

Forcing and Independence Proofs: Assignment 1

Part A: object language and meta-language

1. For each of the following statements, determine whether they are made in the formal language of set theory \mathcal{L}_\in , or in a meta-language (in which we talk *about* set theory). Note that any statement in any meta-language can *in principle* be formalized as a statement in the object language as well. The idea of this exercise is to consider the most natural/obvious meaning.

- (a) Every convergent sequence in \mathbb{R} is bounded from above.
- (b) $\text{ZFC} \vdash$ “Every convergent sequence in \mathbb{R} is bounded from above”.
- (c) ZFC contains infinitely many axioms.
- (d) If ZFC is consistent, then $\text{ZFC} + \text{CH}$ is also consistent
- (e) The addition operation on the ordinals is not commutative.
- (f) Every normal function on the ordinals has a fixed point.
- (g) Ord (the class of all ordinals) is not a set.
- (h) There are classes which are not sets.

2. Consider the following informally stated assertion:

“For every proper class A and every set X , there exists an injective function $f : X \rightarrow A$.”

- (a) Write down the above statement formally. You may use the abbreviations “ f is a function”, “ $\text{dom}(f)$ ” and “ $\text{ran}(f)$ ” without writing them out in detail.
- (b) Is this a statement in the formal language or the meta-language?
- (c) Prove the above assertion (using an informal argument which is, in principle, formalizable in ZFC).

3. Find the mistake in the argument below.

Theorem. *ZFC is inconsistent.*

Proof. Let $\{\theta_n : n < \omega\}$ be an enumeration of all formulas of \mathcal{L}_\in with exactly one free variable. Let $\psi(x)$ be the formula “ $x \in \omega \wedge \neg\theta_x(x)$ ”. Since ψ is a formula of \mathcal{L}_\in in one free variable, there exists $e \in \omega$ such that $\psi = \theta_e$. But then $\text{ZFC} \vdash \theta_e(e) \leftrightarrow \psi(e) \leftrightarrow \neg\theta_e(e)$. \square

Part B: relativization and relative consistency

1. (a) Recall that Δ_0 formulas are absolute for all transitive models of set theory. A formula is called Σ_1 if it has the form $\exists x_0 \dots \exists x_k \theta$ for a Δ_0 -formula θ , and Π_1 if it has the form $\forall x_0 \dots \forall x_k \theta$ for a Δ_0 -formula θ . Show that for all transitive models of set theory and all Σ_1 -formulas ϕ we have $\phi^M \rightarrow \phi$, while for all Π_1 -formulas ψ we have: $\psi \rightarrow \psi^M$ (we call the former *upwards absoluteness* and the latter *downwards absoluteness*).
- (b) In general, the properties “being a cardinal”, “being of the same cardinality” and similar statements are not absolute for transitive models. Show that the statement “ $|x| = |y|$ ” is upwards absolute for transitive models, and the statement “ κ is a cardinal” is downwards absolute for transitive models (you may use the fact that “ f is a function”, “ f is a bijection”, “ α is an ordinal”, and the concepts $\text{dom}(f)$ and $\text{ran}(f)$ are all Δ_0 and therefore absolute).
2. (a) Let $F : V \rightarrow V$ be a bijective class-function. Define $E \subseteq V \times V$ by:

$$xEy \text{ :} \Leftrightarrow x \in F(y).$$

We claim that (V, E) is a model of ZFC – Foundation. Choose any two axioms of ZFC – Foundation, and prove that they hold in (V, E) .

- (b) Use the previous claim to show

$$\text{Con}(\text{ZFC}) \rightarrow \text{Con}(\text{ZFC} - \text{Foundation} + “\exists x (x = \{x\})”)$$

[Hint: use $F(0) := 1$ and $F(1) := 0$].

Part C: Reflection and elementary submodels

1. Prove the following:

- (a) Let M be an *elementary submodel* of N , i.e., $(M, \in) \preceq (N, \in)$. Let $c \in N$ be an element which is *uniquely definable in N* ; that means that there exists a formula $\phi(x)$ such that

$$N \models \forall x (\phi(x) \leftrightarrow x = c).$$

Then $c \in M$.

- (b) If $M \preceq H_{\omega_2}$ then $\omega_1 \in M$.
(c) If $M \preceq V_\omega$ then $M = V_\omega$.

Hint: Prove, by \in -induction, that every $x \in V_\omega$ is uniquely definable in V_ω (in the sense of (a)).