



Advanced Topics in Set Theory

2003/2004; 1st Semester
dr Benedikt Löwe

Homework Set # 3.

Deadline: October 28th, 2003

Exercise 8 (Relative constructibility) [*Repeated from Set # 2*].

Let x be a real number (pick your favourite set-theoretic concept of “real number”: Dedekind cuts, Cauchy sequences, subsets of ω , etc.). Show that $\mathbf{L}(x) = \mathbf{L}[x]$. Furthermore, argue why this result doesn’t depend on the choice of the concept of “real number” you chose.

Exercise 9 (The Continuum and \aleph_2).

Prove using relative constructibility that if $\text{ZFC} \vdash 2^{\aleph_0} \neq \aleph_2$, then $\text{ZFC} \vdash \text{CH}$. (Of course, Cohen has proved in 1963 that the antecedent of this implication is false, so the exercise has a trivial solution. Do not use this fact. You may use the result that for $A \subseteq \kappa^+$, we have that $\mathbf{L}[A] \models 2^\kappa = \kappa^+$.)

Hint. Assume $2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_1$. Find an appropriate $A \subseteq \aleph_2$ such that $\mathbf{L}[A] \models 2^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_1} = \aleph_2$.

Exercise 10 (Measurable cardinals and relativized constructibility).

Let κ be the least measurable cardinal and $A \subseteq \kappa$. Show that $\mathbf{V} \neq \mathbf{L}(A)$.

Exercise 11 (More on strong cardinals).

Remember from **Exercise 6** that a cardinal κ is called $\kappa+\alpha$ -**strong** if there is an elementary embedding $j : \mathbf{V} \prec M$ with critical point κ such that $\mathbf{V}_{\kappa+\alpha} \subseteq M$. We call a cardinal **strong** if it is α -strong for all ordinals α . (Note that the embeddings witnessing α -strength can be different from each other.)

Formulate and prove extensions of **Exercise 10** for α -strong and strong cardinals.

Exercise 12 (Weakly compact cardinals and linear orderings).

Let κ be weakly compact, i.e., $\kappa \rightarrow (\kappa)_2^2$. Let $A \subseteq \kappa$ and let \preceq be a linear ordering on A . Show that there is a subset $B \subseteq A$ of cardinality κ such that either $\langle B, \preceq \rangle$ or $\langle B, \succeq \rangle$ is wellordered.

Exercise 13 (Infinitary partition relations and ultrafilters).

Let $\lambda < \kappa$ be regular, and assume that for all $\gamma < \kappa$, we have $\kappa \rightarrow (\kappa)_\gamma^\lambda$. (Note that this assumption contradicts the Axiom of Choice, so be sure to work in ZF in this exercise.)

Let

$$\mathcal{C}_\kappa^\lambda := \{X \subseteq \kappa ; \exists C \in \mathcal{C}_\kappa (C \cap \{\xi ; \text{cf}(\xi) = \lambda\} \subseteq X\}$$

the so-called λ -club filter on κ . Show that under the assumption of the above partition relation, it is a κ -complete ultrafilter.

Hint. If $\langle X_\alpha ; \alpha < \gamma \rangle$ is a partition of κ , let H be a homogeneous set for the colouring $\chi : [\kappa]^\lambda \rightarrow \gamma$ with

$$\chi(S) = \alpha \iff \sup S \in X_\alpha.$$

Show that $C := \{\xi ; \sup(H \cap \xi) = \xi \& \text{cf}(\xi) = \lambda\}$ witnesses that some $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_\kappa^\lambda$.