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(46) Let $j: V \rightarrow M$ be a non-trivial elementary embedding and let $\kappa$ be minimal with $j(\kappa)>\kappa$. We showed that $\kappa$ is measurable because

$$
D=\{X \subseteq \kappa: \kappa \in j(X)\}
$$

is a $\kappa$-complete ultrafilter. This ultrafilter is in fact a normal measure (Jech, top of page 289).
a. The argument in the book takes $X \in D$ and a regressive $f: X \rightarrow \kappa$. The claim is that $f$ is constant on a member of $D$, with value $j(f)(\kappa)$. Give a detailed proof of this.
b. Give an alternative proof by showing, directly from its definition, that $D$ is closed under diagonal intersections.
(47) [Jech, Exercise 17.17] If $\kappa$ is a successor cardinal, say $\kappa=\lambda^{+}$, then $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa, \omega}$ does not satisfy the Weak Compactness Theorem.
As in the book use two relations $\prec$ and $R$ (in fact the book seems to assume implicitly that $=$ is part of any language, so formally we have three relations) and constants $\left\{c_{\alpha}: \alpha \leqslant \kappa\right\}$. The intended meaning of $\prec$ is a linear order and $R$ is to code many functions. The set $\Sigma$ consists of
(1) the axioms for a linear order
(2) the formulas $c_{\alpha} \prec c_{\beta}$ for $\alpha<\beta \leqslant \kappa$
(3) a sentence that formulates that a fixed $x$ the relation $R(x, y, z)$ defines $z$ as a function of $y$; we write $f_{x}(y)=z$
(4) for all $\alpha \leqslant \kappa$ the sentence $\varphi_{\alpha}$ given by $(\forall z)(\exists y)\left(z \prec c_{\alpha} \rightarrow R\left(c_{\alpha}, y, z\right)\right)$
(5) $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)\left(R(x, y, z) \rightarrow \bigvee_{\alpha<\lambda}\left(y=c_{\alpha}\right)\right)$
a. Write down a sentence that accomplishes (3) above
b. Show that (4) and (5) do what the book claims: $\left\{z: z \prec c_{\alpha}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{ran} f_{c_{\alpha}}$ and dom $f_{x} \subseteq\left\{c_{\alpha}: \alpha<\lambda\right\}$.
c. Prove that every $S \in[\Sigma]^{<\kappa}$ has a model. Hint: without loss of generality the set of constants that occur in the sentences in $S$ is of the form $\left\{c_{\kappa}\right\} \cup\left\{c_{\alpha}: \alpha<\delta\right\}$ for some $\delta<\kappa$. Build a model with $\{\kappa\} \cup \delta$ as its universe.
d. Prove that $\Sigma$ does not have a model. Hint: $R\left(c_{\kappa}, y, z\right)$ would code a surjection from $\lambda$ onto $\kappa$.
(48) [Jech, Exercise 17.18] If $\kappa$ is a singular cardinal then $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa, \omega}$ does not satisfy the Weak Compactness Theorem.
Let $A$ be cofinal in $\kappa$ and of cardinality less than $\kappa$. We use one relation $\prec$ and constants $\left\{c_{\alpha}: \alpha \leqslant \kappa\right\}$. As in the previous exercise $\prec$ is destined to be a linear order. The set $\Sigma$ consists of
(1) the axioms for a linear order
(2) a sentence that states that $\left\{c_{\alpha}: \alpha \in A\right\}$ is cofinal in this linear order
(3) for every $\alpha<\kappa$ a sentence $\varphi_{\alpha}$ that expresses: if $c_{\beta} \prec c_{\kappa}$ for all $\beta<\alpha$ then also $c_{\alpha} \prec c_{\kappa}$
a. Write down an $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa, \omega}$-sentence that accomplishes (2).
b. Write down an $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa, \omega}$-sentence $\varphi_{\alpha}$ that accomplishes (3).
c. Prove that every $S \in[\Sigma]^{<\kappa}$ has a model. Hint: the set $B$ of $\alpha \leqslant \kappa$ for which $c_{\alpha}$ occurs in a sentence in $S$ has cardinality less than $\kappa$. Let $\delta=\min \kappa \backslash B$; build a model for $S$ on the set $\kappa+1$ by inserting $\kappa$ just before $\delta$
d. Prove that $\Sigma$ does not have a model. Hint: prove that $c_{\kappa}$ would become the maximum in the linear order.
(49) [Converse to Jech, Exercise 17.21] Let $\kappa$ be an uncountable cardinal such that every linearly ordered set of cardinality $\kappa$ has a well-ordered subset of cardinality $\kappa$ or an inversely well-ordered subset of cardinality $\kappa$. We prove that $\kappa$ is weakly compact.
a. Prove that $\kappa$ is not singular. Hint: If $\lambda=\operatorname{cf} \kappa<\kappa$ let $\left\langle\alpha_{\eta}: \eta<\lambda\right\rangle$ be increasing, continuous and cofinal in $\kappa$, with $\alpha_{0}=0$. Define $\prec$ on $\kappa$ by

$$
\gamma \prec \delta \text { iff } \begin{cases}\delta<\gamma & \text { if } \gamma, \delta \in\left[\alpha_{\eta}, \alpha_{\eta+1}\right) \text { for some } \eta \\ \gamma<\delta & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

b. Prove that $\kappa$ is a strong limit (and hence inaccessible). Hint: If $\lambda<\kappa \leqslant 2^{\lambda}$ then apply Exercise (36) from Homework set \#10.
c. Prove that $\kappa$ has the tree property. Hint: Assume $\left(T,<_{T}\right)$ be a tree of cardinality $\kappa$ such that all levels have cardinality less that $\kappa$. As in Exercise (40) in Homework set \#12 define a linear order $\prec$ on $T$ by first taking a well order $\sqsubset$ of $T$ in type $\kappa$ and then defining $s \prec t$ if $s<_{t} t$ or $s_{\alpha} \sqsubset t_{\alpha}$, where $T_{\alpha}$ is the lowest level where $s$ and $t$ have distinct predecessors $s_{\alpha}$ and $t_{\alpha}$ respectively.
Let $H$ a subset of $T$ that is well-ordered by $\prec$ (or $\succ$ ) in order type $\kappa$. Prove that in every level of the tree there is exactly one $s$ such that $\left\{t \in H: s<_{T} t\right\}$ has cardinality $\kappa$. These points determine a branch.

