

XV

Fifteenth Lecture of AUTOMATA & FORMAL LANGUAGES

8 November 2023

Concepts from Lecture XIV:

REGISTER MACHINE

CONFIGURATION

M TRANSFORMS C INTO C'

COMPUTATION SEQUENCE $C(k, M, \vec{w})$

HALTING

$$M = (\Sigma, Q, P) \\ (q, \vec{w})$$

§4.2 Performing operations & answering questions

Partial functions

Function

$$f: X \rightarrow Y$$

$$\text{dom}(f) = X \\ \text{ran}(f) \subseteq Y$$

Partial function

$$f: X \dashrightarrow Y$$

$$\text{dom}(f) \subseteq X \\ \text{ran}(f) \subseteq Y$$

OUR NOTATION FOR
PARTIAL FUNCTIONS

We write $f(x) \downarrow$ for $x \in \text{dom}(f)$ CONVERGES
 $f(x) \uparrow$ for $x \notin \text{dom}(f)$ DIVERGES

How can we think of RM M as a partial function?

Call a partial fu
 $F: W^{u+1} \dashrightarrow W^{u+1}$ an
operation

Define

$$F_M: W^{u+1} \dashrightarrow W^{u+1}$$

$F_M(\vec{w}) \uparrow$ iff M does not halt
on input $\vec{w}$

$F_M(\vec{w}) \downarrow = \vec{v}$ iff M halts on input
 $\vec{w}$ and $\vec{v}$ is the
register content
at time of
halting

Definition

M performs $F: W^{u+1} \dashrightarrow W^{u+1}$
if $F = F_M$.

Example 1

Operation:

NEVER HALT

$$F: W^{u+1} \dashrightarrow W^{u+1}$$

$$\text{dom}(F) = \emptyset$$

This is performed by

$$q_s \mapsto \tau(0, a, q_s)$$

- Remark
1. By the Padding Lemma, there are ∞ many strongly equivalent machines performing F , but there are also lots of them producing very diff. computation sequences.
 2. We have to commit to use a concrete one.

Example 2

ALWAYS HALT, DON'T
CHANGE INPUT

$$F = \text{id} : W^{u+1} \longrightarrow W^{u+1}$$

$$q_s \longmapsto ? (0, \varepsilon, q_H, q_H)$$

ANSWERING QUESTIONS

A question with $k+1$ answers is a partition of W^{n+1} into $k+1$ disjoint sets $A_0, \dots, A_k$. E.g., the question "does the second register end with a ?" is the partition $A_0 := \{\vec{w}; \exists v(w_2 = va)\}$ and $A_1 := W^{n+1} \setminus A_0$. A register machine M answers a question with $k+1$ answers if it has $k+1$ designated answer states $\hat{q}_0, \dots, \hat{q}_k$ and for each $\vec{w}$, the computation of M with input $\vec{w}$ produces in finitely many steps a configuration $(\hat{q}_i, \vec{w})$ if and only if $\vec{w} \in A_i$.

Question partition

$$W^{n+1} = \bigcup_{l \leq k} A_l$$

ANSWER SETS

Answering a question with $k+1$ answers:

$k+1$ ANSWER STATES $\hat{q}_i$

M answers $(A_l; l \leq k)$ if on input $\vec{w}$ it produces in finitely many steps a configuration

$$(\hat{q}_i, \vec{w})$$

$$\iff \vec{w} \in A_i$$

the same as input

Important: answering the question should not destroy the input.

Example 3

Is register i empty?

Two answers YES: $A_0 := \{\vec{w}; w_i = \varepsilon\}$
NO: $A_1 := \{\vec{w}; w_i \neq \varepsilon\}$

$q_s \mapsto ?(i, \varepsilon, \hat{q}_0, \hat{q}_1)$.

Example 4

Does register i end in a ?

As before:

YES $A_0 := \{\vec{w}; \exists w (w_i = wa)\}$
 $A_1 := W^{u+1} \setminus A_0$

$q_s \mapsto ?(i, a, \hat{q}_0, \hat{q}_1)$.

THE SUBROUTINE LEMMA (L4.6)

If M performs F and M' performs F' ,
then there is a machine $\hat{M}$ performing $F' \circ F$.

Proof

W.l.o.g., assume $Q \cap Q' = \emptyset$.

Let P^* be P with all occurrences of $q_{\#}$
replaced by q_s , removing $P(q_{\#})$.

$\hat{Q} := Q \cup Q' \setminus \{q_{\#}\}$; $\hat{P} := P^* \cup P'$; $\hat{M} = (\Sigma, \hat{Q}, \hat{P})$.
q.e.d.

IMPORTANT REMARK

The subroutine lemma does not just show existence, but gives an algorithm to produce $\hat{M}$ on the basis of M, M' .

The same applies to the CASE
DISTINCTION LEMMA proved on
the next page.

Lemma 4.7 (Case Distinction Lemma). Let $Q = \{A_i; i \leq k\}$ be a question with $k+1$ answers and $f_i : W^{n+1} \dashrightarrow W^{n+1}$ be operations for $i \leq k$. If Q is answered by a register machine $M = (\Sigma, Q, P)$ and f_i is performed by $M_i := (\Sigma, Q_i, P_i)$ (for $i \leq k$), then we can construct a register machine that performs the operation defined by $g(\vec{w}) := f_i(\vec{w})$ if and only if $\vec{w} \in A_i$.

M answers $(A_i; i \leq k)$.

M_i performs $f_i : W^{n+1} \dashrightarrow W^{n+1}$

$g(\vec{w}) := f_i(\vec{w})$ iff $\vec{w} \in A_i$

Then g is performed by a machine.

Proof. W.l.o.g., $Q \cap Q_i = \emptyset$ for all i
 $Q_i \cap Q_j = \{q_H\}$

Also assume $P_i(q_H) = P_j(q_H) \forall i, j$.

Take P^* to be P where q_i is replaced by q_H [the start state of M_i]

$\hat{Q} := Q \cup \bigcup_{i \leq k} Q_i$ $\hat{P} := P^* \cup \bigcup_{i \leq k} P_i$

$\hat{M} := (\Sigma, \hat{Q}, \hat{P})$ performs g .
 q.e.d.

Example 5

$$F(\vec{w}) := \begin{cases} \vec{w} & w_i \neq \varepsilon \\ \uparrow & w_i = \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

↑ "undefined"

can be performed by RM as follows:

Check **WHETHER ε IS EMPTY;**
if so, **HALT W/O CHANGES;**
otherwise, **NEVER HALT.**

VERY IMPORTANT REMARK

This is not an informal hand-waving description, but a concrete instruction that leads to a unique machine.

Note that many choices are involved, but they are fixed in our set-up.

↑
up to notation
(= isomorphic)

This looks like natural language, but the pink references make it precise.

LOTS OF EXAMPLES

6. REMOVE FINAL LETTER IN i
(IF EXISTS)

$$q_s \mapsto - (i, q_H, q_H)$$

7. EMPTY REG. i

$$q_s \mapsto - (i, q_H, q_s)$$

8. ADD a to REG i

$$q_s \mapsto + (i, a, q_H)$$

9. ADD $w \in W$ to REG i

$$w = a_0 \dots a_n$$

Perform ADD a_0 to REG i (8.)

ADD a_1 to REG i (8.)

$\vdots$

ADD a_n to REG i (8.)

and use the subroutine lemma.

10.

What is the final letter of i ?
(if any)

Question with $k+2$ answers if

$$\Sigma = \{a_0, \dots, a_k\}.$$

$$A_\ell := \{\vec{w}; \exists v (w_i = v a_\ell)\} \quad \ell \leq k$$

$$A_{k+1} := \{\vec{w}; w_i = \epsilon\}$$

Cascade Ex. 4 as follows:

Does i end in a_0 $\xrightarrow{\text{YES}}$ $\hat{q}_0$

$\downarrow$ NO

Does i end in a_1 $\xrightarrow{\text{YES}}$ $\hat{q}_1$

$\downarrow$ NO

$\vdots$

$\downarrow$ NO

Does i end in a_k $\xrightarrow{\text{YES}}$ $\hat{q}_k$

$\downarrow$ NO

$\hat{q}_{k+1}$

An iteration of k
many applications of
the case distinction
lemma.