

INFINITE GAMES

Lecture XIII

Leut 2021 • 19 February 2021

TREES

$$T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$$

ill-founded: $[T] + \emptyset$

well-founded: $[T] = \emptyset$

$$\text{bij. } \mathbb{N} \leftrightarrow \omega^{<\omega} \\ \{s_i\}_{i \in \omega}\}$$

$$F_T := \{i \in \omega; s_i \in T\} \\ R_T := \{(i, j); s_i \geq s_j\}$$

RELATIONS ON \mathbb{N}

$$F \subseteq \omega$$

$$R \subseteq F \times F$$

$$A = (F, R) \quad \begin{cases} \text{ill-fouded} \\ \text{well-fouded} \end{cases}$$

ORDINALS

If (F, R) is wellfdd.,
there is $\alpha < \omega_1$ s.t.
 $\text{rk}: F \rightarrow \alpha$
is an order preserving
map.

$$\text{rk}(i) = \sup \{ \text{rk}(j) + 1; j \in R^i \}$$

$$x_A(i, j) := \begin{cases} f(x) := i : j \times (i, i') \neq 0 \\ 1 \quad \text{if } i : R j \\ 0 \quad \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

ELEMENTS OF BAIRE SPACE

$$x \in \omega^\omega$$

Tree Representation for Π_1^1
sets: $A \subseteq \Pi_1^1$ iff

$$\exists T \forall x (x \in A \leftrightarrow [T_x] = \emptyset)$$

Def. $WF \subseteq \omega^\omega$

$WF := \{x \in \omega^\omega; (f\text{ld}(x), R_x) \text{ is wellfounded}\}$

There are rank functions

$$rk : f\text{ld}(x) \longrightarrow \alpha$$

[gives an order preserving map from $f\text{ld}(x)$ into $\alpha =: \text{ht}(f\text{ld}(x), R_x)$]
HEIGHT

If $x \in WF$, $\|x\| := \text{ht}(f\text{ld}(x), R_x)$.

This operation $\|\cdot\| : WF \longrightarrow \omega_1$ is a surjection.

[let $\alpha < \omega_1$. There is some injection

$$f : \alpha \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}.$$

Define $F := \text{ran}(f)$

$$f(\beta) R f(\gamma) : \iff \beta \leq \gamma$$

Then by construction $(F, R) \cong (\alpha, \leq)$.

So if $A := (F, R)$ and $x := x_A$,

then $\|x_A\| = \alpha$.]

Define:

$$WF_\alpha := \{x \in WF; \|x\| = \alpha\}$$

$$WF_{<\alpha} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} WF_\beta$$

$$WF_{\leq \alpha} = \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} WF_\beta$$

Thus WF can be thought of as
STRATIFIED in ω_1 many levels.

Prove WF is \prod_1^1 .

Proof. If $A = (F, R)$ is a relation on N ,
we can define

$y \in \omega^\omega$ is an A -descending seq.

$\forall i \quad y^{(i+1)} R y^{(i)} \wedge y^{(i+1)} \neq y^{(i)}$

$x \in WF \iff \forall y \quad y$ is not an $(\text{fdl}(x), R_x)$ -
descending seq.

$x \notin WF \iff \exists y \quad y$ is a $(\text{fdl}(x), R_x)$ -
descending seq.

$x \notin \text{WF}$

$\iff \exists y$

$\forall i \quad x \cdot (\bar{y}^{(i+1)}, y^{(i)})' \neq 0$
 and $y^{(i+1)} \neq y^{(i)}$

$C := \{(y, x) ; \forall i \quad x \cdot (\bar{y}^{(i+1)}, y^{(i)})' \neq 0$
 and $y^{(i+1)} \neq y^{(i)}\}$

C is closed in $\omega^\omega \times \omega^\omega$

By definition $\omega^\omega \setminus \text{WF}$ is Σ_1^1 .
 Thus WF is Π_1^1 . q.e.d.

General proof technique extracted from Neis:
 If C is $\Sigma_n^1(\omega^\omega \times \omega^\omega)$ then

$x \in A \iff \exists y \quad (y, x) \in C$

then A is $\sum_{n+1}^1 \Pi_{n+1}^1$

EXAMPLE of complexity calculation:

$x \in A \iff \exists y \forall z \dots$

$\sum_{n=1}^1 \Pi_n^1$

Now check the complexity of the sets

$$WF_\alpha, WF_{<\alpha}, WF_{\leq \alpha}. \quad WF_{<\alpha} = WF \cap N_\alpha$$

$x \in WF_{<\alpha} \iff x \in WF$ and there is no
order-preserving map from α
into $(fd(x), R_x)$.

$N_\alpha := \{x; \text{there is no o.p. map from } \alpha \text{ into}$
 $(fd(x), R_x)\}$

Fix some $a \in \omega^\omega$ s.t.

$$(fd(a), R_a) \cong (\alpha, \leq)$$

[we saw that this exists]

$= \{x; \text{there is no o.p. map from}$
 $(fd(a), R_a) \text{ into } (fd(x), R_x)\}$

$= \{x; \forall y$ it is not the case that
 $\exists i \exists j a(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \neq 0 \Rightarrow x(\bar{y(i)}, y(j)) \neq 0$
and

$\forall i \forall j a(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \neq 0 \Rightarrow x(\bar{y(i)}, y(j)) \neq 0\}$

So N_α is \prod_1^1 and thus

$WF_{<\alpha}$ is \prod_1^1 .

Similarly $WF_{\leq \alpha}, WF_\alpha$.

$\text{WF}_{\leq \alpha}$ is also \sum .

$\text{WF}_{\leq \alpha} = \{x_j (\text{fld}(x), R_x) \text{ o.p. maps into } (\text{fld}(a), R_a)\}$

$x \in \text{WF}_{\leq \alpha} \iff \exists y \forall i, j$
 $x(r_{i,j}) \neq 0 \rightarrow a(y^{(i)}, y^{(j)}) \neq 0$

CLOSED

\sum .

SUMMARY

For every $\alpha < \omega_1$, $\text{WF}_\alpha, \text{WF}_{<\alpha}, \text{WF}_{\leq \alpha}$ are \triangle^* !.

EXAMPLE SHEET #2:

\triangle^* = Borel.

Corollary WF can be written as a union of ω_1 many Borel sets.

$$\text{WF} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \text{WF}_\alpha$$

Def. Let Γ be a boldface pointclass.
A set $A \subseteq \omega^\omega$ is called Γ -hard
if for all $B \in \Gamma(\omega^\omega)$ there is
a cts function $f: \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$
s.t. $B = f^{-1}[A]$
A is called Γ -complete if it's
 Γ -hard and $A \in \Gamma(\omega^\omega)$.

Theorem WF is $\tilde{\Pi}_1^1$ -complete.

Prof. Tree representation theorem:
If B is $\tilde{\Pi}_1^1$, there there is a tree
 T s.t.

$\forall x \cdot x \in B \iff T_x \text{ is well-founded}$

$x \mapsto c_{T_x} \in \omega^\omega$ s.t.

$$c_{T_x}(s_i, s_j) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_i, s_j \in T_x \\ & \& s_i \sqsupseteq s_j \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

Consider $x \mapsto C_{T_x}$ and check
that it is continuous:

$$x \mapsto C_{T_x}$$

$$C_{T_x}(i, j) := \begin{cases} 1 & s_i, s_j \in T_x \\ 0 & s_i \not\subseteq s_j \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \cancel{s_i}, \cancel{s_j} \in T_x \\ s_i \supseteq s_j \end{array}$$

o/w

Given i, j how much information about x do I need to determine whether

$$C_{T_x}(i, j) = 0 \text{ or } 1?$$

whether $s_i \supseteq s_j$ does not depend on x at all!

What does $s_i \in T_x$ mean?

$$(s_i, x \upharpoonright \max(l_k(s_i), l_k(s_j))) \in \overline{T}.$$

If I know $x \upharpoonright \max(l_k(s_i), l_k(s_j))$, then I can calculate $C_{T_x}(i, j)$.

So $x \mapsto C_{T_x}$ is continuous.

$$x \in \mathcal{B} \iff [\tau_x] = \emptyset$$

$$\iff \tau_x \text{ is wellfdd}$$

$$\iff c_{\tau_x} \in WF$$

So \mathcal{B} is the cts preimage of WF .
q.e.d.

Corollary WF is not $\tilde{\Sigma}_1^1$.

Proof We know that $\tilde{\Pi}_1^1 \neq \tilde{\Sigma}_1^1$. However,

if $\mathcal{B} \in \tilde{\Pi}_1^1$, arbitrary by completeness
of WF , if WF is $\tilde{\Sigma}_1^1$, \mathcal{B} is $\tilde{\Sigma}_1^1$.

Contradiction!

Corollary Every $\tilde{\Pi}_1^1$ set is an ω_1 -union
of Borel sets.

Proof. $\mathcal{B} \in \tilde{\Pi}_1^1$, find f s.t. $\mathcal{B} = f^{-1}[WF]$

$$\mathcal{B} = f^{-1}\left[\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} WF_\alpha\right]$$

$$= \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} f^{-1}[WF_\alpha] \text{ Borel.}$$