow \text{val}(\tau, F)$ for $\tau \in \text{Name}^{\mathbb{P}}$

$\rightsquigarrow M[F] = \{ \text{val}(\tau, F) ; \tau \in \text{Name}^{\mathbb{P}} \cap M \}$

$M[F]$ is a ctu transitive model ; $M \subseteq M[F]$
 $F \in M[F]$

AXIOMS OF ZF

STRUCTURAL AXIOMS

EXTENSIONALITY

$$\forall x \forall y (\forall u (x \leftrightarrow u \leftrightarrow y \leftrightarrow u) \rightarrow x = y)$$

✓ DONE

FOUNDATION

$$\forall x (\exists y (y \in x) \rightarrow \exists u (u \in x \wedge \forall w \neg (w \in x \wedge w \in u)))$$

✓ DONE

INFINITY

$$\exists I \exists e (\forall v \neg (v \in e \wedge e \in v) \wedge \forall x (x \in I \rightarrow \exists e (e \in x \wedge \forall w (w \in e \leftrightarrow w \in x)))$$

✓ DONE

FUNCTIONAL AXIOMS

PAIRING

$$\forall x \forall y \exists p \forall w (w \in p \leftrightarrow w = x \vee w = y)$$

✓ DONE

UNION

$$\forall x \exists u \forall v (v \in u \leftrightarrow \exists z (z \in x \wedge v \in z))$$

✓ DONE

POWERSET

$$\forall x \exists p \forall w (w \in p \leftrightarrow \forall v (v \in w \rightarrow v \in x))$$

$$\forall p \forall x \exists s \forall w (w \in s \leftrightarrow w \in x \wedge \varphi(w, \bar{p}))$$

$$\forall \bar{p} \forall x \forall y \forall z (\varphi(x, y, \bar{p}) \wedge \varphi(x, z, \bar{p}) \rightarrow y = z)$$

$$\forall x \exists r \forall u (u \in r \leftrightarrow \exists y (y \in x \wedge \varphi(y, u, \bar{p})))$$

} still to be done

Lecture X:

Let's look at Separation:

Fix $x = \text{val}(\sigma, F)$, want

$$A_\varphi = \{z \in x; \varphi(z, \bar{x})\}$$

square
p forces
for $w \in \omega$

Idea for a name

$$\tau_\varphi := \{(\tau', p); \text{there is } q \text{ s.t. } (\tau', q) \in \sigma, p \leq q \text{ and}$$

"p makes φ true for τ' "
[p forces φ for τ' "]}

With this:

$$\text{val}(\tau', F) \in \text{val}(\tau_\varphi, F)$$

$$\iff \text{val}(\tau', F) \in \text{val}(\sigma, F) \text{ and}$$

"p forces φ for τ' ".

$$\iff M[F] \models \varphi(\text{val}(\tau', F))$$

The Forcing Language

Let \mathcal{L}_0 be the language of set theory,

fix M ctue, $\mathbb{P} \in M$.

Add all elements of $\text{Name}^{\mathbb{P}, M}$ as constant

symbols to obtain

$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}, M}$ the forcing language

We write $\text{Sent}_{\mathbb{P}, M}$ for the set of sentences
in the forcing language.

THE FORCING THEOREM

Let M ctm, $\mathbb{P} \in M$.

Then there is an absolute definable and downwards closed (i.e., if $p \Vdash \varphi$, $q \leq p \Rightarrow q \Vdash \varphi$) relation $\Vdash \subseteq \mathbb{P} \times \text{Sent}_{\mathbb{P}, M}$ (known as the forcing relation) with the properties:

if G is \mathbb{P} -generic over M and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}, M}$ with k free variables and $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k \in \text{Name}^{\mathbb{P}} \cap M$ then

TFAE

$$(i) \quad M[G] \models \varphi(\text{val}(\tau_1, G), \dots, \text{val}(\tau_k, G))$$

$$(ii) \quad \exists p \in G \quad M \models p \Vdash \varphi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k).$$

We say " p forces φ " for $p \Vdash \varphi$.

Remark.

The equivalence is what is usually called "controlling truth in $M[G]$ from M ".

We'll prove the FT in Lecture XII.

Separation in $M[G]$

ASSUME FT

Have $x = \text{val}(\sigma, G)$.

Want

$$A_\varphi = \{z \in x; M[G] \models \varphi(z, \bar{x})\}$$

OMIT FOR READABILITY!

Let's look at Separation:

Fix $x = \text{val}(\sigma, F)$, want $A_\varphi = \{z \in x; \varphi(z, \bar{x})\}$

ignore p downwards for val

Idea for a name

$$\tau_\varphi := \{(\tau', p); \exists q \text{ s.t. } (\tau', q) \in \sigma, p \leq q \text{ and } \text{"}p \text{ forces } \varphi \text{ for } \tau'\text{"}\}$$

With this:

$$\text{val}(\tau', F) \in \text{val}(\tau_\varphi, F)$$

$$\text{val}(\tau', F) \in \text{val}(\sigma, F) \text{ and } \text{"}p \text{ forces } \varphi \text{ for } \tau'\text{"}$$

$$M[F] \models \varphi(\text{val}(\tau', F))$$

$$\tau_\varphi = \{(\tau', p); \exists q ((\tau', q) \in \sigma \wedge p \leq q \wedge p \Vdash \varphi(\tau'))\}$$

Claim $\text{val}(\tau_\varphi, G) = A_\varphi$.

Pr. " \supseteq ": Suppose $z = \text{val}(\tau, G)$

$$z \in A_\varphi \implies z \in x \wedge M[G] \models \varphi(z)$$

$$\implies \text{val}(\tau, G) \in \text{val}(\sigma, G) \wedge M[G] \models \varphi(z)$$

$$\implies \exists q \in G ((\tau, q) \in \sigma \wedge M[G] \models \varphi(z))$$

$$\stackrel{FT}{\implies} \exists q \in G ((\tau, q) \in \sigma \wedge \exists p \in G p \Vdash \varphi(\tau))$$

$$\implies \exists r \in G r \leq q \wedge r \Vdash \varphi(\tau).$$

G filter
+ \Vdash is downwards closed

$$\implies (\tau, r) \in \tau_\varphi \wedge r \in G$$

$$\implies \text{val}(\tau, G) \in \text{val}(\tau_\varphi, G).$$

" \subseteq " $\text{val}(\tau_\varphi, G) \subseteq A_\varphi.$

$\text{val}(\tau, G) = z \in \text{val}(\tau_\varphi, G)$

$\Rightarrow \exists p \in G (\tau, p) \in \tau_\varphi.$

$\Rightarrow \exists p \in G \exists q \frac{p \leq q}{\Rightarrow q \in G} \wedge (\tau, q) \in \tau \wedge p \Vdash \varphi(\tau)$

$\Rightarrow \text{val}(\tau, G) \in \text{val}(\sigma, G) \wedge M[G] \models \varphi(z)$
 $z \in x$

$\Rightarrow z \in A_\varphi.$

q.e.d.
[modulo FT].

Powerset in $M[G]$

Assume \mathbb{F} ; fix $x = \text{val}(\sigma, G)$.

Notation

$$\text{dom}(\sigma) := \{ \tau; \exists p (\tau, p) \in \sigma \}$$

Define $\pi := \{ (\tau, \mathbb{1}); \text{dom}(\tau) \subseteq \text{dom}(\sigma) \}$

Claim If $y \in M[G]$, $y \subseteq x$, then

$$y \in \text{val}(\pi, G).$$

With Separation already in place, we can now separate the powerset of x from $\text{val}(\pi, G)$.

Proof idea of claim

Prove that if $y = \text{val}(\mu, G) \subseteq x$
and $\mu^* := \{ (\tau, p); \tau \in \text{dom}(\sigma) \wedge p \notin \tau \in \mu \}$

then

$$\text{val}(\mu, G) = \text{val}(\mu^*, G). \quad \text{ES\#3}$$

That shows the claim, since clearly

$$(\mu^*, \mathbb{1}) \in \pi.$$

q.e.d.

Replacement in $M[G]$

By separation, it's enough to show the following:

If $x = \text{val}(\sigma, G)$ and φ is a functional formula
then there is $R \in M[G]$ s.t. $M[G] \models \varphi(y, z)$

REMARK
Doing LXT, I
claimed that we
do not need
functionality. But
we do!!

(*) $M[G] \models \forall y \in x \exists z \in R \varphi(y, z)$

omit for
readability

pp. In M , find α s.t. $\text{dom}(\sigma) \subseteq V_\alpha$
and write

$$\psi(p, \pi) := \exists \mu \ p \Vdash \varphi(\pi, \mu)$$

by the FT, this is just
a regular sentence in \mathcal{L}_E .

Still working in M , use LRT to find $\beta > \alpha$
s.t. ψ is absolute between V_β^M and V

Define $\rho := \{(\mu, \perp); \mu \in V_\beta\}$ and $R := \text{val}(\rho, G)$.

Prove (*): Fix $y = \text{val}(\pi, G) \in x$ and
 $z = \text{val}(\mu, G)$ s.t. $M[G] \models \varphi(y, z)$.

By FT, find $p \in G$ s.t. $M \models p \Vdash \varphi(\pi, \mu)$, i.e. $M \models \psi(p, \pi)$.

By absoluteness, $V_\beta \models \psi(p, \pi)$, so ex. $\mu^* \in V_\beta$ s.t.
 $p \Vdash \varphi(\pi, \mu^*)$. By functionality of φ (*), we
have $\text{val}(\mu^*, G) = \text{val}(\mu, G)$.

Thus $(\mu^*, \perp) \in \rho$, so $\text{val}(\mu^*, G) = \text{val}(\mu, G) \in \text{val}(\rho, G) = R$.
q.e.d.

Remark on AC

If $\text{val}(\sigma, G) \subseteq \{\text{val}(\tau, G); \tau \in \text{dom}(\sigma)\}$

But by AC in M , find injection from

$\text{dom}(\sigma) \rightarrow \alpha \in \text{Ord}$,

so $\text{val}(\sigma, G)$ is wellordered as a subset of a wellordered set.

Summary

If M ctm of ZFC, then

$M[G]$ is a ctm of ZFC.

[The generic model theorem.]

By the tricks mentioned in lecture X,
this works with finite fragments

$T, T^* \subseteq \text{ZFC}$.

Now to the proof of the FT:

Def. $D \subseteq P$ is dense below p
if $\forall q \leq p \exists r \leq q, r \in D$.

ES #3

Lemma If G is \mathbb{P} -gen./M, $E \subseteq P$, $E \in M$. Then

(i) If E is dense below p , $q \leq p$, then E is dense below q .

(ii) If $\{r; E \text{ is dense below } r\}$ is dense below p , then E is dense below p .

(iii) Either $G \cap E \neq \emptyset$ or $\exists q \in G \forall r \in E, r \perp q$.

(iv) If $p \in G$, E is dense below p , then $G \cap E \neq \emptyset$.