

Some results on ends and automorphisms of graphs

H.A. Jung

FBB (Math.), Technical University, Berlin, Germany

Received 28 December 1989

Revised 9 July 1990

Abstract

Jung, H.A., Some results on ends and automorphisms of graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 95 (1991) 119–133.

Automorphisms σ of a connected graph X satisfying $\sigma(F) \neq F$ for all finite non-empty subsets F of $V(X)$ are of particular interest in the theory of ends. Elementary properties of those automorphisms are studied and linked to the concept of a strip.

1. Introduction and notation

The central concepts in this paper are the notion of an *end* and the notion of a *translation* (type 2 automorphisms in [6]) of a graph X . We will distinguish *proper* translations (hyperbolic automorphisms in [16]) and nonproper translations (parabolic automorphisms in [16]).

First we relate some basic results of [6] to the concept of a *strip*, which was studied in [10]. Then characterizations of proper translations are supplied.

While the results in Section 2 are valid for arbitrary infinite graphs, the emphasis in Section 3 is on the difference between locally finite graphs and the general case.

The proofs are all elementary, and we do not elaborate on the topological aspects of the theory of ends (cf. [8, 11–12, 16]). Neither do we elaborate on the links of this theory to group-theoretical aspects, such as the growth of finitely generated groups. Here we refer the reader to [3, 13–16].

Given a graph X we denote for any subgraph H of X , by $X - H$ and $X - V(H)$ the maximum subgraph of X with vertex set $V(X) - V(H)$, and by ∂H the set of all vertices in $X - H$, which have at least one neighbor in H .

If R is a ray (that is, a one-way infinite path) in X and F a finite subset of $V(X)$, there is a unique component C of $X - F$, which contains all but finitely many vertices of R ; we shall say that C *covers* R .

It is easy to see that the following relation \sim is an equivalence relation on the set of rays in X : $R \sim R'$ if for each finite $F \subseteq V(X)$, the rays R and R' are covered by the same component C of $X - F$.

The classes with respect to \sim are called *ends* in X (see [2] and [4]). Since for any finite $F \subseteq V(H)$ all rays in an end l in X are covered by the same component C of $X - F$, we may say that C *covers* l . For distinct ends l and l' in X there exists a finite set $F \subseteq V(X)$ such that l and l' are covered by distinct components of $X - F$. In that event we say that F *separates* l and l' . Clearly distinct ends of X are disjoint subsets of the set of rays in X .

Any element σ of the automorphism group $\text{Aut } X$ of X maps any end l in X onto the end $\sigma(l) = \{\sigma(R) : R \in (l)\}$. Clearly σ acts as a permutation of the set $L(X)$ of ends in X . This action of $\text{Aut } X$ on $L(X)$ defines a canonical group homomorphism from $\text{Aut } X$ into the group of permutations of $L(X)$.

An automorphism of X is called a *translation* of X (automorphism of type 2 in [6]), if $\sigma(F) \neq F$ for every finite non-empty subset of $V(X)$.

The following preliminary result is a useful tool in the later proofs.

Lemma 1.1. *For any translation σ of a graph X and any finite set $F \subseteq V(X)$ there exists a positive integer n such that $\sigma^i(F) \cap \sigma^j(F) = \emptyset$, whenever $|j - i| \geq n$.*

It suffices to show, that there is an integer $n > 0$ such that $\sigma^i(F) \cap F = \emptyset$, whenever $i \geq n$. That assertion follows immediately from the fact that for every $x \in V(X)$ the elements $\sigma^i(x)$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}$) are all distinct.

2. Fixed ends and strips

Given $\sigma \in \text{Aut } X$ we call a set Σ of subgraphs of X *σ -invariant* if $\sigma(Y) \in \Sigma$ for all $Y \in \Sigma$; if in addition for all $Y \in \Sigma$ there is a nonzero integer m such that $\sigma^m(Y) = Y$, we call Σ *σ -periodic*. A σ -invariant end of X will be called a *fixed end* of σ .

By definition a *strip* is a connected locally finite graph with exactly two ends, whose automorphism group contains a translation.

Theorem 2.1. *Let σ be a translation of the connected graph X , and let H be a finite connected subgraph of X such that $\sigma(H) \cap H \neq \emptyset$. Then $S = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma^i(H)$ is a strip, and $\sigma \upharpoonright S$ fixes both ends of S . Each of these ends is a subset of some fixed end of σ . Conversely if some end l of X contains no ray of S then $\sigma^i(l) \neq \sigma^j(l)$ whenever $i \neq j$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.1 we can determine a positive integer n such that $\sigma^i(H) \cap \sigma^j(H) = \emptyset$ whenever $|j - i| \geq n$. Suppose $x \in \sigma^j(H)$ and $y \in \sigma^i(H)$ are adjacent in S . Then xy is an edge of some $\sigma^k(H)$. From $x \in \sigma^j(H) \cap \sigma^k(H)$ and $y \in \sigma^i(H) \cap \sigma^k(H)$ we deduce $|i - k| \leq n - 1$ and $|j - k| \leq n - 1$, and hence $|i - j| \leq 2n - 2$. Therefore S is locally finite. Moreover, for $\bar{H} = H \cup \sigma(H) \cup \dots \cup$

$\sigma^{n-2}(H)$ there exist distinct components C_0^+ and C_0^- of $S - \bar{H}$ such that C_0^+ contains all $\sigma^i(H)$ ($i \geq 2n - 2$) and C_0^- contains all $\sigma^i(H)$ ($i \leq -n$). Since both C_0^+ and C_0^- contain respectively rays R^+ and R^- , S has at least two ends. For any finite set $F \subseteq V(S)$, there exist integers $p < q$ such that $F \cap \sigma^i(H) = \emptyset$ whenever $i \leq p$ or $i \geq q$. Hence $S - F$ has at most two infinite components. So far we have shown that S has exactly two ends; one end l_0^+ of S contains R^+ and the other l_0^- contains R^- .

Clearly $\sigma_0 = \sigma|_S$ is a translation of S , and l_0^+ and l_0^- are subsets of certain ends l^+ and l^- of X respectively, possibly $l^+ = l^-$. Since R^+ meets infinitely many $\sigma^i(H)$ ($i \geq 0$), so does $\sigma(R^+)$ and so C_0^+ covers $\sigma_0(l_0^+)$. Since C_0^+ does not cover l_0^- we must have $\sigma_0(l_0^+) = l_0^+$. From $\sigma(l^+) \cap l^+ \supseteq \sigma_0(l_0^+) \cap l_0^+ = l_0^+$ we infer $\sigma(l^+) = l^+$. Similarly l_0^- is a fixed end of σ_0 and $\sigma(l^-) = l^-$.

Finally let l be an end of X other than l^+ and l^- . We choose a finite set $F \subseteq V(X)$ which separates in X the ends l, l^+ and also separates l, l^- . Then we find a finite connected subgraph H_1 of X such that $V(H_1) \supseteq F \cup V(H)$. As shown above, $S_1 = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma^i(H_1)$ is a strip with ends $l_1^+ \subseteq l^+$ and $l_1^- \subseteq l^-$. By Lemma 1.1 there is an integer $n_1 \geq n$ such that $\sigma^i(H_1) \cap \sigma^j(H_1) = \emptyset$ whenever $|i - j| \geq n_1$.

We choose $R \in l$ and show first that R meets only finitely many $\sigma^i(H_1)$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}$). Otherwise the component of $X - H_1$ which covers l would contain all but finitely many $\sigma^i(H_1)$ ($i \geq 0$) or all but finitely many $\sigma^i(H_1)$ ($i \leq 0$). Since in X the set $V(H_1)$ separates l from l^+ and l^- we arrive at a contradiction.

Hence all but finitely many vertices of R are contained in some component C of $X - S_1$. Since $V(H_1)$ separates in X the ends l from l^+ and l^- the set ∂C can intersect only finitely many $\sigma^i(H_1)$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}$). In particular ∂C is finite and thus C covers l . Let i be any nonzero integer. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ clearly $\sigma^j(C)$ is another component of $X - S_1$ and $\partial \sigma^j(C) = \sigma^j(\partial C)$. If $\sigma^i(C) \cap C \neq \emptyset$, that is $\sigma^i(C) = C$ then for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\partial C = \partial \sigma^j(C) = \sigma^j(\partial C)$, which by Lemma 1.1 is impossible.

By the preceding arguments $\sigma^i(C) \cap C = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq 0$. Therefore $\sigma^i(C) \cap \sigma^j(C) = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$. Since for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sigma^k(C)$ covers $\sigma^k(l)$ we have shown that $\sigma^i(l) \neq \sigma^j(l)$ whenever $i \neq j$. \square

From Theorem 2.1 we can regain the following results from [6].

Corollary 2.2. *Let σ be a translation of the connected graph X . Then σ has at least one and at most two fixed ends. If $m \in \mathbb{Z}/\{0\}$ then σ^m is a translation, and σ and σ^m have the same fixed ends.*

Proof. Since X is connected we can choose a vertex $x \in X$ and a path H in X joining x to $\sigma(x)$. Hence by Theorem 2.1, σ has at least one and at most two fixed ends.

For any integer $m \neq 0$ clearly σ^m is a translation of X and fixes every fixed end of σ . If σ has only one fixed end l^+ , then again by Theorem 2.1, σ^m has no fixed end other than l^+ . If σ has two fixed ends l^+ and l^- then they are fixed by σ^m . As already shown a translation has no more than two fixed ends. \square .

We remark that Halin's proof for the 'at least'-part is more direct and probably simpler. We also should note that Halin's proof for the 'at most'-part works in general, although he imposed locally finiteness in his statement of this fact.

A translation σ of a connected graph will be called *proper* (*hyperbolic* in [16]) if σ fixes two ends.

Let σ be a translation of the connected graph X . If H is a finite connected subgraph of X such that $\sigma(H) \cap H \neq \emptyset$ then, by Theorem 2.1, some fixed end l_σ^+ of σ contains all rays in $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} \sigma^i(H)$. Note that l_σ^+ is the same end for every choice of H . Indeed, if H_1 and H_2 are two finite subgraphs of X one can find a finite connected subgraph $H \supseteq H_1 \cup H_2$. Then all rays in $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} \sigma^i(H)$ belong to the same fixed end of σ . This fixed end l_σ^+ of σ is called the *direction* of σ [6]. If σ has two fixed ends, the fixed end l_σ^- of σ , which is distinct from l_σ^+ , contains all rays in $\bigcup_{i \leq 0} \sigma^i(H)$. Here H is as in Theorem 2.1 and separates l^- , l^+ . In that case $l_\sigma^- = l_{\sigma^{-1}}^+$ and $l_{\sigma^{-1}}^- = l_\sigma^+$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let σ be a proper translation of the connected graph X and H a finite connected subgraph of X such that $\sigma(H) \cap H \neq \emptyset$ and H separates the fixed ends of σ . Then*

$$V\left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma^i(H)\right)$$

induces a strip $\bar{S} \subseteq X$. Further there exists a finite subgraph \bar{H} of \bar{S} such that $V(\bar{H})$ separates in X the fixed ends of σ and for all components C of $X - \bar{S}$

- (i) $\partial C \subseteq \sigma^k(\bar{H})$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and
- (ii) $\sigma^i(C) \cap \sigma^j(C) = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$.

Proof. Let $S = \bigcup (\sigma^i(H) : i \in \mathbb{Z})$. Let n be as provided by Lemma 1.1 for $F = V(H)$. We first show that the graph \bar{S} which is induced by $V(S)$ in X is a strip. Since $V(H)$ separates l_σ^+ and l_σ^- , these ends are covered by distinct components of $X - H$.

One of these components, say C^+ , contains all $\sigma^i(H)$ ($i \geq n$) and another, say C^- , contains all $\sigma^i(H)$ ($i \leq -n$) as subgraphs.

In particular $\sigma^{-n}(H)$ has no neighbor in $\bigcup_{i \geq n} \sigma^i(H)$. By applying appropriate powers of σ we obtain that $x \in \sigma^i(H)$ and $y \in \sigma^j(H)$ cannot be adjacent in X unless $|j - i| \leq 2n - 1$.

Therefore \bar{S} is locally finite. Since $V(H)$ separates l^+ and l^- in X , \bar{S} has exactly two ends $l_\sigma^+ \subseteq l_\sigma^+$ and $l_\sigma^- \subseteq l_\sigma^-$. Therefore \bar{S} is a strip.

Set $\bar{H} = H \cup \sigma(H) \cup \dots \cup \sigma^{2n-1}(H)$. We now consider a component C of $X - S = X - \bar{S}$. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sigma^i(C)$ is a component of $X - \bar{S}$ and $\partial \sigma^i(C) = \sigma^i(\partial C)$. If ∂C intersects $\sigma^j(H)$ then $\sigma^{-j-n}(\partial C)$ intersects $\sigma^{-n}(H)$ and hence does not intersect $\bigcup_{i \geq n} \sigma^i(H)$. Therefore ∂C does not intersect $\bigcup_{i \geq j+2n} \sigma^i(H)$. From this we infer that ∂C is finite and, choosing j as the minimum integer satisfying $\sigma^j(H) \cap \partial C \neq \emptyset$, $\partial C \subseteq \sigma^j(H) \cup \dots \cup \sigma^{j+2n-1} = \sigma^j(\bar{H})$.

If $\sigma^i(C) = \sigma^j(C)$ for some distinct integers i and j , clearly $\sigma^{k(j-i)}(\partial C) = \partial C$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is not possible, since $\partial C \neq \emptyset$ and σ is a proper translation. \square

Corollary 2.4. *For $1 \leq i \leq m$ let l_i be a fixed end of some proper translation σ_i of the connected graph X . There exists a finite subset F of $V(X)$, such that two distinct ends l and l' of X can be separated by $\tau(F)$ for some $\tau \in \text{Aut } X$, whenever l or l' is in $\{\varphi(l_i): 1 \leq i \leq m, \varphi \in \text{Aut } X\}$.*

Proof. Clearly there is a finite set $F_0 \subseteq V(X)$, which separates the elements of $\{l: l \text{ fixed end of some } \sigma_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ pairwise. We determine a connected finite subgraph H of X such that $\sigma_i(H) \cap H \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $V(H) \supseteq F_0$.

By Theorem 2.3 the set $V(\bigcup (\sigma_i^j(H): j \in \mathbb{Z}))$ induces a strip \bar{S}_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Choose a subgraph \bar{H}_i which satisfies (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.3 with respect to σ_i . We claim that $F = V(\bar{H}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{H}_m) = F$ has the desired property.

Consider distinct ends l and l' of X , say $l = \varphi(l_1)$, for some $\varphi \in \text{Aut } X$. As $\varphi^{-1}(l') \neq l_1$, the end $\varphi^{-1}(l')$ is the second fixed end of \bar{S}_1 or covered by a component C of $X - \bar{S}_1$. In either case, by Theorem 2.3, there is an integer k such that $\sigma_1^k(\bar{H}_1)$ separates l_1 and $\varphi^{-1}(l')$. Now $\varphi\sigma_1^k(\bar{H}_1)$ separates $\varphi(l_1) = l$ and $\varphi\varphi^{-1}(l') = l'$. As $V(\bar{H}_1) \subseteq F$, clearly $\varphi\sigma_1^k(F)$ separates l and l' . \square

We state another result of Halin [6] as a corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Let σ be a proper translation of the connected graph X , and let the finite subset F of $V(X)$ separate l_σ^+ and l_σ^- . Then any $l \in \{l_\sigma^+, l_\sigma^-\}$ contains at most $|F|$ pairwise disjoint rays.*

Proof. We choose a finite connected subgraph H of X such that $\sigma(H) \cap H \neq \emptyset$ and $V(H) \supseteq F$. Let R_1, \dots, R_t be pairwise disjoint rays in l_σ^+ . Using Lemma 1.1 we determine a positive integer n such that $\sigma^i(H) \cap \sigma^j(H) = \emptyset$ whenever $|j - i| \geq n$.

Let C^+ and C^- be the components of $X - F$ which respectively cover l_σ^+ and l_σ^- . Note that $\bigcup_{i \leq -n} \sigma^i(H) \subseteq C^-$ and $\bigcup_{i \geq n} \sigma^i(H) \subseteq C^+$. In particular $H \subseteq \sigma^n(C^-)$ and consequently $H \cap \sigma^n(C^+) = \emptyset$. Thus $\sigma^n(C^+ \cup H)$ spans a connected subgraph of $X - F$. From $\sigma^n(H) \subseteq C^+$ we deduce $\sigma^n(C^+ \cup H) \subseteq C^+$ which of course implies $\sigma^n(C^+ \cup \partial C^+) \subseteq C^+$. The last inequality will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.7.

For each positive integer i , $\sigma^{ni}(C^+)$ covers l_σ^+ and hence intersects every $R \in l_\sigma^+$. Choose $x \in \partial C^+$. One easily verifies that any vertex in $\sigma^{in}(C^+)$ has distance at least $i + 1$ from x ($i \geq 0$). Therefore $\bigcap_{i \geq n} \sigma^{ni}(C^+) = \emptyset$ and hence there is a positive integer i such that the terminal vertices of R_1, R_2, \dots, R_t are outside $\sigma^{ni}(C^+)$. For such an integer i each $R \in \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_t\}$ intersects $\partial \sigma^{ni}(C^+)$. Now

$$\partial \sigma^{ni}(C^+) = \sigma^{ni}(\partial C^+) \subseteq \sigma^{ni}(F)$$

hence $t \leq |\sigma^{ni}(F)| = |F|$.

Since $l_\sigma^- = l_{\sigma^{-1}}^+$, the proof of the corollary is complete. \square

The proof of Theorem 7 in [6] yields the following slightly stronger version of that result. For completeness we sketch the proof.

Proposition 2.6. *Let σ be a translation of the connected graph X . There exists a non-empty σ -periodic set of pairwise disjoint double rays in X .*

Proof. Among all paths P' such that $\sigma^m(P') \cap P' \neq \emptyset$ for some $m > 0$ choose a minimal one and call it P . Then $\sigma^m(P) \cap P \neq \emptyset$ for some $m > 0$, i.e. $\sigma^m(x) \in P$ for some $x \in P$. By the minimality of P we have $P = P[x, \sigma^m(x)]$. Moreover, $\sigma^j(P) \cap \sigma^i(P) = \emptyset$ whenever $m \neq |j - i|$, and $\sigma^{(j-1)m+i}(P) \cap \sigma^{jm+i}(P) = \sigma^{jm+i}(x)$.

Setting $Q_i = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma^{jm+i}(P)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we see that $\{Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_{m-1}\}$ is a σ -invariant set of pairwise disjoint double rays. \square

In the next result we establish a necessary and sufficient condition for an automorphism to be a proper translation.

Theorem 2.7. *An automorphism σ of a connected graph X is a proper translation of X if and only if there exists an induced connected subgraph C and a positive integer n such that $0 < |\partial C| < \infty$ and $\sigma^n(C \cup \partial C) \subseteq C$.*

Proof. If σ is a proper translation, we choose a finite subset F of $V(X)$ which separates l_σ^+ and l_σ^- . With n, H and C^+ as constructed in the proof of Corollary 2.5 we have $\sigma^n(C^+ \cup H) \subseteq C^+$ and $\partial C^+ \subseteq F \subseteq H$.

Now assume $\sigma^n(C \cup \partial C) \subseteq C$ for some positive integer n and some induced connected subgraph C of X with infinite ∂C . Abbreviate $\tau = \sigma^n$. If $i > 0$ then clearly $d(x, y) \geq i$ whenever $x \in \partial C$ and $y \in \tau^i(\partial C)$, hence $d(\partial C, \tau^i(\partial C)) \geq i$.

For any finite non-empty $F \subseteq V(X)$ and any positive integer i we have

$$d(\partial C, \tau^i(F)) \geq d(\partial C, \tau^i(\partial C)) - d(\tau^i(F), \tau^i(\partial C)).$$

From $d(\tau^i(F), \tau^i(\partial C)) = d(F, \partial C)$ we infer $d(\tau^i(F), \partial C) \geq i - d(F, \partial C)$. Therefore we cannot have $\tau(F) = F$. Thus we have shown that τ is a translation. Finally we choose some $x \in \partial C$ and some path H in X joining x to $\tau(x)$. Abbreviate $n = |V(H)| - 1$.

For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\tau^i(x) \in \tau^i(\partial C) \subseteq \tau^{i-1}(C) - \tau^i(C)$.

From $\tau^{i+1+m}(x) \in \tau^i(C)$ and $d(\tau^{i+m+1}(x), \partial \tau^i(C)) \geq m + 1$ we deduce that the path $\tau^{i+m}(H)$ of length m is a subgraph of $\tau^i(C)$.

From $\tau^{i-m}(x) \notin \tau^i(C)$ and $d(\tau^{i-m}(x), \partial \tau^i(C)) \geq m$ we similarly obtain $\tau^{i-m}(C) \supseteq \tau^{i-m-1}(H) \cap \tau^i(C) = \emptyset$. Therefore $\bigcup_{i \geq m} \tau^i(H) \subseteq C$ and C covers all rays of $l_{\tau/S}^+$, where S denotes the strip $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau^i(H)$. Furthermore, $C \cap \bigcup_{i \leq -m-1} \tau^i(H) = \emptyset$ and so C does not cover any ray in $l_{\tau/S}^-$. Hence $l_\tau^+ \neq l_\tau^-$. By Corollary 2.2 also σ is proper. \square

3. Nonproper translations and groups of automorphisms

Taking the viewpoint that an end l of X is a 'limit' vertex or a fictitious vertex, we may have the situation that ends l corresponds to real vertices. We call the vertex v of X a *main vertex* of l if for each finite $F \subseteq V(X) - \{v\}$ the component of $X - F$ which contains v covers l (see [7]). It is easy to see that v is a main vertex of l if and only if for each (equivalently some) $R \in l$ there exists an infinite system of openly disjoint paths joining v to distinct elements on R . Given a graph X_0 , we call each graph of the form

$$X_0 \cup \bigcup (P_n: n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

a v -extension of X_0 if $P_n = P_n[v, w_n]$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) are openly disjoint paths with distinct endvertices w_n in X_0 and $P_n(v, w_n) \cap X_0 = \emptyset$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Note that v may be an element of $V(X_0)$. A union of v_n -extensions \tilde{X}_n of X_0 ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) is called an *infinite extension* of X_0 if all v_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) are distinct and the graphs $\tilde{X}_n - V(X_0)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) are pairwise disjoint.

If l is a fixed end of σ and x is a main vertex of l , then clearly $\sigma^i(x)$ is a main vertex of $\sigma^i(l) = l$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore Theorem 2.3 yields that the fixed ends of a proper translation in the connected graph X cannot have main vertices.

The following lemma is a useful tool for the construction of v -extensions.

Lemma 3.1. *Let R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k be rays in the end l of X , and $\bar{F} = V(R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_k)$. Each component C of $X - \bar{F}$ with infinite ∂C contains a ray of l or a main vertex of l .*

Proof. Let C be a component of $X - \bar{F}$ with infinite ∂C . Without loss of generality we may assume that $V(R_1) \cap \partial C$ is infinite.

We first construct paths $P_n = P_n[v_n, w_n]$ such that $v_n \in V(C)$, $w_n \in V(R_1) \cap \partial C$ and $P_n[v_n, w_n] \subseteq C$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

We start by choosing $v_1 \in V(C)$, $w_1 \in V(R_1) \cap \partial C$ and a path P_1 in X , which joins v_1 to w_1 and has inner vertices in C .

Suppose $P_1 = P_1[v_1, w_1], \dots, P_n = P_n[v_n, w_n]$ have been constructed. We determine a vertex

$$w_{n+1} \in V(R_1) \cap \partial C - \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$$

and a path P_{n+1} joining w_{n+1} to a vertex in $V(P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_n) \cap V(C)$. We can choose $P_{n+1} = P_{n+1}[v_{n+1}, w_{n+1}]$ such that

$$P_{n+1}[v_{n+1}, w_{n+1}] \subseteq C \quad \text{and} \quad P_{n+1} \cap (P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_n) = v_{n+1}.$$

By construction $T = \bigcup (P_n: n = 1, 2, \dots)$ is a tree. If some $v \in V(T)$ has infinite degree in T , then $v = v_n$ for infinitely many n and hence T contains a v -extension of R_1 . Now we assume that T is locally finite and choose a ray R in T . For any $v \in V(R)$ let $n(v)$ be the minimum integer n such that v is on P_n . Clearly there is

a system v'_1, v'_2, \dots of vertices on R such that $n(v'_1) < n(v'_2) < \dots$. By construction the paths $P_{n(v'_i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) are pairwise disjoint. Hence R is in l . \square

In the following two lemmas we assume that a nonproper translation σ of a connected graph X is given, that Σ is a maximal σ -periodic set of pairwise disjoint double rays in X , and that

$$\bar{F} = \bigcup (V(Q): Q \in \Sigma).$$

Lemma 3.2. *For each component C of $X - \bar{F}$ we have $\sigma^i(C) \cap \sigma^j(C) = \emptyset$ whenever i and j are distinct integers.*

Proof. If $\sigma^i(C) \cap \sigma^j(C) \neq \emptyset$ for some distinct i and j , then $\sigma^i(C) = \sigma^j(C)$ and hence there is a minimum positive integer q such that $\sigma^q(C) = C$. Then $\sigma^q \mid C$ is a translation of C and hence, by Proposition 2.6, there exists a σ^q -invariant set $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_k\}$ ($k \geq 1$) of pairwise disjoint double rays in C . Since $C, \sigma(C), \dots, \sigma^{q-1}(C)$ are pairwise disjoint, the set

$$\Sigma \cup \{\sigma^j(Q_i): 1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq j < q\}$$

would be a σ -periodic set of pairwise disjoint double rays which contains Σ as a proper subset. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $Q \in \Sigma$ and let C be a component of $X - \bar{F}$. If $V(Q) \cap \partial C$ is infinite, then there exist an integer $q > 0$ and an infinite extension X_1 of Q such that $\sigma^q(X_1) = X_1$ and $V(X_1), \dots, \sigma^{q-1}(V(X_1))$ are pairwise disjoint subsets of $V(Q \cup \dots \cup \sigma^{q-1}(Q)) \cup (V(X) - \bar{F})$.*

Proof. Let q denote the minimum positive integer such that $\sigma^q(Q) = Q$. Choosing z on Q and setting $H = Q[z, \sigma^q(z)]$ we obtain $Q = \bigcup (\sigma^{iq}(H): i \in \mathbb{Z})$. Assuming that $V(Q) \cap \partial C$ is infinite we can find a vertex x in H such that the set $S = \{i \in \mathbb{Z}: \sigma^{iq}(x) \in \partial C\}$ is infinite. Let $S' = \{\sigma^{iq}(x): i \in S\}$.

We first construct a subtree T of X such that S' is the set of endvertices of T and $V(T) \subseteq V(Q \cup C)$. To this end we label $S' = \{w_1, w_2, \dots\}$ and start the construction by choosing a path T_2 in X , which joins w_1 to w_2 and has all its inner vertices in C . Assuming that a finite tree $T_n \subseteq X$ with $V(T_n) \subseteq V(Q \cup C)$ and set of end vertices $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ has already been constructed, we add to T_n a minimal path joining w_{n+1} to a vertex of T_n to obtain a tree T_{n+1} with set of endvertices $\{w_1, \dots, w_{n+1}\}$. Clearly $T = T_2 \cup T_3 \cup \dots$ has the asserted properties. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: Some vertex $v \in V(T)$ has infinite degree in T .

Since $T - \{v\}$ has infinitely many components we can determine openly disjoint paths $P_n = P_n[v, z_n]$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) in T such that $z_n \in \{\sigma^{iq}(x): i \in S\}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and all z_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) are distinct. Then $z_n = \sigma^{i_n \cdot q}(x)$ where $i_n \in S$ ($n =$

1, 2, ...). Thus $Q' = Q \cup \bigcup (P_n: n = 1, 2, \dots)$ is a v -extension of Q . Hence for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ the graph $\sigma^j(Q')$ is a $\sigma^j(v)$ -extension of $\sigma^j(Q)$ such that $\sigma^j(Q') - \sigma^j(Q) \subseteq \sigma^j(C)$. Therefore by Lemma 3.2 the graph

$$X_1 = \bigcup (\sigma^{jq}(Q'): j \in \mathbb{Z})$$

is an infinite extension of Q . Clearly $\sigma^q(X_1) = X_1$, and for every integer r the graph $\sigma^r(X_1)$ is an infinite extension of $\sigma^r(Q)$. Consider integers r_1, r_2 such that $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < q$. Since $\sigma^{r_1}(Q) \cap \sigma^{r_2}(Q) = \emptyset$,

$$\sigma^{r_1}(X_1 - Q) \subseteq \bigcup (\sigma^{jq+r_1}(C): j \in \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$\sigma^{r_2}(X_1 - Q) \subseteq \bigcup (\sigma^{jq+r_2}(C): j \in \mathbb{Z})$$

we obtain $\sigma^{r_1}(X_1) \cap \sigma^{r_2}(X_1) = \emptyset$.

Case 2: T is locally finite.

We construct sequences i_n, j_n and P_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), where P_n is a path in T , which joins $\sigma^{i_n q}(x)$ to $\sigma^{j_n q}(x)$.

We start by choosing i_1 and j_1 in S such that $i_1 < j_1$ and letting P_1 denote the path in T , which joins $\sigma^{i_1 q}(x)$ to $\sigma^{j_1 q}(x)$. Suppose that the path P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n in T have already been constructed. Since T is locally finite, $T - (P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_n)$ has only finitely many components, and therefore some component T_{n+1} contains infinitely many elements of S' . Thus we can find i_{n+1} and j_{n+1} in S , such that $j_{n+1} - i_{n+1} \geq n + 1$. Let P_{n+1} denote the path in T_{n+1} joining $\sigma^{i_{n+1} q}(x)$ to $\sigma^{j_{n+1} q}(x)$.

By construction the paths P_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) are pairwise disjoint, further $d(n) = j_n - i_n \geq n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Hence we can find an infinite set M of positive integers such that all $d(n)$ ($n \in M$) are distinct and $1 \notin M$. Set $z = \sigma^{i_1 q}(x)$.

For any $n \in M$ the path $P'_n = \sigma^{(i_1 - i_n)q}(P_n)$ joins $\sigma^{i_1 q}(x) = z$ to $\sigma^{(i_1 - i_n + j_n)q}(x) = \sigma^{d(n)q}(z)$ and has inner vertices in $\sigma^{(i_1 - i_n)q}(C)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2, the graph

$$Q^* = Q \cup \bigcup (P'_n: n \in M)$$

is a z -extension of Q and $V(Q^*) - V(Q) \subseteq V(X) - \bar{F}$.

Suppose $\sigma^{a q}(P'_n)$ and $\sigma^{b q}(P'_m)$ have a common vertex outside Q , where $n, m \in M$. This means that $\sigma^{(a+i_1-i_n)q}(P_n)$ and $\sigma^{(b+i_1-i_m)q}(P_m)$ have a common vertex w outside Q . But

$$w \in \sigma^{(a+i_1-i_n)q}(C) \cap \sigma^{(b+i_1-i_m)q}(C)$$

hence, by Lemma 3.2, $a + i_1 - i_n = b + i_1 - i_m$. Consequently $P_n = P_m$ hence $n = m$ and $a = b$.

In particular the graph $X_1 = \bigcup (\sigma^{jq}(Q^*): j \in \mathbb{Z})$ is an infinite extension of Q . Clearly $\sigma^q(X_1) = X_1$.

Now consider integers r_1 and r_2 such that $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < q$. If $\sigma^{r_1}(X_1)$ and $\sigma^{r_2}(X_1)$ had a common vertex then X_1 and $\sigma^{r_2-r_1}(X_1)$ would have a common vertex w .

Since $Q \cap \sigma^{r_2-r_1}(Q) = \emptyset$ the vertex w would be in $X - \bar{F}$ and hence be an inner vertex of some P'_n and some $\sigma^{r_2-r_1}(P'_m)$, where $n, m \in M$. Consequently

$$w \in \sigma^{(i_1-i_n)q}(C) \cap \sigma^{r_2-r_1+(i_1-i_m)q}(C)$$

and by Lemma 3.2, $(i_1-i_n)q = r_2-r_1+(i_1-i_m)q$. Since $0 < r_2-r_1 < q$ this equality is absurd.

Thus we have shown that $\{X_1, \sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma^{q-1}(X_1)\}$ is a σ -invariant set of pairwise disjoint graphs. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let σ be a nonproper translation of the connected graph X . If Σ is a maximal finite σ -periodic set of pairwise disjoint double rays in X then there exist $q > 0$ and an infinite extension X_1 of some $Q \in \Sigma$ such that $\sigma^q(X_1) = X_1$ and $X_1, \sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma^{q-1}(X_1)$ are pairwise disjoint.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_k\}$. For any subgraph H of X and any subset T of $V(X)$ abbreviate

$$\bar{H} = \bigcup (\sigma^i(H); i \in \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{T} = \bigcup (\sigma^i(T); i \in \mathbb{Z});$$

clearly $\sigma(\bar{H}) = \bar{H}$ and $\sigma(\bar{T}) = \bar{T}$. For $1 \leq j \leq k$ let q_j denote the minimum positive integer such that $\sigma^{q_j}(Q_j) = Q_j$. Choosing for any j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, a vertex x_j on Q_j and setting

$$H_j = Q_j[x_j, \sigma^{q_j}(x_j)]$$

we obtain $Q_j = \bigcup (\sigma^{iq_j}(H_j); i \in \mathbb{Z})$. Setting

$$F = V(H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_k)$$

we obtain $\bar{F} = V(Q_1 \cup \dots \cup Q_k)$.

First we construct a v -extension $Q' = Q \cup \bigcup (P_n; n = 1, 2, \dots)$ of some $Q \in \Sigma$ such that $V(Q') - V(Q) \subseteq V(X - \bar{F}) \cup \{v\}$.

As σ is nonproper, for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the set $F_n = \bigcup (\sigma^i(F); -n < i < n)$ does not separate in X the ends of Q_1 . Hence we can determine a path P'_n in $X - F_n$ having a terminal vertex y_n in $\bigcup (\sigma^i(F); i \leq -n)$ and a terminal vertex z_n in $\bigcup (\sigma^i(F); i \geq n)$ and such that the inner vertices of P'_n , if any, are in $X - \bar{F}$. Choosing i_n and j_n such that $y_n \in \sigma^{i_n}(F)$ and $z_n \in \sigma^{j_n}(F)$ we have $\sigma^{-i_n}(y_n), \sigma^{-j_n}(z_n) \in F$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Therefore we can determine an infinite set M_0 of integers such that all $\sigma^{-i_n}(y_n)$ ($n \in M_0$) are the same vertex $y \in F$ and all $\sigma^{-j_n}(z_n)$ ($n \in M_0$) are the same vertex $z \in F$.

Let us abbreviate $d(n) = j_n - i_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). As $d(n) \geq 2n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) we can determine an infinite subset M_1 of M_0 such that all $d(n)$ ($n \in M_1$) are distinct. Exploiting the fact that

$$\sigma^{-i_n}(z_n) = \sigma^{d(n)}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^{-j_n}(y_n) = \sigma^{d(n)}(y)$$

are elements of $\bar{F} = V(Q_1 \cup \dots \cup Q_k)$ we can find an infinite set $M_2 \subseteq M_1$ and

elements Q_κ, Q_λ in $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_k\}$, such that all $\sigma^{-d(n)}(y)$ ($n \in M_2$) are in $V(Q_\kappa)$ and all $\sigma^{d(n)}(z)$ ($n \in M_2$) are in $V(Q_\lambda)$.

Now observe that for all $n \in M_2$ the path $P_n'' = \sigma^{-i_n}(P_n')$ joins y to $\sigma^{d(n)}(z)$ and has inner vertices, if any, in a unique component C_n' of $X - \bar{F}$. If for some infinite subset M_3 of M_2 the paths P_n'' are openly disjoint then

$$Q'_\lambda = Q_\lambda \cup \bigcup (P_n'': n \in M_3)$$

is a y -extension of Q_λ .

In the remaining case all but finitely many $n \in M_2$ satisfy $|V(P_n)| \geq 3$. Moreover, there is an infinite subset M_3 of M_2 such that $|V(P_n)| \geq 3$ for all $n \in M_3$, and all C_n' ($n \in M_3$) are the same component C' of $X - \bar{F}$. For all $n \in M_3$ the path $\sigma^{-j_n}(P_n') = \sigma^{j_n-i_n}(P_n'')$ joins $\sigma^{-j_n}(y_n) = \sigma^{d(n)}(y)$ to $\sigma^{-j_n}(z_n) = z$ and has inner vertices in $\sigma^{-d(n)}(C_n') = \sigma^{-d(n)}(C')$. Thus

$$Q'_\kappa = Q_\kappa \cup \bigcup (\sigma^{-d(n)}(P_n''): n \in M_3)$$

is a z -extension of Q_κ .

This completes the construction of the v -extension $Q' = Q \cup \bigcup (P_n: n = 1, 2, \dots)$ of Q , where $Q \in \Sigma$. Let q denote the minimum positive integer such that $\sigma^q(Q) = Q$. In view of Lemma 3.3 we may assume that for any component C of $X - \bar{F}$ the boundary ∂C is finite. Let $P_n = P_n[v, w_n]$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

We claim that for every positive integer n the set M_n of all positive integers m , such that $n \neq m$ and $(\bar{P}_n - \bar{F}) \cap (\bar{P}_m - \bar{F}) \neq \emptyset$, is finite. Assume to the contrary that for some positive integer n_0 the set M_{n_0} is infinite. Then for all $n \in M_{n_0}$ the paths P_{n_0} and P_n have inner vertices in respectively C_{n_0} and C_n . Abbreviate $P = P_{n_0}$, $M = M_{n_0}$ and $C = C_{n_0}$. By assumption there exist for each $n \in M$ integers i_n and j_n such that $\sigma^{i_n}(P)$ and $\sigma^{j_n}(P_n)$ have inner vertices in common, consequently $\sigma^{i_n}(C) = \sigma^{j_n}(C_n)$. Note that $v, w_n \in \partial C_n$ for all $n \in M \cup \{n_0\}$. Abbreviating $d(n) = j_n - i_n$ we have $C = \sigma^{d(n)}(C_n)$ and consequently

$$\sigma^{d(n)}(v), \sigma^{d(n)}(w_n) \in \sigma^{d(n)}(\partial C_n) = \partial C$$

for all $n \in M$. But clearly $\{\sigma^{d(n)}(v): n \in M\}$ or $\{\sigma^{d(n)}(w_n): n \in M\}$ is infinite, contrary to our assumption, that ∂C be finite.

Having proved the above claim, we can easily construct an infinite set M of positive integers such that $(\bar{P}_n - \bar{F}) \cap (\bar{P}_m - \bar{F}) = \emptyset$ whenever n and m are distinct elements of M . Set

$$Q^* = Q \cup \bigcup (P_n: n \in M).$$

As Q^* is a v -extension of Q , for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ the graph $\sigma^i(Q^*)$ is a $\sigma^i(v)$ -extension of $\sigma^i(Q)$.

We claim that $X_1 = \bigcup (\sigma^{jq}(Q^*): j \in \mathbb{Z})$ is an infinite extension of Q . Assume to the contrary that $\sigma^{j_1 q}(Q^*) - Q$ and $\sigma^{j_2 q}(Q^*) - Q$ have a common vertex w_0 , where $j_1 \neq j_2$, then w_0 is in $X - \bar{F}$ and hence an inner vertex of some $\sigma^{j_1 q}(P_{n_1})$ and of some $\sigma^{j_2 q}(P_{n_2})$, where $n_1, n_2 \in M$. Then $n_1 = n_2$ since otherwise $(\bar{P}_{n_1} - \bar{F}) \cap (\bar{P}_{n_2} - \bar{F}) \neq \emptyset$.

Since $w_0 \in \sigma^{j_1 q}(C_{n_1}) \cap \sigma^{j_2 q}(C_{n_1})$ we obtain a contradiction to Lemma 3.2.

Clearly $\sigma^q(X_1) = X_1$, and for all $0 \leq r < q$, the graph $\sigma^r(X_1)$ is an infinite extension of $\sigma^r(Q)$. It remains to show that $X_1, \sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma^{q-1}(X_1)$ are pairwise disjoint. Suppose $\sigma^{r_1}(X_1)$ and $\sigma^{r_2}(X_1)$ have a common vertex, where $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < q$. Then X_1 and $\sigma^{r_2-r_1}(X_1)$ have a common vertex w . As

$$Q \cap \sigma^{r_2-r_1}(Q) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \{\sigma^{jq}(v): j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap \{\sigma^{jq+r_2-r_1}(v): j \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \emptyset$$

the vertex w is an inner vertex of some P_{n_1} and of some $\sigma^{r_2-r_1}(P_{n_2})$, where $n_1, n_2 \in M$. By the properties of M we must have $n_1 = n_2$, consequently $C_{n_1} \cap \sigma^{r_2-r_1}(C_{n_1}) \neq \emptyset$ contrary to Lemma 3.2.

Thus $\{X_1, \sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma^{q-1}(X_1)\}$ is a σ -invariant set of pairwise disjoint infinite extensions of respectively $Q, \sigma(Q), \dots, \sigma^{q-1}(Q)$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *If σ is a nonproper translation of the connected graph X then the fixed end of σ in X contains infinitely many pairwise disjoint rays in X .*

Proof. Consider a maximal σ -invariant periodic set Σ of pairwise disjoint double rays. If Σ is infinite, clearly the ends of any $Q \in \Sigma$ are subsets of l_σ^+ .

If $\Sigma = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$ we determine q, Q and X_1 according to the theorem. It is easy to construct infinitely many pairwise disjoint rays in X_1 . Clearly those rays are in l_σ^+ . \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be a connected graph with at least two ends, and let G be a subgroup of $\text{Aut } X$ which has only finitely many orbits on $V(X)$. If G is vertex-transitive, or at least two ends of X have no main vertices, then G contains a proper translation.*

Proof. If G is a vertex transitive, we invoke Theorem 1 in [8], which says that there exist an induced subgraph C of X and an element σ of G satisfying $0 < |\partial C| < \infty$ and $\sigma(C \cup \partial C) \subseteq C$. Then σ is a proper translation by Theorem 2.7.

Now assume that there exist distinct ends l_1 and l_2 , neither of which has a main vertex. Clearly there is a finite connected subgraph H of X , which separates l_1 and l_2 and contains additionally at least one element from each orbit of G on $V(X)$. Let C_1 and C_2 denote the components of $X - H$ which cover respectively l_1 and l_2 .

We first show that, for $i = 1$ and 2 , there exists a vertex x_i in C_i such that $d(x_i, H) > \text{dia } H$, that is $\min(d(x_i, v): v \in V(H)) > \max(d(v, w): v, w \in V(H))$. Assuming the contrary we also may assume that C_1 contains no such vertex x_1 . Setting $\text{dia}(H) = k$ we obtain a decomposition

$$V(H) \cup V(C_1) = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k,$$

where $V_i = \{v \in V(H \cup C_1): d(v, H) = i\}$ ($0 \leq i \leq k$). For each $v \in V_i$ ($0 < i \leq k$) we choose a unique edge which is incident with v and some vertex in V_{i-1} .

Clearly all chosen edges define a forest with vertex set $V(C_1 \cup H)$ and each component T of that forest contains a unique vertex $v(T)$ in $V(H)$. Further we determine a ray R in l_1 such that $R \subseteq C_1$. Some component T of the above forest contains infinitely many vertices of R , say the distinct vertices w_1, w_2, \dots . For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ let P_n denote the path in T which connects $v(T)$ to w_n . As T has finite diameter we can determine a vertex w in T at maximum distance from $v(T)$ in T , such that w is on infinitely many P_n , say on P_{n_1}, P_{n_2}, \dots ($n_1 < n_2 < \dots$). By the choice of w there exists an infinite subsequence of $P_{n_1}[w, w_{n_1}], P_{n_2}[w, w_{n_2}], \dots$ which defines an infinite set of openly disjoint paths. Therefore w is a main vertex of l_1 , a contradiction.

Thus for $i = 1$ and 2 we can find x_i in C_i such that $d(x_i, H) > \text{dia } H$, further an element $y_i \in V(H)$ and an element σ_i in G such that $\sigma_i(y_i) = x_i$. Then for $i = 1$ and 2 ,

$$x_i \in V(C_i) \cap \sigma_i(H) \quad \text{and} \quad d(x_i, H) > \text{dia } H = \text{dia } \sigma_i(H)$$

imply

$$H \cap \sigma_i(H) = \emptyset.$$

If for $i = 1$ and 2 , $H \cap \sigma_i(C_i) = \emptyset$ then $\sigma_i(C_i \cup H)$ induces a connected subgraph of $X - H$ and hence $\sigma_i(C_i \cup H) \subseteq C_i$. In that case σ_1 or σ_2 is a proper translation by Theorem 2.7.

If for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$, $H \cap \sigma_i(C_i) \neq \emptyset$, then $H \subseteq \sigma_1(C_1) \cap \sigma_2(C_2)$. In that case both $\sigma_1(C_2 \cup H)$ and $\sigma_2(C_1 \cup H)$ induce connected subgraphs of $X - H$, hence

$$\sigma_1(C_2 \cup H) \subseteq C_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2(C_1 \cup H) \subseteq C_2.$$

Then $\sigma_1\sigma_2(C_1 \cup H) \subseteq \sigma_1(C_2) \subseteq C_1$ and $\sigma_2\sigma_1(C_2 \cup H) \subseteq C_2$ and, by Theorem 2.7, both $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_2\sigma_1$ are proper translations. \square

It is not difficult to construct graphs X , such that $\text{Aut } X$ has exactly two orbits on $V(X)$ and exactly two orbits on $L(X)$. Let X_1 and X_2 be two vertex-transitive 2-connected non-isomorphic graphs. For each $v \in V(X_1)$ let $X_2(v)$ be a copy of X_2 . Let X be constructed from the disjoint union of X_1 and all $X_2(v)$ ($v \in V(X_1)$) by adding all edges $[v, w]$, where $v \in V(X_1)$ and $w \in V(X_2(v))$. Clearly $\text{Aut } X$ has two orbits on $V(X)$. If each of X_1 and X_2 has exactly one end orbit, then $\text{Aut } X$ has two end-orbits. If $\text{Aut } X_1$ and $\text{Aut } X_2$ are torsion groups, then $\text{Aut } X$ contains no translation. Examples for graphs X_1 for which $\text{Aut } X_1$ is a vertex-transitive torsion group are given in [1]. X_1 can be chosen infinite, connected and locally finite. So in a certain sense Theorem 3.6 is sharp.

Let us call an end l of the graph X a *thick* end of X if l contains an infinite subset of pairwise disjoint rays. If $\text{Aut } X$ is a vertex-transitive and $|L(X)| \geq 2$, by Theorem 3.6 the graph X allows a proper translation. But as noted above, fixed ends of proper translations are not thick. Therefore the ends of a vertex-transitive graph X with $|L(X)| \geq 2$ cannot all be thick. On the other hand it is easy to construct end-transitive locally finite graphs X with $|L(X)| = 2^\omega$ in which each end is thick.

Let T_d be the tree in which one vertex v_0 has degree $d - 1$ and all other vertices have degree d ($d \geq 2$). For $h = 0, 1, \dots$ let $S(h)$ denote the set of vertices in $T = T_d$ at distance h from v_0 . Let $p(1) < p(2) < \dots$ be the sequence of prime numbers. For $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ we add to T an edge between $v \in S(p(n))$ and $w \in S(p(n^2))$, whenever v is on the unique path $T[v_0, w]$ joining v_0 and w in T . Clearly the arising graph $X = X_d$ is locally finite. We first show that each end of X contains a (unique) ray in T with initial vertex v_0 . Given a ray R in X we construct a sequence x_1, x_2, \dots in $V(R)$ such that

$$V(R) \cap V(T[v_0, x_m]) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$$

and the subray R_m of R with initial vertex x_m contains no element of $T[v_0, x_m]$. Let x_1 be the \leq -minimum of $V(R_1)$, where \leq is the order related to the rooted tree (T_d, v_0) and v_0 is the \leq -minimum of $V(T)$. Now assume that x_1, \dots, x_m have been constructed with the above properties. The second vertex y_m of R_m is not on $T[v_0, x_m]$. As y_m and x_m are \leq -comparable, x_m lies on $T[v_0, y_m]$. Let x_{m+1} be the first vertex on $T(x_m, y_m]$ in $V(R_m)$. Then x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} has the stipulated properties. Now

$$\bar{R} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} T[v_0, x_m]$$

is a ray in T . Let v_0, v_1, \dots be the vertices of \bar{R} , where $v_h \in S(h)$. For any positive integer n , which is not a perfect square, we have a ray \bar{R}_n in X with vertices $v_{p(n)}, v_{p(n^2)}, v_{p(n^4)}, \dots$. Those rays are pairwise disjoint. This shows that each end of X is thick. The edges of T_d are exactly the edges of X_d , which have at least one end vertex of degree d or $d - 1$ in $X(d)$. As v_0 is the only vertex of degree $d - 1$ in X and in T , we have $\text{Aut } X_d = \text{Aut } T_d$.

However question which groups $G \leq \text{Aut } X$ (X connected) contain proper translations is in general unanswered.

References

- [1] L. Babai and M.E. Watkins, Connectivity of infinite graphs having a transitive group action, Arch. Math. 34 (1980) 90–96.
- [2] H. Freudenthal, Über die Enden diskreter Räume in Gruppen, Comment Math. Helv. 17 (1944) 1–38.
- [3] M. Gromov, Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 53 (1981) 53–78.
- [4] R. Halin, Über unendliche Wege in Graphen, Math. Ann. 157 (1964) 125–137.
- [5] R. Halin, Die Maximalzahl zweiseitig unendlicher Wege in Graphen, Math. Nachr. 44 (1970) 119–127.
- [6] R. Halin, Automorphisms and endomorphisms of infinite locally finite graphs, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 39 (1973) 251–283.
- [7] H.A. Jung, Wurzelbäume und unendliche Wege in Graphen, Math. Nachr. 41 (1969) 1–22.
- [8] H.A. Jung, Connectivity in infinite graphs, in: L. Mirsky, ed., Studies in Pure Mathematics (Academic Press, New York 1971) 137–147.

- [9] H.A. Jung, A note on fragments of infinite graphs, *Combinatorica* 1 (1981) 285–288.
- [10] H.A. Jung and M.E. Watkins, Fragments and automorphisms of infinite graphs, *European J. Combin.* 5 (1984) 149–162.
- [11] N. Polat, Aspects topologiques de la séparation dans les graphes infinis I, *Math. Z.* 165 (1979) 73–100.
- [12] N. Polat, Développements terminaux des graphes infinis, *Math. Nachr.* 107 (1982) 283–314.
- [13] N. Seifter, Properties of graphs with polynomial growth, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, to appear.
- [14] J. Stallings, *Group theory and three-dimensional manifolds* (Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, 1971).
- [15] V.I. Trofimov, Graphs with polynomial growth, *USSR Sbornik* 51 (1985) 405–417.
- [16] W. Woess, Graphs and groups with tree-like properties, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 6 (1988).

