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## Definition

A homogeneous polynomial $h: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called hyperbolic if $\exists p \in\{h>0\}$, such that $-\partial^{2} h_{p}$ has Minkowski signature. Such a point $p$ is called hyperbolic point of $h$.

- two hyperbolic polynomials $h, \widetilde{h}$ equivalent $: \Leftrightarrow \exists A \in \operatorname{GL}(n+1)$, such that $A^{*} \widetilde{h}=h$
- there is precisely one equivalence class of quadratic hyperbolic polynomials in each dimension
- there is no general classification for higher degree $\operatorname{deg}(h) \geq \mathbf{3}$
- in the following: $\operatorname{hyp}_{1}(h):=\{$ hyperbolic points of $h\} \cap\{h=1\}$


## Definition

Open subsets of $\operatorname{hyp}_{1}(h)$ are called projective special real (PSR) manifolds for $\operatorname{deg}(h)=3$, and generalised PSR (GPSR) manifolds for $\operatorname{deg}(h) \geq 4$.

Example: The level set $\left\{h_{i}=1\right\}, i \in\{1,2\}$, for $h_{1}=x^{4}-x^{2}\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)-\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{3 \sqrt{3}} x y^{3}$ and $h_{2}=x y z$


- note: $\operatorname{hyp}_{1}\left(h_{1}\right) \mp\left\{h_{1}=1\right\}, \operatorname{hyp}_{1}\left(h_{2}\right)=\left\{h_{2}=1\right\}$


## Remark

$\operatorname{hyp}_{1}(h)$ admits a natural Riemannian metric $\boldsymbol{g}$ that is given by the restriction of

$$
-\partial^{2} h
$$

to $T \operatorname{hyp}_{1}(h) \times T \operatorname{hyp}_{1}(h)$.

- $g$ is the centro-affine fundamental form determined by the centro-affine Gauß equation

$$
\mathrm{D}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X}^{\mathrm{ca}} Y+g(X, Y) \xi
$$

- $\mathrm{D}=$ flat connection on ambient $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$
- $\nabla^{\text {ca }}=$ induced centro-affine connection in $T \operatorname{hyp}_{1}(h)$
- $\xi=$ position vector field in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

Explicit constructions of special Kähler and quaternionic Kähler manifolds:

- supergravity r-map constructs from given PSR manifold $\mathcal{H}$ a projective special Kähler (PSK) manifold $M \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+1}+i \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cdot \mathcal{H}$ [DV'92, CHM'12]
- supergravity c-map constructs from given PSK manifold $M$ a (non-compact) quaternionic Kähler manifold $N \cong M \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n+5} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ [FS'90]
- above constructions preserve geodesic completeness



## Motivation 2: Kähler geometry

Geometry of Kähler cones [DP'04, W'04, TW'11]:

- for $X$ a compact Kähler $\tau$-fold, the homogeneous polynomial

$$
h: H^{1,1}(X ; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad[\omega] \mapsto \int_{X} \omega^{\tau}
$$

is hyperbolic since every point in the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K} \subset H^{1,1}(X ; \mathbb{R})$ is hyperbolic by the Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations

- $\mathcal{H}:=\{h=1\} \cap \mathcal{K}$ is a (G)PSR manifold for $\tau \geq 3$
- in general, $\mathcal{H}$ is not a connected component of $\operatorname{hyp}_{1}(h)$



## Motivation 3: Combinatorial geometry

Lorentzian polynomials [BH]:

- a degree $\tau \geq 2$ homogeneous polynomial $h: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly Lorentzian if
(i) $-\partial_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{n-1}} h$ has Minkowski signature $\forall i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n-1} \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$
(ii) $h$ has only positive coefficients
- Lorentzian polynomials := limits of Lorentzian polynomials in vector space $\operatorname{Sym}^{\tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)^{*}$
- Lorentzian polynomials have applications in matroid theory and in the geometry of Kähler cones [BH]


## Remark [BH, Thm, 2.16]

Strictly Lorentzian polynomials are hyperbolic, i.e. every point in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n+1}$ is hyperbolic.

Question 1: Which hyperbolic/strictly Lorentzian polynomial can be realised as the volume polynomial of some compact Kähler manifold?

Question 2: What does the geometry of the volume polynomial, i.e. of the Riemannian manifold $\operatorname{hyp}_{1}(h)$, tell us about the underlying Kähler manifold?
$\leadsto$ We take the following (hopefully realistic) approach:

- Restriction 1: cubic hyperbolic polynomials, respectively compact Kähler 3-folds
- Restriction 2: smooth projective toric 3-folds for the considered Kähler manifolds


## Why these restrictions?

## Cubic hyperbolic polynomials

- global geometry a connected component $\mathcal{H}$ of $\operatorname{hyp}_{1}(h)$ is complete w.r.t centro-affine metric $g$ iff $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is closed
- have some classification results for corresponding PSR manifolds:
(i) curves [CHM'12], 3 equivalence classes (2 closed, 1 homogeneous space)
(ii) surfaces [CDL'14], 7 equivalence classes ( $5+1$ one-parameter family closed, 2 homogeneous spaces)
(iii) reducible $h$ [CDJL'17]
(iv) homogeneous PSR manifolds [DV'92]

While not completely understood in general dimension, the moduli space of hyperbolic cubics cubics has the following characterisation:

## Theorem [L'19]

Let $y:=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$, and let $h: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a hyperbolic cubic. Then
(i) $h \cong x^{3}-x\left(y_{1}^{2}+\ldots+y_{n}^{2}\right)+P_{3}(y)$, where $P_{3}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is homogeneous of degree 3
(ii) $\operatorname{hyp}_{1}(h)$ contains a complete connected component iff $\exists$ choice for $P_{3}$, such that $\left\|P_{3}\right\|:=\max _{|y|=1} P_{3}(y) \leq \frac{2}{3 \sqrt{3}}$.

- can roughly split up study of the moduli space of hyperbolic cubics $h$ in standard form $x^{3}-x\langle y, y\rangle+P_{3}(y)$ into whether $\left\|P_{3}\right\| \leq \frac{2}{3 \sqrt{3}}$, or $\left\|P_{3}\right\|>\frac{2}{3 \sqrt{3}}$
- if $h$ is in standard form, $P_{3}$-term gives information about the connected component of $\operatorname{hyp}_{1}(h)$ that contains $(x, y)=(1,0)$
- the standard form with $\left\|P_{3}\right\| \leq \frac{2}{3 \sqrt{3}}$ allows us to describe the asymptotic geometry of complete connected components of $\operatorname{hyp}_{1}(h)$, these are again complete PSR manifolds [L'20] and describe the boundary points of $\mathrm{GL}(n+1)$-orbits in the moduli space.


## Smooth projective toric 3-folds

- for our purpose, need the fan picture to describe torics
- toric 3-folds $X$ are described by their moment polytope $M$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$
- alternatively, describe $X$ by the fan $\Sigma$ with cones spanned by the faces/edges/vertices of the dual polytope $N$


## Remark [BP]

A toric 3-fold $X_{\Sigma}$ corresponding to a finite fan $\Sigma$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is smooth \& projective if $\Sigma$ is
(i) complete, i.e. the union of the cones in $\Sigma$ is $\mathbb{R}^{3}$,
(ii) simplicial, i.e. the generators $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{m}$ of the rays in $\Sigma$ are contained in an integer lattice,such that for each $3-\mathrm{d}$. cone $\mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{i}, \eta_{j}, \eta_{k}\right)$ in $\Sigma$, we have

$$
\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\eta_{i}\left|\eta_{j}\right| \eta_{k}\right)\right|=1
$$

## Calculating the volume polynomial

Question: How do we calculate the volume polynomial $h$ of $X_{\Sigma}$ from the combinatorial data in $\Sigma$ ?

## Theorem [BP, CLS]

Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a smooth projective toric 3-fold with fan $\Sigma$. Let $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{m}$ denote the generators of the rays in $\Sigma$, and assign a formal variable $v_{i}$ to each $\eta_{i}$. Then there is a ring isomorphism

$$
H^{*}\left(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] /\left(I_{\Sigma}+J_{\Sigma}\right)
$$

where

- the $v_{i}$ on the right hand side are of degree two
- $I_{\Sigma}$ is the Stanley-Reisner ring (or: face ring) of $\Sigma$, i.e.

$$
I_{\Sigma}:=\left(v_{i_{1}} \ldots v_{i_{n}} \mid i_{j} \neq i_{k}, \mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \eta_{i_{n}}\right) \notin \Sigma\right),
$$

- $J_{\Sigma}$ is the ideal generated by solutions of

$$
\left(\eta_{1}|\ldots| \eta_{m}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{1} \\
\vdots \\
v_{m}
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

## note:

- $m \geq 4$, otherwise completeness cannot be satisfied
- $H^{*}\left(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cong H^{*}\left(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}$
- $H^{2}\left(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong H^{1,1}\left(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$
$\leadsto$ in the following, will assume wlog that $\left(\eta_{m-2}\left|\eta_{m-1}\right| \eta_{m}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, can always be obtained via acting with $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$

Calculating $h_{\Sigma}$
With our assumptions, $\left\{\left[v_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[v_{m-3}\right]\right\}$ is a basis of $H^{1,1}\left(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. Since $H^{3}\left(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ is 1-dimensional, we have

$$
h_{\Sigma}=h_{\Sigma}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m-3}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-3} x_{i}\left[v_{i}\right]\right)^{3} .
$$

## Examples of volume polynomials

## Example 1

$\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ is smooth projective toric, and one fan $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}= & \left\{\mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \eta\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, \eta, e_{3}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(\eta, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, \eta\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{2}, \eta\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{3}, \eta\right)\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{2}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{3}\right), \mathrm{C}(\eta)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$\eta=-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}$. Then

$$
h_{\Sigma}=x_{1}^{3}\left[v_{1}^{3}\right] .
$$

$\leadsto$ as expected, but boring (for our purposes)

## Example 2

$\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right)^{3}$ is smooth projective toric, and one fan $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is determined by its 3-dimensional cones

$$
\text { 3-d. cones of } \boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\mathrm{C}\left( \pm e_{1}, \pm e_{2}, \pm e_{3}\right) \text {. }
$$

The volume polynomial is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\Sigma} & =\left(x_{1}\left[v_{1}\right]+x_{2}\left[v_{2}\right]+x_{3}\left[v_{3}\right]\right)^{3} \\
& =3 x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\leadsto$ to actually find the above polynomial, make use of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\Sigma}=\left(v_{1} v_{4}, v_{2} v_{5}, v_{3} v_{6}\right), \\
& J_{\Sigma}=\left(v_{4}-v_{1}, v_{5}-v_{2}, v_{6}-v_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow\left[v_{i}^{2}\right]=[0]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 3$
$\leadsto \operatorname{hyp}_{1}\left(h_{\Sigma}\right)$ is a homogeneous surface, which is flat w.r.t. centro-affine fundamental form

## Blowup construction on the level of fans

- in order to make use of the toric minimal model programme (tmmp), we need to understand blowups at a point and along curves, and "flips" on the level of fans
- need to make sure to stay in class of smooth projective toric 3-folds


## Blowup in a point

Blowing up $X_{\Sigma}$ in a point correspond to

- choosing a 3-d. cone $\mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{i}, \eta_{j}, \eta_{k}\right)$ in $\Sigma$
- constructing a new ray $\eta_{m+1}=\eta_{i}+\eta_{j}+\eta_{k}$
- building a new fan $\Sigma^{\prime}$ via

$$
\text { 3-d. cones of } \begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\prime}= & 3-\mathrm{d} . \text { cones of } \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{i}, \eta_{j}, \eta_{k}\right)\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{i}, \eta_{j}, \eta_{m+1}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{i}, \eta_{m+1}, \eta_{k}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{m+1}, \eta_{j}, \eta_{k}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- this completely determines $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\prime}$
- $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is complete \& simplicial, hence $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ is a smooth projective toric 3-fold
$\leadsto$ the above process is a certain type of star subdivision


## Proposition (DL, AS)

Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ be a complete simplicial fan. Suppose $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ is obtained via a one-point blowup (in the tmmp). Then
(i) $h_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \cong h_{\Sigma}+x_{m+1}^{3}$, (which is nice)
(ii) every connected component of $\operatorname{hyp}_{1}\left(h_{\Sigma^{\prime}}\right)$ is not closed in $\mathbb{R}^{m-2}$

## Proof sketch:

- (i) follows from a calculation and uses that $\frac{1}{6} \partial^{3} h_{\Sigma}(U, V, W)=[U V W]$ and that $h_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ is hyperbolic
- the second point (ii) follows from the fact that for all planes $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{m-2}$, such that $E \not \subset\left\{x_{m+1}=0\right\},\left.h_{\Sigma^{\prime}}\right|_{E}$ is equivalent to $x^{3}+y^{3}$
- $\operatorname{hyp}_{1}\left(x^{3}+y^{3}\right)$ has two isometric, non-closed connected components
$\leadsto$ next, blowing up along a curve


## Blowup in along a curve

Blowing up $X_{\Sigma}$ along a curve correspond to

- choosing two 3-d. cones $\mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{i}, \eta_{j}, \eta_{k}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{i}, \eta_{\ell}, \eta_{k}\right)$ in $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, so that $\mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{i}, \eta_{k}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, and $\eta_{\ell}=-\eta_{j}+A \eta_{i}+B \eta_{k}$
- constructing a new ray $\eta_{m+1}=\eta_{i}+\eta_{k}$
- building a new fan $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ via

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { 3-d. cones of } \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\prime}= & 3-\mathrm{d} . \text { cones of } \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{i}, \eta_{j}, \eta_{k}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{i}, \eta_{\ell}, \eta_{k}\right)\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{i}, \eta_{j}, \eta_{m+1}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{j}, \eta_{k}, \eta_{m+1}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{i}, \eta_{\ell}, \eta_{m+1}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(\eta_{k}, \eta_{\ell}, \eta_{m+1}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- this completely determines $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\prime}$
- $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ is complete \& simplicial, hence $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ is a smooth projective toric 3-fold
$\leadsto$ the above is another type of star subdivision
$\leadsto$ unfortunately, the situation is more complicated when looking at $h_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ compared to the one-point blowup:


## Proposition (DL,AS)

Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ be a complete simplicial fan. Suppose $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ is obtained via a one-point blowup (in the tmmp). Wlog assume that the new ray corresponds to the two 3-d. cones

$$
\mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right), \quad \mathrm{C}\left(e_{1},-e_{2}+a e_{1}+c e_{3}, e_{3}\right), \quad \eta_{m+1}=e_{1}+e_{3}
$$

Let further $\left.\bar{N}=\left(\eta_{1}|\ldots| \eta_{m-4}\right), \widetilde{v}=\left(\left[v_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[v_{m-4}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\Sigma^{\prime}}=h_{\Sigma}+ & \left(-\frac{3(a+c+1)}{a c} x_{m-3}^{2} x_{m+1}+3 x_{m-3} x_{m+1}^{2}+x_{m+1}^{3}\right) \\
\cdot( & \frac{a c}{a^{2}+a c+c^{2}+a+c}\left(\left[e_{1}^{*}(\bar{N} \widetilde{v}) e_{3}^{*}(\bar{N} \widetilde{v}) v_{m-3}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\left(a e_{3}^{*}(\bar{N} \widetilde{v})+c e_{1}^{*}(\bar{N} \widetilde{v})\right) v_{m-3}^{2}\right]\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{a^{2} c^{2}}{a^{2}+a c+c^{2}+a+c}\left[v_{m-3}^{3}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\leadsto$ no easy to see general conclusion (for now)

Since there is no nice general result yet, we consider two examples:

## Blowup of $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ along a curve

We have

$$
\text { 3-d. cones of } \boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\left\{\mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \eta\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, \eta, e_{3}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(\eta, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)\right\} \text {, }
$$

and

$$
\text { 3-d. cones of } \begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\prime}= & 3 \text {-d. cones of } \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, \eta, e_{3}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right)\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \mu\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{1}, \eta, \mu\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{2}, e_{3}, \mu\right), \mathrm{C}\left(e_{3}, \eta, \mu\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu=e_{1}+e_{3}$. With

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\Sigma} & =\left(v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}\right), J_{\Sigma}=\left(v_{2}-v_{1}, v_{3}-v_{1}, v_{4}-v_{1}\right), \\
I_{\Sigma^{\prime}} & =\left(v_{2} v_{4}, v_{1} v_{3} v_{5}\right), J_{\Sigma^{\prime}}=\left(v_{2}-v_{1}+v_{5}, v_{3}-v_{1}, v_{4}-v_{1}+v_{5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
h_{\Sigma^{\prime}}=h_{\Sigma}+\left(-3 x_{1} x_{5}^{2}-2 x_{5}^{3}\right)\left[v_{1}^{3}\right] .
$$

- $h_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \cong x^{3}-x y^{2}+\frac{2}{3 \sqrt{3}} y^{3}$
- $\operatorname{hyp}_{1}\left(h_{\Sigma^{\prime}}\right)$ is a homogeneous space.


## Blowup of $\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right)^{3}$ along a curve

Modulo calculations, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\Sigma} & =x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right], \\
h_{\Sigma^{\prime}} & =3 x_{2}\left(x_{1} x_{3}-x_{7}^{2}\right)\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\operatorname{hyp}_{1}\left(h_{\Sigma}\right)$ has 4 equivalent connected components and is a homogeneous space (flat) [CDL'14]
- $\operatorname{hyp}_{1}\left(h_{\Sigma}^{\prime}\right)$ has 2 equivalent connected components and, again, is a homogeneous space (constant negative curvature) [CDJL'17]

What type of result can we expect in general, including flips?

We conjecture that the following holds:

## Conjecture

Let $h_{\Sigma}$ be the volume cubic of a smooth projective toric 3 -fold. Then for any standard form $x^{3}-x\langle y, y\rangle+P_{3}(y)$ of $h_{\Sigma}$, such that $(x, y)=(1,0)$ is a Kähler class, $P_{3}$ fulfils either

$$
\left\|P_{3}\right\|=\frac{2}{3 \sqrt{3}}, \quad \operatorname{hyp}_{1}\left(h_{\Sigma}\right) \text { is a homogeneous space, }
$$

or

$$
\left\|P_{3}\right\|>\frac{2}{3 \sqrt{3}}, \quad \text { c.c. of } \operatorname{hyp}_{1}\left(h_{\Sigma}\right) \text { containing a Kähler class is incomplete. }
$$

$\leadsto$ if true, the above would disqualify most smooth projective toric 3-folds as toy models for supergravity
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