

# Penrose Singularity Theorem

---

**Vasil Rokaj**

*University of Hamburg*

ABSTRACT: The purpose of this talk is to study globally hyperbolic manifolds and give a rigorous proof of the Penrose singularity theorem which is one of the most important singularity theorems in differential geometry and general relativity.

---

## Contents

1	Globally hyperbolic spacetime	1
2	Null energy condition	3
3	Chronological and causal future	4
4	Penrose Singularity Theorem	5

---

## 1 Globally hyperbolic spacetime

In this section we are going to study globally hyperbolic spacetime, derive a certain expression of the metric for this kind of manifold and calculate the expansion of a 2-dimensional surface along null geodesics which is going to play a crucial role in the proof of the Penrose singularity theorem.

**Definition 1.1.** *A spacetime  $(M, g)$  is said to be stably causal if there exists a smooth function,  $t : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{grad}t$  is timelike.*

**Definition 1.2.** *A stably causal spacetime possessing a time function whose level sets are Cauchy hypersurfaces is said to be globally hyperbolic.*

Let  $(M, g)$  be a globally hyperbolic spacetime,  $S$  a Cauchy hypersurface with future-pointing unit normal vector field  $n$ , and  $\Sigma \subset S$  a compact 2-dimensional submanifold with unit normal vector field  $\nu$  in  $S$ . Let  $c_p$  be the null geodesic with initial condition  $n_p + \nu_p$  for each point  $p \in \Sigma$ . We can define the smooth map  $\exp : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times \Sigma \rightarrow M$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , as  $\exp(r, p) := c_p(r)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** *The critical values of  $\exp$  are said to be conjugate points of  $\Sigma$ . Conjugate points are points where geodesics starting orthogonally at nearby points of  $\Sigma$  almost intersect.*

Let  $q = \exp(r_0, p)$  be a point not conjugate to  $\Sigma$ . If  $\phi$  is a local parametrization of  $\Sigma$  around  $p$ , then we can construct a system of local coordinates  $(u, r, x^2, x^3)$  on some open set  $U \ni q$  by using the map.

$$(u, r, x^2, x^3) \longmapsto \exp(r, \psi_u(\phi(x^2, x^3))) \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\psi_u$  is the flow along the timelike geodesics orthogonal to  $S$  and the map  $\exp : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times \psi_u(\Sigma) \rightarrow M$  is defined as the one before. Since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$  is tangent to null geodesics,  $g_{rr} = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \rangle = 0$ . On the other hand we have

$$\frac{\partial g_{r\mu}}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \rangle = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

Moreover, since  $g_{ru} = -1$  and  $g_{r2} = g_{r3} = 0$  on  $\psi_u(\Sigma)$ , we have  $g_{ru} = -1$  and  $g_{r2} = g_{r3} = 0$  on  $U$ . Therefore the metric can be written in this coordinate system as follows

$$g = \alpha du \otimes du - du \otimes dr - dr \otimes du + \sum_{i=2}^3 \beta_i (du \otimes dx^i + dx^i \otimes du) + \sum_{i,j=2}^3 \gamma_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j \quad (1.3)$$

The determinant of the metric  $g$  is

$$\det(g) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_2 & 0 & \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{23} \\ \beta_3 & 0 & \gamma_{32} & \gamma_{33} \end{pmatrix} = -\det \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{23} \\ \gamma_{32} & \gamma_{33} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.4)$$

But since  $\det(g) < 0$  the functions  $\gamma_{ij} := \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \rangle$  form a positive definite matrix and thus  $g$  induces a Riemannian metric on the 2-dimensional surfaces  $\exp(r, \psi_u(\Sigma))$ , which are spacelike.

Now we want to calculate the Ricci tensor for this globally hyperbolic spacetime, so first we calculate the Christoffel symbols for this metric.

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{ur}^u &= \Gamma_{rr}^u = \Gamma_{ri}^u = \Gamma_{rr}^r = \Gamma_{rr}^i = 0 \\ \Gamma_{rj}^i &= \sum_{k=2}^3 \gamma^{ik} \beta_{kj} \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\beta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \gamma_{ij}}{\partial r}$  Consequently,

$$R_{rr} = R_{urr}^u + \sum_{i=2}^3 R_{irr}^i = \sum_{i=2}^3 \left( -\frac{\partial \Gamma_{ir}^i}{\partial r} - \sum_{j=2}^3 \Gamma_{ir}^j \Gamma_{rj}^i \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \sum_{i,j=2}^3 \gamma^{ij} \beta_{ij} \right) - \sum_{i,j,k,l=2}^3 \gamma^{jk} \gamma^{il} \beta_{ki} \beta_{lj} \quad (1.6)$$

The quantity

$$\theta := \sum_{i,j=2}^3 \gamma^{ij} \beta_{ij} \quad (1.7)$$

is called the expansion of the null geodesics, and has an important geometric meaning

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}((\gamma_{ij})^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\gamma_{ij})) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log \gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (1.8)$$

where  $\gamma := \det(\gamma_{ij})$ . Thus the expansion yields the variation of the area element of the 2-dimensional surfaces  $\exp(r, \psi_u(\Sigma))$ , and more importantly the singularity of the expansion indicates a zero of  $\gamma$  which means that there is a conjugate point to  $\psi_u(\Sigma)$ .

## 2 Null energy condition

In this section we are going to introduce the *null energy condition* and prove one very important consequence of this condition.

**Definition 2.1.** *A spacetime  $(M, g)$  is said to satisfy the null energy condition if the Ricci tensor satisfies the condition  $\text{Ric}(V, V) \geq 0$  for any null vector field  $V$ .*

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a globally hyperbolic spacetime satisfying the null energy condition,  $S \subset M$  a Cauchy hypersurface,  $\Sigma \subset S$  a compact 2-dimensional submanifold with a unit normal vector field  $\nu$  in  $S$  and  $p \in S$  a point where  $\theta = \theta_0 < 0$ . Then the null geodesic  $c_p$  contains at least a point conjugate to  $\Sigma$ , at an affine parameter distance of at most  $-\frac{2}{\theta_0}$  to the future of  $\Sigma$ .*

Proof. Since  $(M, g)$  satisfies the null energy condition and we have already calculated one of the components of the Ricci tensor, we have

$$\text{Ric}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) \geq 0 \implies R_{rr} \geq 0 \implies \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} + \sum_{i,j,k,l=2}^3 \gamma^{jk} \gamma^{il} \beta_{ki} \beta_{lj} \leq 0 \quad (2.1)$$

we choose an orthonormal basis, such that  $\gamma^{ij} = \delta^{ij}$ , and using the inequality for  $n \times n$  matrices

$$(\text{tr} A)^2 \leq n \text{tr}(A^t A) \quad (2.2)$$

we get the following result

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l=2}^3 \gamma^{jk} \gamma^{il} \beta_{ki} \beta_{lj} = \sum_{i,j=2}^3 \beta_{ji} \beta_{ij} = \text{tr}(\beta^t \beta) \geq \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \quad (2.3)$$

So consequently  $\theta$  has to satisfy

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \leq 0 \quad (2.4)$$

Integrating we get

$$\frac{1}{\theta} \geq \frac{1}{\theta_0} + \frac{r}{2} \quad (2.5)$$

but for  $r = -\frac{2}{\theta_0}$

$$\frac{1}{\theta} \geq 0 \implies \theta \longrightarrow \infty \quad (2.6)$$

So since the expansion blows up at a point this means that the area element  $\gamma$  becomes zero and consequently the null geodesic  $c_p$  contains a least a conjugate point to  $\Sigma$ .

□

### 3 Chronological and causal future

In this section we are going to study some properties of chronological and causal future, so first we give the definition of these notions.

**Definition 3.1.** *A point  $p$  chronologically precedes  $q$ , also denoted as  $p \ll q$ , if there exists a future-directed chronological (timelike) curve from  $p$  to  $q$ . The chronological future of a point  $p \in M$  is the set  $I^+(p)$  of all points to which  $p$  can be connected by a future directed timelike curve,  $I^+(p) := \{q \in M | p \ll q\}$ .*

**Definition 3.2.** *A point  $p$  causally precedes  $q$ , also denoted as  $p < q$ , if there exists a future-directed causal (non-spacelike) curve from  $p$  to  $q$ . The causal future of a point  $p \in M$  is the set  $J^+(p)$  of all points to which  $p$  can be connected by a future directed causal (non-spacelike) curve,  $J^+(p) := \{q \in M | p < q\}$ .*

**Definition 3.3.** *We define the chronological future of a compact surface  $\Sigma$  as the union of the chronological future at each point of the surface,  $I^+(\Sigma) := \bigcup_{p \in \Sigma} I^+(p)$ .*

**Definition 3.4.** *We define the causal future of a compact surface  $\Sigma$  as the union of the causal future at each point of the surface,  $J^+(\Sigma) := \bigcup_{p \in \Sigma} J^+(p)$ .*

**Remark 3.5.** *It is obvious that  $I^+(\Sigma)$  is an open set, as a union of open sets, but  $J^+(\Sigma)$  is closed. This is something we saw also in the talk about the Hawking singularity theorem.*

Now we give the next corollary without a proof, since it was proven in the talk about Causality.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a time-oriented spacetime and  $p \in M$ . If  $q \in J^+(p) \setminus I^+(p)$  then any future-directed causal curve connecting  $p$  to  $q$  must be a reparameterized null geodesic.*

Now we want to prove that even a future-directed null geodesic orthogonal to  $\Sigma$  may eventually enter in the chronological future of  $\Sigma$ ,  $I^+(\Sigma)$ . A sufficient condition for this to happen is given by the next proposition.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a globally hyperbolic spacetime,  $S$  a Cauchy hypersurface with future-pointing unit normal vector field  $n$ ,  $\Sigma \subset S$  a compact 2-dimensional submanifold with unit normal vector field  $\nu$  in  $S$ ,  $p \in \Sigma$ ,  $c_p$  the null geodesic through  $p$  with initial condition  $n_p + \nu_p$  and  $q = c_p(r)$  for some  $r > 0$ . If  $c_p$  has a conjugate point between  $p$  and  $q$  then  $q \in I^+(\Sigma)$ .*

Proof. Let  $s$  be the first conjugate point along  $c_p$  between  $p$  and  $q$ , there exists another null geodesic  $\gamma$  starting at  $\Sigma$  which (approxiamtely) intersects  $c_p$  at  $s$ . The piecewise smooth null curve obtained by following  $\gamma$  between  $\Sigma$  and  $s$ , and  $c_p$  between  $s$  and  $q$  is causal curve but not a null geodesic. This curve can be easily smoothed while remaining causal and non-geodesic, and by Corollary 3.6 we have  $q \in I^+(\Sigma)$ . □

## 4 Penrose Singularity Theorem

In this section we are going to prove the Penrose singularity theorem, but first we give the definition of a singular manifold and a trapped surface.

**Definition 4.1.** *A spacetime  $(M, g)$  is said to be singular if it is not geodesically complete.*

**Definition 4.2.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a globally hyperbolic spacetime and  $S$  a Cauchy hypersurface with future-pointing unit normal vector field  $n$ . A compact 2-dimensional submanifold  $\Sigma \subset S$  with unit normal vector field  $\nu$  in  $S$  is said to be trapped if the expansions  $\theta^+$  and  $\theta^-$  of the null geodesics with initial conditions  $n + \nu$  and  $n - \nu$ , respectively are both negative everywhere on  $\Sigma$ .*

So now we have defined and proved everything we needed in order to prove the Penrose singularity theorem.

**Theorem 4.3** (Penrose Singularity Theorem). *Let  $(M, g)$  be a connected globally hyperbolic spacetime with a non-compact Cauchy hypersurface  $S$ , satisfying the null energy condition. If  $S$  contains a trapped surface  $\Sigma$  then  $(M, g)$  is singular.*

Proof. Let  $t : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a global time function such that  $S = t^{-1}(0)$ . The integral curves of  $\text{grad}t$ , being timelike, intersect  $S$  exactly once, and  $\partial I^+(\Sigma)$  at most once. This defines a continuous injective map  $\pi : \partial I^+(\Sigma) \rightarrow S$ , whose image is open. Indeed, if  $q = \pi(p)$ , then all points in some neighborhood of  $q$  are images of points in  $\partial I^+(\Sigma)$ , as otherwise there would be a sequence  $q_n \rightarrow q$  such that the integral curves of  $\text{grad}t$  through  $q_n$  would not intersect  $\partial I^+(\Sigma)$ . If  $r_n$  are the intersections of these curves with the Cauchy hypersurface  $t^{-1}(t(r))$ , for some point  $r$  to the future of  $p$  along the integral line of  $\text{grad}t$ , we would have  $r_n \rightarrow r$ , and so  $r_n \in I^+(\Sigma)$  for sufficiently large  $n$  (as  $I^+(\Sigma)$  is open),

leading to a contradiction. Since  $\Sigma$  is trapped, there exists  $\theta < 0$  such that the expansions  $\theta^+$  and  $\theta^-$  of the null geodesics orthogonal to  $\Sigma$  both satisfy  $\theta^+, \theta^- \leq 0$ . We will show that there exists a future-directed null geodesic orthogonal to  $\Sigma$  which cannot be extended to an affine parameter greater than  $r_0 = -\frac{2}{\theta_0}$  to the future of  $\Sigma$ .

Suppose that this does not hold. Then, according to Proposition 2.2, any null geodesic orthogonal to  $\Sigma$  would have a conjugate point at an affine parameter distance of at most  $r_0$  to the future of  $\Sigma$ , after which it would be in  $I^+(\Sigma)$ , by Proposition 3.7. Consequently,  $\partial I^+(\Sigma)$  would be a (closed) subset of the compact set

$$\exp^+([0, r_0] \times \Sigma) \cup \exp^-([0, r_0] \times \Sigma) \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\exp^+$  and  $\exp^-$  refer to the exponential map constructed using the unit normals  $\nu$  and  $-\nu$ , and therefore  $\partial I^+(\Sigma)$  would be compact itself. So since,  $\partial I^+(\Sigma)$  is compact its image under  $\pi$  would also be compact, hence closed as well as open. Since  $M$  is connected,  $S$  would be connected as well, the image of  $\pi$  would be  $S$ , which then would be homeomorphic to  $\partial I^+(\Sigma)$  which is compact, but by hypothesis  $S$  is non-compact and we have reached a contradiction.

□

**Remark 4.4.** *It should be clear that  $(M, g)$  is singular if the condition of existence of a trapped surface is replaced by the condition of existence of an anti-trapped surface. A compact surface  $\Sigma$  is anti-trapped if the expansions of null geodesics orthogonal to  $\Sigma$  are both positive.*

Now we would like to conclude the discussion of Penrose singularity theorem by giving some examples of manifolds which contain trapped surfaces and some which do not contain trapped surfaces.

**Example 4.5** (The Schwarzschild solution).

The region  $r < 2m$  of the Schwarzschild solution is globally hyperbolic, as we saw in the talk about the Schwarzschild solution, and also satisfies the null energy condition since  $Ric = 0$ . Moreover  $r$  (or  $-r$ ) is clearly a time function (depending on the time orientation), it must increase (or decrease) along any future-pointing null geodesic, and therefore any sphere of constant  $(t, r)$  is anti-trapped (or trapped). Since any Cauchy hypersurface is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$ , hence non-compact, by Theorem 4.3 the Schwarzschild solution is singular.

**Example 4.6** (The FLRW models).

The FLRW models, as we saw in previous talk, are globally hyperbolic and satisfy the null energy condition (as  $\rho > 0$ ). Moreover, the radial null geodesics satisfy

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \pm \frac{1}{a} \sqrt{1 - kr^2} \quad (4.2)$$

Therefore, if we start with a sphere  $\Sigma$  of constant  $(t, r)$  and follow the orthogonal null geodesics along the direction of increasing or decreasing  $r$  we obtain spheres whose radii  $ar$  satisfy

$$\frac{d(ar)}{dt} = \dot{a}r + a\dot{r} = \dot{a}r \pm \sqrt{1 - kr^2} \quad (4.3)$$

Assume that the model is expanding, with the big bang at  $t = 0$ , and spatially non-compact. Then, for sufficiently small  $t > 0$ , the sphere  $\Sigma$  is anti-trapped, and hence by Theorem 4.3 we get that this model is singular to the past of  $\Sigma$ .

**Example 4.7** (Minkowski space).

Minkowski space is a flat spacetime which does not contain any trapped surfaces, its metric is given by the expression

$$\eta = -dt \otimes dt + dx \otimes dx + dy \otimes dy + dz \otimes dz \quad (4.4)$$

The fact that Minkowski spacetime does not contain any trapped surfaces, makes Minkowski space non-singular.

## References

- [1] L. Godinho and J. Natário, *An Introduction to Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Mechanics and Relativity*, Springer (2014)
- [2] R.M. Wald, *General Relativity*, The University of Chicago Press (1984)