

## Practice exercises for Monday 29 October

1. Prove the following in detail: if  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are formulas such that  $\text{ZFC}^* \vdash \phi \leftrightarrow \psi$  and  $\psi$  is  $\Delta_0$ , then  $\phi$  is absolute for transitive models  $M$  such that  $M \models \text{ZFC}^*$ . Here  $\text{ZFC}^*$  denotes some sufficiently large fragment of ZFC.

Note: this means that when you show that  $\phi$  is “equivalent” to a  $\Delta_0$ -formula, you need to be careful how this equivalence is proved! If  $M$  does not satisfy sufficiently much of ZFC to prove this “equivalence”, then  $\phi$  might fail to be absolute for  $M$  even though it is (in ZFC) equivalent to a  $\Delta_0$ -formula.

2. We want to show that the statement: “the relation  $R$  on  $A$  is well-founded” is absolute for transitive models  $M$  of  $\text{ZFC}^*$  (where  $\text{ZFC}^*$  is anything sufficient to prove Lemma 1 below.)
  - (a) **Lemma 1.**  $(A, R)$  is well-founded iff there exists a rank function, i.e., a mapping  $\text{rk} : A \rightarrow \text{Ord}$  such that  $aRb \rightarrow \text{rk}(a) < \text{rk}(b)$ .
  - (b) Show that “ $(A, R)$  is well-founded” is a  $\Pi_1$ -statement.
  - (c) Show that “ $(A, R)$  is well-founded” is  $\text{ZFC}^*$ -equivalent to a  $\Sigma_1$ -statement.
  - (d) Formulas which are both (equivalent to)  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Pi_1$  and called  $\Delta_1$ . Since they are both downwards- and upwards-absolute, they are absolute for transitive models (which can prove this equivalent).