



# Core Logic

2004/2005; 1st Semester  
dr Benedikt Löwe

## Homework Set # 10

Deadline: November 24th, 2004

### Exercise 30 (3 points total).

Compute the following binary numbers ( $\frac{1}{4}$  point each):

- (1)  $1011010 + 1101 = ?$ .
- (2)  $1101101 \times 10 = ?$ .
- (3)  $1001101 \times 1000 = ?$ .
- (4)  $1100110 \times 1101 = ?$ .

Describe in words an algorithm for the multiplication of two binary numbers (2 points).

### Exercise 31 (9 points total).

Let  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the set of all infinite 0-1 sequences. For  $x \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , we define  $\hat{x}(n) := 1 - x(n)$ . We call  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  a **symmetric class** if for every  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ , we also have  $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ . A function  $F : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is called a  $\mathcal{C}$ -good parametrization if the sequence  $\langle F(n)(n) ; n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  is an element of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $F$  is a surjection.

- (1) Show that no symmetric class  $\mathcal{C}$  can have a  $\mathcal{C}$ -good parametrization (4 points).
- (2) Derive Cantor's Theorem ("there is no bijection between  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ") as a corollary (2 points).
- (3) Give an example of a (non-symmetric) class  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  that has a  $\mathcal{C}$ -good parametrization (3 points).

### Exercise 32 (9 points total).

Find three academic (PhD) students of **Alonzo Church** (1903-1995) and give:

- the student's name ( $\frac{1}{2}$  point each),
- the student's year of birth and death (if applicable;  $\frac{1}{2}$  point for each student),
- the institution from which the student received his or her PhD ( $\frac{1}{2}$  point each),
- one theorem that the student proved (just the statement, no proof; 1 point each).

Name an ILLC staff member who is an academic grandchild of Church (*i.e.*, the PhD student of a PhD student of Church's;  $\frac{1}{2}$  point).

### Exercise 33 (4 points total).

Find two respectable authors who believe that the Church-Turing thesis is false and give a brief description (one to three sentences) of their position with a reference to a published paper in which they hold this view (2 points each).

(**Note.** By "published", we mean "published in a scholarly journal or monograph", not "stated on some webpage". What "respectable" means is of course open for some interpretation; in general, research logicians and computer scientists with a university affiliation can be assumed to be "respectable". The more "respectable" the authors are that you find, the better.)