

So far:

F $\mathcal{D}$ -field, $\text{char}(F) = 0$, $K_F = \overline{K_F}$

$\underbrace{L \in F[\mathcal{D}] \text{ , or } A \in F^{n \times n}}$

$\Downarrow$

$\mathcal{H}$ $F[\mathcal{D}]$ -module

$\Downarrow$

E/F Picard-Vessiot extension

$\Downarrow$

$\text{Gal}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{H}) := \text{Aut}^{\mathcal{D}}(E/F)$

$\mathcal{D}$ -Galois group

§4. Affine varieties and linear algebraic groups

Let K be algebraically closed field.

Definition 4.1. An affine K -variety is a subset

$$V \subseteq K^n \quad ; n \in \mathbb{N}$$

such that there exist polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_r \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ with $V = \{x \in K^n \mid \forall 1 \leq i \leq r : f_i(x) = 0\}$.

A morphism between affine K -varieties $V \subseteq K^n$ and $W \subseteq K^m$ is a map

$$\varphi: V \rightarrow W$$

of sets such that there exist polynomials

$$F_1, \dots, F_m \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

with

$$\forall x \in V \subseteq K^n, \quad \varphi(x) = (F_1(x), \dots, F_m(x)).$$

Equipped with this notion of morphisms, the collection of affine K -varieties organizes into a category, denoted by Var_K .

Examples 4.2.

- (1) K^n itself forms an affine variety, called affine n -space, denoted $A^n(K)$.
- (2) The set of roots of a polynomial $f(x) \in K[x]$ is an affine variety $\{x \in K \mid f(x) = 0\} \subseteq K$.
- (3) Equip K^{n^2+1} with the variables $\{x_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ and y .

Then the set

$$V = \{(x_{ij}, y) \in K^{n^2+1} \mid \det(x) \cdot y - 1 = 0\} \subseteq K^{n^2+1}$$

forms an affine variety. Note that we have a bijection $V \cong GL(n, K)$ by forgetting the y -coordinate (which is uniquely determined as the inverse of $\det(x)$). Thus, we may consider the $GL(n, K)$ as an affine K -variety.

Let $V \subseteq K^n$ be an affine K -variety. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{O}(V) := \text{Mor}(V, A'(K))$$

of morphisms from V to $A'(K)$ in Var_K , called the coordinate ring of V .

$\mathcal{O}(V)$ forms a commutative K -algebra via

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (f+g)(x) &:= f(x) + g(x) \\ (f \cdot g)(x) &:= f(x) \cdot g(x) \\ (\lambda f)(x) &:= \lambda(f(x)) \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} f, g &\in \mathcal{O}(V) \\ \lambda &\in K \end{aligned}$$

We further introduce the ideal (!)

$$I(V) := \{ f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \mid \forall x \in V: f(x) = 0 \} \subseteq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

of polynomials vanishing on V .

Prop 4.3. There is an isomorphism

$$K[X_1, \dots, X_n] / I(V) \cong \mathcal{O}(V)$$

of K -algebras.

Proof. Every $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ yields a morphism $\varphi_f: V \rightarrow A(K), x \mapsto f(x)$.

This defines a surjective homomorphism

$$K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(V), f \mapsto \varphi_f$$

of K -algebras with kernel $I(V)$. $\square$

Note that, given morphism $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ of affine varieties, we obtain a homomorphism

$$\varphi^*: \mathcal{O}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(V), \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ \varphi$$

of K -algebras.

We further observe that the coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}(V)$ of an affine variety has the following special properties:

- (1) $\mathcal{O}(V)$ is a finitely generated K -algebra, since by Prop 4.3 it is generated by the coordinate functions $X_1, \dots, X_n$.

(2) $\mathcal{O}(V)$ is a reduced K -algebra, i.e., for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ and $n \geq 1$, the implication $\varphi^n = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi = 0$

holds.

Theorem 4.4.

(1) The assignments

$$V \longmapsto \mathcal{O}(V)$$

$$(\gamma: V \rightarrow W) \longmapsto (\gamma^*: \mathcal{O}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(V))$$

defines a functor

$$\mathcal{O}: \text{Var}_K \longrightarrow (\text{Alg}_K)^{\text{op}}.$$

(2) The functor $\mathcal{O}$ is fully faithful.

(3) The essential image of $\mathcal{O}$ consists of finitely generated, reduced K -algebras.

The proof relies on two famous theorems of D. Hilbert, which we state here without proof:

Theorem 4.5. (Hilbert's Basis Theorem) Let K field and let $I \subseteq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ideal. Then there exist finitely many polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_r \in I$ such that $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$, i.e., I is finitely generated as a $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ -module.

Theorem 4.6. (Nullstellensatz) Let K be an algebraically closed field. Then the maximal ideals of the ring $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ are precisely the ideals of the form $m = (X_1 - c_1, \dots, X_n - c_n)$ with $c_1, \dots, c_n \in K$.

Proof of Theorem 4.4: Statement (1) is immediate.

To show (2), we need to show that the maps

$$(-)^*: \text{Nor}(V, W) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{O}(W), \mathcal{O}(V)), \varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$$

are bijective. To this end, we construct an inverse

of $(-)^*$: Suppose $V \subseteq K^n$ and $W \subseteq K^m$ so that

$$\mathcal{O}(V) \cong K[X_1, \dots, X_n] / I(V) \text{ and } \mathcal{O}(W) \cong K[X_1, \dots, X_m] / I(W).$$

Given a homomorphism $f: G(W) \rightarrow G(V)$ we choose representatives $F_1, \dots, F_m$ in $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ of $f(Y_1), \dots, f(Y_m)$ and define

$$\mathcal{Z}_f: V \rightarrow W, \quad x \mapsto (F_1(x), \dots, F_m(x)).$$

Different choices $\hat{F}_1, \dots, \hat{F}_m$ differ by polynomials in $I(V)$ so they will lead to the same map $\mathcal{Z}_f$, showing that $f \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_f$ only depends on f .

It is now straightforward to verify that the map

$$\text{Hom}_K(G(W), G(V)) \longrightarrow \text{Par}(V, W)$$

$$f \longmapsto \mathcal{Z}_f$$

is inverse to $(-)^*$.

We now show (3): Let R finitely generated K -alg.

We choose generators $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$ and thus obtain a surjective homomorphism

$$\pi: K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \twoheadrightarrow R, \quad X_i \mapsto r_i$$

Let $I := \ker(\pi)$ which, by the Basisatz, is generated by a finite number of polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_r$.

We then define

$$V(I) := \{ \underline{x} \in K^n \mid \forall i: f_i(\underline{x}) = 0 \} \subseteq K^n.$$

We now claim that, for R reduced, we have

$$I(V(I)) \cong I$$

$$\text{so that } \mathcal{O}(V(I)) \cong K[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I(V(I)) \cong R.$$

Lemma 4.7 below implies that

$$I(V(I)) = \sqrt{I} = \{ f \in K[\underline{x}] \mid f^m \in I \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

But we have that

$$R \cong K[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I$$

is reduced, and thus $\sqrt{I} = I$. □

Lemma 4.7. Let $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ideal.

Then

$$I(V(I)) = \sqrt{I}$$

where

$$\sqrt{I} := \{ f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid \exists m \geq 1 : f^m \in I \}$$

(called the radical of I)

-9-

Proof " $\supseteq$ " is clear. To show " $\subseteq$ " suppose that

$$I = (f_1, \dots, f_r) \quad (\text{Basissatz}).$$

and let $f \in I(V(I))$. We define the ideal

$$J = (f_1, \dots, f_r, Y-1) \trianglelefteq K[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y].$$

Note that, for $g \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y]$, we have

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow g \in (X_1 - x_1, \dots, X_n - x_n, Y - y)$$

so that, further, for $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \in K^{n+1}$:

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \in V(J) \Leftrightarrow J \subseteq (X - x_1, \dots, X_n - x_n, Y - y).$$

However, by construction, we have

$$V(J) = \emptyset$$

so that, for every $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \in K^{n+1}$, we have

$$J \not\subseteq (X - x_1, \dots, X_n - x_n, Y - y).$$

By Nullstellensatz, this implies that J is not contained in any maximal ideal of $K[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y]$.

Thus $\mathcal{I}$ cannot be a proper ideal $\Rightarrow \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{U}(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y)$.

On particular, we have $1 \in \mathcal{I}$ and thus there exist $g_i \in \mathcal{U}(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y)$ with

$$g_1 f_1 + \dots + g_r f_r + g_{r+1} (fY - 1) = 1.$$

We evaluate this expression at

$$Y = \frac{1}{f}$$

and multiply the resulting equation by a suitable power f^m to clear denominators,

resulting in a relation of the form

$$f^m = \tilde{g}_1 f_1 + \tilde{g}_2 f_2 + \dots + \tilde{g}_r f_r \in \mathcal{I}.$$

where

$$\tilde{g}_i(X_1, \dots, X_n) = g_i(X_1, \dots, X_n, \frac{1}{f}) \cdot f^m \in \mathcal{U}(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

□

Remark 4.8.

By Theorem 4.4, we can equivalence of categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Var}_K & \simeq & (\text{Alg}_K^{\text{fg, mod}})^{\text{op}} \\ V & \longmapsto & (XV) \end{array}$$

It turns out to be fruitful to slightly generalize the notion of an affine K -variety so that all commutative K -algebras arise as coordinate rings. These generalized varieties are called affine schemes and can, for example, be defined via

$$\{\text{affine schemes}\} := \text{CAlg}_K^{\text{op}}.$$