

Part I of
the Mathematical
Tripos



Automata & Formal Languages

MICHAELMAS TERM 2023
FIRST LECTURE : 6 October 2023

This course is about
THEORY OF COMPUTATION

Typical questions

What mathematical
question can be answered
by computation?

How fast can you compute
the solution?

Not a major theme of
the course, but shows
up from time to time.



Automata & Formal Languages
Michaelmas Term 2023
Part I of the Mathematical Tripos
University of Cambridge
Prof. Dr. R. Linn

Automata & Formal Languages

Contents

1	Formal Languages & Grammars	2
1.1	Notation & preliminaries	2
1.2	Rewrite systems	4
1.3	Relation to actual languages	4
1.4	Grammars	6
1.5	The Chomsky hierarchy	9
1.6	Decision problems	11
1.7	Closure properties	13
1.8	A comment on the empty word	16
2	Regular languages	18
2.1	Understanding regular derivations	18
2.2	Deterministic automata	19
2.3	Nondeterministic automata	22
2.4	The pumping lemma for regular languages	24
2.5	Closure properties	26
2.6	Regular expressions	27
2.7	Minimisation of deterministic automata	30
2.8	Decision problems	32
3	Context-free languages	35
3.1	Parse trees	35
3.2	Chomsky normal form	37
3.3	The pumping lemma for context-free languages	40
3.4	Closure properties	42
3.5	Decision problems	42
4	Computability theory	44
4.1	Register machines	44
4.2	Performing operations and answering questions	47
4.3	Computable functions & sets	50
4.4	The shortlex ordering and its computability	52
4.5	Church's recursive functions	54
4.6	Remark on the choice of alphabet	58

Slightly more precisely:

DECISION PROBLEMS

Domain D of objects

Property P of elements of D ,
i.e., $P \subseteq D$.

Q^P Can you decide whether $x \in P$?

Note that the answering mechanism for deciding Q^P could be nonuniform (i.e., depend on x).

Therefore, we are more interested in uniform solutions:

Q_x^P Is $x \in P$?

Is there a uniform way with input x to solve Q_x^P ?

DECISION PROBLEM
FOR PROPERTY P

"uniform way"
is interpreted
as ALGORITHM

/
SOLVABLE
YES

UNSOLVABLE
NO

Example 1

$$\mathcal{D} := \mathbb{N}$$

$$\mathcal{P} := \{ n \in \mathcal{D}; n \text{ is not prime} \}$$

BRUTE FORCE algorithm is a solution to \mathcal{P}

Example 2

$$\mathcal{D} := \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$$

$$\mathcal{P} := \{ A \in \mathcal{D};$$

$$\exists B \quad A \cdot B = 1 \}$$

Linear Algebra says:

$$A \in \mathcal{P} \iff \text{rk}(A) = n.$$

And we have good algorithm to determine $\text{rk}(A)$.

Remark. Note that we solved these without even defining the word "algorithm".

David Hilbert



Hilbert in 1912

Born	23 January 1862 Königsberg or Wehlau, Prussia
Died	14 February 1943 (aged 81) Göttingen, Nazi Germany

1900

International Congress of
Mathematicians
in Paris

Hilbert : Mathematical
Problems
("for the 20th
century")

HILBERT 10.

10. DETERMINATION OF THE SOLVABILITY OF A DIOPHANTINE EQUATION.

Given a diophantine equation with any number of unknown quantities and with rational integral numerical coefficients : To devise a process according to which it can be determined by a finite number of operations whether the equation is solvable in rational integers.

Is there an algorithm such that
on input $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
gives whether $p \in P := \{ q_j ; \exists \bar{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, q_j(\bar{z}) = 0 \}$

David Hilbert



Hilbert in 1912

Born	23 January 1862 Königsberg or Wehlau, Prussia
Died	14 February 1943 (aged 81) Göttingen, Nazi Germany

ENTScheidungsproblem

=
genauer für "decision
problem"

Wilhelm Ackermann



Wilhelm Ackermann in c. 1935

Born	29 March 1896 Herscheid, German Empire
Died	24 December 1962 (aged 66) Lüdenscheid, West Germany
Nationality	German

§ 12. Das Entscheidungsproblem.

Aus den Überlegungen des vorigen Paragraphen ergibt sich die grund-sätzliche Wichtigkeit des Problems, bei einer vorgelegten Formel des Prädikatenkalküls zu erkennen, ob es sich um eine identische Formel handelt oder nicht. Nach der in § 5 gegebenen Definition bedeutet die Identität einer Formel dasselbe wie die Allgemeingültigkeit der Formel für jeden Individuenbereich. Man pflegt deswegen auch von dem *Problem der Allgemeingültigkeit* einer Formel zu sprechen. Genauer müßte man statt Allgemeingültigkeit Allgemeingültigkeit für jeden Individuenbereich sagen. Die identischen Formeln des Prädikatenkalküls sind nach den Ausführungen des § 10 gerade die Formeln, die aus dem Axiomensystem des § 5 sich ableiten lassen. Zu einer Lösung des Problems der Allgemeingültigkeit vermag uns diese Tatsache nicht zu helfen, da wir kein allgemeines Kriterium für die Ableitbarkeit einer Formel haben.

\mathcal{D} : set of formulas

$\mathcal{P} := \{ \varphi \mid \varphi \text{ is a tautology} \}$

= valid
= provable

[Details will require definitions from the
Part II Logic &
Set Theory Course]



1928 : "The Old Testament
of Logic"

It'll turn out that both Hilbert 10
& Entscheidungsproblem are
both unsolvable.

Asymmetry between

POSITIVE solutions

only need to be able to recognize
an algorithm when we see it

and

NEGATIVE solutions

need a definition of "algorithm".

This lecture course is about:

- precise definition of algorithm
- how to prove negative results

NEGATIVE RESULTS: ENTScheidungsproblem



Alan TURING
1912-1954



Alonzo CHURCH
1903-1995

230

A. M. TURING

[Nov. 15,

ON COMPUTABLE NUMBERS, WITH AN APPLICATION TO
THE ENTSCHEIDUNGSPROBLEM

By A. M. TURING.

(Received 28 May, 1936.—Read 12 November, 1936.)

The "computable" numbers may be described briefly as the real numbers whose expressions as a decimal are calculable by finite means. Although the subject of this paper is ostensibly the computable numbers, it is almost equally easy to define and investigate computable functions of an integral variable or a real or computable variable, computable predicates, and so forth. The fundamental problems involved are, however, the same in each case, and I have chosen the computable numbers for explicit treatment as involving the least numerous technique. I hope shortly to give an account of the relations of the computable numbers, functions, and so forth to one another. This will include a development of the theory of functions of a real variable expressed in terms of computable numbers. According to my definition, a number is computable if its decimal can be written down by a machine.

There is no algorithm to solve the Entscheidungsproblem.

HILBERT 10

There is no algorithm to determine whether a given polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has an integer root.



Martin DAVIS
born 1928



Yuri MATIYASEVICH
born 1947



Julia ROBINSON
1919-1985



Hilary PUTNAM
1926-2016

Most general setting:

STRINGS OF SYMBOLS

Fix a finite set Ω of SYMBOLS and let Ω^* be the set of finite strings of sets of Ω . Then $\mathcal{D} := \Omega^*$ is the general form of root decision problems.

So, it's a general enough to capture all of the root example.

This is most general since computing requires in working memory, i.e., as a finite string of finite objects.

Ex. 1 Natural numbers written as strings of digits.

Ex. 2 Rationals are $\pm a_0 \dots a_k / b_0 \dots b_l$
Then $A \in \Omega^{2 \times 2}$ is just
 $\pm a_0 \dots a_k / b_0 \dots b_l \square \pm a_0 \dots a_k / b_0 \dots b_l$

Ex. 3 Polynomials are finite strings of their coefficients

Ex. 4 Formulas are finite strings of symbols.

NOTATION & REMINDER

(1) $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ 0 is included in \mathbb{N}

$$n = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$$

(2) For any set X , we write

X^n for the sequence / tuples / strings of length n of elements of X

(3) In particular, X^0 consists only of the empty string. (EPSILON).

We call this ϵ (EPSILON).

(4) Note that if $\alpha \in X^n$, α is a function with $\text{dom}(\alpha) = n$
 $\text{ran}(\alpha) \subseteq X$.

(5) If $\alpha \in X^n$ and $k \leq n$, then

$$\alpha \upharpoonright k \in X^k$$

is the unique initial segment of α of length k .

(6) $|\alpha| := \text{dom}(\alpha)$.

length of α .

(7) $X^* := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X^n$ The set of finite X -sequences

(8) Concatenation :
 $\alpha \in X^n, \beta \in X^m$ we can
 define $\alpha\beta \in X^{n+m}$ by -

$$\alpha\beta(k) := \begin{cases} \alpha(k) & k < n \\ \beta(k-n) & k = n+l \text{ with } l < m \end{cases}$$

(9) Slightly incorrectly, I'll write
 x for the longer one seq.
 with value x.
 This means that αx is just

$$\underbrace{a_0 \dots a_n}_=\alpha x.$$