

GROUP INTERACTION #13

MasterMath: Set Theory

2020/21: 1st Semester

K. P. Hart, Benedikt Löwe, Ezra Schoen, & Ned Wontner

Let I be an infinite set and U an ultrafilter on I . As in class we define, for functions with domain I the relations \equiv_U and \in_U by

$$f \equiv_U g \text{ iff } \{x \in I : f(x) = g(x)\} \in U$$

and

$$f \in_U g \text{ iff } \{x \in I : f(x) \in g(x)\} \in U$$

- (1) Prove that for every function $f : I \rightarrow V$ the equivalence class $[f] = \{g : g \equiv_U f\}$ is not a set.
- (2) Prove that for every function $f : I \rightarrow V$ the ‘class of its elements’ $\{g : g \in_U f\}$ is not a set.

We apply Scott’s Trick, as in class, and redefine the equivalence class of f as

$$[f]_U = \{g : g \equiv_U f \text{ and } (\forall h)(h \equiv_U f \rightarrow \text{rank } g \leq \text{rank } h)\}$$

- (3) Give an example, where $f \notin [f]_U$ (this needs an I and a U too).
- (4) Prove: if $g \in_U f$ then there is an h such that $h \equiv_U g$ and $\text{rank } h \leq \text{rank } f$.
- (5) Prove that for every f the entity $\{[g]_U : g \in_U f\}$ is a set.

The Mostowski collapse was used in Lecture 4 in the proof of the Theorem that every well-ordered set is isomorphic to an ordinal number. The construction was as follows: given a well-ordered set $(W, <)$ define $F : W \rightarrow V$ recursively by $F(x) = \{F(y) : y < x\}$.

In class there was an all-too-brief indication that this will work for well-founded relations too.

In our case we have specific example: the class $\text{Ult}_U(V)$ and the relation \in_U . In the class there is no ‘decreasing’ \in_U -sequence. This is equivalent to: every subset of $\text{Ult}_U(V)$ has an \in_U -minimal element, that is, if $X \subset \text{Ult}_U(V)$ then there is an f such that $[f]_U \in X$ and there is no g such that $g \in_U f$ and $[g]_U \in X$.

Define $\pi : \text{Ult}_U(V) \rightarrow V$ recursively by $\pi([f]) = \{\pi([g]) : [g] \in_U [f]\}$.

- (6) Prove that π is indeed a class function into V .
- (7) Prove that π is injective (the ultrapower satisfies the Axiom of Extensionality).
- (8) Prove that the range, M , of π is transitive.
- (9) Prove that π is an isomorphism between the structures $(\text{Ult}_U(V), \in_U)$ and (M, \in) .

More about the Mostowski collapse can be found in Chapter 6 of Jech, pages 67–69.