

INFINITE GAMES

Lecture XII

Lect 2021 17 February 2021

Theorem If Γ is a boldface pointclass, then $\text{Det}(\Gamma) \Rightarrow \text{PSP}(\Gamma)$.

$$A \subseteq 2^\omega$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{I} \quad s_0 \quad s_1 \quad \dots \\ \text{II} \quad b_0 \quad b_1 \quad \dots \end{array}$$

$$z = (s_0, b_0, s_1, b_1, \dots)$$

$$G^*(A)$$

$$z^* := s_0 b_0 s_1 b_1 \dots \in 2^\omega$$

Player I wins if $z^* \in A$.

Claim 0 If $A \in \Gamma$, then there is $A^* \in \Gamma$ s.t. $G^*(A)$ & $G(A^*)$ are equivalent.

Claim 1 If I has a w.s. in $G^*(A)$, then A contains a perfect subset.

Claim 2 If II has a w.s. in $G^*(A)$, then A is countable.

$p = (s_0, b_0, \dots, s_n, b_n)$; τ strategy, $s \in 2^{<\omega}$

$$\rightarrow p \tau := (s_0, b_0, \dots, s_n, b_n, s, \tau(\dots))$$

$x \in 2^\omega$; p is τ -decisive for x if $p^x \subseteq x$, but for all $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ $p \tau^* \not\subseteq x$.

Subclaim 2a If τ is winning for II, then for each $x \in A$ there is p that is τ -decisive for x .

Subclaim 2b Every p is τ -decisive for at most one $x \in 2^\omega$.

Conclusion of Subclaims 2a & 2b:

$$f: A \longrightarrow \text{Pos}$$

$$x \longmapsto$$

the unique p s.t. p is τ -decisive for x

So that implies Claim 2.

COUNTABLE

p is τ -decisive for x if $p^* \subseteq x$, but for all $s \in 2^{<\omega}$, $ps\tau^* \not\subseteq x$.

Proof of Subclaim 2b

Let p be τ -decisive for x and show that every $x(k)$ is determined uniquely by p and τ .

By def. $p^* \subseteq x$

If $l := \text{lh}(p^*)$ & $k < l$, then $x(k) = p^*(k)$, so determined by p .

Consider now

$x(l+n)$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We determine it recursively:

$$x(l+0) = x(l) \quad \text{if } s_0 := \emptyset$$

$$ps_0\tau^* \not\subseteq x \quad (*)$$

$$\text{lh}(ps_0\tau^*) = l+1.$$

$\begin{matrix} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ l & 0 & 1 \end{matrix}$

So $ps_0\tau^*(l) \neq x(l)$ by $(*)$

$$\text{Thus } x(l) = 1 - ps_0\tau^*(l)$$

[since we're on Cantor space]

This determines $x(l)$ by just p, τ .

Now assume we know

$$x(l+0), \dots, x(l+u-1)$$

and determine $x(l+u)$.

$$\text{Let } s_u := (x(l+0), \dots, x(l+u-1))$$

$$\text{rk}(s_u) = u.$$

Consider $p s_u \tau$. By decisiveness, we have $p s_u \tau^* \neq x$.

So by choice of s_u :

$$p s_u \tau^*(l+u) \neq x(l+u)$$

$$x(l+u) = 1 - p s_u \tau^*(l+u).$$

So, once more, $x(l+u)$ is determined just by p & τ .

q.e.d.
(Sublemma 2b)

\implies Thm.

Corollary - $ZFC \vdash \text{PSP}(\text{Borel})$.
(Hausdorff's Thm)

[Our proof is modulo Borel-Det.]

And:

$$\text{Det}(\Pi^1_1) \implies \text{PSP}(\Pi^1_1)$$
$$\text{Det}(\Pi^1_n) \implies \text{PSP}(\Pi^1_n)$$

This yields necessary conditions for axioms of determinacy in the projective hierarchy:
 e.g.) if $\text{Det}(\Sigma_2^1)$, then we can't have Σ_2^1 sets violating the CH.

The conditions are non-trivial.

Theorem (Gödel-Addison).

[without proof]

There is a model of $ZFC + \neg \text{PSP}(\Sigma_1^1)$

Remark. This is "Gödel's Constructible Universe", usually denoted by L . The reason for this is that L has a Δ_2^1 wellorder of ω^ω .

What does that even mean?

If \leq is a wellorder of ω^ω , then it is a binary relation on ω^ω , so $\leq \subseteq \omega^\omega \times \omega^\omega$

Therefore, it is perfectly reasonable to ask whether $\leq \in \Delta_2^1((\omega^\omega)^2)$

Theorem [our next goal]

If there is a Δ^1_1 wellorder of ω^ω ,
then there is a set in Π^1_1 without
the perfect set property.

Remark This is not optimal, as the Gödel-Addison theorem shows.

Proving this theorem will require:

- ① a structural analysis of Π^1_1
- ② a relation between Π^1_1 and the ordinal ω_1 .

STRUCTURE THEORY OF CO-ANALYTIC SETS.

Tree representation theorem for closed set:

(*) $A \in \Pi^0_1$ \iff there is a tree T
s.t. $A = [T]$.

The pointclass Σ^1_1 was defined in terms
of projections & closed sets.

$A \in \Sigma^1_1 \iff \exists C \in \Pi^0_1$ s.t. $A = pC$.

(*) $\iff \exists T$ tree s.t. $A = p[T]$.

If T is a tree on $\omega \times \omega$ and $x \in \omega^\omega$,
we can define

$$T_x := \{s; (s, x \upharpoonright \text{lh}(s)) \in T\}$$

$$A \in \Sigma_1^1 \iff \exists T \text{ tree s.t. } \forall x \\ (x \in A \iff [T_x] \neq \emptyset.)$$

Def. A tree T is called illfounded if $[T] \neq \emptyset$
and wellfounded if $[T] = \emptyset$.

With some axioms of choice, this is equivalent to
 (T, \supseteq) being ill/wellfounded.

Tree
Representations
of
analytic
and
co-analytic sets.

$$A \in \Sigma_1^1 \iff \exists T \text{ tree s.t. } \forall x \\ (x \in A \iff T_x \text{ is illfounded})$$

$$A \in \Pi_1^1 \iff \exists T \text{ tree s.t. } \forall x \\ (x \in A \iff T_x \text{ is wellfounded})$$

Coding trees on ω as $\omega \times \omega$ as elements
of Baire space.

Pick your favourite bijection $\omega \rightarrow \omega^{<\omega}$
and write $\{s_i; i \in \omega\} = \omega^{<\omega}$

Define R by $iRj : \Leftrightarrow s_i \supseteq s_j$

$$F_T := \{i; s_i \in T\}$$

Then $(T, \supseteq) \cong (F_T, R)$

In particular: T is wellfdd $\Leftrightarrow (F_T, R)$ is wellfounded

If I have a wellfdd relation R on F , I can recursively define a rank function

$$i \in F \quad rk(i) := \sup \{rk(j) + 1; jRi\}$$

By the recursion theorem, if R is wellfdd, rk is a function assigning an ordinal to each elt of F :

$\{rk(i); i \in F\}$ is a countable ordinal
↑
natural number

We now identify our relation on subsets of ω with elements of base space:

$$\langle u, u \rangle : \omega \times \omega \longrightarrow \omega$$

your favourite bijection

$$x \in \omega^\omega$$

$$\text{fld}(x) := \{i; x(\langle i, i \rangle) \neq 0\}$$

$$R_x := \{ \langle i, j \rangle; x(\langle i, j \rangle) \neq 0 \}$$

$(\text{fld}(x), R_x)$ is a reflexive relation.

If (A, R) is any substructure, i.e.,
 $A \subseteq \omega, R \subseteq A \times A$ reflexive.

Then

$$x_A(\langle i, j \rangle) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i, j \in A \\ & i R j \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

Then $\text{fld}(x_A) = A$

$$i R j \iff i R_{x_A} j$$