

Theorem 7.3 has immediate relevance for $\mathcal{D}$ -Galois theory in the form of the following corollary which is a $\mathcal{D}$ -analogue of the fact from ordinary Galois theory saying that every finite group is isomorphic to the Galois group of some Galois extension.

Corollary 7.4 Let G be a linear algebraic group $/K$ with $K = \bar{K}$ and $\text{char}(K) = 0$. Then there exists a Picard-Vessiot extension E/K such that

$$G \cong \text{Gal}^{\mathcal{D}}(E/K).$$

Proof: By Theorem 7.3, we may assume that $G \leq GL(n, K)$ Zariski-closed subgroup. By Exercise Sheet 4.4, there exists a Picard-Vessiot extension E/K such that $\text{Gal}^{\mathcal{D}}(E/K) \cong GL(n, K)$. Therefore, by the $\mathcal{D}$ -Galois correspondence, we have

$$\text{Gal}^{\mathcal{D}}(E/E^G) \cong G. \quad \square$$

We now discuss quotients of linear algebraic groups.

A proper discussion of this topic would take too long. For this reason, we cite the relevant results which can be found in Chapter 12 of

[H]: James E. Humphreys - Linear algebraic groups.

Definition 7.5. Let G be a linear algebraic group and $H \trianglelefteq G$ Zariski-closed normal subgroup. A quotient of G by H is a linear algebraic group G/H equipped with a homomorphism $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$ with $\ker(\pi) = H$ such that, for $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$ homomorphism with $H \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$, there exists a unique hom $\bar{\varphi}: G/H \rightarrow G'$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G' \\ & \searrow \pi & \nearrow \bar{\varphi} \\ & G/H & \end{array}$$

commutes.

We will need the following results from [H] :

H1. Under the hypothesis of 7.5, a quotient exists and is unique up to isomorphism. The underlying abstract group structure on G/H is the quotient of the abstract groups underlying G and H .

H2. The pullback homomorphism π^* factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}(G/H) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{O}(G)^H & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(G) \\ & & \cong & & \\ & \searrow & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & \nearrow & \end{array}$$

where H acts on G (and hence on $\mathcal{O}(G)$) via right multiplication.

H3. For a commutative ring R , we denote the localization

$$\mathcal{Q}_t(R) := S^{-1}R$$

at $S = \{ \text{non-zero divisors} \}$, called the total ring of fractions of R . (If R is integral domain

-4-

then $\text{Quot}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Quot}(\mathbb{R})$ field of fractions).

Under the hypothesis of 7.5, the natural map

$$\text{Quot}(\tilde{F} \otimes_k G(G)^H) \rightarrow \text{Quot}(\tilde{F} \otimes_k G(G))^H$$

is an isomorphism ($\tilde{F}/k$ some field extension).

Example 7.6. On the last problem set, we have seen that

$$GL(n, k)/H \cong PGL(n, k)$$

($H = \{\text{scalar matrices}\} \cong k^*$) admits the structure of a linear algebraic group together with the homomorphism

$$\pi : GL(n, k) \rightarrow PGL(n, k)$$

from 7.5.

Equipped with these results, we will now refine our understanding of the $\mathcal{D}$ -Galois correspondence with respect normal subgroups.

Theorem 7.6. Let E/F Picard-Vessiot extension with $\mathcal{D}$ -Galois group $G = \text{Gal}^{\mathcal{D}}(E/F)$. Let $H \leq G$ $\mathbb{Z}$ -closed subgroup. Then

$$H \trianglelefteq G \text{ normal} \iff E^H \text{ } G\text{-stable}.$$

If $H \trianglelefteq G$ normal, then we further have

(1) E^H/F is a Picard-Vessiot extension.

(2) The restriction map

$$G \longrightarrow \text{Gal}^{\mathcal{D}}(E^H/F)$$

induces an isomorphism $G/H \cong \text{Gal}^{\mathcal{D}}(E^H/F)$.

Proof: " $\Rightarrow$ " $\checkmark$. " $\Leftarrow$ ": Suppose that E^H is G -stable then, by definition, H is the kernel of the restriction homomorphism

$$G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}^{\mathcal{D}}(E^H/F)$$

and thus $H \trianglelefteq G$.

We show (1). Suppose E is the field of fractions of a Picard-Vessiot ring R for $A \in \text{Fuchs}$.

Then, the affine F -scheme X corresponding to R is, by the Torsor theorem, a G_F -torsor.

By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (Version II), there exists a finite field extension $\tilde{F}/F$ such that $X(\tilde{F}) \neq \emptyset$.

Thus, by Cor. 5.10, we obtain an isomorphism of affine $\tilde{F}$ -schemes

$$X_{\tilde{F}} \cong G_{\tilde{F}} \quad (*)$$

Wlog, we may assume $\tilde{F}/F$ is a finite Galois extension ($\text{char}(F)=0$).

The isomorphism $(*)$ corresponds to an isomorphism

$$\tilde{F} \otimes_F R \cong \tilde{F} \otimes_K \mathcal{O}(G) \quad (*)^\vee$$

which is G -equivariant for the G -action on the R (resp. $\mathcal{O}(G)$)-tensor component.

By passing to H -invariants, we thus obtain an

-7-

isomorphism of $\tilde{F}$ -algebras

$$\tilde{F} \otimes_F R^H \cong \tilde{F} \otimes_K G(K)^H$$

Since, by H2, the K -algebra

$$G(K)^H \cong G(G/H) \simeq \text{f.g.}$$

is f.g. K -algebra, we have that $\tilde{F} \otimes_K G(K)^H$ and hence $\tilde{F} \otimes_F R^H$ is a f.g. $\tilde{F}$ -algebra.

By Lemma 7.7 below, this implies that R^H is finitely generated as F -algebra (Galois descent).

Let $y_1, \dots, y_n \in R^H$ be generators. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 7.2 (!), it follows that, for every element $f \in \tilde{F} \otimes_K G(K)$, the K -vector space $\langle G.f \rangle$ is finite dimensional.

Thus, each $\langle G.y_i \rangle$ is finite-dimensional (and $\in R^H$).

Replacing $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ by a K -basis of the K -vector space $\langle \{G.y_i\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$ we may assume that $y_1, \dots, y_n$ forms the K -basis of a G -stable subspace of R^H .

Thus, the Wronskian matrix

$$Y := \text{Wr}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

has nonzero determinant (Prop 2.7) and is thus invertible when considered as a matrix with coefficients in $\text{Quot}(R^H) \subseteq E$.

Further, the matrix

$$B := \mathcal{D}(Y)Y^{-1}$$

is $\mathcal{Q}$ -invariant (c.f. Exercise Sheet 3.4), so that

B has entries in $E^{\mathcal{Q}} = F$.

Finally, we have, by Lemma 7.8 below, that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{F \subseteq E} \text{Gal}(F/R^H) &\cong \text{Gal}(\widehat{F} \otimes_F R^H) \\ &\cong \text{Gal}(\widehat{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} (\mathcal{K}/R^H)) \\ &\cong \text{Gal}(\widehat{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} (\mathcal{K}/R)) \quad (H3) \\ &\cong \text{Gal}(\widehat{F} \otimes_F R)^H \\ &\xrightarrow{7.8} \widehat{F} \otimes_{\text{Gal}(R/R^H)} R^H \end{aligned}$$

Tracing through the isomorphisms, we obtain that the F-invariant:

$$i: \text{Quot}(R^H) = \text{Gal}(R/R^H) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(R/R)^{\text{Gal}(R/R^H)} = E^H$$

becomes an isomorphism after applying $\tilde{F} \otimes_F -$.

Therefore (!) the map i must itself already be an isomorphism (argue with an F -basis of $\text{Gut}(R^H)$).

Thus, we have

$$E^H = \text{Gut}(R^H) = F(\gamma_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n)$$

$\Rightarrow E^H/F$ is Picard-Vessiot extension.

To show (2): We show that the restriction ho

$$\pi: G \rightarrow \text{Gal}^{\text{P}}(E^H/F)$$

is surjective ($\Rightarrow G/H \cong \text{Gal}^{\text{P}}(E^H/F)$ since $\text{Ker}(\pi) = H$).

So let $\sigma: E^H \rightarrow E^H$ $\mathbb{Q}$ -automorphism.

Then we consider

$$\text{inc}: E^H \hookrightarrow E$$

and

$$\text{inc} \circ \sigma: E^H \hookrightarrow E$$

} both are PV-extensions
for A over E^H .

Thus there exists an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$ -fields

$$\tilde{\sigma}: E \rightarrow E$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}} & E \\ \text{inc} \uparrow \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong \uparrow \text{inc} \\ E^H & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & E^H \end{array} \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}} & E \\ \text{inc} \uparrow \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong \uparrow \text{inc} \\ E^H & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & E^H \end{array}$$

Thus $\tilde{\sigma}|_{E^H} = \sigma = \pi(\sigma)$.

□

Lemma 7.7. Let $\tilde{F}/F$ finite Galois extension and let S F -algebra. Then

$$S \text{ f.g. } F\text{-alg} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{F} \otimes_F S \text{ f.g. as } \tilde{F}\text{-alg}.$$

Lemma 7.8. Let $\hat{F}/F$ finite Galois extension and S F -algebra. Then

$$\text{Gal}(\hat{F} \otimes_F S) \cong \hat{F} \otimes_F \text{Gal}(S).$$