

In this group interaction we take a look at the differences between the languages of type $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\omega}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1,\omega}$, and $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1,\omega_1}$.

To remind ourselves:

- type $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\omega}$ covers first-order logic:
 - countably many variables,
 - predicates and function symbols of any desired arity
 - constants
 - the usual logical connectives: $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \neg, \dots$
 - quantifiers $\forall$ and $\exists$
- type $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1,\omega}$ extends this by having
 - $\aleph_1$ many variables
 - (countably) infinite conjunctions and disjunctions: $\bigwedge_{\eta < \alpha} \varphi_\eta$ and $\bigvee_{\eta < \alpha} \varphi_\eta$ (with $\alpha < \omega_1$)
- type $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1,\omega_1}$ extends this even further by having
 - (countably) infinite quantifiers $\exists_{\eta < \alpha} v_\eta$ and $\forall_{\eta < \alpha} v_\eta$ (with $\alpha < \omega_1$)

We look at the languages in the context of the real line $\mathbb{R}$.

In this case the language of type $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\omega}$ has two constants: 0 and 1, two functions: $+$ and $\times$, and a predicate (relation symbol) $<$.

We add a constant c_p for every $p \in \mathbb{R}$. We add a unary function symbol f , whose interpretation will be a function from $\mathbb{R}$ to itself, and a unary predicate A whose interpretation will be a subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

- (1) Write down $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\omega}$ -sentences that express
 - a. (the interpretation of) f is continuous at p .
 - b. p is in the closure of (the interpretation of) A .
- (2) Write down an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\omega}$ -sentence that expresses that A is bounded from above and that it has a supremum.
- (3) Let $\langle a_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{R}$. Write down an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1,\omega}$ -sentence that expresses that the sequence converges to π .
- (4) Let X be an arbitrary countable subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Can you write down an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\omega}$ -sentence that expresses that X is bounded from above and has a supremum? An $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1,\omega}$ -sentence? An $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1,\omega_1}$ -sentence? In each case explain why (not).
- (5) The Archimedean property of $\mathbb{R}$ states: if $x, y > 0$ then there is a natural number n such that $nx > y$. Can you express this in an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\omega}$ -sentence? In an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1,\omega}$ -sentence? In an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1,\omega_1}$ -sentence?
- (6) Write down an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1,\omega_1}$ -sentence that expresses that f is sequentially continuous at p .
- (7) Write down an $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1,\omega_1}$ -sentence that expresses that every sequence that is monotone and bounded converges.
- (8) Investigate which, if any, of the statements from Exercises (3), (6) and (7) can be expressed in a weaker language.