

Last time:

- $R = F\langle X, Y^{-1} \rangle$ PV ring for $A \in F^{n \times n}$
- $F \subseteq S$ ∂ -ring extension with
 1. S integral domain + $K_{\text{quot}(S)} = K_F$
 2. $\exists X \in GL(n, S) : \partial(X) = A \cdot X$

$\Rightarrow U := (S \otimes_F R)^\partial$ f.g. reduced K -algebra
with

$\Theta : S \otimes_K U \rightarrow S \otimes_F R, s \otimes u \mapsto (s \otimes 1) \cdot u$
isomorphism of S -algebras.

Consequences:

- R, R' PV rings for $A \in \mathcal{F}^{\text{un}}$, then
 $\text{Hom}_K(U, -) \cong \text{Isom}_{\mathcal{F}_K^-}^{\circ} (R \otimes_K -, R' \otimes_K -)$

$\uparrow$
 functor of points of the unique affine
 K -variety X with $G(X) \cong U$.

- In particular, for $R=R'$, $U = (R \otimes_K R)^{\circ}$
 $\text{Hom}_K(U, -) \cong \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}_K^-}^{\circ} (R \otimes_K -)$
 $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ functorial group str. (*)
 functor of points of K -variety G with $G(G) \cong U$.

HW 6.1 + (*)

$\Rightarrow G$ is a group object in Var_K , i.e.,
 a linear algebraic group / K with
 $G(K) \cong \text{Gal}^{\circ}(R/F)$

- More precisely: $U = K \langle z, z^{-1} \rangle$ with $z := Y^{-1} \otimes Y$
 $\in GL(U, U)$. Given $\sigma \in G(K) = \text{Hom}_K(U, K)$,
 the corresponding σ -automorphism of R is
 obtained via:

$$\begin{aligned} r &\mapsto 1 \otimes r \\ R &\longrightarrow R \otimes_F R \xrightarrow{\Theta^{-1}} R \otimes_K U \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \sigma} R \end{aligned} \quad (+)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y &\mapsto 1 \otimes Y \longmapsto Y \otimes Z \longmapsto Y \cdot \sigma(Z) \\ &\quad (Y \otimes 1) \cdot Z \end{aligned}$$

So: σ acts on $Y \in \text{CL}(L, R)$ via right multiplication by the matrix $\sigma(Z) \in \text{CL}(L, K)$.

So far: Geometric interpretation of $U = (R \otimes_F R)^{\mathcal{D}}$ as coordinate ring of $\mathcal{D}$ -Galois group.

We will now also provide a geometric interpretation of the isomorphism

$$\Theta: R \otimes_K U \xrightarrow{\cong} R \otimes_F R$$

Definition 5.7. Let G be a group and let M a set equipped with a G -action

$$G \times M \rightarrow M, (g, m) \mapsto g.m$$

Then M is called a G -torsor if the action is simply transitive: for every $m, m' \in M$ there exists a unique $g \in G$: $g.m = m'$. Equivalently, M is a G -torsor, if the map

$$G \times M \rightarrow M \times M, (g, m) \mapsto (g.m, m)$$

is a bijection.

Example 5.8. Let F field and E/F finite Galois extension with Galois group G . Let $x \in E$ primitive element of E/F with minimal polynomial $f(T) \in F[T]$ such that $E = F[T]/(f(T))$. Then

$$f(T) = (T - \lambda_1) \cdots (T - \lambda_n) \quad \text{in } E[T]$$

and G acts simply transitively on the set

$M = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ of roots of $f(T)$ in E making M a G -torsor.

This isomorphism

$$\Theta: R \otimes_K U \xrightarrow{\cong} R \otimes_F R$$

amounts to an analog of Example 5.8 in 2-Galois theory:

Since R is finitely generated, reduced F -algebra, we would like to interpret it as the coordinate ring of an affine " F -variety". Issue: F is not algebraically closed. To resolve this issue, we proceed as indicated in Remark 4.8 and define the category

$$\text{Aff}_F = \{ \text{affine } F\text{-schemes} \} \stackrel{(*)}{=} (\text{Alg}_F)^\text{op}$$

of affine F -schemes. Denote by X the affine F -scheme which, via $(*)$, corresponds to the F -algebra R . Further, let $G_F \in \text{Aff}_F$ denote the group object that corresponds to $F \otimes_K U$ (an affine F -group scheme).

We then restrict the inverse $\Upsilon: R \otimes_F R \rightarrow R \otimes_K U$ along $R \hookrightarrow R \otimes_F R$, $r \mapsto 1 \otimes r$, to obtain

a morphism

$$R \rightarrow R \otimes_k U (\cong R \otimes_F (F \otimes_k U))$$

in Calc_F via (*) becomes a morphism

$$y: X \times G_F \rightarrow X$$

in Aff_F . It follows from (+) that this morphism defines a (right-) action of G_F on X in Aff_F .

The isomorphism γ then corresponds via (*)

to an isomorphism

$$X \times G_F \xrightarrow[\cong]{(\pi, \gamma)} X \times X$$

where $\pi: X \times G_F \rightarrow X$ projection onto X .

We arrived at:

Theorem 5.9. The affine F -scheme X corresponding via (*) to a Picard-Vessiot ring R/F is a tensor in Aff_F for the action of the D -Galois group scheme G_F on X given by y .

This theorem, also called the "Tensor Theorem",

captures the algebraic geometric content of a Picard-Vessiot ring.

Cor 5.10. Let X be the affine F -scheme, corresponding via (*) to a Picard-Vessiot ring R/F . Suppose that L/F is an F -algebra such $X(L) \neq \emptyset$. Then there is an isomorphism of affine L -schemes

$$X_L \cong_{L \otimes_F R} C_L \cong_{L \otimes_F U} C_L$$

We have further that $X(\bar{F}) \neq \emptyset$ so that

$$X_{\bar{F}} \cong C_{\bar{F}}$$

isomorphism of affine $\bar{F}$ -varieties.

Proof HW

Caution While, for an F -algebra L , the set $X(L)$ is always a $C_F(L)$ -torsor, we may have $X(L) = \emptyset$. In this case, it is not true that $X_L \cong C_L$!

Example 5.11. Consider $F = k(t)$ and the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation

$$\mathcal{D}(y) = \frac{1}{n} F y \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2.$$

Then, we have seen that

$$R = F[y] / (y^n - t) = E$$

is a PV ring. The $\mathcal{D}$ -Galois group is given by

$$G = \mu_n(k) \text{ with coordinate ring}$$

$$U = k[z] / (z^n - 1).$$

We have

$$X(F) = \text{Hom}_F(R, F) = \emptyset$$

since the equation $y^n - t = 0$ does not have a solution in F . On $\bar{F}$, the equation has a solution denoted by $\sqrt[n]{t}$. We have an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{F}[y] / (y^n - t) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \bar{F}[z] / (z^n - 1) \\ \gamma & \longmapsto & \sqrt[n]{t} \cdot z \end{array}$$

corresponding to $X_{\bar{F}} \cong G_{\bar{F}}$ of Cor 5.10.

§6. The D-Galois correspondence

In ordinary Galois theory, for any given finite Galois extension E/F , we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F \subseteq H \subseteq E \\ \text{intermediate} \\ \text{fields} \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H \in \text{Gal}(E/F) \\ \text{subgroups} \end{array} \right\}^{\text{op}}$$

We establish the analog in D-Galois theory:

Theorem 6.1. Let E/F Picard-Vessiot extension.

Then there is bijective correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F \subseteq H \subseteq E \\ \text{intermediate} \\ \text{D-fields} \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H \in \text{Gal}^{\text{D}}(E/F) \\ \text{Zariski-closed} \\ \text{subgroups} \end{array} \right\}^{\text{op}}$$

$$H \longmapsto \text{Gal}^{\text{D}}(E/H)$$

$$E^H \longleftarrow H$$