

IX

Ninth Lecture Forcing & the Continuum Hypothesis

11 November 2025

we'll schedule another
Saturday replacement
lecture.

The Method of Outer Models

Showing

$$\text{Cons}(\text{ZFC}) \Rightarrow \text{Cons}(\text{ZFC} + \varphi)$$

Lecture
VIII

Theorem (**)
If $T \subseteq \text{ZFC}$ is finite, then there is
 $T^* \subseteq \text{ZFC}$ finite such that:

Whenever $M \models T^*$ c.t.b.t.s, then there is
 $N \supseteq M$ c.t.b.t.s s.t. $N \models T + [\neg \text{CH}]$

φ

Practical problem:

If $M \models \text{ZFC}$ is c.t.b.t.s transitive and
 $f \notin M$ witnesses φ , we can form
a c.t.b.t.s $N \supseteq M$ with $f \in N$,
but how do we control what is
true in N ?!?

MAIN TASK

Build N s.t. $M \subseteq N$ &
 $f \in N$ in a controlled way so that
we can determine truth values of
sentences in N !

The METHOD OF FORCING

Paul Cohen <
American mathematician



Paul Joseph Cohen was an American mathematician. He is best known for his proofs that the continuum hypothesis and the axiom of choice are independent from Zermelo–Fraenkel set theory, for which he was awarded a Fields Medal. [Wikipedia](#)

Born: 2 April 1934, Long Branch, New Jersey, United States

Died: 23 March 2007, Stanford, California, United States

Known for: Cohen forcing; Continuum hypothesis

Fields: Mathematics

Def. We call $(\mathbb{P}, \leq, \perp)$ a forcing partial order (forcing) if (\mathbb{P}, \leq) is a partial order and $\perp \in \mathbb{P}$ is its maximum element.

The elements of \mathbb{P} are called (forcing) conditions. We interpret them as "forcing things to be true".

Interpret $p \leq q$ as "p is stronger than q"

Fixing notation:

$p, q \in \mathbb{P}$ are compatible if $\exists r$ s.t. $r \leq p, q$.

Otherwise incompatible:

$p \perp q$.

$A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is an antichain if $\forall a, b \in A$ $a \perp b$.

$D \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is called dense if $\forall p \in \mathbb{P} \exists d \in D$ $d \leq p$.

Shelah broke with this convention and writes his forcings the other way round.

JERUSALEM CONVENTION

Saharon Shelah
שהרן שלחן



Shelah in 2005

Born July 3, 1945 (age 79)
Jerusalem, British Mandate for Palestine (now Israel)

Alma mater Tel Aviv University (B.Sc.)
Hebrew University (M.Sc., Ph.D.)

Known for Proper Forcing, PCF theory, Sauer–Shelah lemma, Shelah cardinal

$\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is called a filter base

if $\forall p, q \in \mathcal{B} \exists r \in \mathcal{B} \quad r \leq p, q$

$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is called a filter

if \mathcal{F} is a filter base and

$\forall p, q \quad p \leq q \text{ \& } p \in \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow q \in \mathcal{F}$.

If \mathcal{B} is a filter base, then

$\{ p \in \mathcal{P}; \exists q \in \mathcal{B} \quad p \geq q \}$
is a filter: the filter generated by \mathcal{B} .

If \mathcal{D} is any family of dense sets, we call

\mathcal{F} \mathcal{D} -generic if

(1) \mathcal{F} is a filter

(2) $\forall D \in \mathcal{D} \quad \mathcal{F} \cap D \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem

If \mathcal{D} is countable, then there is a \mathcal{D} -generic filter.

Proof.

$\mathcal{D} = \{ D_i; i \in \mathbb{N} \}$. Let $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ be arbitrary. Pick recursively

p_{i+1} s.t. $p_{i+1} \leq p_i$ and $p_{i+1} \in D_i$
(possible by density of D_i)

Then $\mathcal{B} = \{ p_i; i \in \mathbb{N} \}$ is a filter base.

Then the filter generated by \mathcal{B} is \mathcal{D} -generic.
q.e.d.

MAIN EXAMPLE

Let X, Y be sets and

$$\mathcal{P} := \text{Fu}(X, Y) := \{ p; p \text{ is a finite function with } \text{dom}(p) \subseteq X \text{ and } \text{ran}(p) \subseteq Y \}$$

$$p \leq q \iff p \supseteq q.$$

$$\perp := \emptyset.$$

Note: $p \perp q \iff \exists x \in \text{dom}(p) \cap \text{dom}(q) \text{ s.t. } p(x) \neq q(x).$

Lemma 1 $F \text{ finite} \implies \bigcup F \text{ is a function}$
 (base) $= \{ (x, y); \exists p \in F (x, y) \in p \}$

Lemma 2 $\mathcal{D}_x := \{ p; x \in \text{dom}(p) \}$ This is dense.

$$\mathcal{D}_0 := \{ \mathcal{D}_x; x \in X \}$$

$F \text{ is } \mathcal{D}_0\text{-generic} \implies \text{dom}(\bigcup F) = X.$

Lemma 3 $\mathcal{R}_y := \{ p; y \in \text{ran}(p) \}.$

\bigcup If X is infinite, \mathcal{R}_y is dense.

$$\mathcal{D}_1 := \{ \mathcal{R}_y; y \in Y \}$$

$F \text{ is } \mathcal{D}_1\text{-generic} \ \& \ X \text{ is infinite} \implies \text{ran}(\bigcup F) = Y.$

Lemma 4 Fix $f: X \rightarrow Y.$ $\mathcal{N}_f := \{ p; \exists x \in \text{dom}(p) f(x) \neq p(x) \}$

$F \text{ is } \{ \mathcal{N}_f \}\text{-generic} \ \& \ X \text{ is infinite} \implies \bigcup F \neq f.$ $\mathcal{R}_X \text{ if } X \text{ is infinite, } \mathcal{N}_f \text{ is dense.}$

NAMES Fix \mathbb{P} and define the \mathbb{P} -names

by recursion:

$$\text{Name}_0^{\mathbb{P}} := \emptyset$$

$$\text{Name}_{\alpha+1}^{\mathbb{P}} := \left\{ \tau ; \tau \subseteq \text{Name}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{P}} \times \mathbb{P} \right\} \cup \text{Name}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{P}}$$

$$\text{Name}_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{P}} := \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} \text{Name}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{P}}$$

$$\text{Name}^{\mathbb{P}} := \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{Ord}} \text{Name}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{P}}$$

Interpretation

If $(\tau', p) \in \tau$, then p guarantees that the set named by τ' is in the set named by τ .

Note This is a generalization of the von Neumann hierarchy $\mathbb{P} = \{ \mathbb{1} \}$.

Then the map

$h(\tau) := \{ h(\tau') ; (\tau', \mathbb{1}) \in \tau \}$ is an "isomorphism" between $\text{Name}^{\mathbb{P}}$ and V .

Examples

$\text{Name}_1^{\mathbb{P}} = \{ \emptyset \}$. In particular, \emptyset is a name.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Name}_2^{\mathbb{P}} &= \{ \tau ; \tau \subseteq \{ \emptyset \} \times \mathbb{P} \} \\ &= \{ \tau ; \tau \subseteq \{ (\emptyset, p) ; p \in \mathbb{P} \} \} \end{aligned}$$

E.g., $\tau_p := \{ (\emptyset, p) \}$.

At level 3: $\tau_{p,q} := \{ (\tau_p, q) \}$

THE VALUE OF A NAME

Fix $F \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and define recursively
 $\tau \in \text{Name}^{\mathcal{P}}$

$$\text{val}(\tau, F) := \{ \text{val}(\sigma, F); \exists p \in F \text{ } (\sigma, p) \in \tau \}.$$

Important remark

Note that $\text{Name}^{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\text{val}(\tau, F)$ are recursive definitions, so absolute for the models (containing τ, F).

Examples

From last page $\emptyset, \tau_p, \tau_{p,q} \in \text{Name}^{\mathcal{P}}$

$$\text{val}(\emptyset, F) = \emptyset \text{ for any } F.$$

$$\text{val}(\tau_p, F) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } p \notin F \\ \{\emptyset, p\} & \text{if } p \in F \end{cases}$$

$$\text{val}(\tau_{p,q}, F) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } q \notin F \\ \{\emptyset\} & \text{if } q \in F \text{ \& } p \notin F \\ \{\{\emptyset\}\} & \text{if } p, q \in F \end{cases}$$

The relationship between p, q affects this value.

- E.g.,
- ① if $q \leq p$ & F is a filter, then $\{\emptyset\}$ is impossible.
 - ② if $q = \perp$ & $F \neq \emptyset$ is a filter, then \emptyset is impossible.
 - ③ if $p \perp q$ & F is a filter, then $\{\{\emptyset\}\}$ is imp.

The extension of a model M by F

is

$$M[F] := \{ \text{val}(\tau, F); \tau \in \text{Name}^{\mathbb{P}} \cap M \}$$

Goals :
Find
conditions
on F s.t.

- ① $M[F]$ is a true model
- ② $M \subseteq M[F]$
- ③ $F \in M[F]$
- ④ $M[F] \models ZFC$.

Lecture
X

This is going to be the main
result of this lecture course
and will take several lectures.