

Theorem 3.8. Let  $F$   $\partial$ -field with  $\text{char}(F) = 0$  and  $K_F = \overline{K_F}$ , and let  $A \in F^{\text{brn}}$ . Then

- (1) There exists a PV ring for  $A$ .
- (2) Given  $R_1, R_2$  PV rings for  $A$ , there exists an  $F$ -linear isomorphism  $R_1 \cong R_2$  of  $\partial$ -rings.

Proof. (1) Let

$$U := \underbrace{K[X_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n]}_{\text{polynomial ring in } X_{ij}} \underbrace{[\det(X)^{-1}]}_{\text{localization at } \{\det(X)^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$$

We equip  $U$  with the derivation given by the formula

$$\partial(X) := A \cdot X$$

extended to  $U$  via additivity, Leibniz rule, and quotient rule. This makes  $U$  a  $\partial$ -ring (!!).

Consider the set

$$\{P \in U \mid P \text{ proper } \partial\text{-ideal in } U\}$$

partially ordered by inclusion. By Zorn's lemma this poset has a maximal element

$$P \neq U.$$

Note that  $P$  is a maximal  $\mathcal{D}$ -ideal, not necessarily a maximal ideal!

Then (!) the quotient  $\mathcal{D}$ -ring

$$R := U/P$$

is  $\mathcal{D}$ -simple. Denoting by

$$\pi: U \twoheadrightarrow R$$

the quotient  $\mathcal{D}$ -homomorphism, we have

$$Y := \pi(X) \in GL(n, R)$$

and, by construction, we have

$$R = F\langle Y_{ij}, \det(Y)^{-1} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n \rangle = F\langle Y, Y^{-1} \rangle$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(Y) = A \cdot Y.$$

$\Rightarrow R$  is a PV ring for  $A$ .

(2) Given  $R_1, R_2$  PV rings for  $A$ , we form the  $\mathcal{O}$ -ring (!)

$$R_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} R_2$$

with  $(r_1 \otimes r_2)(s_1 \otimes s_2) = r_1 s_1 \otimes r_2 s_2$ , and

$$\partial(r_1 \otimes r_2) = \partial(r_1) \otimes r_2 + r_1 \otimes \partial(r_2).$$

Let  $P \nsubseteq R_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} R_2$  maximal  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal and consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R_1 & \hookrightarrow & R_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} R_2 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & R_2 \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \pi & \swarrow \psi & \\ & & R_1 \otimes R_2 / P & & \\ & & \underbrace{\hspace{2cm}} & & \\ & & =: R & & \end{array}$$

Note that, since  $R_1, R_2$  are  $\mathcal{O}$ -simple,  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are injective (the kernel of a  $\mathcal{O}$ -ring hom. is a  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal).

Further, let  $X_1 \in GL(n, R_1)$  and  $X_2 \in GL(n, R_2)$  be generating fundamental matrices.

Then, we have

$$\underbrace{\varphi(Y_1)^{-1} \varphi(Y_2)}_C = 0$$

Thus, we have

$$\varphi(Y_2) = \varphi(Y_1) \cdot C \quad (*)$$

with  $C \in GL(n, K_{\text{alot}(R)})$ .

Lemma 3.5  $\Rightarrow K_{\text{alot}(R)} = K_F$ .

So, by  $(*)$ ,  $\Rightarrow \text{im } \varphi = \text{im } \varphi$

Therefore, we have

$$R_1 \xrightarrow[\varphi]{\cong} \text{im } \varphi = \text{im } \varphi \xrightarrow[\varphi]{\cong} R_2$$

□

Theorem 3.9. Let  $F$   $\mathbb{Q}$ -field,  $\text{char}(F) = 0$ ,  $K_F = \overline{K}_F$ .

Let  $E/F$  Picard-Vessiot extension for  $A \in F^{n \times n}$ , generated by the entries of a fundamental matrix  $Y \in GL(n, E)$ . Then

$$R := F \langle Y_{ij}, \det(Y)^{-1} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n \rangle \subseteq E$$

is a Picard-Vessiot ring.

Proof. Will be given later.

Remark 3.10. From Theorems 3.8 and 3.9, we deduce that any matrix  $A \in F^{n \times n}$ ,  $\text{char}(F) = 0$ ,  $K_F = \overline{K_F}$ , has a Picard-Vessiot extension which is unique up to  $F$ -linear  $\mathcal{D}$ -isomorphism.

Remark 3.11. The above definitions of PV rings/extensions can be formulated more intrinsically in terms of the FDD-module  $\mathcal{H}_A$  corresponding to  $A$ : Indeed, for another choice of basis of  $\mathcal{H}_A$ , we obtain a matrix  $B \in F^{n \times n}$  with

$$B = T^{-1} A T - T^{-1} \mathcal{D}(T) \quad ; \quad T \in GL(n, F).$$

Let  $Y \in GL(n, E)$  fundamental matrix for  $A$ , then

$$\mathcal{D}(T^{-1}Y) = -T^{-1} \mathcal{D}(T) T^{-1} Y + T^{-1} A Y$$

so that  $\underbrace{T^{-1}Y}_Z$  is a fundamental matrix for  $B$ .

Thus, we have

$$F\langle Y_{ij}, \det(Y)^{-1} \rangle = F\langle Z_{ij}, \det(Z)^{-1} \rangle$$

cd

$$F(Y_{ij}) = F(Z_{ij}) .$$

We may thus speak of PV rings / extensions associated to a given FDD-module  $\mathfrak{h}$  of finite  $F$ -dimension.

Definition 3.12. Let  $F$   $\mathcal{D}$ -field,  $\text{char}(F)=0$ ,  $K_F = \overline{K_F}$ . Let

$\mathfrak{h}$  FDD-module of finite  $F$ -dimension and let  $E/F$  a Picard-Vessiot extension for  $\mathfrak{h}$ . We define the  $\mathcal{D}$ -Galois group of  $E/F$  (of  $\mathfrak{h}$ ) as

$$\text{Gal}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathfrak{h}) = \text{Gal}^{\mathcal{D}}(E/F) := \text{Aut}^{\mathcal{D}}(E/F),$$

the group of FDD-linear field automorphisms of  $E$ .

Let  $E/F$  Picard-Vessiot extension for  $\mathfrak{h}$  FDD-module as above. Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}^{\mathcal{D}}(E/F) \times \text{Sol}_E(M) &\longrightarrow \text{Sol}_E(\mathfrak{h}) & (+) \\ (\sigma, \varphi) &\longmapsto \sigma \circ \varphi \end{aligned}$$

defines an action of  $\text{Gal}^{\mathcal{D}}(E/F)$  on the  $K_E$ -vector space  $\text{Sol}_E(M)$  via  $K_E$ -linear maps. We fix the notation  $K := K_F = K_E$ .

Let  $A \in F^{n \times n}$  corresponding to the choice of some  $F$ -basis of  $V$ . Then we have

$$E = F(Y_{ij}) \quad \text{where } Y \in GL(n, E)$$

with  $\partial(Y) = AY$ .

Then, for  $\sigma \in \text{Cal}^{\partial}(E/F)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(\underbrace{Y^{-1}\sigma(Y)}_{C_{\sigma}}) &= -Y^{-1}\partial(Y)Y^{-1}\sigma(Y) + Y^{-1}\sigma(\partial(Y)) \\ &= -Y^{-1}AY\sigma(Y) + Y^{-1}A\sigma(Y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have  $C_{\sigma} \in GL(n, K)$  such that

$$\sigma(Y) = Y \cdot C_{\sigma}. \quad (*)$$

We obtain a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}: \text{Cal}^{\partial}(E/F) &\longrightarrow GL(n, K), \\ \sigma &\longmapsto C_{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

which corresponds to the action (+) with respect to the  $K$ -basis of  $\text{Sol}_E(h)$  given by the columns of  $Y$ . Since  $E/F$  generated by the entries of  $Y$ , it is further clear that the action (+) is faithful, i.e.,  $\mathcal{S}$  is injective.

In other words, via  $\mathcal{P}$ , we may identify

$$\text{Gal}^{\mathcal{P}}(E/F) \subseteq \text{GL}(n, K)$$

with a subgroup of  $\text{GL}(n, K)$ .

Note, that this is analogous to the fact from ordinary Galois theory that the Galois group of a polynomial  $f$  can, upon enumerating the roots of  $f$  by  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , be identified with a subgroup of  $S_n$ .

Remark 3.13. The above definition of  $\text{Gal}^{\mathcal{P}}(E/F)$  turns out to be too crude to obtain a reasonable Galois theory. As will be explained later, we also need to keep track of the fact that

$$\text{Gal}^{\mathcal{P}}(E/F) \subseteq \text{GL}(n, K)$$

is, beyond just being a subgroup, the zero locus of polynomials in  $x_{ij}$ ,  $\det(x)^{-1}$  parametrizing the entries of matrices in  $\text{GL}(n, K)$ . This will be the subject of the next section.

Example 3.14. Let  $F$  be as above and consider the  $\partial$ -equation

$$\partial \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \overbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}^A \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (*)$$

$$K = K_F$$

where  $f \in F$ . Assume that there does not exist  $y \in F : \partial(y) = f$ . On HW 3, we have shown that a PV extension for  $A$  is given by

$$E = F(T)$$

with  $\partial(T) = f$ .  $E$  is generated by the entries of the fundamental solution matrix

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & T \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, E).$$

An automorphism  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}^\partial(E/F)$  is uniquely determined by  $\sigma(T)$ . Since  $\partial(T) = f$ , we have  $\partial(\sigma(T)) = f$  so that  $\lambda_\sigma := \sigma(T) - T \in K$ .

Vice versa, for every  $\lambda \in K$ , the map

$$F(T) \rightarrow F(T), \quad g(T) \mapsto g(T + \lambda)$$

defines an  $F$ -linear  $\partial$ -automorphism.

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}^{\partial}(E/F) &\cong (K, +) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto \lambda_{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

The choice of the fundamental matrix  $\gamma$  gives rise to the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}: \text{Gal}^{\partial}(E/F) &\longrightarrow \text{GL}(2, K) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto C_{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_{\sigma} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\text{Gal}^{\partial}(E/F) \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid \lambda \in K \right\} \leq \text{GL}(2, K).$$

||

$$\left\{ X \in \text{GL}(2, K) \mid \begin{array}{l} X_{11} - 1 = 0 \\ X_{21} = 0 \end{array} ; \begin{array}{l} X_{22} - 1 = 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Example 3.15. Let  $F$  as above and consider the

$\partial$ -equation

$$A = (a)$$

$$\partial(v) = \overset{\sim}{a}v$$

(\*)

with  $a \in F^*$ .

Assume that

$$\partial(y) = uay \quad ; \quad u \geq 1$$

does not have nonzero solutions in  $F$ .

Then, on HW3, we have seen that

$$E = F(T) \quad ; \quad \partial(T) = aT$$

is a Picard-Vessiot extension generated by the fundamental matrix  $Y = (T)$ .

Then, for  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}^\partial(E/F)$ , we have

$$\sigma(T) = T \cdot \lambda_\sigma \quad ; \quad \lambda_\sigma \in K^*$$

and further

$$\text{Gal}^\partial(E/F) \cong (K^*, \cdot) = \text{GL}(1, K)$$

$$\sigma \longmapsto \lambda_\sigma$$