



**Propositional Logic** 

 $\overline{A}$ 

 $(A \to B) \land (B \to C)$ 

 $A \rightarrow B$ 

 $\frac{C}{A \to C}$ 

 $(A \to B) \land (B \to C) \to (A \to C)$ 

B

 $(A \to B) \land (B \to C)$ 

2

 $B \to C$ 

### Outline





### **Basic ideas**

# premises

# derivation

# conclusion

comply the derivation rules

### Syntax

- ▶ connectives:  $\land$ ,  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\bot$
- ▶ ¬a: a →⊥
- ►  $a \leftrightarrow b: a \rightarrow b \land b \rightarrow a$

### **Syntax** • connectives: $\land, \rightarrow, \bot$

- ¬a: a →⊥
- ►  $a \leftrightarrow b: a \rightarrow b \land b \rightarrow a$
- from a, a  $\rightarrow$ b conclude b:

• if  $a \land b$  is true, than a is true:



### Dont be afraid of other syntax!

$$\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & ((p \rightarrow q) \land (\neg r \rightarrow \neg q)) \\ 2 & p \\ 3 & ((p \rightarrow q) \land (\neg r \rightarrow \neg q)) & 1, \text{Reiteration} \\ 4 & (p \rightarrow q) & 3, \land \text{E} \\ 5 & q & 2, 4, \rightarrow \text{E} \\ 6 & (\neg r \rightarrow \neg q)) & 3, \land \text{E} \\ 7 & 8 & (\neg r \rightarrow \neg q)) & 6, \text{Reiteration} \\ 7 & 8 & (\neg r \rightarrow \neg q)) & 6, \text{Reiteration} \\ 9 & (\neg r & 7, 8, \rightarrow \text{E} \\ 10 & q & 7, 8, \rightarrow \text{E} \\ 10 & (\neg q & 7, 8, \rightarrow \text{E} \\ 10 & (\neg r \rightarrow \neg q)) & 6, \text{Reiteration} \\ 11 & (\neg r & 7, 7, 8, \gamma \text{E} \\ 12 & (r & 11, \neg \gamma \text{E} \\ 13 & (p \rightarrow r) & 2-12, \rightarrow \text{I} \\ 14 & (((p \rightarrow q) \land (\neg r \rightarrow \neg q)) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)) & 1-13, \rightarrow \text{I} \end{array}$$

### **Derivation rules**

basic rules: express intuitive meaning of connectives

### **Derivation rules**

basic rules: express intuitive meaning of connectives

Elimination	Introduction
eliminate connectives	Introduce connectives

### Introduction rules

live

[ra] : \_\_\_\_\_ RAA a Readusion

### **Elimination rules**

live

### **Overview**

#### INTRODUCTION RULES ELIMINATION RULES



We have two rules for  $\perp$ , both of which eliminate  $\perp$ , but introduce a formula.

$$(\bot) \frac{\bot}{\varphi} \bot \qquad (RAA) \quad \vdots \\ \frac{\bot}{\varphi} RAA \qquad \frac{\Box}{\varphi} RAA$$

### INTRODUCTION RULES ELIMINATION RULES



### **Proof strategy**

 $\{a \land b \to c\} \to \{a \to (b \to c)\}$ 







### **Proof strategy**

 ${a \land b \rightarrow c} \rightarrow {a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c)}$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 anb - 2c]^{2} \\
 EaJ^{2} \\
 EaJ^{2} \\
 EaJ^{2} \\
 C \\
 C \\
 b - 2c \\
 c \\$$

INTRODUCTION RULES ELIMINATION RULES  $(\wedge I) \quad \frac{\varphi \quad \psi}{\varphi \land \psi} \land I \qquad (\wedge E) \quad \frac{\varphi \land \psi}{\varphi} \land E \quad \frac{\varphi \land \psi}{\psi} \land E$   $(\rightarrow I) \quad \vdots \qquad (\rightarrow E) \quad \frac{\varphi \quad \varphi \rightarrow \psi}{\psi} \rightarrow E$   $(\rightarrow E) \quad \frac{\psi}{\varphi \rightarrow \psi} \rightarrow E$ 

two rules for  $\perp$ , both of which eliminate  $\perp$ , but introduce a for-

$$(\bot) \frac{\bot}{\varphi} \bot \qquad (RAA) \stackrel{[\neg \varphi]}{\vdots} \\ \frac{\bot}{\varphi} RAA$$

Proof strategy  

$$\frac{f(a \land b \rightarrow c)}{f(a \land b \rightarrow c)} = \frac{f(a \land b \rightarrow c)}{f(a \land b \rightarrow c)}$$

$$\frac{f(a \land b \rightarrow c)}{f(a \rightarrow c)} = \frac{f(a \land b \rightarrow c)}{f(a \land b \rightarrow c)}$$

$$\frac{f(a \land b \rightarrow c)}{f(a \land b \rightarrow c)} = \frac{f(a \land b \rightarrow$$



## Hypothesis

 $(\rightarrow I)$ 

 $[\varphi]$ 

 $\rightarrow I$ 

hypothesis is cancelled

no need of hypothesis

hypothesis may maintain

### Hypothesis





# Structure of derivation



7 a

[9]

70-2

[anl]\_F

INTRODUCTION RULES ELIMINATION RULES

G



We have two rules for  $\perp$ , both of which eliminate  $\perp$ , but introduce a formula.



### Structure of derivation

proof: $\neg(\varphi \leftrightarrow \neg \varphi)$ 



### Derivation: theoretical approach

set of derivation = smallest set X:

(1) The one element tree  $\varphi$  belongs to X for all  $\varphi \in PROP$ .

### Sets of propositions

 $\triangleright$   $\Gamma \vdash$  a: derivation with (uncancelled) hypotheses in  $\Gamma$  with conclusion a

 $\blacktriangleright$  a derivable from  $\varGamma$ 

- ►:turnstile
- ▶  $\Gamma = \emptyset$ :  $\vdash$  a, a: theorem



### Sets of propositions

- $\vdash$   $\Gamma \vdash$  a: derivation with (uncancelled) hypotheses in  $\Gamma$  with conclusion a
  - $\blacktriangleright$  a derivable from  $\Gamma$
- ►:turnstile
- ▶  $\Gamma = \emptyset$ :  $\vdash$  a, a: theorem

 $\begin{array}{l} (a) \overbrace{\mathcal{P}} \vdash \varphi \ if( \varphi \in \Gamma, \\ (b) \ \Gamma \vdash \varphi, \Gamma' \vdash \psi \Rightarrow \Gamma \cup \Gamma' \vdash \varphi \land \psi, \\ (c) \ \Gamma \vdash \varphi \land \psi \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \varphi \ and \ \Gamma \vdash \psi, \\ (d) \ \Gamma \cup \varphi \vdash \psi \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi, \\ (e) \ \Gamma \vdash \varphi, \Gamma' \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \Rightarrow \Gamma \cup \Gamma' \vdash \psi, \\ (f) \ \Gamma \vdash \bot \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \varphi, \\ (g) \ \Gamma \cup \{\neg \varphi\} \vdash \bot \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \varphi. \end{array}$ 

Proof by using derivation (last slide)

### Sets of propositions: theorem

- $\Gamma \vdash a$ : derivation with (uncancelled) hypotheses in  $\Gamma$  with conclusion a
- If  $\Gamma = \emptyset$ ⊢ a a: theorem  $\vdash a \rightarrow (\neg a \rightarrow b)$  $\vdash (a \to b) \to \{(b \to c) \to (a \to c)\}$   $\begin{bmatrix} a & 3 \\ c & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ r \end{bmatrix}$ 7 a -> b a -> (7 a -> b)

 $(\wedge I) \quad \frac{\varphi \quad \psi}{\varphi \land \psi} \land I \qquad (\wedge E) \quad \frac{\varphi \land \psi}{\varphi} \land E \quad \frac{\varphi \land \psi}{\psi} \land E$ 

INTRODUCTION RULES ELIMINATION RULES



We have two rules for  $\perp$ , both of which eliminate  $\perp$ , but introduce a formula.



