



Core Logic

2006/2007; 1st Semester
dr Benedikt Löwe

Homework Set # 6

Deadline: October 18th, 2006

Exercise 18 (8 points).

Consider a set W of states and a set X of objects. We call the set $\hat{X} := \{+, -\} \times X := \{\langle +, x \rangle; x \in X\} \cup \{\langle -, x \rangle; x \in X\}$ the set of **entities**. We think of $\langle -, x \rangle$ as the imagined object x and $\langle +, x \rangle$ as “ $\langle -, x \rangle$ with the added property of existence”. We call entities $\langle +, x \rangle$ **existing entities**.

For each $w \in W$, fix a set $X_w \subseteq \hat{X}$ of **permissible entities** in w . We fix two strict linear ordering $<$ and \prec on \hat{X} , and an accessibility relation R on W . As in **Exercise 15**, we say that “ v is conceivable from w ” if wRv . For $x, y \in X_w$, we say “in w , x is better (bigger) than y ” if $y < x$ ($y \prec x$). A structure $\mathbf{W} := \langle W, \langle X_w; w \in W \rangle, R, <, \prec \rangle$ is called **Anselmian** if it has the following properties:

- If wRv and $\hat{x} \in X_w$, then $\hat{x} \in X_v$.
- For each $w \in W$, if $\langle -, x \rangle \in X_w$, then there is some v such that wRv and $\langle +, x \rangle \in X_v$.
- For each $x \in X$, $\langle -, x \rangle < \langle +, x \rangle$.

If \mathbf{W} is an Anselmian structure and $w \in W$, we say that an entity $\hat{x} \in \hat{X}$ is **Anselmian** in w if for all v such that wRv and all $\hat{y} \in X_v$, it is not the case that $\hat{x} < \hat{y}$. We say that an entity $\hat{x} \in \hat{X}$ is **Gaunilan** in w if for all v such that wRv and all $\hat{y} \in X_v$, it is not the case that $\hat{x} \prec \hat{y}$.

The second half of the ontological argument can now be rephrased as follows: In an Anselmian structure, every Anselmian entity is existing. Prove this statement. (1½ points)

Give an example of an Anselmian structure with a state w in which there is a nonexisting Gaunilan entity (*i.e.*, an entity of the form $\langle -, x \rangle$). (3 points)

There is a simple modification of the notion of an Anselmian structure that we could call a **Gaunilan structure**, for which we can prove that every Gaunilan entity is existing. Give a precise definition of this and prove the statement. (2 points)

Consider your definition of a Gaunilan structure. It is possible to justify the new axiom as “true” in some natural sense? Could you convince a nonbeliever of the axioms of your Gaunilan structure? Give a brief discussion (at most 10 lines; 1½ points).

Exercise 19 (6 points).

Consider the sentence *omnis philosophus praeter Socratem albus est* (“every philosopher except for Socrates is white”).

Give a modern semantics for the *omnis praeter* construction: suppose we have a universe of discourse X , two predicates $\Phi, \Psi \subseteq X$ and $x \in X$. Give a formal definition such that

$$\text{omnispraeter}(x, \Phi, \Psi)$$

is true if and only if *omnis Φ praeter x est Ψ* (“every Φ except for x is Ψ ”) (1 point).

Note. The “modern semantics” is not necessarily unique. There might be different semantics that describe the natural language sentences reasonably adequately.

Now consider the sophisma

(\star) *omnis homo praeter Socratem excipitur*

(“every man except for Socrates is excepted”).

- (1) Give a background story which describes a situation in which (\star) is true (1 point).
- (2) Argue informally that (\star) is false (2 points).
- (3) Solve the apparent contradiction by explaining the fallacy as a *secundum quid et simpliciter* (2 points).

Exercise 20 (3 points).

If X is any set and $\wp(X)$ is its power set (the set of all subsets of X), we call $Q \subseteq \wp(X)$ a **generalized quantifier**. If $\Phi \subseteq X$ is a predicate on X , we say that $Q\Phi$ holds (in words: “for Q -many x , $\Phi(x)$ holds”) if $\Phi \in Q$.

- (1) Let $\forall := \{X\}$ and $\exists := \{A \subseteq X; A \neq \emptyset\}$. Argue that $\forall\Phi$ and $\exists\Phi$ have the intended meanings “for all x , $\Phi(x)$ holds” and “there is an x such that $\Phi(x)$ holds” (½ point each).
- (2) Fix some $x \in X$ and give a definition of a generalized quantifier op_x that corresponds to the *omnis praeter* construction from **Exercise 19** (2 points).

Exercise 21 (5 points).

- Correct or false? (½ point each)
 - (1) Giovanni Pico della Mirandola wrote the famous *oratio de hominis dignitate* which can be seen as a “manifesto of the Italian renaissance”.
 - (2) Before returning to Italy where he was going to be sentenced to death, Giordano Bruno spent some time in England.
 - (3) Arius claimed that God-Father and God-Son have different substances, but both are eternal. This teaching was rejected in the Council of Nicaea in 325 AD.
 - (4) Anselm of Canterbury and Lanfranc of Bec knew each other personally.
 - (5) Johannes Scotus Eriugena wrote a book entitled *De gemina praedestinatione* on predestination in which he discusses the debate between Gottschalk and Hrabanus Maurus.
 - (6) Despite their differences, Abelard speaks very highly of his former teacher Anselm of Laon in his *Historia Calamitatum Mearum*.
- Give the names of the following medieval logicians and philosophers (1 point each):
 - Y was one of the students of Anselm of Laon and taught a strongly realistic philosophy in Paris in the early XIIth century. After one of his students was very successful in arguing against Y ’s philosophy, Y retired to the abbey of St. Victor and was later made bishop of Châlons-sur-Marne.
 - Z was an archbishop of Canterbury of Italian descent, immediate predecessor of Anselm of Canterbury. At the Council of Vercelli in 1050, he defended the doctrine of *transsubstantiation* against Berengar of Tours.