## Homework 11: Set Theory

Melle van Marle

27 november 2021

## (37)

- 1. This is immediate from the definitions.
- 2. Suppose that  $\varphi$  is absolute for M, N. Let  $m_1, \ldots, m_k \in M$ , and suppose that  $(\exists x \varphi(x, m_1, \ldots, m_k))^M$ holds. Per definition, this means that  $\exists x(x \in M \land \varphi(x, m_1, \ldots, m_k)^M)$  holds. Now note that  $x \in N$ , since  $M \subseteq N$  and by assumption  $\varphi(x, m_1, \ldots, m_k)^N$  holds. Thus, we have  $(\exists x \varphi(x, m_1, \ldots, m_k))^N$  as desired.
- 3. Suppose that  $\varphi$  is absolute for M, N. Let  $m_1, \ldots, m_k \in M$ , and suppose that  $(\forall x \varphi(x, m_1, \ldots, m_k))^N$ holds. Per definition, this means that  $\forall x (x \in N \land \varphi(x, m_1, \ldots, m_k)^N)$  holds. By assumption, we have  $\varphi(x, m_1, \ldots, m_k)^M$  holds if  $\varphi(x, m_1, \ldots, m_k)^N$  holds (for  $x \in M$ ). Since  $M \subseteq N$ , it follows that  $(\forall x \varphi(x, m_1, \ldots, m_k))^M$  holds.

## (38)

1. Let  $\psi(A, X, R) := (R \text{ is a transitive relation on } X) \land \forall u \in X(\neg uRu) \land ((A \subseteq X \land \exists x \in A) \rightarrow \exists y \in A(\forall z \in A(y \neq z \rightarrow yRz))).$ 

Note here that subset of and transitivity are  $\Delta_0$  concepts (see lemma 12.10 of Jech).

- 2. I'm not going to write down this formula because it will be too long, but note that the following properties are absolute:
  - R is a partial order on X
  - f is a function
  - $x \in \text{dom}(f)$  iff  $(x \subseteq X \land \exists y \in x)$ .
  - $\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(f)(\exists y \in x(\forall z \in x(y \neq z \rightarrow yRz))).$

Putting these properties together, tells us that f is a function mapping a non-empty subset of X to a least element of X. Thus, they give a  $\Delta_0$  formula of the form that we want.

3. Suppose M and N satisfy the axioms of used in the proof of the representation theorem of well orders. Then, if (X, R) is a well-order, there is a unique ordinal  $\alpha$  in M (and thus also in N) such that  $(X, R) \cong (\alpha, \in)$ . Now, the formula given in part (b) is upward absolute and the formula given in (a) is downward absolute.

## (39)

1. The order is clearly irreflexive. Suppose (i, m)R(j, n)R(k, l). If i = 0 and j = 1, then k = 1, so (i, m)R(k, l). If i = j = 0 and m < n, then k = 1, or k = 0 and n < l. In either case, (i, m)R(k, l). If i = j = 1 and m > n, then k = 1 and n > l, so (i, m)R(k, l). Now suppose that  $(i, m), (j, n) \in X$ . If  $i \neq j$ , then the elements are comparable. Suppose i = j. If n = m, then (i, m) = (j, n), and if  $n \neq m$ , then the elements are again comparable. We conclude that R is a linear order.

We claim that R is not a well order. Let  $A := \{(i, n) \in X \mid i = 0\}$ . Clearly, this set is non-empty does not have a least element.

2. The inclusion  $V_{\omega} \subseteq M$  is clear. It is also not difficult to see that  $(0, n) \in V_{\omega}$  for any  $n \in \omega$ , so  $\{0\} \times \omega \subset V_{\omega}$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{P}(\{0\} \times \omega) \subseteq V_{\omega+1}$ . In a similar manner, we see that  $X, R \subset V_{\omega}$ , so  $\{X, R\} \subseteq V_{\omega+1}$ . We conclude that  $M \subseteq V_{\omega+1}$ .

We now check that M is transitive. Let  $x \in M$ . Suppose  $x \in V_{\omega}$ , then  $x \subseteq M$  by the transitivity of  $V_{\omega}$ . Suppose  $x \in \mathcal{P}(\{0\} \times \omega)$ , then  $x \subseteq V_{\omega} \subseteq M$ . In the same way, we saw that  $X, R \subseteq V_{\omega} \subseteq M$ . We conclude that M is transitive.

- 3. Let  $A \subseteq X$  be non-empty and such that  $A \in M$ . Suppose  $(0, n) \in A$  for some  $n \in \omega$ , then the set  $\{(0, m) \in A \mid m \in \omega\}$  is non-empty and clearly the least m such that  $(0, m) \in A$  is the least element of A. Suppose that  $(0, n) \notin A$  for any  $n \in \omega$ . Note however that any subset of  $\{(1, n) \mid n \in \omega\}$  that is in  $V_{\omega}$  must have been added at some finite stage, and there is thus a largest m such that (1, m) lies in this subset. It follows that A has a least element. We conclude that any subset of X that lies in M has an R-least element.
- 4. *R* is a well order of *X* according to *M*, but not according to  $V_{\omega+1}$ . It follows that "*R* is a well order of *X*" is not absolute for transitive sets.