

LARGE CARDINALS

XVI

LECTIO
ULTIMA
16 March 2022

LOOSE ENDS

- ① Large cardinals & GCH
- ② Witness objects
- ③ The ~~analogous~~ inaccessible above

① Large cardinals & GCH

Theorem (Scott) If κ is measurable and GCH holds below κ ($\forall \mu < \kappa \ 2^\mu = \mu^+$)
then $\kappa^+ = 2^\kappa$.

Proof. If GCH holds below κ , then by elementarity,
 $M \models$ GCH holds below $j(\kappa)$

$$M \models 2^\kappa = \kappa^+$$

By our analysis, we know $\forall \kappa \leq \kappa \subseteq M$, so $j(\kappa) \subseteq M$ and thus $\kappa^+ = (\kappa^+)^M = (2^\kappa)^M = 2^\kappa$.
q.e.d.

We'll improve this for supercompact cardinals:

Prop. If κ is γ -supercompact card μ is
such that $\mu \leq \gamma$ card GCH holds
below κ , then $2^\mu = \mu^+$.

Proof. Essentially the same proof if $\gamma \leq j(\kappa)$.

By our proof of Kunen's inconsistency, we
can w.l.o.g. assume that $\gamma \leq j(\kappa)$:

we saw that for any j , j is not κ -super-
compact, so we know that there is some
 κ s.t. $\gamma < \kappa_\alpha$ (the iterate of j
on κ)

So we can work with the iterate
of j instead which move κ beyond
 γ .

Then we give Scott's argument:

Because GCH holds below κ ,

$M \models$ GCH holds below $j(\kappa)$

$$\Rightarrow M \models \mu^+ = 2^\mu.$$

Use γ -supercompactness to see that $\mathcal{P}(W)^M$
 $= \mathcal{P}(W)$.
q.e.d.

② Witness objects

Fundamental theorem : TFAE

(i) $\exists j: \underline{V_\lambda} \xrightarrow{\in V_{\lambda+1}} M$ elementary $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$

(ii) $\exists U$ κ -complete κ -normal on κ
of

Observation The power of the fundamental theorem is that the elementarity is witnessed by an object in $V_{\kappa+2}$.

This is used in **REFLECTION ARGUMENTS**

E.g. if κ is 2-strong, then κ is the κ -th measurable

This uses the fact that measurability is witnessed by something in $V_{\kappa+2}$ which is preserved by the (2-strong) embedding.

? Witness objects for \leq supercompactness
strong compactness

WITNESS OBJECTS FOR SUPERCOMPACTNESS

22.7 Theorem (Solovay, Reinhardt). *If $\kappa \leq \gamma$, κ is γ -supercompact iff there is a normal ultrafilter over $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\gamma$.* \dashv

One observation that can now be made is that supercompactness does not entail the existence of greater large cardinals:

22.8 Exercise.

(a) *If κ is supercompact and $\lambda > \kappa$ is inaccessible, then $V_{\lambda} \models \kappa$ is supercompact.*

(b) *If $\text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \exists \kappa (\kappa \text{ is supercompact}))$, then $\text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \exists \kappa (\kappa \text{ is supercompact} \wedge \neg \exists \lambda (\lambda > \kappa \wedge \lambda \text{ is inaccessible}))$.* \dashv

KANAMORI
THE
HIGHER
INFINITE

$$\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\gamma := \{ X \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma); |X| \leq \kappa \}$$

Where does an ultrafilter on $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\gamma$ live?

in $V_{\gamma+2}$.

So Theorem 22.7 is like the Fundamental Theorem: it reduces a statement about elementarity to a statement about objects in $V_{\gamma+2}$.

This allows us to show (Exercise 2.8) that

the assumption

$\exists \kappa \exists \lambda \quad \kappa < \lambda$ and κ has property Φ and λ is strictly inaccessible

is STRICTLY STRONGER than Φ .

WITNESS OBJECTS FOR STRONGLY COMPACT CARDINALS.

Def. A cardinal κ is called γ -compact if there is a (so called) fine ultrafilter on $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}\gamma$.

KANAMORI:

22.17 Theorem. If $\kappa \leq \gamma$, the following are equivalent:

- (a) κ is γ -compact.
- (b) There is a $j: V \prec M$ with $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$ such that: for any $X \subseteq M$ with $|X| \leq \gamma$, there is a $Y \in M$ such that $Y \supseteq X$ and $M \models |Y| < j(\kappa)$.
- (c) For any set S , every κ -complete filter over S generated by at most $|\gamma|$ sets can be extended to a κ -complete ultrafilter over S .

Reverse direction of the Keisler-Tarski theorem:

Let κ be a cardinal. If for any set S , every κ -complete filter over S can be extended to a κ -complete ultrafilter over S , then κ is strongly compact.

Proof. Kanamori, *The Higher Infinite*, p. 37 (Proposition 4.1):

Suppose now that $\Sigma = \{\sigma_\alpha \mid \alpha < \lambda\}$ is a κ -satisfiable collection of $L_{\kappa\kappa}$ sentences. Recall that $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda = \{x \subseteq \lambda \mid |x| < \kappa\}$. For any $x \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$, let \mathcal{M}_x be a structure for the language of Σ so that $\mathcal{M}_x \models \bigwedge_{\sigma_\alpha \in x} \sigma_\alpha$. With the availability of \mathcal{M}_λ we can assume that $\lambda \geq \kappa$. As

$$\{(x \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda \mid y \subseteq x) \mid y \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda\}$$

generates a κ -complete filter over $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$ by the regularity of κ , let U be a κ -complete ultrafilter over $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$ extending this filter. Consider the ultraproduct $\mathcal{M} = \prod_{\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda} \mathcal{M}_x / U$. It is straightforward to check that, essentially by the same proof as for $L_{\omega\omega}$, Łoś's Theorem 0.6 holds for $L_{\kappa\kappa}$ and ultraproducts by κ -complete ultrafilters. Since for any $\alpha < \lambda$,

Witness objects for strong cardinals:

EXTENDERS

A (κ, γ) -extender is a family of
ultrafilters

$$\{E_a; a \subseteq \gamma \text{ finite}\}$$

with certain coherence properties.

These extenders can be used as witness
objects for strong embeddings.

→ § 26 Kanamori.

③ The annoying inaccessible...

FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM TFAE

(i) $\exists j: V_\lambda \rightarrow M$ elem. cut(j) = κ

(ii) $\exists U$ κ -complete nontrivial uf. on κ

In order to remove the inaccessible, we'd like to
be able to express in a model of set theory
that there is an elementary embedding.

If we are working in a fixed model
 $V \models ZFC$

then both J and M would be proper classes and therefore we cannot quantify over ~~the~~ them.

If V is a model of set theory that is a "set" in our meta-set theory, then its classes are just $\mathcal{P}(V)$
↑ meta power set.

Many classes that matter in practice are definable: if φ is a formula with $n+1$ free variables and $\vec{x} \in V^n$, then we can apply meta-separation to get

$$C_{\varphi, \vec{x}} := \{x \in V; V \models \varphi(x, \vec{x})\}$$

There are $|V|$ definable classes over V , but $2^{|V|}$ classes over V .

Reformulation of the FUNDAMENTAL THM
in terms of definable classes as a
meta-theorem:

TTAE

(i) there is φ and \vec{x} s.t. $C_{\varphi, \vec{x}}$ is
an elem. embedding with crit. pt. κ

(ii) $\exists U$ κ -complete nontrivial over K

Infinitely many formulas.

Alternatively:

This could be done in a
first-order way in some
standard class theory

(NBG:
von Neumann-Bernays-
Gödel)

Now to the proof of this new version of the
FUNDAMENTAL THM:

(i) \implies (ii) Works exactly as before, replacing
all references to j by appropriate
terms involving φ and \vec{x} .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i)

Φ

We'd like a formula using \cup as a parameter s.t.

$$x \in M \iff \Phi(x)$$

\iff x is the image of the Mostowski collapse of some

$$[f]_{\cup}$$

where $f: \kappa \rightarrow V$.

[Note that by replacement, $f \in V$.]

However, $[f]_{\cup}$ is not an element of V :
if f is any function and $x \in V$ is any set then

$$f_x : \alpha \mapsto \begin{cases} x & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \\ f(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

with $f_x \sim_{\cup} f$, but the ranks of the f_x are unbounded in V .

Solution

Scott's Trick

Consider the class

$$[f]_0 \subseteq V$$

find a minimal set. $[f]_0 \cap V_\alpha \neq \emptyset$
 $\text{scott}(f)$

Clearly if $f \neq g$, then

$$\text{scott}(f) \cap \text{scott}(g) = \emptyset.$$

→ Our formula Φ is:

$\Phi(x) \iff x$ is the Mostowski collapse of some $\text{scott}(f)$ for some $f: K \rightarrow V$.

REVISION EXAMPLE CLASS

20 MAY 2022

3:30 - 5:30 pm
MR9