

HOMEWORK SHEET #13

MasterMath: Set Theory

2021/22: 1st Semester

K. P. Hart, Steef Hegeman, Benedikt Löwe & Robert Paßmann

Deadline for Homework Set #13: Monday, 13 December 2021, 2pm. Please hand in via the e10 webpage as a single pdf file.

(43) Let \mathbb{P} be a partial order. Define, by recursion on α :

- $N_0 = \emptyset$,
- $N_{\alpha+1} = \mathcal{P}(N_\alpha \times \mathbb{P})$, and
- $N_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} N_\beta$ if α is a limit ordinal.

Prove that $\bigcup_\alpha N_\alpha$ is equal to the class $V^\mathbb{P}$ of all \mathbb{P} -names.

(44) Let M be a countable model of ZFC and $\mathbb{P} \in M$ a partial order. Generalize Problem (41) from last week and prove the following general statement: a filter G on \mathbb{P} is M -generic if and only if it intersects every maximal antichain in \mathbb{P} that is an element of M .

(45) The results in class imply that $p \Vdash (\exists x)(\varphi(x, \tau))$ is equivalent to the set

$$E = \{q \leq p : (\exists \sigma)(q \Vdash \varphi(\sigma, \tau))\}$$

being dense below p .

This problem proves that it is in fact equivalent to

$$(\exists \sigma)(p \Vdash \varphi(\sigma, \tau)).$$

(as one would probably expect).

a. Prove that there is a maximal antichain A in E .

b. Prove that there is a function that chooses for every $q \in A$ a name σ_q such that $q \Vdash \varphi(\sigma_q, \tau)$.

Let $D = \bigcup\{\text{dom } \sigma_q : q \in A\}$. Define

$$\sigma = \{\langle \pi, r \rangle : (\exists q \in A)(\exists t \in \mathbb{P})(r \leq q \wedge r \leq t \wedge \langle \pi, t \rangle \in \sigma_q)\}$$

c. Prove that $p \Vdash \varphi(\sigma, \tau)$. *Hint:* If G is M -generic then $G \cap A$ consists of exactly one point q ; prove that $\text{val}(\sigma, G) = \text{val}(\sigma_q, G)$.

DEFINITION

IN GENERAL: IF φ IS A FORMULA
AND τ_1, \dots, τ_k ARE NAMES
THEN

$$p \Vdash \varphi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) \text{ IFF}$$

FOR ALL ALL M -GENERIC G WITH $p \in G$

WE HAVE

$$M[G] \models \varphi(\text{val}(\tau_1, G), \dots, \text{val}(\tau_k, G))$$

(43) Let $V^{\mathbb{P}}$ be the class of all \mathbb{P} -names and let $\bigcup_{\alpha} N_{\alpha}$ be defined as above

We further define the rank of a \mathbb{P} -name recursively:

A \mathbb{P} -name of rank α (α an ordinal) is a \mathbb{P} -name

$$\tau = \{(\tau_i, p_i) \mid i < i_0\} \quad \text{where } p_i \in \mathbb{P} \text{ and } \tau_i \text{ is a } \mathbb{P}\text{-name of rank } < \alpha$$

We now show that the \mathbb{P} -names of rank α are equivalent to N_{α}

$N_0 = \emptyset$ and the only \mathbb{P} -name of rank 0 is \emptyset

Hence N_0 is equivalent (in fact equal) to the \mathbb{P} -name of rank 0.

Assume for an ordinal α , they are equivalent

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\alpha+1} &= \mathcal{P}(N_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{P}) \cong \mathcal{P}(\{(\tau, p) \mid \tau \text{ is a } \mathbb{P}\text{-name of rank } \alpha, p \in \mathbb{P}\}) \\ &= \{ \{(\tau, p) \mid \tau \text{ is of rank } < \alpha, p \in \mathbb{P}\} \} \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly all the \mathbb{P} -names of rank $\alpha+1$

In the limit case assume the equivalence holds for all $\beta < \gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\gamma} &= \bigcup_{\beta < \gamma} N_{\beta} \cong \bigcup_{\beta < \gamma} \{ \mathbb{P}\text{-names of rank } \beta \} \\ &= \text{exactly the } \mathbb{P}\text{-names of rank } \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Hence they are equivalent.

(44) Suppose that G is M -generic. Let A be a maximal antichain of \mathbb{P} that is in M .

$$\text{Let } D = \{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid \exists a \in A (p \leq a)\}$$

The maximality of A ensures D is dense, and it is open as a union of opens.

Thus $D \cap G \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists p \in D \cap G$.

$\Rightarrow \exists a \in A \quad p \leq a \Rightarrow a \in G$ by upward closure

Hence $a \in A \cap G$.

Conversely suppose that for every maximal antichain A that is in M , $A \cap G \neq \emptyset$.

Fix $D \in M$ a dense open subset of \mathbb{P}

Let $A \in D$ be a maximal antichain of D .

Then by (40) A is maximal in \mathbb{P} and furthermore $A \in M$.

$\Rightarrow A \cap G \neq \emptyset$

$A \cap G \subseteq D \cap G \Rightarrow D \cap G \neq \emptyset$.

(45) a. E is partially ordered as a subset of a partial order. By (40 a) every antichain in a partial order is contained in a maximal antichain. Thus proving that a maximal antichain exists reduces to proving that any antichain exists. However, if E is nonempty, then for any $q \in E$, $\{q\}$ is an antichain (if E is empty then problem is trivial) so \exists a maximal antichain A containing $\{q\}$.

b. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of sets F_q where

$$\forall q \in A \quad F_q = \{\sigma \mid q \Vdash \psi(\sigma, \tau)\}$$

Then by the axiom of choice, there exists a choice function that selects $\sigma_q \in F_q$ for every $q \in A$. ined

c. We need to show that for all M -generic G with $p \in G$ the

$$M[G] \models \psi(\text{val}(\sigma, G), \text{val}(\tau, G))$$

G is M -generic $\Rightarrow G \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Now suppose

$$n, q \in G \cap A, n \neq q$$

Then $\exists r \in G$ st $r \leq n$ and $r \leq q$

$\Rightarrow n \parallel q$. But $n, q \in A \Rightarrow n \perp q$. Contradiction

$\Rightarrow G \cap A = \{q\}$ for some q .

$$q \Vdash \varphi(\sigma_q, \tau)$$

$\Rightarrow M[G] \models \varphi(\text{val}(\sigma_q, G), \text{val}(\tau, G))$

Hence if $\text{val}(\sigma_q, G) = \text{val}(\sigma, G)$, then we are done.

$$\text{val}(\sigma, G) = \{ \text{val}(m, G) \mid \exists n \in G \langle m, n \rangle \in \sigma \}$$

$$\text{val}(\sigma_q, G) = \{ \text{val}(m, G) \mid \exists n \in G \langle m, n \rangle \in \sigma_q \}$$

We have defined

$$\sigma = \{ \langle \pi, r \rangle \mid (\exists n \in A)(\exists t \in P) r \leq n \wedge r \leq t \wedge \langle \pi, t \rangle \in \sigma_n \}$$

Suppose $\alpha \in \text{val}(\sigma_q, G)$. Then $\exists \pi$ such that $\alpha = \text{val}(\pi, G)$ and $\exists n \in G \langle \pi, n \rangle \in \sigma_q$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \pi, n \rangle \in \sigma \Rightarrow \alpha \in \text{val}(\sigma, G)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{val}(\sigma_q, G) \subseteq \text{val}(\sigma, G)$$

Suppose $\alpha \in \text{val}(\sigma, G)$. Then $\exists \pi$ such that

$$\alpha = \text{val}(\pi, G) \text{ and } \exists r \in G \langle \pi, r \rangle \in \sigma$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exists n \in A)(\exists t \in P) r \leq n \wedge r \leq t \wedge \langle \pi, t \rangle \in \sigma_n$$

But upwards closure $\Rightarrow n \in G \Rightarrow n \in G \cap A$

$$\Rightarrow n = q$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \pi, t \rangle \in \sigma_q \Rightarrow \alpha \in \text{val}(\sigma_q, G)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{val}(\sigma, G) \subseteq \text{val}(\sigma_q, G)$$

$$\therefore \text{val}(\sigma, G) = \text{val}(\sigma_q, G).$$