

FCH XII

Twelfth Lecture of Forcing & CH
4 March 2025

RECAP FT FORCING THEOREM

$$M[G] \models \varphi \iff \exists p \in G \ p \Vdash \varphi$$

SynFT

SYNTACTIC FORCING THEOREM

$$M[G] \models \varphi \iff \exists p \in G \ M \models p \Vdash^* \varphi$$

DT

DEFINABILITY THEOREM

$$p \Vdash \varphi \iff p \Vdash^* \varphi$$

GMT

GENERIC MODEL THEOREM

$$M[G] \models ZFC$$

Already done

$$FT \implies GMT$$

$$SynFT \implies DT$$

$$SynFT + DT \implies FT$$

So, remains to show:

SynFT

Equality

$$p \Vdash^* \tau_0 = \tau_1 \iff \forall (\pi, s) \in \tau_0 \ \exists (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1 \ \{ q \leq p \mid q \leq s_0 \rightarrow \exists (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1 \ (q \leq s_1 \wedge q \Vdash^* \tau_0 = \pi_1) \}$$

is dense below p and $\forall (\pi, s) \in \tau_1 \ \exists (\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0 \ \{ q \leq p \mid q \leq s_1 \rightarrow \exists (\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0 \ (q \leq s_0 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1) \}$

is dense below p.

Proof of SynFT:

(=)

Proof by induction on name rank

(\in)

Proof from =

Element

$$p \Vdash^* \tau_0 \in \tau_1 \iff \{ q \mid \exists (\pi, s) \in \tau_1 \ (q \leq s \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi = \tau_0) \}$$

is dense below p.

$\neg, \wedge, \exists$

Proof by induction on formula complexity

$\neg$ done ✓

$\wedge, \exists$ ES#3

$$p \Vdash^* \varphi(\vec{t}) \wedge \psi(\vec{t}) \iff p \Vdash^* \varphi(\vec{t}) \text{ and } p \Vdash^* \psi(\vec{t})$$

$$p \Vdash^* \neg \varphi(\vec{t}) \iff \forall q \leq p \ q \not \Vdash^* \varphi(\vec{t})$$

$$p \Vdash^* \exists x \varphi(x, \vec{t}) \iff \{ r \mid \exists \sigma \ r \Vdash^* \varphi(\sigma, \vec{t}) \}$$

is dense below p

Syntactic Forcing Theorem

$$M[G] \models \varphi \iff \exists p \in G \quad p \Vdash^* \varphi$$

Element

$$p \Vdash^* \tau_0 \in \tau_1 : \iff$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{q; \exists (\pi, s) \in \tau_1 (q \leq s \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi = \tau_0)\}$$

is dense below p.

Assume SyntFT for "="
and prove it for "∈".

" $\Rightarrow$ ". Assume that $M[G] \models \tau_0 \in \tau_1$.

i.e. $\text{val}(\tau_0, G) \in \text{val}(\tau_1, G)$.

Thus, there is $(\pi, s) \in \tau_1$ s.t. $\text{val}(\pi, G) = \text{val}(\tau_0, G)$
and $s \in G$.

So, by SyntFT for "=", find $r \in G$ s.t.
 $r \Vdash^* \pi = \tau_0$.

$$\updownarrow \\ M[G] \models \pi = \tau_0$$

Find $p \leq r, s$ s.t. $p \in G$.

Claim that $\mathcal{D}$ is dense below p. Let $q \in \mathcal{D}$ and
pick the above (π, s) . Then we have $q \leq p \leq s$
and $q \Vdash^* \pi = \tau_0$
(since $q \leq p \leq r$).

" $\Leftarrow$ ". Assume $p \in G$ $p \Vdash^* \tau_0 \in \tau_1$, i.e.,

$\mathcal{D}$ is dense below p.

By Lemma (iv), find $q \in G \cap \mathcal{D}$, then there
is $(\pi, s) \in \tau_1$ s.t.

$$q \leq s, \quad q \Vdash^* \pi = \tau_0.$$

Since $q \in G, s \in G$, so
 $\text{val}(\pi, G) \in \text{val}(\tau_1, G)$

By SyntFT for "=", have
 $\text{val}(\pi, G) = \text{val}(\tau_0, G)$.

$\Rightarrow \text{val}(\tau_0, G) \in \text{val}(\tau_1, G)$. q.e.d.

LEMMA G IP-generic / M ; $E \subseteq P, E \subseteq M$

- (i) If E is dense below p and $q \leq p$,
then E is dense below q .
- (ii) If $\{r; E \text{ is dense below } r\}$ is dense
below p , then E is dense below p .
- (iii) Either $G \cap E \neq \emptyset$ or there is $q \in G$
s.t. $\forall r \in E \quad r \perp q$.
- (iv) If $p \in G$ and E is dense below p ,
then $G \cap E \neq \emptyset$.

Equality

$$\text{SyFT: } M[G] \models \tau_0 = \tau_1 \iff \exists p \in G$$

$$p \Vdash^* \tau_0 = \tau_1.$$

$$p \Vdash^* \tau_0 = \tau_1 \iff \forall (\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0$$

$$D_0 = \{ q \leq p \mid q \leq s_0 \rightarrow \exists (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1 \\ (q \leq s_1 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1) \}$$

is dense below p and $\forall (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1$

$$D_1 = \{ q \leq p \mid q \leq s_1 \rightarrow \exists (\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0 \\ (q \leq s_0 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1) \}$$

is dense below p .

We prove this by induction on name rank,

so assume

that SyFT for " $=$ " is true for names τ_0, τ_1 with smaller name rank.

" $\Leftarrow$ ". Assume $p \in G$ s.t.

$$p \Vdash^* \tau_0 = \tau_1. \text{ To prove } \text{val}(\tau_0, G) = \text{val}(\tau_1, G).$$

We'll show that the fact that D_0 is dense below p implies

CLAIM $\text{val}(\tau_0, G) \subseteq \text{val}(\tau_1, G).$

The other direction is the same proof with 0/1 flipped.

Proof of Claim. Let $x \in \text{val}(\tau_0, G)$, so find $(\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0$ s.t. $s_0 \in G$ and $x = \text{val}(\pi_0, G)$. So, the corresponding

D_0 is dense below p .

Find $q \leq s_0, p$ s.t. $q \in G$. Then D_0 is dense below q .

So find $r \leq q$ s.t. $r \in G \cap D_0$. [Note that $r \leq q \leq s_0 \Rightarrow r \leq s_0$]

Since $r \in D_0$ & $r \leq s_0$, find $(\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1$ s.t.

$$r \leq s_1 \wedge r \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1.$$

By IH, $r \in G$ & $r \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1$ implies

$$x = \text{val}(\pi_0, G) = \text{val}(\pi_1, G) \in \text{val}(\tau_1, G) \\ [\text{since } (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1 \text{ \& } s_1 \in G]$$

Equality

SyFT

$$\text{val}(\tau_0, G) = \text{val}(\tau_1, G)$$

$\iff$

$$p \Vdash^* \tau_0 = \tau_1 \iff \forall (\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0$$

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \{ q \leq p \mid q \leq s_0 \rightarrow \exists (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1 (q \leq s_1 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1) \}$$

is dense below p and $\forall (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1$

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \{ q \leq p \mid q \leq s_1 \rightarrow \exists (\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0 (q \leq s_0 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1) \}$$

is dense below p .

" $\implies$ ". Assume $\text{val}(\tau_0, G) = \text{val}(\tau_1, G)$.

Consider the set

$$\mathcal{D} := \{ r \mid r \Vdash^* \tau_0 = \tau_1 \text{ OR}$$

Φ_r^0

$$\exists (\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0 (r \leq s_0 \wedge \forall (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1 \forall q ((q \leq s_1 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1) \rightarrow q \perp r))$$

OR

Φ_r^1

$$\exists (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1 (r \leq s_1 \wedge \forall (\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0 \forall q ((q \leq s_0 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1) \rightarrow q \perp r))$$

$\mathcal{D}$ is dense. If $p \Vdash^* \tau_0 = \tau_1$, then $p \in \mathcal{D}$, so nothing to show. If $p \nVdash^* \tau_0 = \tau_1$, then there is dense $\mathcal{D}_0/\mathcal{D}_1$ that fails to be dense below p .

CLAIM 1

We'll show: if some $\mathcal{D}_0$ is not dense below p , find $r \leq p$ s.t. Φ_r^0 holds.

[Other proof: "some $\mathcal{D}_1$ is not dense below p " $\implies \exists r \leq p$ s.t. Φ_r^1 holds" is again flipping O/A in the proof.]

Equality

$$p \Vdash^* \tau_0 = \tau_1 \iff \forall (\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ q \leq p ; q \leq s_0 \rightarrow \exists (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1 (q \leq s_1 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1) \}$$

is dense below p and $\forall (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1$

$$\{ q \leq p ; q \leq s_1 \rightarrow \exists (\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0 (q \leq s_0 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1) \}$$

is dense below p .

We're showing that

Since $\mathcal{D}_0$ is not dense below p

$\Rightarrow \exists r \leq p$ s.t.

Φ_r^0

$$\Phi_r^0 \quad \exists (\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0 [r \leq s_0 \wedge \forall (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1$$

$$\forall q ((q \leq s_1 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1) \rightarrow q \perp r)]$$

Suppose $p \Vdash^* \tau_0 = \tau_1$ and there is $(\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0$ s.t. $\mathcal{D}_0$ is not dense below p .

This means that there is some $r \leq p$ s.t.

$$(*) \quad \forall q \leq r (q \leq s_0 \wedge \forall (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1 \neg (q \leq s_1 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1))$$

$\Rightarrow r \leq s_0$ [Since it holds for all $q \leq r$.]

Fix any $(\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1$ and any q s.t. $q \leq s_1$ and $q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1$. (**)

If there was a common extension of q and r , say q_0 , then by (*) $q_0 \nVdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1$ and by (**), $q_0 \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1$. Contradiction.

Thus $q \perp r$.

[THIS FINISHES THE PROOF OF CLAIM 1 : $\mathcal{D}$ IS DENSE.]

Equality

$$p \Vdash^* \tau_0 = \tau_1 \iff \forall (\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0$$

$$\{q \leq p \mid q \leq s_0 \rightarrow \exists (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1 (q \leq s_1 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1)\}$$

is dense below p and $\forall (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1$

$$\{q \leq p \mid q \leq s_1 \rightarrow \exists (\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0 (q \leq s_0 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1)\}$$

is dense below p .

$$\Phi_r^0 := \exists (\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0 (r \leq s_0 \wedge \forall (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1 \forall q (q \leq s_1 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1) \rightarrow q \perp r)$$

Summary

We now have that $\mathcal{D}$ is dense.

$$\mathcal{D} = \{r \mid r \Vdash^* \tau_0 = \tau_1 \text{ or } \Phi_r^0 \text{ or } \Phi_r^1\}$$

Claim 2

If $r \in G$, then neither Φ_r^0 nor Φ_r^1 holds.

Proof of Claim 2

[Again, we only show $\neg \Phi_r^0$; the other proof is flipping 0/1.]

If Φ_r^0 holds, then find $(\pi_0, s_0) \in \tau_0$ s.t. $r \leq s_0$

and $\forall (\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1 \forall q ((q \leq s_1 \wedge q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1) \rightarrow q \perp r)$

Since we assumed $r \in G$, we obtain $s_0 \in G$ (since $r \leq s_0$), so $\text{val}(\pi_0, G) \in \text{val}(\tau_0, G)$.

We use our assumption $\text{val}(\tau_0, G) = \text{val}(\tau_1, G)$ to obtain

$(\pi_1, s_1) \in \tau_1$ with $s_1 \in G$ s.t. $\text{val}(\pi_0, G) = \text{val}(\pi_1, G)$.

Applying IIT to $\text{val}(\pi_0, G) = \text{val}(\pi_1, G)$, we get $q_0 \in G$ s.t. $q_0 \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1$.

Now find $q \leq q_0, s_1, q \in G$. Since $q \leq q_0$, we have $q \Vdash^* \pi_0 = \pi_1$.

$q \leq s_1 + \text{orange star} \Rightarrow q \perp r$.

But both $q, r \in G$. Contradiction!

[Finishes the proof of Claim 2.]

Put everything together:

Since $\mathcal{D}$ is dense by Clause 1, find

$$r \in \mathcal{D} \cap G.$$

Therefore, by Clause 2, Φ_r^0, Φ_r^1 do not hold.

$$\text{Thus } r \Vdash^* \tau_0 = \tau_1.$$

q.e.d.
(Squashy Forcing Theorem)

Remark So, now we know that if
$$TP = \text{Fn}(N_2^M \times 2, N_0)$$

and G is TP -generic over M , then
 $M[G] \models \text{ZFC}$ + there is an injection
from N_2^M into $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$.

This is only CH, if $\mathcal{P}_1^M = \mathcal{P}_1^{M[G]}$ and
 $\mathcal{P}_2^M = \mathcal{P}_2^{M[G]}$.

The following lemmas will establish that.