# Differential geometry Lecture 17: Geodesics and the exponential map

### David Lindemann

University of Hamburg Department of Mathematics Analysis and Differential Geometry & RTG 1670

3. July 2020



### 1 Geodesics

- **2** The exponential map
- **3** Geodesics as critical points of the energy functional
- **4** Riemannian normal coordinates
- **5** Some global Riemannian geometry

### Recap of lecture 16:

- constructed covariant derivatives along curves
- defined parallel transport
- studied the relation between a given connection in the tangent bundle and its parallel transport maps
- introduced torsion tensor and metric connections, studied geometric interpretation
- defined the Levi-Civita connection of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold

```
Recall the definition of the acceleration of a smooth curve \gamma: I \to \mathbb{R}^n, that is \gamma'' \in \Gamma_{\gamma}(\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}^n).
```

**Question:** Is there a **coordinate-free** analogue of this construction involving connections?

Answer: Yes, uses covariant derivative along curves.

### Definition

Let M be a smooth manifold,  $\nabla$  a connection in  $TM \to M$ , and  $\gamma : I \to M$  a smooth curve. Then  $\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma' \in \Gamma_{\gamma}(TM)$  is called the **acceleration** of  $\gamma$  (with respect to  $\nabla$ ).

Of particular interest is the case if the acceleration of a curve vanishes, that is if its velocity vector field is parallel:

### Definition

A smooth curve  $\gamma: I \to M$  is called **geodesic** with respect to a given connection  $\nabla$  in  $TM \to M$  if  $\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma' = 0$ .

#### Geodesics

In local coordinates  $(x^1, \ldots, x^n)$  on M we obtain for any smooth curve  $\gamma: I \to M$  the **local formula** 

$$\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma' = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \gamma^k}{\partial t^2} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \gamma^i}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \gamma^j}{\partial t} \Gamma^k_{ij} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}.$$

As a consequence we obtain a local form of the geodesic equation:

### Corollary

 $\gamma$  is a geodesic if and only if in all local coordinates covering a nontrivial subset of the image of  $\gamma$  it holds that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \gamma^k}{\partial t^2} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial \gamma^i}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \gamma^j}{\partial t} \Gamma^k_{ij} = 0 \quad \forall 1 \le k \le n,$$

where one usually writes  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  instead of  $\Gamma_{ij}^k \circ \gamma$ .

Alternative notation:  $\ddot{x}^k + \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j \Gamma_{ij}^k = 0 \ \forall 1 \le k \le n.$ 

### Example

Geodesics w.r.t. the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of  $\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \sum\limits_{i=1}^n (du^i)^2\right)$  are affine lines of constant speed. For any arbitrary curve  $\gamma: I \to \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$abla_{\gamma'}\gamma'=\gamma''=\left(\gamma,rac{\partial^2\gamma^1}{\partial t^2},\ldots,rac{\partial^2\gamma^n}{\partial t^2}
ight).$$

If a curve is a geodesic with respect to a **metric connection**, e.g. the Levi-Civita connection, it automatically has the following property:

#### Lemma

Let  $\gamma: I \to M$  be a geodesic on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) with respect to a metric connection  $\nabla$ . Then  $g(\gamma', \gamma'): I \to \mathbb{R}$  is constant.

**Proof:** 
$$\frac{\partial (g(\gamma',\gamma'))}{\partial t} = \nabla_{\gamma'}(g(\gamma',\gamma')) = (\nabla_{\gamma'}g)(\gamma',\gamma') + 2g(\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma',\gamma') = 0$$

### Corollary

A geodesic  $\gamma$  in w.r.t. the Levi-Civita connection of a **Riemannian manifold** (M, g) has **constant speed**  $\sqrt{g(\gamma', \gamma')}$ .

Next, we need to study if geodesics **always exist** and determine their **uniqueness**.

#### **Proposition A**

- Let M be a smooth manifold and ∇ a connection in TM → M. Let further p ∈ M and v ∈ T<sub>p</sub>M. Then there exists ε > 0 and a smooth curve γ : (-ε, ε) → M, γ(0) = p, γ'(0) = v, such that γ is a geodesic.
  If γ<sub>1</sub> : I<sub>1</sub> → M and γ<sub>2</sub> : I<sub>2</sub> → M are geodesics on M such
  - that  $l_1 \cap l_2 \neq \emptyset$  and for some point  $t_0 \in l_1 \cap l_2$ ,  $\gamma_1(t_0) = \gamma_2(t_0)$  and  $\gamma'_1(t_0) = \gamma'_2(t_0)$ , then  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ coincide on  $l_1 \cap l_2$ , i.e.  $\gamma_1|_{l_1 \cap l_2} = \gamma_2|_{l_1 \cap l_2}$ .

Proof: (next page)

#### Geodesics

(continuation of proof)

- suffices to prove this proposition in local coordinates
- the differential equation for a geodesic in local coordinates  $\ddot{x}^k + \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j \Gamma_{ij}^k = 0$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ , is a nonlinear system of second order ODEs
- →→ turn this system of *n* second order ODEs into a system of 2*n* first order system of ODEs
- $\rightsquigarrow$  system of equations

$$\dot{x}^k = v^k, \ \dot{v}^i = -\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j \Gamma^k_{ij} \quad \forall 1 \le k \le n$$

### with fitting initial values

- first thing in need of **clarification**: the symbols  $v^k$
- the  $v^k$  are precisely the **induced coordinates** on  $TU \subset TM$ , so that  $v^k(V) = V(x^k)$  for all  $V \in T_qM$  with  $q \in U$
- in the above eqn., the x<sup>k</sup> and v<sup>k</sup> are, however, to be read as components of a curve
   (x = x(t), v = v(t)) : I → TM

#### Geodesics

(continuation of proof)

- in local coordinates x<sup>k</sup>, v<sup>k</sup>, the loc. geod. eqn. can be viewed as integral curve of a vector field on TU,
   G ∈ 𝔅(TU), that is a smooth section in TTU → TU
- ~→ to see this first observe that since the x<sup>k</sup> and v<sup>k</sup> are coordinate functions on TU, they induce coordinates on TTU
- the corresponding local frame in  $TTU \rightarrow TU$  is given by

$$\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1},\ldots,\frac{\partial}{\partial x^n},\frac{\partial}{\partial v^1},\ldots,\frac{\partial}{\partial v^n}\right\}$$

• one can imagine each  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$  as being "horizontal" and each  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$  as being "vertical"

■ using **Einstein summation convention**, *G* is given by

$$G = v^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} - v^i v^j \Gamma^k_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^k}$$

- by covering *M* with charts and thus *TM* with induced charts, *G* extends to a vector field on *TM*,  $G \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$
- since any integral curve of G, (x, v) : I → TM, t ↦ (x(t), v(t)), fulfils ẋ = v, it is precisely the velocity vector field of the curve x : I → M, t ↦ x(t)
- hence, the **projection** of any integral curve of G to M via the bundle projection  $\pi : TM \to M$  is a **geodesic**
- by existence and uniqueness properties of integral curves of vector fields, the statement of this proposition follows

### Definition

The (local) flow of the vector field  $G \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$ , locally given by  $G = v^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} - v^i v^j \Gamma^k_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^k}$  as in Proposition A, is called **geodesic flow** with respect to  $\nabla$ . **Local uniqueness** of geodesics allows us to define a **maximality property** for geodesics:

#### Definition

A geodesic  $\gamma: I \to M$  is called **maximal** if there exists no strictly larger interval  $\widetilde{I} \supset I$  and a geodesic  $\widetilde{\gamma}: \widetilde{I} \to M$ , such that  $\widetilde{\gamma}|_{I} = \gamma$ . This means that  $\gamma$  cannot be extended to a larger domain while still keeping its **geodesic property**. A smooth manifold with connection  $\nabla$  in  $TM \to M$  is called **geodesically complete** if every maximal geodesic is defined on  $I = \mathbb{R}$ . A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g), respectively the metric g, is called **geodesically complete**.

**Question:** Which **reparametrisation** of geodesics are allowed so that the result is still a geodesic? **Answer:** 

### Lemma

Let  $\gamma : I \to M$  be a geodesic with **non-vanishing speed** with respect to  $\nabla$  and  $f : I \to I'$  a diffeomorphism. Then  $\gamma \circ f$  is a geodesic with non-vanishing speed **if and only if** f is **affine-linear**, that is of the form f(t) = at + b for  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

### Proof:

■ local formula & chain rule ~→

$$\nabla_{(\gamma \circ f)'}(\gamma \circ f)' = f'' \cdot \gamma' \circ f + (f')^2 \cdot (\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma') \circ f = f'' \cdot \gamma' \circ f,$$

where the last equality comes from assumption that  $\gamma$  is a  $\ensuremath{\operatorname{\textbf{geodesic}}}$ 

hence, 
$$\gamma \circ f$$
 is a geodesic **if and only if**  $f'' = 0$ , that is if  $f = at + b$  with  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ 

An immediate consequence is:

### **Corollary A**

Maximal geodesics are **unique up to affine reparametrisation**. If  $\gamma : I \to M$  is a geodesic with initial value  $\gamma(0) = p$ ,  $\gamma'(0) = v \in T_pM$ ,  $t \mapsto \gamma_a(t) := \gamma(at)$  is a geodesic with initial value  $\gamma_a(0) = p$ ,  $\gamma'_a(t) = av$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . If a = 0, the domain of  $\gamma_a$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $a \neq 0$ , the domain of  $\gamma_a$  is  $a^{-1} \cdot I$ .

In the case of **pseudo-Riemannian manifolds**, we have the following additional result:

### Corollary

A geodesic in a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection with **nonvanishing velocity** can always be parametrised to be of **unit speed**, that is either  $g(\gamma', \gamma') \equiv 1$  or  $g(\gamma', \gamma') \equiv -1$ .

### Example

Each maximal geodesics of ℝ<sup>n</sup> equipped with the canonical connection with initial condition
 γ(0) = p ∈ ℝ<sup>n</sup>, γ'(0) = v ∈ T<sub>p</sub>ℝ<sup>n</sup> ≅ ℝ<sup>n</sup>, is of the form

 $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \mapsto p + tv.$ 

This in particular means that the canonical connection on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is geodesically complete.

• Consider  $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with **induced metric**  $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle |_{TS^n \times TS^n}$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the standard Riemannian metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The maximal geodesics of  $(S^n, g)$  with respect to the Levi-Civita connection are great circles, that is

$$\gamma: \mathbb{R} \to S^n, \quad t \mapsto e^{At}p$$

for  $\gamma(0) = p \in S^n$ ,  $\gamma'(0) = Ap$ ,  $A \in Mat(n \times n)$  skew. This, again, means that the Levi-Civita connection of  $(S^n, g)$  is geodesically complete. Next, we will construct the **exponential map** of a given connection in the tangent bundle of a smooth manifold. This requires some **technical tools** and **auxiliary results**.

### Definition

Let M be a smooth manifold with connection  $\nabla$  in  $TM \to M$ . An **open neighbourhood of the zero section** in  $TM \to M$  is an open set  $V \subset TM$  such that for all  $p \in M$ ,  $V_p := T_pM \cap V$ is an **open neighbourhood of the origin**  $0 \in T_pM$ . Note that the **smooth manifold structure** and **topology** on  $T_pM$  are induced by the local trivialisations of  $TM \to M$  and the corresponding **fibrewise isomorphisms**  $T_pM \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $\nabla$  be a connection in  $TM \to M$ . Then there **exists an** open nbhd. of the zero section  $V \subset TM$ , such that for all  $v \in V_p \subset V$ , the geodesic  $\gamma_v$  with initial condition  $\gamma_v(0) = p$ ,  $\gamma'_v(0) = v$ , has domain containing the compact interval [0, 1].

## Proof: (next page)

- Corollary A implies that if  $\gamma_v$  is defined on at least [0, 1],  $\gamma_{rv}$  for  $r \in [0, 1]$  is also defined on [0, 1]
- construction in proof of Proposition A ~→ geodesics can be viewed as **projections of integral curves** of a vector field on *TM*
- hence, by identifying M with the image of the zero section in TM and using Corollary A, it follows that in order to prove this proposition it suffices to show that for all  $p \in M \subset TM$  we can find  $\varepsilon_p > 0$  and an open neighbourhood  $W_p$  of p in TM (not a subset of the fibre  $T_pM$ ), such that all integral curves of  $G = v^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} - v^i v^j \Gamma^k_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^k}$  starting in  $W_p$  are defined on at least  $[0, \varepsilon_p]$
- this follows from the fact that G is a smooth vector field
- if  $\varepsilon_p < 1$ , we rescale  $W_p$  fibrewise with scaling factor  $\varepsilon_p$ , so that we can assume w.l.o.g. that all integral curves of *G* starting in  $W_p$  are defined on at least [0, 1]

■ repeating this procedure for all p ∈ M ⊂ TM, we obtain our desired open neighbourhood V ⊂ TM of the zero section in TM → M by setting

$$V:=\bigcup_{p\in M}W_p$$

Now we have all tools at hand to define the exponential map:

### Definition

Let  $V \subset TM$  be an open neighbourhood of the zero section in  $TM \to M$  such that for all  $v \in V$ , the unique maximal geodesic  $\gamma_v$  with respect to  $\nabla$  with initial condition  $\gamma_v(0) = p$ ,  $\gamma'_v(0) = v$ , is defined on [0, 1]. The exponential map with respect to  $\nabla$  is defined as

 $\exp: V o M, \quad v \mapsto \gamma_v(1).$ 

The **exponential map at**  $p \in M \exp_p : V_p \to M$  is the restriction of exp to  $V_p$ .

We want to use the exponential map to construct certain **nice local coordinates**. In order to do so, we need:

### **Proposition B**

Let *M* be a smooth manifold and  $\nabla$  a connection in  $TM \rightarrow M$ . For all  $p \in M$ , the exponential map at *p* is a **local diffeomorphism near**  $0 \in T_pM$ .

### Proof:

- suffices to show d exp<sub>p</sub> = id<sub>TpM</sub>, which together with theorem about local invertibility will complete the proof
- let  $\gamma_v$  denote the maximal geodesic with chosen initial value  $\gamma_v(0) = p$ ,  $\gamma'_v(0) = v$  for  $v \in T_pM$
- Corollary A implies  $d \exp_p(v) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \exp_p(tv) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \gamma_{tv}(1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \gamma_v(t) = \gamma'_v(0) = v$
- since  $v \in T_p M$  was **arbitrary** the claim follows

Note: Strictly speaking, we identified  $T_0 T_p M$  with  $T_p M$  for the domain of  $d \exp_p$  via the canonical isomorphism (0, v) = v.

### Remark

If  $\nabla$  is **geodesically complete**, exp is defined on *TM*. This however does **not** mean that there exists  $p \in M$ , such that  $\exp_p$  is a **diffeomorphism**.

### Exercise

- Show that for any  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\exp_p$  defined on  $\mathcal{T}_p\mathbb{R}^n$  with respect to the canonical connection is a **diffeomorphism**.
- Show that if M is compact and  $\nabla$  is any connection in  $TM \rightarrow M$ ,  $\exp_p$  is never a diffeomorphism for all  $p \in M$ , independent of its domain  $V_p \subset T_pM$ .

Aside from geodesics, it is also common to study curves fulfilling a similar but weaker requirement:

### Definition

A smooth curve  $\gamma: I \to M$  is called **pregeodesic** with respect to a connection in  $TM \to M$  if it has a reparametrisation as a geodesic, that is if there exists a diffeomorphism  $f: I' \to I$ , such that  $\gamma \circ f$  is a geodesic.

Pregeodesics fulfil the following equation similar to the **geodesic** equation:

#### Lemma

Any given pregeodesic  $\gamma : I \to M$  with respect to a connection  $\nabla$  in  $TM \to M$  fulfils  $\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma' = F\gamma'$  for some smooth function  $F : I \to \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof:** Follows from writing out  $\nabla_{(\gamma \circ f)'}(\gamma \circ f)'$  using our local formula for  $\gamma \circ f$  a geodesic.

We have not yet given a **geometric reason** as to **why** one would study geodesics in the first place. To do so we introduce the **energy functional** for curves with compact domain.

### Definition

Let (M,g) be a **pseudo-Riemannian manifold** and  $\gamma : [a,b] \to M$  be a **smooth curve**. The **energy functional** evaluated at  $\gamma$ , or simply **energy of**  $\gamma$ , is given by

$$E(\gamma) := rac{1}{2} \int\limits_{a}^{b} g(\gamma', \gamma') dt.$$

### Note:

- Compare the above with the definition of the length L(γ) of γ for (M, g) Riemannian!
- E(γ) can also be defined for piecewise smooth curves, see discussion in lecture notes.
- We did not specify a structure on the domain of E. This is, in general, a very difficult task.

For our purposes we need to understand how to **perturb** a given curve  $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$  in a "good" way, so that we can study the infinitesimal change in  $E(\gamma)$ .

### Definition

Let  $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$  be a smooth curve and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . A smooth map  $\eta : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [a, b] \to M$  is called **variation of**  $\gamma$  if  $\eta(0, t) = \gamma(t)$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ .  $\eta$  is called **variation with fixed endpoints of**  $\gamma$  if  $\eta(s, a) = \gamma(a)$  and  $\eta(s, b) = \gamma(b)$  for all  $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . The vector field V along  $\gamma$ ,  $V_{\gamma(t)} = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial s}(0, t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}M$ , is the **variational vector field** corresponding to  $\eta$ .

Note: Geometrically, we understand  $\eta$  as a smooth family of curves containing  $\gamma$ . Furthermore, independently of the chosen variation with fixed endpoints,  $V_{\gamma(a)} = V_{\gamma(b)} = 0$ .

Question: Can every vector field along a curve  $\gamma$  with compact domain be realised as the variational vector field of a variation of  $\gamma$ ?

Answer: Yes!

### Lemma

Let  $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$  be a smooth curve and  $V \in \Gamma_{\gamma}(TM)$ . Then there exists a variation  $\eta$  of  $\gamma$ , such that V is the **variational vector field** of  $\eta$ . If  $V_{\gamma(a)} = V_{\gamma(b)} = 0$ ,  $\eta$  can be chosen to be variation with **fixed endpoints**.

## Proof:

- fix a Riemannian metric g on M with Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$
- let exp :  $V \rightarrow M$  denote the corresponding exponential map
- we now define a variation of  $\gamma$  via

$$\eta: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [a, b] \to M, \quad \eta(s, t) := \exp(sV_{\gamma(t)})$$

### for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough

we can always find such an ε by the compactness of
 [a, b] and the smoothness of V

• if V vanishes at  $\gamma(a)$  and  $\gamma(b)$ ,  $\eta$  has the property  $\eta(s, a) = \gamma(a)$  and  $\eta(s, b) = \gamma(b)$  for all  $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ 

 we check with a calculation as the one in the proof of Proposition B

$$rac{\partial \eta}{\partial s}(0,t) = V_{\gamma(t)}$$

for all  $t \in [a, b]$ 

• hence,  $\eta$  fulfils the required properties of this lemma

We can now can describe the **infinitesimal change** in  $E(\gamma)$  with respect to a variational vector field:

### Lemma

Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$ . Then the first variation of the energy at a smooth curve  $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$  with respect to a given variational vector field  $V \in \Gamma_{\gamma}(TM)$  with a choice of corresponding variation of  $\gamma$ ,  $\eta : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [a, b] \to M$ ,  $\eta : (s, t) \mapsto \eta(s, t)$ , is given by  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}|_{s=0} E(\eta(s, \cdot))$  and fulfils

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \bigg|_{s=0} E(\eta(s, \cdot))$$

$$= -\int_{a}^{b} g(V, \nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma') dt + g(V_{\gamma(b)}, \gamma'(b)) - g(V_{\gamma(a)}, \gamma'(a)).$$

In the special case that V vanishes at the start- and end-point of  $\gamma$ , we have have

$$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} E(\eta(s,\cdot)) = -\int\limits_{a}^{b} g(V, \nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma') dt.$$

# Proof:

- we will use the Einstein summation convention
- let η' = η'(s, t) = ∂η/∂t denote the velocity vector field of the family of smooth curves η for s fixed
- we calculate

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \bigg|_{s=0} E(\eta(s,\cdot)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \bigg|_{s=0} g(\eta',\eta') dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} \nabla_{V}(g(\eta',\eta')) dt = \int_{a}^{b} g(\gamma',\nabla_{V}\eta') dt \end{split}$$

 $\hfill\blacksquare$  for the last equality we have used that  $\nabla$  is  $\mbox{metric}$ 

• for the next step we need to prove that  $abla_V \eta' = 
abla_{\gamma'} V$ 

Solution → we have a straight or straight of the straighto

- denote  $V^s = V^s_{\eta(s,t)} := \frac{\partial \eta^k}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$ , so that  $V^0 = V$
- $\blacksquare \rightsquigarrow$  suffices to show that  $\left. \left( 
  abla_{V^s} \eta' 
  ight) 
  ight|_{s=0} = 
  abla_{\gamma'} V$
- using our local formula we find

$$\nabla_{V^{s}}\eta' = \left(\frac{\partial^{2}\eta^{k}}{\partial s\partial t} + \frac{\partial\eta^{i}}{\partial s}\frac{\partial\eta^{j}}{\partial t}\Gamma_{ij}^{k}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}$$

and

$$\nabla_{\gamma'} \mathbf{V} = \left. \left( \frac{\partial^2 \eta^k}{\partial t \partial s} + \frac{\partial \eta^i}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \eta^j}{\partial s} \mathsf{\Gamma}^k_{ij} \right) \right|_{s=0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}.$$

- ∇ being torsion-free is equivalent to the Christoffel symbols being symmetric in the lower indices
- hence, the above local formulas for  $(\nabla_{V^s}\eta')|_{s=0}$  and  $\nabla_{\gamma'}V$  indeed **coincide**
- since  $p \in \gamma([a, b])$  was **arbitrary** we deduce that the equality holds **for all**  $t \in [a, b]$

hence we obtain with partial integration

$$\int_{a}^{b} g(\gamma', \nabla_{V} \eta') dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} g(\gamma', \nabla_{\gamma'} V) dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(\gamma', V) - g(\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma', V) \right) dt$$

$$= g(V_{\gamma(b)}, \gamma'(b)) - g(V_{\gamma(a)}, \gamma'(a)) - \int_{a}^{b} g(V, \nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma') dt$$

• reordering the above equation finishes the proof

### Corollary

Geodesics defined on a compact interval with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of a pseudo-Riemannian are **critical points** of the energy functional in the sense that the **first variation of the energy** with respect to variations with fixed end points **vanishes**.

The converse also holds true:

#### Exercise

A curve in a pseudo-Riemannian manifold defined on a compact interval is a **geodesic** with respect to the Levi-Civita connection **if it is a critical point** of the energy functional.

Furthermore, you should try to solve:

### Exercise A

Find a formula for the **first variation of the length** of a curve in a **Riemannian manifold**. Are geodesics also **critical points of the length functional** in our sense?

#### Remark

In **Riemannian geometry**, one can show that geodesics with respect to the Levi-Civita connection and with compact domain are **not just critical points** of the energy and length functional, but also **local minimisers**. This means that for every variation with fixed endpoints  $\eta : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [a, b] \rightarrow M$  of a geodesic  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$  in  $(M, g), E(\eta(s, \cdot)) \geq E(\gamma)$  for  $\varepsilon$  small enough.

### **References:**

- J.M. Lee, *Riemannian Manifolds An Introduction to Curvature*, Springer GTM 176 (1997)
- C. Bär, Differential Geometry, lecture notes (2013)
- O. Goertsches, *Differentialgeometrie*, lecture notes (2014) (in German)

The exponential map at a fixed point can be used to construct **coordinates** on a given manifold with **particularly nice properties** if  $exp_p$  comes from the **Levi-Civita connection**.

### Definition

Let M be a smooth manifold with connection  $\nabla$  in its tangent bundle. Suppose that  $V \subset T_p M$  is a **star-shaped open neighbourhood of the origin**, such that  $\exp_p : V \to \exp_p(V)$ is a **diffeomorphism**. Then  $U = \exp_p(V)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $p \in M$  and is called **normal neighbourhood** of  $p \in M$ . Let  $U \subset M$  be such a normal neighbourhood of a **fixed**  $p \in M$ . Then the exponential map at p can be used to **define local coordinates**  $(x^1, \ldots, x^n)$  near p as follows: Choose a **basis**  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  of  $T_pM$  and define coordinates **implicitly** via

$$\exp_p\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x^i(q)v_i\right) = q$$

for all  $q \in U$ . This just means that the  $x^i$  are the **prefactor** functions of  $\exp_p^{-1}$  written in the basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ . (continued on next page)

### Definition (continuation)

**Smoothness** of the  $x^i$  follows from the **implicit function theorem**. If (M, g) is a **pseudo-Riemannian manifold** with **Levi-Civita connection**  $\nabla$ , normal coordinates at  $p \in M$  with respect to an **orthonormal basis**  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  of  $T_pM$  are called **Riemannian normal coordinates** at  $p \in M$ . If (M, g) is **Riemannian** and  $V = B_r(0) = \{v \in T_pM \mid g_p(v, v) < r\}$  for some r > 0, the corresponding domain of the Riemannian normal coordinates  $B_r^g(p) := \exp_p(B_\varepsilon(0))$  is called **geodesic ball of radius** r **centred at** p in M. The **upper index** gindicates the corresponding Riemannian metric.

One application of Riemannian normal coordinates is:

#### Lemma

Any two points of a connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) can be connected by a piecewise smooth curve, such that every smooth segment of that curve is a geodesic.

Proof: Exercise!

Apart from connecting points with piecewise geodesics, Riemannian normal coordinates have the property that certain **geometric quantities** are, at the reference point, of a **very simple form**.

### **Proposition C**

Let (M, g) be a **pseudo-Riemannian manifold** with Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  and let  $\varphi = (x^1, \ldots, x^n)$  be **Riemannian normal coordinates near**  $p \in M$  corresponding to a choice of orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  of  $T_pM$ . Then the **prefactors of** g written locally as  $\sum g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$  fulfil

$$g_{ij}(p) = \varepsilon_{ij}$$

for all  $1 \le i, j \le n$ , where  $\varepsilon_{ij} = g(v_i, v_j)$ . The **Christoffel** symbols of  $\nabla$  and all partial derivatives of the local smooth functions  $g_{ij}$  vanish at p, that is

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{k}(p) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^{k}}(p) = 0$$

for all  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ . (continued on next page)

### **Proposition C (continuation)**

If  $\gamma_w : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to M$ ,  $\gamma_w(0) = p$ ,  $\gamma'_w(0) = w \in T_pM$ , is a geodesic starting at  $p \in M$  such that its image is contained in the domain of  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi \circ \gamma_w$  is of the form

$$\varphi(\gamma_w(t)) = tw$$

for all  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ .

**Proof:** (next page)

• for 
$$g_{ij}(p) = \varepsilon_{ij}$$
 we show that  $v_k = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \right|_p$  for all  $1 \le k \le n$ 

 x<sup>k</sup>(p) = 0 for all 1 ≤ k ≤ n by construction implies (after, as before, identifying T<sub>0</sub>T<sub>p</sub>M ≅ T<sub>p</sub>M)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} d \exp_{\rho} |_{0} (v_{k}) \otimes dx^{k}|_{\rho} = \operatorname{id}_{\tau_{\rho}M}.$$
 (1)

- on the other hand we know by Proposition B that  $d \exp_p |_0(v_k) = v_k$  for all  $1 \le k \le n$
- applying both sides of (2) to  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\Big|_p$  proves our claim and, hence,  $g_{ij}(p) = \varepsilon_{ij}$
- next, note that 
   <sup>dgij</sup>/<sub>∂x<sup>k</sup></sub>(p) = 0 implies with the help of
   **explicit local formula** for Christoffel symbols that all
   Christoffel symbols at p must also vanish
- In order to prove  $\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k}(p) = 0$  we first show that the local form of geodesics  $\varphi \circ \gamma_w$  is of the **claimed form**

- by construction of the exponential map have  $\gamma_w(t) = \exp_p(tw)$  for all  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$
- writing  $w = \sum_{k=1}^{n} w^k v_k$ , we have by definition of Riemannian normal coordinates

$$egin{aligned} &\gamma_{w}(t) = \exp_{p}(tw) \ &= \exp_{p}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}tw^{k}v_{k}
ight) = \exp_{p}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}x^{k}(\gamma_{w}(t))v_{k}
ight), \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $\varphi(\gamma_w(t)) = tw$  for all  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  as claimed

• writing down the **geodesic equation** for  $\gamma_w$  in our **local** coordinates at p with  $\ddot{x}^k(0) = \frac{\partial^2(x^k(\gamma_w))}{\partial t^2}(0) = 0$  and  $\dot{x}^k(0) = w^k$  for all  $1 \le k \le n$  shows that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^k(\rho) w^i w^j = 0 \quad \forall 1 \le k \le n$$

- this holds for arbitrary initial condition for the geodesic  $\gamma'_w(0) = w \in T_p M$ , this proves that for each fixed  $1 \le k \le n$ ,  $(\Gamma^k_{ij}(p))_{ij}$  viewed as symmetric bilinear form on  $T_p M \times T_p M$  must identically vanish
- hence,  $\Gamma_{ij}^k(p) = 0$  for all  $1 \le i, j, k \le n$
- for the vanishing of the partial derivatives of each  $g_{ij}$  at p, observe that  $\nabla$  being metric implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \left( g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right) \right) \\ &= g\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n \Gamma_{ki}^{\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell}, \frac{\partial}{\partial j}\right) + g\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n \Gamma_{kj}^{\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell}, \frac{\partial}{\partial i}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $1 \le k \le n$ 

 evaluating the above equation at p and using that all Christoffel symbols vanish at p yields the desired result

### Warning

In Proposition C we have seen that with the **right choice of coordinates**, any pseudo-Riemannian metric and Levi-Civita connection can be brought to a very simple form **at a chosen point**. While this **works** of course **for every point** in the manifold, this does **not** mean that every pseudo-Riemannian metric is **locally** of the form  $g_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ij}$  on some open neighbourhood of our chosen reference point, **this can in general only be achieved at said point!** Otherwise, every manifold would be **flat**, and comparing with the upcoming lecture about **curvature** shows that this is clearly **not the case**. Proposition C implies that we have some **control** over the **Taylor expansion** of a pseudo-Riemannian metric at a chosen point.

### Corollary

The Taylor expansion of  $g_{ij}$  in Riemannian normal coordinates  $(x^1, \ldots, x^n)$  at their reference point  $p \in M$  is of the form

$$g_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ij} + O\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x^k)^2\right).$$

Lastly, we will discuss some **advanced results** in this setting that we will not prove, but you should still have heard about.

#### Remark

• We have seen that geodesics with **compact domain** in Riemannian manifolds are **critical points of the energy functional**, and solving Exercise A shows that they are in fact also **critical points of the length functional**. One can, however, show more and prove that they are not just any type of critical point but **local minimisers**, meaning that for any variation  $\eta$  of  $\gamma$  with fixed endpoints,  $E(\eta(s, \cdot)) \ge E(\gamma)$  and  $L(\eta(s, \cdot)) \ge L(\gamma)$  for *s* small enough. **Reference:** Chapter 6 in J.M. Lee, *Riemannian Manifolds – An Introduction to Curvature*, Springer GTM 176 (1997)

### Remark (continuation)

- An other way to study Riemannian manifolds is in the context of metric geometry. In fact, every Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M induces the structure of a metric space on M, which in turn induces a topology on M. It turns out that the induced topology on M coincides, independently of the Riemannian metric g, with the initial topology on M.
- We have interpreted variations of curves as a family of curves depending on one parameter. In the case that γ : I → M is a geodesic and η : (-ε, ε) × I → M is a variation of γ, are there choices for η, such that every η(s, ·) : I → M is a geodesic, not just η(0, ·) = γ? The answer is yes, and the corresponding variational vector fields are called Jacobi fields.

An extremely useful theorem in global Riemannian geometry is the following:

### Theorem (Hopf-Rinow)

Let (M, g) be a **Riemannian manifold**. Then the following are **equivalent**:

- (*M*, *g*) is geodesically complete.
- *M* with the induced metric<sup>a</sup> from the Riemannian metric *g* is complete as a metric space.
- Every closed and bounded<sup>b</sup> subset of *M* is compact.

<sup>a</sup>As in metric space. <sup>b</sup>W.r.t. the induced metric.

**Proof:** See Ch. 5, Thm. 21 in B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry With Applications to Relativity* (1983), Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. **103**, Academic Press, NY.

The Hopf-Rinow Theorem can be used to prove the following lemmata about **geodesic completeness**. Note that they are **in practice** actually useful.

#### Lemma

A Riemannian manifold (M,g) is geodesically complete **if and** only if every curve with image not contained in any compact set has infinite length.

### Proof:

- if (M, g) is geodesically complete, a curve γ that is not contained in any compact set is by the Hopf-Rinow Theorem in particular not contained in the closure of the geodesic ball B<sup>g</sup><sub>r</sub>(γ(t<sub>0</sub>)) for any t<sub>0</sub> in the domain of γ and any r > 0
- hence,  $\gamma$  has infinite length

- if (M, g) is geodesically incomplete, we can find an inextensible geodesic γ : [0, a) → M, a > 0, of unit speed [note: L(γ) = a < ∞]</li>
- suppose that  $\gamma([0, a))$  is contained in a compactum  $K \subset M$
- then γ converges in K and can thus be extended as a geodesic, which is a contradiction

### Lemma

- Let M be a smooth manifold and g, h Riemannian metrics on M. Assume that for all  $p \in M$  and all  $v \in T_pM$ ,  $h_p(v, v) \ge g_p(v, v)$ , or  $h \ge g$  for short. If (M, g) is geodesically complete, (M, h) is also geodesically complete.
- Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold. If there exists R > 0, such that  $\overline{B_R^g(p)}$  is compactly embedded in M for all  $p \in M$ , then (M,g) is geodesically complete.

Proof: Exercise!

# **END OF LECTURE 17**

Next lecture:

curvature