Analysis III for engineering study programs

Ingenuin Gasser

Department of Mathematics Universität Hamburg

Technische Universität Hamburg Wintersemester 2024/25

based on slides of Prof.Dr. Jens Struckmeier from Wintersemster 2020/21

イロト イヨト イヨト

Content of the course Analysis III.

- Partial derivatives, differential operators.
- **2** Vector fields, total differential, directional derivative.
- Mean value theorems, Taylor's theorem.
- Extrem values, implicit function theorem.
- Implicit rapresentation of curves and surfces.
- Extrem values under equality constraints.
- Wewton-method, non-linear equations and the least squares method.
- Multiple integrals, Fubini's theorem, transformation theorem.
- Potentials, Green's theorem, Gauß's theorem.
- Green's formulas, Stokes's theorem.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

Chapter 1. Multi variable differential calculus

1.1 Partial derivatives

Let

 $f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ a scalar function depending *n* variables

Example: The constitutive law of an ideal gas pV = RT.

Each of the 3 quantities p (pressure), V (volume) and T (emperature) can be expressed as a function of the others (R is the gas constant)

$$p = p(V, t) = \frac{RT}{V}$$
$$V = V(p, T) = \frac{RT}{p}$$
$$T = T(p, V) = \frac{pV}{R}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Definition: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$.

• f is called partially differentiable in \mathbf{x}^0 with respect to x_i if the limit

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}^0) := \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}^0 + t\mathbf{e}_i) - f(\mathbf{x}^0)}{t}$$
$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x_1^0, \dots, x_i^0 + t, \dots, x_n^0) - f(x_1^0, \dots, x_i^0, \dots, x_n^0)}{t}$$

exists. \mathbf{e}_i denotes the *i*-th unit vector. The limit is called partial derivative of f with respect to x_i at \mathbf{x}^0 .

• If at every point \mathbf{x}^0 the partial derivatives with respect to every variable $x_i, i = 1, ..., n$ exist and if the partial derivatives are **continuous functions** then we call f continuous partial differentiable or a C^1 -function.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Examples.

• Consider the function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

At any point $\mathbf{x}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ there exist both partial derivatives and both partial derivatives are continuous:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}^0) = 2x_1, \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}^0) = 2x_2$$

Thus f is a C^1 -function.

• The function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + |x_2|$$

at $\mathbf{x}^0 = (0,0)^T$ is partial differentiable with respect to x_1 , but the partial derivative with respect to x_2 does **not** exist!

ヘロト 人間ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

An engineering example.

The acoustic pressure of a one dimensional acoustic wave is given by

$$p(x,t) = A\sin(\alpha x - \omega t)$$

The partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \alpha A \cos(\alpha x - \omega t)$$

describes at a given time t the spacial rate of change of the pressure.

The partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\omega A \cos(\alpha x - \omega t)$$

describes for a fixed position x the temporal rate of change of the acoustic pressure.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Rules for differentiation

• Let f, g be differentiable with respect to x_i and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have the rules

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\alpha f(\mathbf{x}) + \beta g(\mathbf{x}) \right) = \alpha \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}) + \beta \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x})$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot g(\mathbf{x}) \right) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot g(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x})$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{f(\mathbf{x})}{g(\mathbf{x})} \right) = \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot g(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x})}{g(\mathbf{x})^2} \quad \text{for } g(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$$

• An alternative notation for the partial derivatives of f with respect to x_i at x⁰ is given by

$$D_i f(\mathbf{x}^0)$$
 oder $f_{x_i}(\mathbf{x}^0)$

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Gradient and nabla-operator.

Definition: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ partial differentiable.

• We denote the row vector

grad
$$f(\mathbf{x}^0) := \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}^0), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}^0)\right)$$

as gradient of f at \mathbf{x}^0 .

• We denote the symbolic vector

$$\nabla := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^T$$

as nabla-operator.

Thus we obtain the column vector

$$abla f(\mathbf{x}^0) := \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}^0), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}^0)\right)^T$$

More rules on differentiation.

Let f and g be partial differentiable. Then the following rules on differentiation hold true:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{grad}\left(\alpha f+\beta g\right) &=& \alpha \cdot \operatorname{grad} f+\beta \cdot \operatorname{grad} g\\ \\ \operatorname{grad}\left(f \cdot g\right) &=& g \cdot \operatorname{grad} f+f \cdot \operatorname{grad} g\\ \\ \\ \operatorname{grad}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) &=& \frac{1}{g^2}\left(g \cdot \operatorname{grad} f-f \cdot \operatorname{grad} g\right), \quad g \neq 0 \end{array}$$

Examples:

• Let
$$f(x, y) = e^x \cdot \sin y$$
. Then:
 $\operatorname{grad} f(x, y) = (e^x \cdot \sin y, e^x \cdot \cos y) = e^x(\sin y, \cos y)$
• For $r(\mathbf{x}) := \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}$ we have
 $\operatorname{grad} r(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{r(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}$ für $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$,

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ denotes a row vector.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Partial differentiability does not imply continuity.

Observation: A partial differentiable function (with respect to all coordinates) is not necessarily a continuous function.

Example: Consider the function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$f(x,y) := \begin{cases} \frac{x \cdot y}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} & : & \text{for } (x,y) \neq 0 \\ 0 & : & \text{for } (x,y) = 0 \end{cases}$$

The function is partial differntiable on the entire \mathbb{R}^2 and we have

$$f_{x}(0,0) = f_{y}(0,0) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y) = \frac{y}{(x^{2}+y^{2})^{2}} - 4\frac{x^{2}y}{(x^{2}+y^{2})^{3}}, \quad (x,y) \neq (0,0)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y) = \frac{x}{(x^{2}+y^{2})^{2}} - 4\frac{xy^{2}}{(x^{2}+y^{2})^{3}}, \quad (x,y) \neq (0,0)$$

Example (continuation).

We calculate the partial derivatives at the origin (0,0):

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0,0) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(t,0) - f(0,0)}{t} = \frac{\frac{t \cdot 0}{(t^2 + 0^2)^2} - 0}{t} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(0,t) - f(0,0)}{t} = \frac{\frac{0 \cdot t}{(0^2 + t^2)^2} - 0}{t} = 0$$

But: At (0,0) the function is **not** continuous since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n}}{\left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n}\right)^2} = \frac{\frac{1}{n^2}}{\frac{4}{n^4}} = \frac{n^2}{4} \to \infty$$

and thus we have

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} f(x,y) \neq f(0,0) = 0$$

3

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

To guarantee the continuity of a partial differentiable function we need additional conditions on f.

Theorem: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be partial differentiable in a neighborhood of $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$ and let the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, be bounded. Then f is continuous in \mathbf{x}^0 .

Attention: In the previous example the partial derivatives are not bounded in a neighborhood of (0,0) since

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y) = \frac{y}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} - 4\frac{x^2y}{(x^2 + y^2)^3} \quad \text{für } (x,y) \neq (0,0)$$

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ ■ ろの⊙

Proof of the theorem.

For $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small we write: $f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^0) = (f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n^0))$ $+ (f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n^0) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}^0, x_n^0))$:

+
$$(f(x_1, x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0) - f(x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0))$$

For any difference on the right hand side we consider f as a function in one single variable:

$$g(x_n) - g(x_n^0) := f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n) - f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n^0)$$

Since f is partial differentiable g is differentiable and we can apply the mean value theorem on g:

$$g(x_n) - g(x_n^0) = g'(\xi_n)(x_n - x_n^0)$$

for an appropriate ξ_n between x_n and x_n^0 .

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うへぐ

Proof of the theorem (continuation).

Applying the mean value theorem to every term in the right hand side we obtain

$$f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{0}) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, \xi_{n}) \cdot (x_{n} - x_{n}^{0})$$

+
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-2}, \xi_{n-1}, x_{n}^{0}) \cdot (x_{n-1} - x_{n-1}^{0})$$

$$\vdots$$

+
$$\frac{\partial t}{\partial x_1}(\xi_1, x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0) \cdot (x_1 - x_1^0)$$

Using the boundedness of the partial derivatives

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^0)| \le C_1 |x_1 - x_1^0| + \dots + C_n |x_n - x_n^0|$$

for $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$, we obtain the continuity of f at \mathbf{x}^0 since

00

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \to f(\mathbf{x}^0) \qquad \text{für } \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\|_{\infty} \to 0$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Higher order derivatives.

Definition: Let f be a scalar function and partial differentiable on an open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If the partial derivatives are differentiable we obtain (by differentiating) the partial derivatives of second order of f with

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

Example: Second order partial derivatives of a function f(x, y):

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right), \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right), \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}$$

Let $i_1, \ldots, i_k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then we define recursively

$$\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_k} \partial x_{i_{k-1}} \dots \partial x_{i_1}} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_k}} \left(\frac{\partial^{k-1} f}{\partial x_{i_{k-1}} \partial x_{i_{k-2}} \dots \partial x_{i_1}} \right)$$

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 二日

Higher order derivatives.

Definition: The function f is called k-times partial differentiable, if all derivatives of order k,

$$\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_k} \partial x_{i_{k-1}} \dots \partial x_{i_1}} \quad \text{for all } i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

exist on D.

Alternative notation:

$$\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_k} \partial x_{i_{k-1}} \dots \partial x_{i_1}} = D_{i_k} D_{i_{k-1}} \dots D_{i_1} f = f_{x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}}$$

If all the derivatives of k-th order are continuous the function f is called k-times continuous partial differentiable or called a C^k -function on D. Continuous functions f are called C^0 -functions.

Example: For the function
$$f(x_1, ..., x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^i$$
 we have $\frac{\partial^n f}{\partial x_n ... \partial x_1} = ?$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Partial derivaratives are not arbitrarely exchangeable.

ATTENTION: The order how to execute partial derivatives is in general not arbitrarely exchangeable!

Example: For the function

$$f(x,y) := \begin{cases} xy \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2} & : & \text{for } (x,y) \neq (0,0) \\ 0 & : & \text{for } (x,y) = (0,0) \end{cases}$$

we calculate

$$f_{xy}(0,0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0,0) \right) = -1$$

$$f_{yx}(0,0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0) \right) = +1$$

i.e. $f_{xy}(0,0) \neq f_{yx}(0,0)$.

Satz: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a \mathcal{C}^2 -function. Then it holds

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Idea of the proof:

Apply the men value theorem twice.

Conclusion:

If f is a C^k -function, then we can exchange the differentiation in order to calculate partial derivatives up to order k arbitrarely!

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Example for the exchangeability of partial derivatives.

Calculate the partial derivative of third order f_{xyz} for the function

$$f(x, y, z) = y^2 z \sin(x^3) + (\cosh y + 17e^{x^2})z^2$$

The order of execution is exchangealbe since $f \in C^3$.

• Differentiate first with respect to *z*:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = y^2 \sin(x^3) + 2z(\cosh y + 17e^{x^2})$$

• Differentiate then f_z with respect to x (then $\cosh y$ disappears):

$$f_{zx} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(y^2 \sin(x^3) + 2z(\cosh y + 17e^{x^2}) \right)$$
$$= 3x^2 y^2 \cos(x^3) + 68xze^{x^2}$$

• For the partial derivative of f_{zx} with respect to y we obtain

$$f_{xyz} = 6x^2y\cos(x^3)$$

The Laplace operator.

Ingenui

The Laplace-operator or Laplacian is defined as

$$\Delta := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$$

For a scalar function $u(\mathbf{x}) = u(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ we have

$$\Delta u = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} = u_{x_1 x_1} + \dots + u_{x_n x_n}$$

Examples of important partial differential equations of second order (i.e. equations containing partial derivatives up to order two):

$$\Delta u - \frac{1}{c^2} u_{tt} = 0 \quad (\text{wave equation})$$

$$\Delta u - \frac{1}{k} u_t = 0 \quad (\text{heat equation})$$

$$\Delta u = 0 \quad (\text{Laplace-equation or equation for the potential})$$

$$\Delta u = 0 \quad (\text{Laplace-equation or equation for the potential})$$

$$\Delta u = 0 \quad (\text{Laplace-equation or equation for the potential})$$

Vector valued functions.

Definition: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and let $\mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a vector valued function.

The function **f** is called partial differentiable on $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$, if for all i = 1, ..., n the limits

$$rac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}^0) = \lim_{t o 0} rac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0 + t\mathbf{e}_i) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0)}{t}$$

exist. The calculation is done componentwise

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}^0) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_i} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_i} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

3

イロト 人間ト イヨト イヨト

Vectorfields.

Definition: If m = n the function $\mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a vectorfield on D. If every (coordinate-) function $f_i(\mathbf{x})$ of $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)^T$ is a \mathcal{C}^k -function, then \mathbf{f} is called \mathcal{C}^k -vectorfield.

Examples of vectorfields:

- velocity fields of liquids or gases;
- elektromagnetic fields;
- temperature gradients in solid states.

Definition: Let $\mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a partial differentiable vector field. The divergence on $\mathbf{x} \in D$ is defined as

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0) := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}^0)$$

or

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = (\nabla, \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}))$$

イロト イヨト イヨト -

Rules of computation and the curl.

The following rules hold true:

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\alpha\,\mathbf{f}+\beta\,\mathbf{g}\right) = \alpha\,\operatorname{div}\mathbf{f}+\beta\,\operatorname{div}\mathbf{g} \quad \text{for }\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}:D\to\mathbb{R}^n$$

 $\operatorname{div}(\varphi \cdot \mathbf{f}) = (\nabla \varphi, \mathbf{f}) + \varphi \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \quad \text{for } \varphi : D \to \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$

Remark: Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a \mathcal{C}^2 -function, then for the Laplacian we have

$$\Delta f = \operatorname{div} \left(\nabla f \right)$$

Definition: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ open and $\mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^3$ a partial differentiable vector field. We define the curl as

$$\mathsf{curl} \, \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{0}) := \left(\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{2}} - \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{3}}, \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} - \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \Big|_{\mathbf{x}^{0}}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

Alternative notations and additional rules.

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla \times \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 & \mathbf{e}_2 & \mathbf{e}_3 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \\ f_1 & f_2 & f_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

Remark: The following rules hold true:

$$\operatorname{curl} (\alpha \, \mathbf{f} + \beta \, \mathbf{g}) = \alpha \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{f} + \beta \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{g}$$
$$\operatorname{curl} (\varphi \cdot \mathbf{f}) = (\nabla \varphi) \times \mathbf{f} + \varphi \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{f}$$

Remark: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\varphi : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a \mathcal{C}^2 -function. Then

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{curl}}\left(
ablaarphi
ight)=\mathsf{0}\,,$$

using the exchangeability theorem of Schwarz. I.e. gradient fileds are curl-free everywhere.

イロト イヨト イヨト -

1.2 The total differential

Definition: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$ and $\mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^m$. The function $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ is called differentiable in \mathbf{x}^0 (or totally differentiable in \mathbf{x}_0), if there exists a linear map

$$\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}^0) := \mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0)$$

with a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ which satisfies the following approximation property

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0) + \mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0) + \mathbf{o}(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\|)$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x}\to\mathbf{x}^0}\frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0)-\mathbf{A}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^0)}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^0\|}=0.$$

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

Notation: We call the linear map I the differential or the total differential of f(x) at the point x^0 . We denote I by $df(x^0)$.

The related matrix **A** is called Jacobi–matrix of f(x) at the point x^0 and is denoted by $J f(x^0)$ (or $Df(x^0)$ or $f'(x^0)$).

Remark: For m = n = 1 we obtain the well known relation

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + o(|x - x_0|)$$

for the derivative $f'(x_0)$ at the point x_0 .

Remark: In case of a scalar function (m = 1) the matrix $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{a}$ is a row vextor and $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0)$ a scalar product $\langle \mathbf{a}^T, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0 \rangle$.

▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲ ヨト ▲ ヨト 一 ヨー つんで

Total and partial differentiability.

Theorem: Let $\mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, D open.

a) If f(x) is differentiable in x^0 , then f(x) is continuous in x^0 .

b) If f(x) is differentiable in x^0 , then the (total) differential and thus the Jacobi-matrix are uniquely determined and we have

$$\mathbf{J}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}(\mathbf{x}^{0}) & \dots & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}(\mathbf{x}^{0}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{1}}(\mathbf{x}^{0}) & \dots & \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{n}}(\mathbf{x}^{0}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Df_{1}(\mathbf{x}^{0}) \\ \vdots \\ Df_{m}(\mathbf{x}^{0}) \end{pmatrix}$$

c) If f(x) is a C^1 -function on D, then f(x) is differentiable on D.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Proof of a).

If **f** is differentiable in \mathbf{x}^0 , then by definition

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x}^0} \frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0) - \mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0)}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\|} = \mathbf{0}$$

Thus we conclude

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x}\to\mathbf{x}^0} \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0) - \mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0)\| = 0$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{split} \| f(x) - f(x^0) \| &\leq & \| f(x) - f(x^0) - A \cdot (x - x^0) \| + \| A \cdot (x - x^0) \| \\ &\rightarrow & 0 \quad \text{ as } x \rightarrow x^0 \end{split}$$

Therefore the function \mathbf{f} is continuous at \mathbf{x}^0 .

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Proof of b).

Let $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^0 + t\mathbf{e}_i$, $|t| < \varepsilon$, $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Since **f** in differentiable at \mathbf{x}^0 , we have

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x}\to\mathbf{x}^0}\frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0)-\mathbf{A}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^0)}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^0\|_{\infty}}=0$$

We write

$$\frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0) - \mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0)}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\|_{\infty}} = \frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0 + t\mathbf{e}_i) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0)}{|t|} - \frac{t\mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}_i}{|t|}$$
$$= \frac{t}{|t|} \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0 + t\mathbf{e}_i) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0)}{t} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}_i\right)$$
$$\to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to 0$$

Thus

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0+t\mathbf{e}_i)-\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0)}{t}=\mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}_i \qquad i=1,\ldots,n$$

3

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト -

Examples.

• Consider the scalar function $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 e^{2x_2}$. Then the Jacobian is given by:

$$\mathbf{J}f(x_1, x_2) = Df(x_1, x_2) = e^{2x_2}(1, 2x_1)$$

 $\bullet\,$ Consider the function $f:\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$\mathbf{f}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1 x_2 x_3 \\ \sin(x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3) \end{array}\right)$$

The Jacobian is given by

$$\mathbf{Jf}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 x_3 & x_1 x_3 & x_1 x_2 \\ \cos(s) & 2\cos(s) & 3\cos(s) \end{pmatrix}$$

with $s = x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3$.

3

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Further examples.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}_i \rangle$$

$$= \mathbf{e}_i^T \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}_i$$

$$= \mathbf{x}^T (\mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{A})\mathbf{e}_i$$

We conclude

$$\mathbf{J}f(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{grad} f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T (\mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{A})$$

Ξ

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Rules for the differentiation.

Theorem:

a) Linearity: LET $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} : D \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be differentiable in $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$, D open. Then $\alpha \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0) + \beta \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^0)$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable in \mathbf{x}^0 and we have

$$\mathbf{d}(\alpha \mathbf{f} + \beta \mathbf{g})(\mathbf{x}^{0}) = \alpha \, \mathbf{d}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{0}) + \beta \, \mathbf{d}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^{0})$$
$$\mathbf{J}(\alpha \mathbf{f} + \beta \mathbf{g})(\mathbf{x}^{0}) = \alpha \, \mathbf{J}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{0}) + \beta \, \mathbf{J}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^{0})$$

b) Chain rule: Let $\mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be differentiable in $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$, D open. Let $\mathbf{g} : E \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be differentiable in $\mathbf{y}^0 = f(\mathbf{x}^0) \in E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, E open. Then $g \circ f$ is differentiable in \mathbf{x}^0 .

For the differentials it holds

$$\textbf{d}(\textbf{g} \circ \textbf{f})(\textbf{x}^0) = \textbf{d}\textbf{g}(\textbf{y}^0) \circ \textbf{d}\textbf{f}(\textbf{x}^0)$$

and analoglously for the Jacobian matrix

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{g}\circ\mathbf{f})(\mathbf{x}^0)=\mathbf{J}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}^0)\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0)$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ ヨト

Examples for the chain rule.

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an intervall. Let $\mathbf{h} : I \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a curve, differentiable in $t_0 \in I$ with values in $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, D open. Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a scalar function, differentiable in $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{h}(t_0)$.

Then the composition

$$(f \circ \mathbf{h})(t) = f(h_1(t), \ldots, h_n(t))$$

is differentiable in t_0 and we have for the derivative:

$$(f \circ \mathbf{h})'(t_0) = \mathbf{J}f(\mathbf{h}(t_0)) \cdot \mathbf{J}\mathbf{h}(t_0)$$

$$= \operatorname{grad} f(\mathbf{h}(t_0)) \cdot \mathbf{h}'(t_0)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} (\mathbf{h}(t_0)) \cdot h'_k(t_0)$$

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

Directional derivative.

Definition: Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$, and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ a vector. Then

$$D_{\mathbf{v}} f(\mathbf{x}^0) := \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}^0 + t\mathbf{v}) - f(\mathbf{x}^0)}{t}$$

is called the directional derivative (Gateaux-derivative) of $f(\mathbf{x})$ in the direction of \mathbf{v} .

Example: Let $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ and $\mathbf{v} = (1, 1)^T$. Then the directional derivative in the direction of \mathbf{v} is given by:

$$D_{\mathbf{v}} f(x, y) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{(x+t)^2 + (y+t)^2 - x^2 - y^2}{t}$$
$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{2xt + t^2 + 2yt + t^2}{t}$$
$$= 2(x+y)$$

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

Remarks.

For v = e_i the directional derivative in the direction of v is given by the partial derivative with respect to x_i:

$$D_{\mathbf{v}} f(\mathbf{x}^0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}^0)$$

- If v is a unit vector, i.e. ||v|| = 1, then the directional derivative D_v f(x⁰) describes the slope of f(x) in the direction of v.
- If f(x) is differentiable in x⁰, then all directional derivatives of f(x) in x⁰ exist. With h(t) = x⁰ + tv we have

$$D_{\mathbf{v}} f(\mathbf{x}^0) = rac{d}{dt} (f \circ \mathbf{h})|_{t=0} = \operatorname{grad} f(\mathbf{x}^0) \cdot \mathbf{v}$$

This follows directely applying the chain rule.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Properties of the gradient.

Theorem: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ differentiable in $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$. Then we have

a) The gradient vector grad $f(\mathbf{x}^0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is orthogonal in the level set

$$N_{\mathbf{x}^0} := \{ \mathbf{x} \in D \,|\, f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}^0) \}$$

In the case of n = 2 we call the level sets contour lines, in n = 3 we call the level sets equipotential surfaces.

The gradient grad f(x⁰) gives the direction of the steepest slope of f(x) in x⁰.

Idea of the proof:

- a) application of the chain rule.
- b) for an arbitrary direction \boldsymbol{v} we conclude with the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality

$$|D_{\mathbf{v}} f(\mathbf{x}^0)| = |(\operatorname{grad} f(\mathbf{x}^0), \mathbf{v})| \leq ||\operatorname{grad} f(\mathbf{x}^0)||_2$$

Equality is obtained for $\mathbf{v} = \operatorname{grad} f(\mathbf{x}^0) / \|\operatorname{grad} f(\mathbf{x}^0)\|_2$.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)
Curvilinear coordinates.

Definition: Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and $\Phi : U \to V$ be a \mathcal{C}^1 -map, for which the Jacobimatrix $\mathbf{J}\Phi(\mathbf{u}^0)$ is regular (invertible) at every $\mathbf{u}^0 \in U$. In addition there exists the inverse map $\Phi^{-1} : V \to U$ and the inverse map is also a \mathcal{C}^1 -map.

Then $\mathbf{x} = \Phi(\mathbf{u})$ defines a coordinate transformation from the coordinates \mathbf{u} to \mathbf{x} .

Example: Consider for n = 2 the polar coordinates $\mathbf{u} = (r, \varphi)$ with r > 0 and $-\pi < \varphi < \pi$ and set

$$x = r \cos \varphi$$

$$y = r \sin \varphi$$

with the cartesian coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)$.

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Calculation of the partial derivatives.

For all $\mathbf{u} \in U$ with $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{u})$ the following relations hold $\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\mathbf{u})) = \mathbf{u}$ $\mathbf{J} \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{J} \Phi(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{I}_n$ (chain rule) $\mathbf{J} \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{J} \Phi(\mathbf{u}))^{-1}$ Let $\tilde{f} : V \to \mathbb{R}$ be a given function. Set

 $f(\mathbf{u}) := \tilde{f}(\Phi(\mathbf{u}))$

the by using the chain rule we obtain

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial u_i} =: \sum_{j=1}^n g^{ij} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x_j}$$

with

$$g^{ij} := \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial u_i}, \qquad \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{u}) := (g^{ij}) = (\mathbf{J} \Phi(\mathbf{u}))^T$$

Notations.

We use the short notation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

Analogously we can express the partial derivatives with respect to x_i by the partial derivatives with respect to u_j

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n g_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}$$

where

$$(g_{ij}) := (g^{ij})^{-1} = (\mathbf{J} \Phi)^{-T} = (\mathbf{J} \Phi^{-1})^T$$

We obtain these relations by applying the chain rule on Φ^{-1} .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Example: polar coordinates.

We consider polar coordinates

$$\mathbf{x} = \Phi(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} r \cos \varphi \\ r \sin \varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

We calculate

$$\mathbf{J}\,\Phi(\mathbf{u}) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos\varphi & -r\sin\varphi\\\sin\varphi & r\cos\varphi\end{array}\right)$$

and thus

$$(g^{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & \sin\varphi \\ & & \\ -r\sin\varphi & r\cos\varphi \end{pmatrix} \qquad (g_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & -\frac{1}{r}\sin\varphi \\ & & \\ \sin\varphi & \frac{1}{r}\cos\varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

∃ >

-

Partial derivatives for polar coordinates.

The calculation of the partial derivatives gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \cos \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \sin \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \sin \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \cos \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}$$

Example: Calculation of the Laplacian-operator in polar coordinates

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} = \cos^2 \varphi \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{r} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r \partial \varphi} + \frac{\sin^2 \varphi}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\sin^2 \varphi}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$$
$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} = \sin^2 \varphi \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{r} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r \partial \varphi} + \frac{\cos^2 \varphi}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} - \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\cos^2 \varphi}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$$
$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト

We consider spherical coordinates

$$\mathbf{x} = \Phi(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} r \cos \varphi \cos \theta \\ r \sin \varphi \cos \theta \\ r \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

The Jacobian-matrix is given by:

$$\mathbf{J} \Phi(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi\cos\theta & -r\sin\varphi\cos\theta & -r\cos\varphi\sin\theta \\ \sin\varphi\cos\theta & r\cos\varphi\cos\theta & -r\sin\varphi\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & 0 & r\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Partial derivatives for spherical coordinates.

Calculating the partial derivatives gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \cos\varphi\,\cos\theta\,\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\sin\varphi}{r\cos\theta}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi} - \frac{1}{r}\,\cos\varphi\,\sin\theta\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \sin \varphi \, \cos \theta \, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\cos \varphi}{r \cos \theta} \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{1}{r} \, \sin \varphi \, \sin \theta \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \sin \theta \, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \cos \theta \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

Example: calculation of the Laplace-operator in spherical coordinates

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2 \cos^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\tan \theta}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Chapter 1. Multivariate differential calculus

1.3 Mean value theorems and Taylor expansion

Theorem (Mean value theorem): Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a scalar differentiable function on an open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in D$ be points in D such that the connecting line segment

$$[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] := \{\mathbf{a} + t(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) \,|\, t \in [0, 1]\}$$

lies entirely in D. Then there exits a number $\theta \in (0, 1)$ with

$$f(\mathbf{b}) - f(\mathbf{a}) = \operatorname{grad} f(\mathbf{a} + \theta(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a})) \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a})$$

Proof: We set

$$h(t) := f(\mathbf{a} + t(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}))$$

with the mean value theorem for a single variable and the chain rules we conclude

$$f(\mathbf{b}) - f(\mathbf{a}) = h(1) - h(0) = h'(\theta) \cdot (1 - 0)$$
$$= \operatorname{grad} f(\mathbf{a} + \theta(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a})) \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a})$$

Definition: If the condition $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \subset D$ holds true for **all** points $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in D$, then the set D is called convex.

Example for the mean value theorem: Given a scalar function

 $f(x,y) := \cos x + \sin y$

It is

$$f(0,0) = f(\pi/2,\pi/2) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(\pi/2,\pi/2) - f(0,0) = 0$$

Applying the mean value theorem there exists a $heta \in (0,1)$ with

grad
$$f\left(\theta\left(\begin{array}{c}\pi/2\\\pi/2\end{array}\right)\right)\cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}\pi/2\\\pi/2\end{array}\right)=0$$

Indeed this is true for $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$.

Mean value theorem is only true for scalar functions.

Attention: The mean value theorem for multivariate functions is only true for scalar functions but in general not for vector-valued functions!

Examples: Consider the vector-valued Function

$$\mathbf{f}(t) := \left(egin{array}{c} \cos t \ \sin t \end{array}
ight), \qquad t \in [0, \pi/2]$$

It is

$$\mathbf{f}(\pi/2) - \mathbf{f}(0) = \left(egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array}
ight) - \left(egin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} -1 \\ 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

and

$$\mathbf{f}'\left(\theta\,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-0\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}\,\left(\begin{array}{c}-\sin(\theta\pi/2)\\\cos(\theta\pi/2)\end{array}\right)$$

BUT: the vectors on the right hand side have lenght $\sqrt{2}$ and $\pi/2$!

ヘロト ヘ回ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

A mean value estimate for vector-valued functions.

Theorem: Let $\mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be differentiable on an open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} bei points in D with $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \subset D$. Then there exists a $\theta \in (0, 1)$ with

$$\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})\|_2 \le \|\mathbf{J}\,\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a} + heta(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a})) \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a})\|_2$$

Idea of the proof: Application of the mean value theorem to the scalar function $g(\mathbf{x})$ defined as

$$g(\mathbf{x}) := (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}))^T \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \qquad (\text{scalar product!})$$

Remark: Another (weaker) for of the mean value estimate is

$$\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})\| \leq \sup_{\xi \in [\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}]} \|\mathbf{J}\,\mathbf{f}(\xi))\| \cdot \|(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{a})\|$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes an arbitrary vector norm with related matrix norm.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

Taylor series: notations.

We define the multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ as

$$\alpha := (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$$

Let

$$|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \qquad \alpha! := \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!$$

Let $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ be $|\alpha|$ times continuous differentiable. Then we set

$$D^{\alpha} = D_1^{\alpha_1} D_2^{\alpha_2} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}},$$

where $D_i^{\alpha_i} = \underbrace{D_i \dots D_i}_{i}$. We write α_i -mal $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha} := x_1^{\alpha}$

$$x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$$
 for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The Taylor theorem.

Theorem: (Taylor)

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and convex. Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a \mathcal{C}^{m+1} -function and $\mathbf{x}_0 \in D$. Then the Taylor-expansion holds true in $\mathbf{x} \in D$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = T_m(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_0) + R_m(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_0)$$
$$T_m(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(\mathbf{x}_0)}{\alpha!} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^{\alpha}$$
$$R_m(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{|\alpha| = m+1} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \theta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0))}{\alpha!} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^{\alpha}$$

for an appropriate $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

Notation: In the Taylor–expansion we denote $T_m(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_0)$ Taylor–polynom of degree *m* and $R_m(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_0)$ Lagrange–remainder.

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Derivation of the Taylor expansion.

We define a scalar function in one single variable $t \in [0, 1]$ as

$$g(t) := f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0))$$

and calculate the (univariate) Taylor-expansion at t = 0. It is

$$g(1) = g(0) + g'(0) \cdot (1 - 0) + rac{1}{2}g''(\xi) \cdot (1 - 0)^2 \quad ext{for a } \xi \in (0, 1).$$

The calculation of g'(0) is given by the chain rule

$$g'(0) = \frac{d}{dt} f(x_1^0 + t(x_1 - x_1^0), x_2^0 + t(x_2 - x_2^0), \dots, x_n^0 + t(x_n - x_n^0))\Big|_{t=0}$$

= $D_1 f(\mathbf{x}_0) \cdot (x_1 - x_1^0) + \dots + D_n f(\mathbf{x}_0) \cdot (x_n - x_n^0)$
= $\sum_{|\alpha|=1} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(\mathbf{x}_0)}{\alpha!} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^{\alpha}$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Continuation of the derivation.

Calculation of g''(0) gives

$$g''(0) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{k=1}^n D_k f(\mathbf{x}^0 + t(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0))(x_k - x_k^0) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= D_{11}f(\mathbf{x}_0)(x_1 - x_1^0)^2 + D_{21}f(\mathbf{x}_0)(x_1 - x_1^0)(x_2 - x_2^0)$$

+...+ $D_{ij}f(\mathbf{x}_0)(x_i - x_i^0)(x_j - x_j^0)$ + ...+
+ $D_{n-1,n}f(\mathbf{x}_0)(x_{n-1} - x_{n-1}^0)(x_n - x_n^0)$ + $D_{nn}f(\mathbf{x}_0)(x_n - x_n^0)^2$)

$$= \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(\mathbf{x}_0)}{\alpha!} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^{\alpha} \qquad (\text{exchange theorem of Schwarz!})$$

Continuation: Proof of the Taylor-formula by (mathematical) induction!

3

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト -

Proof of the Taylor theorem.

The function

$$g(t) := f(\mathbf{x}^0 + t(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0))$$

is (m + 1)-times continuous differentiable and we have

$$g(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} rac{g^{(k)}(0)}{k!} + rac{g^{(m+1)}(heta)}{(m+1)!} \quad ext{for a } heta \in [0,1].$$

In addition we have (by induction over k)

$$\frac{g^{(k)}(0)}{k!} = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(\mathbf{x}^0)}{\alpha !} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0)^{\alpha}$$

and

$$\frac{g^{(m+1)}(\theta)}{(m+1)!} = \sum_{|\alpha|=m+1} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(\mathbf{x}^0 + \theta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0))}{\alpha!} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0)^{\alpha}$$

э

Examples for the Taylor-expansion.

• Calculate the Taylor-polynom $T_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_0)$ of degree 2 of the function

$$f(x,y,z) = x y^2 \sin z$$

at $(x, y, z) = (1, 2, 0)^T$.

- The calculation of T₂(x; x₀) requires the partial derivatives up to order 2.
- These derivatives have to be evaluated at $(x, y, z) = (1, 2, 0)^T$.
- The result is $T_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_0)$ in the form

$$T_2(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}_0) = 4z(x+y-2)$$

Details on extra slide.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Remarks to the remainder of a Taylor-expansion.

Remark: The remainder of a Taylor–expansion contains **all** partial derivatives of order (m + 1):

$$R_m(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m+1} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \theta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0))}{\alpha!} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^{\alpha}$$

If all these derivative are bounded by a constant C in a neighborhood of \mathbf{x}_0 then the estimate for the remainder hold true

$$|R_m(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}_0)| \leq \frac{n^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} C \|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0\|_{\infty}^{m+1}$$

We conlude for the quality of the approximation of a $\mathcal{C}^{m+1}\text{-}\mathsf{function}$ by the Taylor–polynom

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = T_m(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_0) + O\left(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|^{m+1}\right)$$

Special case m = 1: For a C^2 -function $f(\mathbf{x})$ we obtain

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}^0) + \operatorname{grad} f(\mathbf{x}^0) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0) + O(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\|^2).$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The matrix

$$\mathbf{H}f(\mathbf{x}_0) := \begin{pmatrix} f_{x_1 \times 1}(\mathbf{x}_0) & \dots & f_{x_1 \times n}(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_{x_n \times 1}(\mathbf{x}_0) & \dots & f_{x_n \times n}(\mathbf{x}_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

is called Hesse-matrix of f at \mathbf{x}_0 .

Hesse-matrix = Jacobi-matrix of the gradient ∇f

The Taylor–expansion of a \mathcal{C}^3 –function can be written as

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \operatorname{grad} f(\mathbf{x}_0)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^T \mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}_0)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) + O(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|^3)$$

The Hesse-matrix of a C^2 -function is symmetric.

э

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Chapter 2. Applications of multivariate differential calculus

2.1 Extrem values of multivariate functions

Definition: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$. Then at \mathbf{x}^0 the function f has

- a global maximum if $f(\mathbf{x}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}^0)$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in D$.
- a strict global maximum if $f(\mathbf{x}) < f(\mathbf{x}^0)$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in D$.
- a local maximum if there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}^0)$$
 for all $\mathbf{x} \in D$ with $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\| < \varepsilon$.

• a strict local maximum if there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) < f(\mathbf{x}^0)$$
 for all $\mathbf{x} \in D$ with $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\| < \varepsilon$.

Analogously we define the different forms of minima.

Necessary conditions for local extrem values.

Theorem: If a C^1 -function $f(\mathbf{x})$ has a local extrem value (minimum or maximum) at $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D^0$, then

grad $f(\mathbf{x}^0) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Proof: For an arbitrary $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$ the function

 $\varphi(t) := f(\mathbf{x}^0 + t\mathbf{v})$

is differentiable in a neighborhood of $t^0 = 0$. $\varphi(t)$ has a local extrem value at $t^0 = 0$. We conclude:

$$\varphi'(0) = \operatorname{grad} f(\mathbf{x}^0) \, \mathbf{v} = 0$$

Since this holds true for all $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ we obtain

grad
$$f(\mathbf{x}^0) = (0, \ldots, 0)^T$$

▲日▼▲□▼▲目▼▲目▼ ヨー ショマ

Remarks to local extrem values.

Bemerkungen:

- Typically the condition grad $f(\mathbf{x}^0) = 0$ gives a non-linear system of n equations for n unknowns for the calculation of $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^0$.
- The points x⁰ ∈ D⁰ with grad f(x⁰) = 0 are called stationary points of f.
 Stationary points are **not** necessarily local extram values. As an example take

$$f(x,y) := x^2 - y^2$$

with the gradient

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{grad}} f(x,y) = 2(x,-y)$$

and therefore with the only stationary point $\mathbf{x}^0 = (0,0)^T$. However, the point \mathbf{x}^0 is a saddel point of f, i.e. in every neighborhood of \mathbf{x}^0 there exist two points \mathbf{x}^1 and \mathbf{x}^2 with

$$f(\mathbf{x}^1) < f(\mathbf{x}^0) < f(\mathbf{x}^2).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

Classification of stationary points.

Theorem: Let $f(\mathbf{x})$ be a C^2 -function on D^0 and let $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D^0$ be a stationary point of $f(\mathbf{x})$, i.e. grad $f(\mathbf{x}^0) = 0$.

a) necessary condition

If \mathbf{x}^0 is a local extrem value of f, then:

 \mathbf{x}^0 local minimum $\Rightarrow \mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^0)$ positiv semidefinit

 \mathbf{x}^0 local maximum $\Rightarrow \mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^0)$ negativ semidefinit

b) sufficient condition

If $\mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^0)$ is positiv definit (negativ definit) then \mathbf{x}^0 is a strict local minimum (maximum) of f.

If $\mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^0)$ is indefinit then \mathbf{x}^0 is a saddel point, i.e. in every neighborhood of \mathbf{x}^0 there exist points \mathbf{x}^1 and \mathbf{x}^2 with $f(\mathbf{x}^1) < f(\mathbf{x}^0) < f(\mathbf{x}^2)$.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

Proof of the theorem, part a).

Let \mathbf{x}^0 be a local minimum. For $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small we conclude from the Taylor–expansion

$$f(\mathbf{x}^{0} + \varepsilon \mathbf{v}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{0}) = \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon \mathbf{v})^{T} \mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^{0} + \theta \varepsilon \mathbf{v}) (\varepsilon \mathbf{v}) \ge 0$$
(1)

with $\theta = \theta(\varepsilon, \mathbf{v}) \in (0, 1)$.

The gradient in the Taylor expansion grad $f(\mathbf{x}^0) = 0$ vanishes since \mathbf{x}^0 is stationary.

From (1) it follows

$$\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^0 + \theta \varepsilon \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{v} \ge 0$$
⁽²⁾

Since f is a C^2 -function, the Hesse-matrix is a continuous map. In the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ we conclude from (2),

$$\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^0) \mathbf{v} \geq 0$$

i.e. **H** $f(\mathbf{x}^0)$ is positiv semidefinit.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

Proof of the theorem, part b).

If $\mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^0)$ is positiv definit, then $\mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x})$ is positiv definit in a sufficiently small neighborhood $\mathbf{x} \in K_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}^0) \subset D$ around \mathbf{x}^0 . This follows from the continuity of the second partial derivatives.

For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K}_{arepsilon}(\mathbf{x}^0)$, $\mathbf{x}
eq \mathbf{x}^0$ we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^0) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0)^T \mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^0 + \theta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0)) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0)$$

> 0

with $\theta \in (0, 1)$, i.e. f has a strict local minimum at \mathbf{x}^0 .

If $\mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^0)$ is indefinit, then there exist Eigenvectors \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} for Eigenvalues of $\mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^0)$ with opposite sign with

$$\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^0) \mathbf{v} > 0$$
 $\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^0) \mathbf{w} < 0$

and thus \mathbf{x}^0 is a saddel point.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

Remarks.

- A stationary point x⁰ with det Hf(x⁰) = 0 is called degenerate. The Hesse-matrix has an Eigenvalue λ = 0.
- If x⁰ is not degenerate, then there exist 3 cases for the Eigenvalues of Hf(x⁰):

all Eigenvalues are strictly positive $\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^0$ is a strict local min

all Eigenvalues are strictly negative $\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^0$ is a strict local ma

there are strictly positive and negative Eigenvalues \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^0 saddel point

• The following implications are true (but not the inverse)

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{x}^0 \text{ local minimum} & \Leftarrow & \mathbf{x}^0 \text{ strict local minimum} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ \mathbf{H}f(\mathbf{x}^0) \text{ positiv semidefinit} & \leftarrow & \mathbf{H}f(\mathbf{x}^0) \text{ positiv definit} \end{array}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Further remarks.

 If f is a C³-function, x⁰ a stationary point of f and Hf(x⁰) positiv definit. Then the following estimate is true:

$$(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0)^T \mathbf{H} f(\mathbf{x}^0) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0) \ge \lambda_{min} \cdot \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\|^2$$

where λ_{\min} denoted the smallest Eigenvalue of the Hesse-matrix. Using the Taylor theorem we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^0) &\geq \quad \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{min} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\|^2 + R_3(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}^0) \\ &\geq \quad \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\|^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_{min}}{2} - C\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0\|\right) \end{aligned}$$

with an appropriate constant C > 0.

The function f grows at least quadratically around \mathbf{x}^0 .

イロト イヨト イヨト -

Example .

We consider the function

$$f(x,y) := y^2(x-1) + x^2(x+1)$$

and look for stationary points :

grad
$$f(x, y) = (y^2 + x(3x + 2), 2y(x - 1))^T$$

The condition grad f(x, y) = 0 gives two stationary points

$$\mathbf{x}^0 = (0,0)^T$$
 und $\mathbf{x}^1 = (-2/3,0)^T$.

The related Hesse–matrices of f at \mathbf{x}^0 and \mathbf{x}^1 are

$$\mathbf{H}f(\mathbf{x}^0) = \left(egin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \ 0 & -2 \end{array}
ight) \qquad ext{and} \qquad \mathbf{H}f(\mathbf{x}^1) = \left(egin{array}{cc} -2 & 0 \ 0 & -10/3 \end{array}
ight)$$

The matrix $\mathbf{H}f(\mathbf{x}^0)$ is indefinit, therefore \mathbf{x}^0 is a saddel point. $\mathbf{H}f(\mathbf{x}^1)$ is negative definit and thus \mathbf{x}^1 is a strict local ein strenges maximum of f.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

2.2 Implicitely defined functions

Aim: study the set of solutions of the system of *non-linear* equations of the form

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

with $\mathbf{g}: D \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. I.e. we consider m equations for n unknowns with

Thus: there are less equations than unknowns.

We call such a system of equations underdetermined and the set of solutions $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ contains typically *infinitely* many points.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Solvability of (non-linear) equations.

Question: can we **solve** the system $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ with respect to certain unknowns, i.e. with respect to the last *m* variables x_{n-m+1}, \ldots, x_n ?

In other words: is there a function $f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-m})$ with

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (x_{n-m+1}, \dots, x_n)^T = \mathbf{f}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-m})$$

Terminology: "solve" means express the last *m* variables by the first n - m variables?

Other question: with respect to which *m* variables can we solve the system? Is the solution possible *globally* on the domain of definition *D*? Or only *locally* on a subdomain $\tilde{D} \subset D$?

Geometrical interpretation: The set of solution G of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ can be expressed (at least locally) as graph of a function $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Example.

The equation for a circle

$$g(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 - r^2 = 0$$
 mit $r > 0$

defines an underdetermined non-linear system of equations since we have **two** unknowns (x, y), but only **one** scalar equation.

The equation for the circle can be solved locally and defines the four functions :

$$y = \sqrt{r^2 - x^2}, \quad -r \le x \le r$$
$$y = -\sqrt{r^2 - x^2}, \quad -r \le x \le r$$
$$x = \sqrt{r^2 - y^2}, \quad -r \le y \le r$$
$$x = -\sqrt{r^2 - y^2}, \quad -r \le y \le r$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Example.

Let ${\bf g}$ be an affin–linear function, i.e. ${\bf g}$ has the form

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$$
 for $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}, \ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$

We split the variables \mathbf{x} into two vectors

$$\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-m})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$$
 and $\mathbf{x}^{(2)} = (x_{n-m+1}, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Splitting of the matrix $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{C}}=[\boldsymbol{\mathsf{B}},\boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}]$ gives the form

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}^{(1)} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{(2)} + \mathbf{b}$$

with $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (n-m)}$, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$.

The system of equations $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ can be solved (uniquely) with respect to the variables $\mathbf{x}^{(2)}$, if **A** is regular. Then

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{x}^{(2)} = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}^{(1)} + \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)})$$

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 二日

Question: How can we write the matrix \mathbf{A} as dependent of \mathbf{g} ?

From the equation

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}^{(1)} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{(2)} + \mathbf{b}$$

we see that

$$\mathbf{A} = rac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{(2)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)})$$

holds, i.e. A is the Jacobian of the map

$$\mathbf{x}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)})$$

for fixed $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}$!

We conclude: Solvability is given if the Jacobian is regular (invertible).

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Implicit function theorem.

Theorem: Let $g : D \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a \mathcal{C}^1 -function, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open. We denote the variables in D by (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) with $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ und $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Let Der $(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{y}^0) \in D$ be a solution of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{y}^0) = \mathbf{0}$.

If the Jacobi-matrix

$$rac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{y}^0) := \left(egin{array}{ccc} rac{\partial g_1}{\partial y_1}(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{y}^0) & \dots & rac{\partial g_1}{\partial y_m}(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{y}^0) \ dots & dots & dots \ rac{\partial g_m}{\partial y_1}(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{y}^0) & \dots & rac{\partial g_m}{\partial y_m}(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{y}^0) \end{array}
ight)$$

is regular, then there exist neighborhoods U of \mathbf{x}^0 and V of \mathbf{y}^0 , $U \times V \subset D$ and a uniquely determined continuous differentiable function $\mathbf{f} : U \to V$ with

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0) = \mathbf{y}^0 \quad \text{und} \quad \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{für alle } \mathbf{x} \in U$$

and

$$\mathsf{J}\,\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x}) = -\left(\frac{\partial \mathsf{g}}{\partial \mathsf{y}}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x}))\right)^{-1}\,\left(\frac{\partial \mathsf{g}}{\partial \mathsf{x}}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x}))\right)$$

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

Example.

For the equation of a circle $g(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - r^2 = 0, r > 0$ we have at $(x^0, y^0) = (0, r)$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(0,r) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(0,r) = 2r \neq 0$$

Thus we can solve the equation of a circle in a neighborhod of (0, r) with respect to y:

$$f(x) = \sqrt{r^2 - x^2}$$

The derivative f'(x) can be calculated by implicit differtiation:

$$g(x,y(x)) = 0 \implies g_x(x,y(x)) + g_y(x,y(x))y'(x) = 0$$

and therefore

$$2x + 2y(x)y'(x) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y'(x) = f'(x) = -\frac{x}{y(x)}$$

Another example.

Consider the equation $g(x, y) = e^{y-x} + 3y + x^2 - 1 = 0.$ It is

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x,y) = e^{y-x} + 3 > 0$$
 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Therefore the equation con be solved for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with respect to y =: f(x)and f(x) is a continuous differentiable function. Implicit differentiation ives

$$e^{y-x}(y'-1) + 3y' + 2x = 0 \implies y' = \frac{e^{y-x} - 2x}{e^{y-x} + 3}$$

Differentiating again gives

$$e^{y-x}y'' + e^{y-x}(y'-1)^2 + 3y'' + 2 = 0 \implies y' = -\frac{2 + e^{y-x}(y'-1)^2}{e^{y-x} + 3}$$

But: Solving the equation with respect to y (in terms of elementary functions) is not possible in this case!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ
general remark.

Implicit differentiation of a implicitely defined function

$$g(x,y) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \neq 0$$

y = f(x), with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, gives

$$f'(x) = -\frac{g_x}{g_y}$$

$$f''(x) = -\frac{g_{xx}g_y^2 - 2g_{xy}g_xg_y + g_{yy}g_x^2}{g_y^3}$$

Therefore the opint x^0 is a stationary point of f(x) if

$$g(x^0, y^0) = g_x(x^0, y^0) = 0$$
 and $g_y(x^0, y^0) \neq 0$

And x^0 is a local maximum (minimum) if

$$\frac{g_{xx}(x^0, y^0)}{g_y(x^0, y^0)} > 0 \qquad \left(\text{ bzw. } \frac{g_{xx}(x^0, y^0)}{g_y(x^0, y^0)} < 0 \right)$$

Implicit representation of curves.

Consider the set of solutions of a scalar equation

$$g(x,y)=0$$

lf

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{grad}} g = (g_x,g_y) \neq 0$$

then g(x, y) defines locally a function y = f(x) or $x = \overline{f}(y)$.

Definition: A solution point (x^0, y^0) of the equation g(x, y) = 0 with

- grad $g(x^0, y^0) \neq 0$ is called regular point,
- grad $g(x^0, y^0) = 0$ is called singular point.

Example: Consider (again) the equation for a circle

$$g(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 - r = 0$$
 mit $r > 0$.

on the circle there are no singular points!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Horizontal and vertical tangents.

Remarks:

a) If for a regular point (x^0, y^0) we have

$$g_x(\mathbf{x}^0) = 0$$
 und $g_y(\mathbf{x}^0) \neq 0$

then the set of solutions contains a horizontal tangent in x^0 .

b) If for a regular point (x^0, y^0) we have

$$g_x(\mathbf{x}^0)
eq 0$$
 und $g_y(\mathbf{x}^0) = 0$

then the set of solutions contains a vertical tangent in \mathbf{x}^0 .

c) If x^0 is a singular point, then the set of solutions is approximated at x^0 "in second order" by the following quadratic equation

$$g_{xx}(\mathbf{x}^{0})(x-x^{0})^{2}+2g_{xy}(\mathbf{x}^{0})(x-x^{0})(y-y^{0})+g_{yy}(\mathbf{x}^{0})(y-y^{0})^{2}=0$$

Remarks.

Due to c) for $g_{xx}, g_{xy}, g_{yy} \neq 0$ we obtain: det $Hg(\mathbf{x}^0) > 0$: \mathbf{x}^0 is an isolated point of the set of solutions det $Hg(\mathbf{x}^0) < 0$: \mathbf{x}^0 is a double point det $Hg(\mathbf{x}^0) = 0$: \mathbf{x}^0 is a return point or a cusp

Geometric interpretation:

- a) If det Hg(x⁰) > 0, then both Eigenvalues of Hg(x⁰) are or strictly positiv or strictly negativ, i.e. x⁰ is a strict local minimum or maximum of g(x).
- b) If det $Hg(x^0) < 0$, then both Eigenvalues of $Hg(x^0)$ have opposite sign, i.e. x^0 is a saddel point of g(x).
- c) If det $Hg(x^0) = 0$, then the stationary point x^0 of g(x) is degenerate.

▲ロト ▲ 課 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ● 臣 ● のへで

Example 1.

Consider the singular point $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0}$ of the implicit equation

$$g(x,y) = y^{2}(x-1) + x^{2}(x-2) = 0$$

Calculate the partial derivatives up to order 2:

 $g_{x} = y^{2} + 3x^{2} - 4x$ $g_{y} = 2y(x - 1)$ $g_{xx} = 6x - 4$ $g_{xy} = 2y$ $g_{yy} = 2(x - 1)$ $Hg(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$

Therefore $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0}$ is an isolated point.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへで

Example 2.

Consider the singular point $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0}$ of the implicit equation

$$g(x, y) = y^{2}(x - 1) + x^{2}(x + q^{2}) = 0$$

Calculate the partial derivatives up to order 2:

g _×	=	$y^2 + 3x^2 + 2xq^2$
g _y	=	2y(x-1)
g _{xx}	=	$6x + 2q^2$
g _{×y}	=	2 <i>y</i>
g_{yy}	=	2(x - 1)
H g(0)	=	$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 2q^2 & 0\\ 0 & -2 \end{array}\right)$

Therefore $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0}$ is an double point.

イロト イヨト イヨト

Example 3.

Consider the singular point $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0}$ of the implicit equation

$$g(x,y) = y^{2}(x-1) + x^{3} = 0$$

Calculate the partial derivatives up to order 2:

$$g_x = y^2 + 3x^2$$

$$g_y = 2y(x-1)$$

$$g_{xx} = 6x$$

$$g_{xy} = 2y$$

$$g_{yy} = 2(x-1)$$

$$Hg(\mathbf{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0}$ is a cusp (or a return point).

F

イロト イヨト イヨト

Implicit representation of surfaces.

- The set of solutions of a scalar equation g(x, y, z) = 0 for grad g ≠ 0 is locally a surface in ℝ³.
- For the tangential in $\mathbf{x}^0 = (x^0, y^0, z^0)^T$ with $g(\mathbf{x}^0) = 0$ and grad $g(\mathbf{x}^0) \neq \mathbf{0}^T$ we obtain by Taylor expanding (denoting $\Delta \mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^0$)

grad
$$g \cdot \Delta \mathbf{x}^0 = g_x(\mathbf{x}^0)(x - x^0) + g_y(\mathbf{x}^0)(y - y^0) + g_z(\mathbf{x}^0)(z - z_0) = 0$$

i.e. the gradient is vertical to the surface g(x, y, z) = 0.

• If for example $g_z(\mathbf{x}^0) \neq 0$, then locally there exists a a representation at \mathbf{x}^0 of the form

$$z=f(x,y)$$

and for the partial derivatives of f(x, y) we obtain

grad
$$f(x, y) = (f_x, f_y) = -\frac{1}{g_z}(g_x, g_y) = \left(-\frac{g_x}{g_z}, \frac{g_y}{g_z}\right)$$

using the implicit function theorem.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

The inverted Problem.

Question: Given the set of equations

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$$

with $\mathbf{f}: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open. Can we solve it with respect to \mathbf{x} , i.e. can we **invert** the probem?

Theorem: (Inversion theorem)

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and $\mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ a \mathcal{C}^1 -function. If the Jacobian-matrix $\mathbf{J} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0)$ is regular for an $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$, then there exist neighborhoods U and V of \mathbf{x}^0 and $\mathbf{y}^0 = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0)$ such that \mathbf{f} maps U on V bijectively.

The inverse function $\mathbf{f}^{-1}: V \to U$ is also \mathcal{C}^1 and for all $\mathbf{x} \in U$ we have:

$$\mathsf{J}\,\mathsf{f}^{-1}(\mathsf{y}) = (\mathsf{J}\,\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x}))^{-1}, \quad \mathsf{y} = \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x})$$

Remark: We call **f** locally a C^1 -diffeomorphism.

Chapter 2. Applications of multivariate differential calculus

2.3 Extrem value problems under constraints

Question: What is the size of a metallic cylindrical can in order to minimize the material amount by given volume?

Ansatz for solution: Let r > 0 be the radius and h > 0 the height of the can. Then

$$V = \pi r^2 h$$

$$O = 2\pi r^2 + 2\pi r h$$

Let $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be the given volume (with x := r, y := h),

$$f(x,y) = 2\pi x^2 + 2\pi xy$$

$$g(x,y) = \pi x^2 y - c = 0$$

Determine the minimum of the function f(x, y) on the set

$$G := \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \mid g(x, y) = 0 \}$$

Solution of the constraint minimisation problem.

From $g(x, y) = \pi x^2 y - c = 0$ follows

$$y = \frac{c}{\pi x^2}$$

We plug this into f(x, y) and obtain

$$h(x) := 2\pi x^2 + 2\pi x \frac{c}{\pi x^2} = 2\pi x^2 + \frac{2c}{x}$$

Determine the minimum of the function h(x):

$$h'(x) = 4\pi x - rac{2c}{x^2} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 4\pi x = rac{2c}{x^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad x = \left(rac{c}{2\pi}\right)^{1/3}$$

Sufficient condition

$$h''(x) = 4\pi + rac{4c}{x^3} \quad \Rightarrow \quad h''\left(\left(rac{c}{\pi}\right)^{1/3}\right) = 12\pi > 0$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

General formulation of the problem.

Determine the extrem values of the function $f:\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ under the constraint

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

where $\mathbf{g} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$. The constraints are

$$g_1(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = 0$$

÷

$$g_m(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = 0$$

Alternatively: Determine the extrem values of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$ on the set

$$G:=\{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n\,|\,\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{0}\}$$

The Lagrange-function and the Lagrange-Lemma.

We define the Lagrange-function

$$F(\mathbf{x}) := f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i g_i(\mathbf{x})$$

and look for the extrem values of $F(\mathbf{x})$ for fixed $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)^T$.

The numbers λ_i , i = 1, ..., m are called Lagrange–multiplier.

Theorem: (Lagrange–Lemma) If \mathbf{x}^0 minimizes (or maximizes) the Lagrange–function $F(\mathbf{x})$ (for a fixed λ) on D and if $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^0) = 0$ holds, then \mathbf{x}^0 is the minimum (or maximum) of $f(\mathbf{x})$ on $G := \{\mathbf{x} \in D \mid \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}\}$.

Proof: For an arbitrary $\mathbf{x} \in D$ we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}^0) + \lambda^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^0) \leq f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$$

If we choose $\mathbf{x} \in G$, then $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^0) = \mathbf{0}$, thus $f(\mathbf{x}^0) \leq f(\mathbf{x})$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

A necessary condition for local extrema.

Let f and g_i , i = 1, ..., m, C^1 -functions, then a necessary condition for an extrem value \mathbf{x}^0 of $F(\mathbf{x})$ is given by

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{grad}} F(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{\mathsf{grad}} f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \operatorname{\mathsf{grad}} g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$$

Together with the constraints $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ we obtain a set of (non-linear) equations with (n + m) equations and (n + m) unknowns \mathbf{x} and λ .

The solutions $(\mathbf{x}^0, \lambda^0)$ are the candidates for the extrem values, since these solutions satisfy the above necessary condition.

Alternatively: Define a Langrange–function

$$G(\mathbf{x},\lambda) := f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i g_i(\mathbf{x})$$

and look for the extrem values of $G(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)$ with respect to \mathbf{x} and λ .

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- We can formulate a sufficient condition: If the functions f and g are C²-functions and if the Hesse-matrix HF(x⁰) of the Lagrange-function is positiv (negativ) definit, then x⁰ is a strict local minimum (maximum) of f(x) on G.
- In most of the applications the necessary condition are **not** satisfied, allthough x⁰ is a strict local extremum.
- And from the indefinitness of the Hesse-matrix HF(x⁰) we cannot conclude, that x⁰ is not an extremum.
- We have a similar problem with the necessary condition which is obtained from the Hesse-matrix of the Lagrange-function G(x, λ) with respect to x and λ.

(ロトス語) スピトス ほう 一日

An example of a minimisation problem with constraints.

We look for extrem values of f(x, y) := xy on the disc

$$K := \{ (x, y)^T \, | \, x^2 + y^2 \le 1 \}$$

Since the function f is continuous and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ compact we conclude from the min-max-property the existence of global maxima and minima on K. We consider first the interior K^0 of K i.e. the open set

$$K^0 := \{(x, y)^T \mid x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$$

The necessary condition for an extrem value is given by

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{grad}} f = (y, x) = \mathbf{0}$$

Thus the origin $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0}$ is a candidate for a (local) extrem value.

continuation of the example.

The Hesse-matrix at the origin is given by

$$\mathbf{H}f(\mathbf{0}) = \left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)$$

and is indefinit. Thus \mathbf{x}^0 is a saddel point.

Therefore the extrem values have to be on the boundary which is represented by a constraint equation:

$$g(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0$$

Therefore we look for the extrem values of f(x, y) = xy under the constraint g(x, y) = 0.

The Lagrange-function is given by

$$F(x,y) = xy + \lambda(x^2 + y^2 - 1)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Completion of the example.

We obtain the non-linear system of equations

$$y + 2\lambda x = 0$$

$$x + 2\lambda y = 0$$

$$x^{2} + y^{2} = 1$$

with the four solution

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \quad : \quad \mathbf{x}^{(1)} = (\sqrt{1/2}, -\sqrt{1/2})^{T} \quad \mathbf{x}^{(2)} = (-\sqrt{1/2}, \sqrt{1/2})^{T}$$
$$\lambda = -\frac{1}{2} \quad : \quad \mathbf{x}^{(3)} = (\sqrt{1/2}, \sqrt{1/2})^{T} \quad \mathbf{x}^{(4)} = (-\sqrt{1/2}, -\sqrt{1/2})^{T}$$

Minima and Maxima can be concluded from the values of the function

$$f(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}) = f(\mathbf{x}^{(2)}) = -1/2$$
 $f(\mathbf{x}^{(3)}) = f(\mathbf{x}^{(4)}) = 1/2$

i.e. minima are $\textbf{x}^{(1)}$ and $\textbf{x}^{(2)}\text{,}$ maxima are $\textbf{x}^{(3)}$ and $\textbf{x}^{(4)}.$

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 二日

Lagrange-multiplier-rule.

Satz: Let $f, g_1, \ldots, g_m : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be \mathcal{C}^1 -functions, und let $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$ a local extrem value of $f(\mathbf{x})$ under the constraint $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$. In addition let the regularity condition

$$\mathsf{rang}\left(\mathsf{J}\,\mathbf{g}(\mathsf{x}^0)
ight)=m$$

hold true. Then there exist Lagrange–multiplier $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$, such that for the Lagrange function

$$F(\mathbf{x}) := f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i g_i(\mathbf{x})$$

the following first order necessary condition holds true:

grad
$$F(\mathbf{x}^0) = \mathbf{0}$$

Necessary condition of second order and sufficient condition.

Theorem: 1) Let $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$ a local minimum of $f(\mathbf{x})$ under the constraint $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, let the regularity condition be satisfied and let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ be the related Lagrange-multiplier. Then the Hesse-matrix $\mathbf{H}F(\mathbf{x}^0)$ of the Lagrange-function is positiv semi-definit on the tangential space

$$\mathcal{TG}(\mathbf{x}^0) := \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \, | \, ext{grad} \, g_i(\mathbf{x}^0) \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0 ext{ for } i = 1, \dots, m \}$$

i.e. it is $\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{H} F(\mathbf{x}^0) \mathbf{y} \ge 0$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in TG(\mathbf{x}^0)$.

2) Let the regularity condition for a point $\mathbf{x}^0 \in G$ be staisfied. If there exist Lagrange-multiplier $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$, such that \mathbf{x}^0 is a stationary point of the related Lagrange-function. Let the Hesse-matrix $\mathbf{HF}(\mathbf{x}^0)$ be positiv definit on the tangential space $TG(\mathbf{x}^0)$, i.e. it holds

$$\mathbf{y}^{\mathcal{T}} \; \mathbf{H} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}^0) \; \mathbf{y} > 0 \quad \forall \; \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{T} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}^0) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\},$$

then \mathbf{x}^0 is a strict local minimum of $f(\mathbf{x})$ under the constraint $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$.

▲日▼▲□▼▲目▼▲目▼ ヨー ショマ

Example.

Determine the global maximum of the function

$$f(x,y) = -x^2 + 8x - y^2 + 9$$

under the constraint

$$g(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0$$

The Lagrange-function is given by

$$F(x) = -x^{2} + 8x - y^{2} + 9 + \lambda(x^{2} + y^{2} - 1)$$

From the necessary condition we obtain the non-linear system

$$-2x + 8 = -2\lambda x$$
$$-2y = -2\lambda y$$
$$x^{2} + y^{2} = 1$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Continuation of the example.

From the necessary condition we obtain the non-linear system

$$-2x + 8 = -2\lambda x$$
$$-2y = -2\lambda y$$
$$x^{2} + y^{2} = 1$$

The first equation gives $\lambda \neq 1$. Using this in the second equation we get y = 0. From the third equation we obtain $x = \pm 1$.

Therefore the two points (x, y) = (1, 0) and (x, y) = (-1, 0) are candidates for a global maximum. Since

$$f(1,0) = 16$$
 $f(-1,0) = 0$

the global maximum of f(x, y) under the constraint g(x, y) = 0 is given at the point (x, y) = (1, 0).

Another example.

Determine the local extrem values of

$$f(x, y, z) = 2x + 3y + 2z$$

on the intersection of the cylinder surface

$$M_Z := \{ (x, y, z)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 \, | \, x^2 + y^2 = 2 \}$$

with the plane

$$E := \{(x, y, z)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 \, | \, x + z = 1\}$$

Reformulation: Determine the extrem values of the function f(x, y, z) under the constraint

$$g_1(x, y, z) := x^2 + y^2 - 2 = 0$$

 $g_2(x, y, z) := x + z - 1 = 0$

э

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Continuation of the example.

The Jacobi-matrix

$$\mathsf{Jg}(\mathsf{x}) = \left(egin{array}{ccc} 2x & 2y & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

has rank 2, i.e. we can determine extrem values using the Lagrange-function:

$$F(x, y, z) = 2x + 3y + 2z + \lambda_1(x^2 + y^2 - 2) + \lambda_2(x + z - 1)$$

The necessary condition gives the non-linear system

$$2 + 2\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$3 + 2\lambda_1 y = 0$$

$$2 + \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$x^2 + y^2 = 2$$

$$x + z = 1$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Continuation of the example.

The necessary condition gives the non-linear system

$$2 + 2\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$3+2\lambda_1 y = 0$$

$$2 + \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$x^2 + y^2 = 2$$

$$x + z = 1$$

From the first and the third equation it follows

$$2\lambda_1 x = 0$$

From the second equation it follows $\lambda_1 \neq 0$, i.e. x = 0. Thus we have possible extrem values

$$(x, y, z) = (0, \sqrt{2}, 1)$$
 $(x, y, z) = (0, -\sqrt{2}, 1)$

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト -

Completion if the example.

The possible extrem values are

$$(x, y, z) = (0, \sqrt{2}, 1)$$
 $(x, y, z) = (0, -\sqrt{2}, 1)$

and lie on the cylinder surface M_Z of the cylinder Z with

$$Z = \{(x, y, z)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 | x^2 + y^2 \le 2\}$$
$$M_Z = \{(x, y, z)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 | x^2 + y^2 = 2\}$$

We calculate the related functiuon values

$$f(0,\sqrt{2},1) = 3\sqrt{2}+2$$

$$f(0,-\sqrt{2},1) = -3\sqrt{2}+2$$

Thus the point $(x, y, z) = (0, \sqrt{2}, 1)$ is a maximum an the point $(x, y, z) = (0, -\sqrt{2}, 1)$ a minimum.

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Chapter 2. Applications of multivariate differential calculus

2.4 the Newton-method

Aim: We look for the zero's of a function $\mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$:

f(x) = 0

• We already know the fixed-point iteration

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} := \Phi(\mathbf{x}^k)$$

with starting point \mathbf{x}^0 and iteration map $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

• Convergence results are given by the Banach Fixed Point Theorem.

Advantage: this method is derivative-free.

Disadvantages:

- the numerical scheme converges to slow (only linear),
- there is no unique iteratin map.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

The construction of the Newton method.

Starting point: Let C^1 -function $\mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open.

We look for a zero of **f**, i.e. a $\mathbf{x}^* \in D$ with

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$$

Construction of the Newton-method:

The Taylor–expansion of f(x) at x^0 is given by

$$f(x)=f(x^0)+Jf(x^0)(x-x^0)+o(\|x-x^0\|)$$

Setting $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^*$ we obtain

$$Jf(x^0)(x^*-x^0)\approx -f(x^0)$$

An approximative solution for x^* is given by $x^1,\,x^1\approx x^*,$ the solution of the linear system of equations

$$\mathsf{J} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0)(\mathbf{x}^1 - \mathbf{x}^0) = -\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

The Newton-method as algorithm.

The Newton-method can be formulated as algorithm.

```
Algorithm (Newton-method):

(1) FOR k = 0, 1, 2, ...

(2a) Solve Jf(x^k) \cdot \Delta x^k = -f(x^k);

(2b) Set x^{k+1} = x^k + \Delta x^k;
```

- In every Newton-step we solve a set of linear equations.
- The solution $\Delta \mathbf{x}^k$ is called Newton-correction.
- The Newton-method is scaling-invariant.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Scaling-invariance of the Newton-method.

Theorem: the Newton-method is invariant under linear transformations of the form

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$$
 for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n}$ regular,

i.e. the iterates for ${\boldsymbol{f}}$ and ${\boldsymbol{g}}$ are identical.

 $\mbox{Proof:}$ Constructing the Newton–method for g(x), then the Newton–correction is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathbf{x}^k &= -(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^k))^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^k) \\ &= -(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^k))^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{A}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^k) \\ &= -(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^k))^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^k) \\ &= -(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^k))^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^k) \end{aligned}$$

and thus the Newton–correction of \boldsymbol{f} and \boldsymbol{g} conincide.

Using the same starting point \mathbf{x}^0 we obtain the same iterates \mathbf{x}^k .

Local convergence of the Newton-method.

Theorem: Let $\mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a C^1 -function, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open and convex. Let $\mathbf{x}^* \in D$ a zero of \mathbf{f} , i.e. $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$.

Let the Jacobi–matrix $\mathbf{Jf}(\mathbf{x})$ be regular for $\mathbf{x}\in D,$ and suppose the Lipschitz–condition

$$\|(\mathsf{J}\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x})^{-1}(\mathsf{J}\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{y})-\mathsf{J}\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x}))\|\leq L\|\mathsf{y}-\mathsf{x}\|\qquad\text{for all }\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y}\in D,$$

holds true with L > 0. Then the Newton–method is well defined for all starting points $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$ with

$$\|\mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x}^*\| < rac{2}{L} =: r \quad ext{and} \quad K_r(\mathbf{x}^*) \subset D$$

with $\mathbf{x}^k \in K_r(\mathbf{x}^*)$, k = 0, 1, 2, ..., and the Newton-iterates \mathbf{x}^k converge quadratically to \mathbf{x}^* , i.e.

$$\|\mathbf{x}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\| \le \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2$$

 \mathbf{x}^* is the unique zero of $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ within the ball $K_r(\mathbf{x}^*)$.

The damped Newton-method.

Additional obserrvations:

- The Newton-method converges quadratically, but only locally.
- Global convergence can be obtained if applicable by a damping term:

```
Algorithm (Damped Newton-method):

(1) FOR k = 0, 1, 2, ...

(2a) Solve Jf(\mathbf{x}^k) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{x}^k = -f(\mathbf{x}^k);

(2b) Set \mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \mathbf{x}^k + \lambda_k \Delta \mathbf{x}^k;
```

Frage: How should we choose the damping parameters λ_k ?

Choice of the damping paramter.

Strategy: Use a testfunction $T(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\|$ such that

$$T(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \quad \forall \, \mathbf{x} \in D$$

$$T(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$$

Choose $\lambda_k \in (0, 1)$ such that the sequence $T(\mathbf{x}^k)$ decreases strictly monotonically, i.e.

$$\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{k+1})\| < \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^k)\|$$
 für $k \ge 0$.

Close to the solution \mathbf{x}^* we should choose $\lambda_k = 1$ to guarantee (local) quadratic convergence.

The following Theorem guarantees the existence of damping parameters.

Theorem: Let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}^1$ -function on the open and convex set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For $\mathbf{x}^k \in D$ with $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^k) \neq \mathbf{0}$ there exists a $\mu_k > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^k + \lambda \Delta x^k)\|_2^2 < \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^k)\|_2^2$$
 for all $\lambda \in (0, \mu_k)$.

▲ロト ▲ 課 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ● 臣 ● のへで

Damping strategy.

For the **initial iteration** k = 0: Choose $\lambda_0 \in \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots, \lambda_{min}\}$ as big as possible such that

$$\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0)\|_2 > \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^0 + \lambda_0 \Delta \mathbf{x}^0)\|_2$$

holds. For subsequent iterations k > 0: Set $\lambda_k = \lambda_{k-1}$.

- IF $\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^k)\|_2 > \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^k + \lambda_k \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)\|_2$ THEN
 - $\mathbf{x}^{k+1} := \mathbf{x}^k + \lambda_k \Delta \mathbf{x}^k$
 - $\lambda_k := 2\lambda_k$, falls $\lambda_k < 1$.

ELSE

• Determine $\mu = \max\{\lambda_k/2, \lambda_k/4, \dots, \lambda_{\min}\}$ with

$$\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^k)\|_2 > \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^k + \lambda_k \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)\|_2$$

• $\lambda_k := \mu$

END

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

3.1 Area integrals

Given a function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ with domain of definiton $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Aim: Calculate the volume under the graph of $f(\mathbf{x})$:

$$V = \int_D f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

Remember (Analysis II): Riemann–Integral of a function f on the interval [a, b]:

$$I=\int_a^b f(x)dx$$

The integral *I* is defined as limit of Riemann upper– and lower-sums, if the limits exist and coincide.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

Construction of area integrals.

Procedure: Same as in the one dimensional case.

But: the domain of definition *D* is more complex.

Starting point: consider the case of two variables n = 2 and a domain of definition $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of the form

$$D = [a_1, b_1] imes [a_2, b_2] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

i.e. D is compact cuboid (rectangle).

Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function.

Definition: We call $Z = \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m)\}$ a partition of the cuboid $D = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$ if it holds

$$a_1 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b_1$$

$$a_2 = y_0 < y_1 < \cdots < y_m = b_2$$

Z(D) denotes the set of partitions of D.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日
Partitions and Riemann sums.

Definition:

• The fineness of a partition $Z \in \mathbf{Z}(D)$ is given by

$$||Z|| := \max_{i,j} \{|x_{i+1} - x_i|, |y_{j+1} - y_j|\}$$

• For a given partition Z the sets

$$Q_{ij} := [x_i, x_{i+1}] \times [y_j, y_{j+1}]$$

are called the subcuboid of the partition Z. The volume of the subcuboid Q_{ij} is given by

$$\mathsf{vol}(Q_{ij}) \ := \ (x_{i+1} - x_i) \cdot (y_{j+1} - y_j)$$

• For arbitrary points $x_{ij} \in Q_{ij}$ of the subcuboids we call

$$R_f(Z) := \sum_{i,j} f(\mathbf{x}_{ij}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(Q_{ij})$$

a Riemann sum of the partition Z.

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Riemann upper and lower sums.

Definition:

In analogy to the integral for the univariate case we call for a partition \boldsymbol{Z}

$$U_f(Z) := \sum_{i,j} \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in Q_{ij}} f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(Q_{ij})$$
$$O_f(Z) := \sum_{i,j} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in Q_{ij}} f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(Q_{ij})$$

the Riemann lower sum and the Riemann upper sum of $f(\mathbf{x})$, respectively.

Remark:

A Riemann sum for the partition Z lies always between the lower and the upper sum of that partition i.e.

$$U_f(Z) \leq R_f(Z) \leq O_f(Z)$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Remark.

If a partition Z_2 is obtained from a partition Z_1 by adding additional intermediate points x_i and/or y_j , then

 $U_f(Z_2) \ge U_f(Z_1)$ and $O_f(Z_2) \le O_f(Z_1)$

For arbitrary two partitions Z_1 and Z_2 we always have:

 $U_f(Z_1) \leq O_f(Z_2)$

Question: what happens to the lower and upper sums in the limit $||Z|| \rightarrow 0$:

$$U_f := \sup\{U_f(Z) : Z \in \mathbf{Z}(D)\}$$
$$O_f := \inf\{O_f(Z) : Z \in \mathbf{Z}(D)\}$$

Observation: Both values U_f and O_f exist since lower and upper sum are monoton and bounded.

Riemann upper and lower integrals.

Definition:

1 The Riemann lower and upper integral of a function $f(\mathbf{x})$ on D is given by

$$\int_{\underline{D}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} := \sup \{ U_f(Z) : Z \in \mathbf{Z}(D) \}$$
$$\int_{\overline{D}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} := \inf \{ O_f(Z) : Z \in \mathbf{Z}(D) \}$$

2 The function $f(\mathbf{x})$ is called Riemann-integrable on D, if lower and upper integral conincide. The Riemann-integral of $f(\mathbf{x})$ on D is then given by

$$\int_{D} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} := \int_{\underline{D}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\overline{D}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Up to now we habe "only" considered the case of \boldsymbol{two} variables:

$$f: D \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad D \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

In higher dimensions, n > 2, the procedure is the same.

Notation: for n = 2 and n = 3

$$\int_D f(x,y) dx dy \quad \text{bzw.} \quad \int_D f(x,y,z) dx dy dz$$

or

$$\iint_D f(x,y) dx dy \quad \text{bzw.} \quad \iiint_D f(x,y,z) dx dy dz$$

respectively.

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Elementary properties of the integral.

Theorem:

a) Linearity

$$\int_{D} (\alpha f(\mathbf{x}) + \beta g(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} = \alpha \int_{D} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \beta \int_{D} g(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

b) Monotonicity

If $f(\mathbf{x}) \leq g(\mathbf{x})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in D$, then:

$$\int_D f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \leq \int_D g(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

c) Positivity

If for all $\mathbf{x} \in D$ the relation $f(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$ holds, i.e. $f(\mathbf{x})$ is non-negativ, then

$$\int_D f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \ge 0$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Additional properties of the integral.

Theorem:

a) Let D_1 , D_2 and D be cuboids, $D = D_1 \cup D_2$ and $vol(D_1 \cap D_2) = 0$, then $f(\mathbf{x})$ is on D integrable if and only if $f(\mathbf{x})$ is integrable on D_1 and D_2 . And we have

$$\int_D f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{D_1} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \int_{D_2} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

b) The following estimate holds for the integral

$$\int_D f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \bigg| \leq \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in D} |f(\mathbf{x})| \cdot \operatorname{vol}(D)$$

c) Riemann criterion

 $f(\mathbf{x})$ is integrable on D if and only if :

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists Z \in \mathbf{Z}(D) \quad : \quad O_f(Z) - U_f(Z) < \varepsilon$$

イロト イヨト イヨト -

Fubini's theorem.

Theorem: (Fubini's theorem) Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be integrable, $D = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$ be a cuboid. If the integrals

$$F(x) = \int_{a_2}^{b_2} f(x, y) dy$$
 und $G(y) = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f(x, y) dx$

exist for all $x \in [a_1, b_1]$ and $y \in [a_2, b_2]$, respectively, then

$$\int_{D} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \int_{a_2}^{b_2} f(x, y) dy dx$$
$$\int_{D} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{a_2}^{b_2} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f(x, y) dx dy$$

holds true.

Importance:

Fubini's theorem allows to reduce higher-dimensional integrals to one-dimensional integrals.

▲ロト ▲母 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー ショウ

Example.

Given the cuboid $D = [0,1] \times [0,2]$ and the function

$$f(x,y)=2-xy$$

We will show that continuous functions are integrable on cuboids. Thus we can apply Fubini's theorem:

$$\int_{D} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} f(x, y) dx dy = \int_{0}^{2} \left[2x - \frac{x^{2}y}{2} \right]_{x=0}^{x=1} dy$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2} \left(2 - \frac{y}{2} \right) dy = \left[2y - \frac{y^{2}}{4} \right]_{y=0}^{y=2} = 3$$

Remark: Fubini's theorem requires the integrability of $f(\mathbf{x})$. The existence of the two integrals F(x) and G(y) does **not** guarantee the integrability of $f(\mathbf{x})$!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

Definition: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ compact and $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded. We set

$$f^*(\mathbf{x}) := \left\{ egin{array}{ll} f(\mathbf{x}) & : & ext{if } \mathbf{x} \in D \ 0 & : & ext{if } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus D \end{array}
ight.$$

In particular for $f(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ we call $f^*(\mathbf{x})$ the characteristic function of D. The characteristic function of D is called $\mathcal{X}_D(\mathbf{x})$.

Let Q be the smallest cuboid with $D \subset Q$. The function $f(\mathbf{x})$ is called integrable on D, if $f^*(\mathbf{x})$ is integrable on Q. We set

$$\int_D f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} := \int_Q f^*(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト -

Measurability and null sets.

Definition: The compact set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called measurable, if the integral

$$\mathsf{vol}(D) := \int_D 1 d\mathbf{x} = \int_Q \mathcal{X}_D(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

exists. We call vol(D) the volume of D in \mathbb{R}^n .

The compact set D is called null set, if D is measurable and if vol(D) = 0 holds. Remark:

• If D a cuboid, then Q = D and thus

$$\int_D f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_Q f^*(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_Q f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

i.e. the introduced concepts of integrability coincide.

- Cuboids are measurable sets.
- vol(D) is the volume of the cuboid on \mathbb{R}^n .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

Three more properties of integration.

We have the following theorems for integrals in higher dimensions.

Theorem: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact. *D* is measurable if and only if the boundary ∂D of *D* is a null set.

Theorem: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact and measurable. Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then $f(\mathbf{x})$ is integrable on D.

Theorem: (Mean value theorem) Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact, connected and measurable, and let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, then there exist a point $\xi \in D$ with

$$\int_D f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = f(\xi) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(D)$$

イロト (四) (三) (三) (二) (つ)

"Normal" areas.

Definition:

• A subset $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is called "normal" area, there exist continuous functions g, h and \tilde{g}, \tilde{h} with

$$D = \{(x, y) \mid a \le x \le b \text{ und } g(x) \le y \le h(x)\}$$

and

$$D = \{(x, y) \mid \tilde{a} \leq y \leq \tilde{b} \text{ und } \tilde{g}(y) \leq x \leq \tilde{h}(y)\}$$

respectively.

 \bullet A subset $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is called "normal" area , if there is a representation

$$D = \{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid a \le x_i \le b, g(x_i) \le x_j \le h(x_i)$$

and $\varphi(x_i, x_j) \le x_k \le \psi(x_i, x_j) \}$

with a permutation (i, j, k) of (1, 2, 3) and continuos functions g, h, φ and ψ .

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Definition: A subset $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called projectable in the direction x_i , $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, if there exist a measurable set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and continuous functions φ, ψ such that

$$D = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)^T \in B$$

und $\varphi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \le x_i \le \psi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \}$

Remark:

- Projectable sets are measurable sets. Since "normal" areas are projectable, "normal" areas are measurable.
- Often the area of integration *D* can be represented by a union of finite many "normal" areas. Such areas are then also measurable.

ヘロト ヘ回ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Integration on "normal" areas and projectable sets.

Theorem: If $f(\mathbf{x})$ is a continuous function on a "normal" area

$$D=\{\ (x,y)\in \mathbb{R}^2 \ : \ a\leq x\leq b \ ext{and} \ g(x)\leq y\leq h(x) \ \}$$

then we have

$$\int_D f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_a^b \int_{g(x)}^{h(x)} f(x, y) dy dx$$

Analogous relations hold in higher dimensions: If $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a projectable set in the direction x_i , i.e. D has a representation of the form

$$D = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)^T \in B$$

and $\varphi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \le x_i \le \psi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \}$

then it holds

$$\int_D f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_B \left(\int_{\varphi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})}^{\psi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})} f(\mathbf{x}) dx_i \right) d\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Example.

Given a function

$$f(x,y) := x + 2y$$

Calculate the integral on the area bounded by two parabolas

$$D := \{(x, y) \mid -1 \le x \le 1 \text{ und } x^2 \le y \le 2 - x^2\}$$

The set D is a "normal" area and f(x, y) is continuous. Thus

$$\int_{D} f(x,y) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{-1}^{1} \left(\int_{x^{2}}^{2-x^{2}} (x+2y) dy \right) dx = \int_{-1}^{1} \left[xy + y^{2} \right]_{x^{2}}^{2-x^{2}} dx$$
$$= \int_{-1}^{1} (x(2-x^{2}) + (2-x^{2})^{2} - x^{3} - x^{4}) dx$$
$$= \int_{-1}^{1} (-2x^{3} - 4x^{2} + 2x + 4) dx = \frac{16}{3}$$

3

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Example.

Calculate the volume of the rotational paraboloid

$$V := \{ (x, y, z)^T \mid x^2 + y^2 \le 1 \text{ and } x^2 + y^2 \le z \le 1 \}$$

Representation of V as "normal" area

$$V = \{(x, y, z)^{\mathsf{T}} \mid -1 \le x \le 1, \ -\sqrt{1 - x^2} \le y \le \sqrt{1 - x^2} \text{ and } x^2 + y^2 \le z \le 1\}$$

Then we have

$$\operatorname{vol}(V) = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \int_{x^2+y^2}^{1} dz dy dx = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{\sqrt{1-x^2}} (1-x^2-y^2) dy dx$$

$$= \int_{-1}^{1} \left[(1-x^2)y - \frac{y^3}{3} \right]_{y=-\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{y=\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx = \frac{4}{3} \int_{-1}^{1} (1-x^2)^{3/2} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left[x(\sqrt{1-x^2})^3 + \frac{3}{2}x\sqrt{1-x^2} + \frac{3}{2}\arcsin(x) \right]_{-1}^1 = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

3

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Integration over arbitrary domains.

Definition: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact and measurable set. We call $Z = \{D_1, \ldots, D_m\}$ an universal partition of D, if the sets D_k are compact, measurable and connected and if

$$igcup_{j=1}^m D_j = D$$
 and $orall i
eq j : D^0_i \cap D^0_j = \emptyset$

We call

$$\mathsf{diam}(D_j) := \sup \left\{ \left\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \right\| \, | \, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in D_j \right\}$$

the diameter of the set D_j and

$$\|Z\| := \max\left\{\operatorname{diam}(D_j) \mid j = 1, \dots, m
ight\}$$

the fineness of the universal partition Z.

Riemann sums for universal partitions.

For a continuous function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ we define the Riemann sums

$$R_f(Z) = \sum_{j=1}^m f(\mathbf{x}^j) \operatorname{vol}(D_j)$$

with arbitrary $\mathbf{x}^j \in D_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$.

Theorem: For any sequence $(Z_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of universal partitons of D with $||Z_k|| \to 0$ (as $k \to \infty$) and for ony sequence of related Riemann sums $R_f(Z_k)$ we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}R_f(Z_k)=\int_Df(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

An important application of the area integrals is the calculation of the centers (of mass) of areas and solids.

Definition: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (or \mathbb{R}^3) be a measurable set and $\rho(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in D$, a given mass density. Then the center (of mass) of the area (or the solid) D is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_{s} := \frac{\int_{D} \rho(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} d\mathbf{x}}{\int_{D} \rho(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}}$$

The numerator integral (over a vector valued function) is intended componentwise (and gives as result a vector).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Example.

Calculate the center of mass of the pyramid P

$${\mathcal{P}} := \left\{ \left(x,y,z
ight)^{{\mathcal{T}}} \mid \max(|y|,|z|) \leq rac{{\mathsf{a}} x}{2h}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq h
ight\}$$

Calculate the volume of P under assumption of constant mass density

$$\operatorname{vol}(P) = \int_0^h \int_{-\frac{ax}{2h}}^{\frac{ax}{2h}} \int_{-\frac{ax}{2h}}^{\frac{ax}{2h}} dz \, dy \, dx$$

$$= \int_0^h \int_{-\frac{ax}{2h}}^{\frac{ax}{2h}} \frac{ax}{h} dy dx$$

$$= \int_0^h \left(\frac{ax}{h}\right)^2 dx = \frac{1}{3}a^2h$$

э

イロト イヨト イヨト

Continuation of the example.

and
$$\int_{0}^{h} \int_{-\frac{ax}{2h}}^{\frac{ax}{2h}} \int_{-\frac{ax}{2h}}^{\frac{ax}{2h}} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} dz dy dx = \int_{0}^{h} \int_{-\frac{ax}{2h}}^{\frac{ax}{2h}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{ax^{2}}{h} \\ \frac{axy}{h} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} dy dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{h} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a^{2}x^{3}}{h^{2}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} dx$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4}a^{2}h^{2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The center of mass of P lies in the point $\mathbf{x}_s = (\frac{3}{4}h, 0, 0)^T$.

э

<ロト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト < </p>

Another important application of area integrals is the calculation of moments of inertia of areas and solids.

Definition: (moments of inertia with respect to an axis)

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (or \mathbb{R}^3) be a measurable set, $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ denotes for $\mathbf{x} \in D$ a mass density and $r(\mathbf{x})$ the distance of the point $\mathbf{x} \in D$ from the given axis of rotation.

Then the moment of inertia of D with respect to this axis is given by

$$\Theta := \int_D
ho(\mathbf{x}) r^2(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

Example.

We calculate the moment of inertia of a homogeneous cylinder

$$Z := \left\{ (x, y, z)^T : x^2 + y^2 \le r^2, -l/2 \le z \le l/2 \right\}$$

with respect to the x-axis assuming a constant density ρ .

$$\Theta = \int_{Z} \rho(y^{2} + z^{2}) d(x, y, z) = \rho \int_{Z} (y^{2} + z^{2}) d(x, y, z)$$

$$= \rho \int_{-r}^{r} \int_{-\sqrt{r^{2} - x^{2}}}^{\sqrt{r^{2} - x^{2}}} \int_{-l/2}^{l/2} (y^{2} + z^{2}) dz dy dx$$

$$= \rho \int_{-r}^{r} \int_{-\sqrt{r^{2} - x^{2}}}^{\sqrt{r^{2} - x^{2}}} (ly^{2} + \frac{l^{3}}{12}) dy dx$$

$$= \rho \frac{\pi l r^2}{12} (3r^2 + l^2)$$

э

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

The theorem of transformation.

Aim: A generalisation of the (one dimensional) rule of substitution

$$\int_{\varphi(a)}^{\varphi(b)} f(x) \, dx = \int_a^b f(\varphi(t)) \varphi'(t) \, dt$$

Theorem: (Theorem of transformation) Let $\Phi : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and a \mathcal{C}^1 -map. Let $D \subset U$ be a compact, measurable set such that Φ is a \mathcal{C}^1 -diffeomorphisms on D^0 . Then $\Phi(D)$ is compact and measurable and for any continuous function $f : \Phi(D) \to \mathbb{R}$ the rule of transformation

$$\int_{\Phi(D)} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_D f(\Phi(\mathbf{u})) |\det \mathbf{J}\Phi(\mathbf{u})| \, d\mathbf{u}$$

holds.

Remark: Note that the rule of transformation requires the bijectivety of Φ only on the inertior D^0 of D – not on the boundary $\partial D!$

▲日▼▲□▼▲目▼▲目▼ ヨー ショマ

Example.

Calculate the center of mass of a homogeneous spherical octant

$$V = \{(x, y, z,)^T \mid x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \le 1 \text{ und } x, y, z \ge 0\}$$

It is easier to calculate the center of mass using spherical coordinates:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r \cos \varphi \cos \psi \\ r \sin \varphi \cos \psi \\ r \sin \psi \end{pmatrix} = \Phi(r, \varphi, \psi)$$

The transformation is defined on \mathbb{R}^3 and with

$$D = [0,1] \times \left[0,\frac{\pi}{2}\right] \times \left[0,\frac{\pi}{2}\right]$$

we have $\Phi(D) = V$. It is Φ on D^0 a C^1 -diffeomorphisms with

$$\det \mathbf{J}\Phi(\mathbf{r},\varphi,\psi)=\mathbf{r}^2\cos\psi$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

Continuation of the example.

According to the theorem of transformation it follows

$$\operatorname{vol}(V) = \int_{V} d\mathbf{x} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} r^{2} \cos \psi d\psi d\varphi dr = \frac{\pi}{6}$$

and

$$\operatorname{vol}(V) \cdot x_{s} = \int_{V} x \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} (r \cos \varphi \cos \psi) \, r^{2} \cos \psi \, d\psi \, d\varphi \, dr$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} r^{3} \, dr \cdot \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \cos \varphi \, d\varphi \cdot \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \cos^{2} \psi \, d\psi = \frac{\pi}{16}$$

The it follows $x_s = \frac{3}{8}$.

In Analogy we calculate $y_s = z_s = \frac{3}{8}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへで

The Theorem of Steiner.

Theorem: (Theorem of Steiner) For the moment of inertia of a homogeneous solid K with total mass m with respect to a given axis of rotation A we have

$$\Theta_A = md^2 + \Theta_S$$

S is the axis through to center of mass of the solid K parallel to the axis A and d the distance of the center of mass \mathbf{x}_s from the axis A.

Idea of the proof: Set $\mathbf{x} := \Phi(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{x}_s + \mathbf{u}$. Then with the unit vector \mathbf{a} in direction of the axis A

$$\Theta_{A} = \rho \int_{\mathcal{K}} (\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a} \rangle^{2}) d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= \rho \int_{D} (\langle \mathbf{x}_{s} + \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}_{s} + \mathbf{u} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x}_{s} + \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a} \rangle^{2}) d\mathbf{x}$$

where

$$D:=\{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_s\,|\,\mathbf{x}\in K\}$$

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

Chapter 3. Integration over general areas

3.2 Line integrals

We already had a definition of a line integral of a scalar field for a piecewise C^1 -curve $\mathbf{c} : [a, b] \to D$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and a continuous scalar function $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{\mathbf{c}} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{s} := \int_{a}^{b} f(\mathbf{c}(t)) \| \dot{\mathbf{c}}(t) \| \, dt$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euklidian norm.

Generalisation: Line integrals of vector valued functions, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} := ?$$

Application: A point mass is moving along c(t) in a force field f(x). **Question:** How much physical work has to be done along the curve?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

Line integral on vector fields.

Definition: For a continuous vector field $\mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, and a piecewise \mathcal{C}^1 -curve $\mathbf{c} : [a, b] \to D$ we define the line integral on vector fields by

$$\int_{c} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} := \int_{a}^{b} \langle \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}(t), \dot{\mathbf{c}}(t)) \, dt$$

Derivation: Approximate the curve by piecewise linear line segments with corners $\mathbf{c}(t_i)$, where

$$Z = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m = b\}$$

is a partition of the interval [a, b].

Then the workload along the curve $\mathbf{c}(t)$ in the force field $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ is approximately given by :

$$A pprox \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \langle \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}(t_i)), \mathbf{c}(t_{i+1}) - \mathbf{c}(t_i)
angle$$

Continuation of the derivation.

Thus:

$$A \approx \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f_j(\mathbf{c}(t_i))(c_j(t_{i+1}) - c_j(t_i))$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f_j(\mathbf{c}(t_i)) \dot{c}_j(\tau_{ij})(t_{i+1}-t_i)$$

For a sequence of partitions Z with $||Z|| \rightarrow 0$ the left side converges to the above defined line integral on vector fields.

Remarks: For a closed curve $\mathbf{c}(t)$, i.e. $\mathbf{c}(a) = \mathbf{c}(b)$, we use the notation

$$\oint_c \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

Properties of the line integral on vector fields.

• Linearity:

$$\int_{c} (\alpha \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \beta \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})) \, d\mathbf{x} = \alpha \int_{c} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} + \beta \int_{c} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

• It is:

$$\int_{-c} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = -\int_{c} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x},$$
where $(-\mathbf{c})(t) := c(b + a - t), \ a \le t \le b$, denotes the inverted path.
• It is

$$\int_{c_1+c_2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{c_1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{c_2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

where $c_1 + c_2$ denotes the path composed by c_1 and c_2 such that the end point of c_1 coincides with the starting point of c_2 .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Further properties of the line integral on vector fields.

The line integral on vector fields is invariant under paramterisation.It is

$$\int_{c} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{a}^{b} \langle \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}(t)), \mathbf{T}(t) \rangle \, \| \dot{\mathbf{c}}(t) \| \, dt = \int_{c} \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{T} \rangle \, ds$$

with the tangent unit vector $\mathbf{T}(t) := \frac{\dot{\mathbf{c}}(t)}{\|\dot{\mathbf{c}}(t)\|}$.

• Formal notation:

$$\int_{c} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{c} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \, dx_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{c} f_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \, dx_{i}$$

with

$$\int_{c} f_{i}(\mathbf{x}) dx_{i} := \int_{a}^{b} f_{i}(\mathbf{c}(t)) \dot{c}_{i}(t) dt$$

<ロト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト < □ト < □ < □ </p>

Example.

Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) := (-y, x, z^2)^T$ $\mathbf{c}(t) := (\cos t, \sin t, at)^T$ with $0 \le t \le 2\pi$

We calculate

$$\int_{c} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{c} (-ydx + xdy + z^{2}dz)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} (-\sin t)(-\sin t) + \cos t \cos t + a^{2}t^{2}a) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} (1 + a^{3}t^{2}) dt$$

$$= 2\pi + \frac{a^{3}}{3}(2\pi)^{3}$$

142 / 182

The circulation of a field along a curve.

Definition: Let $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ be the velocity field of a moving fluid. We call the line integral $\oint_c \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}$ along a closed curve the circulation of the field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$.

Example: For the field $\mathbf{u}(x, y) = (y, 0)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we obtain along the curve $\mathbf{c}(t) = (r \cos t, 1 + r \sin t)^T$, $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ the circulation

$$\oint_{c} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} (1 + r \sin t) (-r \sin t) dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} (-r \sin t - r^{2} \sin^{2} t) dt$$
$$= \left[r \cos t - \frac{r^{2}}{2} (t - \sin t \cos t) \right]_{0}^{2\pi} = -\pi r^{2}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Definition: A continuous vector field $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, is called curl free, if the line integral along **all** closed and piecewise \mathcal{C}^1 -curves $\mathbf{c}(t)$ in D vanishes, i.e.

$$\oint_c \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = 0 \qquad \text{for all closed } \mathbf{c}.$$

Remark: A vector field is curl free if an only if the value of the line integral $\int_c \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$ depends only from the starting and the end point of the path, but not on the specific path \mathbf{c} . In this case we call the line integral path independent.

Question: Which criteria on the vector field f(x) guarantee the path independency of the line integral?

イロト イヨト イヨト -
Definition: A subset $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called **connected**, if any two points in D can be connected by a piecewise C^1 -curve:

$$\forall \, \mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{y}^0 \in D \; : \; \exists \, \mathbf{c} : [a, b] \rightarrow D \quad : \quad \mathbf{c}(a) = \mathbf{x}^0 \, \land \, \mathbf{c}(b) = \mathbf{y}^0$$

An open and connected set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called domain in \mathbb{R}^n .

Remark: An **open** set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is **not** connected if and only if there exist **disjoint** and open sets $U_1, U_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$U_1 \cap D \neq \emptyset, \quad U_2 \cap D \neq \emptyset, \quad D \subset U_1 \cup U_2$$

Not connected sets are – in contrary to connected sets – a separable in at least two disjoint open sets.

Gradient fields, antiderivatives, potentials.

Definition: Let $\mathbf{f} : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector field on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The vector field is called gradient field, if there is a scalar \mathcal{C}^1 -function $\varphi : D \to \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) =
abla arphi(\mathbf{x})$$

The function $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ is called antiderivative or potential of $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$, and the vector field $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ is called conservativ.

Remark: Suppose a mass point is moving in a conservative force field $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x})$, i.e. **K** has a potential $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ such that $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla \varphi(\mathbf{x})$. The the function $U(\mathbf{x}) = -\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ gives the potential energy:

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}) = m\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = -\nabla U(\mathbf{x})$$

Multiplying this relation with $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}$ we obtain

$$m\langle \ddot{\mathbf{x}}, \dot{\mathbf{x}} \rangle + \langle \nabla U(\mathbf{x}), \dot{\mathbf{x}} \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} m \|\dot{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 + U(\mathbf{x}) \right) = 0$$

イロト (四) (三) (三) (二) (つ)

Fundamental theorem on line integrals.

Theorem: (Fundamental theorem on line integrals)

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain and $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ a continuous vector field on D.

1) If f(x) has a potential $\varphi(x)$, then for all piecewise C^1 -curves $c : [a, b] \to D$ we have:

$$\int_{c} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \varphi(\mathbf{c}(b)) - \varphi(\mathbf{c}(a))$$

In particular the line integral is path independent and $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is curl free.

 In the opposite direction we have: If f(x) is curl free, then f(x) has a potential φ(x). Let x⁰ ∈ D be a fixed point and c_x (for x ∈ D) denotes an arbitrary piecewise C¹-curve in D connecting the points x⁰ and x, then φ(x) is given by:

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{c_x} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} + \text{const.}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

Example I.

The central force field

$$\mathsf{K}(\mathsf{x}) := \frac{\mathsf{x}}{\|\mathsf{x}\|^3}$$

has the potential

$$U(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} = -(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-1/2}$$

since

$$\nabla U(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-3/2} (x, y, z)^T = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^3}$$

The workload along a piecewise \mathcal{C}^1 -curve $\mathbf{c}: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is given by

$$A = \int_{c} \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \left(\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{c}(a)\|} - \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{c}(b)\|}\right)$$

イロト イヨト イヨト

Example II.

The vector field

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{pmatrix} 2xy + z^3 \\ x^2 + 3z \\ 3xz^2 + 3y \end{pmatrix}$$

has the potential

٠

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = x^2 y + xz^3 + 3yz$$

For an arbitrary C^1 -curve $\mathbf{c}(t)$ from P = (1, 1, 2) to Q = (3, 5, -2) we have

$$\int_{c} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \varphi(Q) - \varphi(P) = -9 - 15 = -24$$

If we interpret f(x) as electrical field, then the line integral on vector fields represents the electrical voltage between the two points P and Q.

イロト イヨト イヨト -

Example III.

Consider the vector field

$$\mathbf{f}(x,y) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{mit} (x,y)^T \in D = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$$

For the unit sphere $\mathbf{c}(t) := (\cos t, \sin t)^T$, $0 \le t \le 2\pi$, we obtain

$$\int_{c} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \langle \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}(t), \dot{\mathbf{c}}(t) \rangle dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left\langle \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sin t \\ \cos t \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sin t \\ \cos t \end{array} \right) \right\rangle dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} 1 dt = 2\pi$$

f(x, y) is therefore not curl free and has no potential on D.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ ヨト

Requirements for potentials.

Remark: If f(x), $x \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a \mathcal{C}^1 -vector field with potential $\varphi(x)$, then

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{curl}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{\mathsf{curl}} (
abla arphi(\mathbf{x})) = 0 \qquad ext{für alle } \mathbf{x} \in D$$

Thus curl $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ is a necessary condition for the existence of a potential.

If we define for a vector field $\mathbf{f}:D\to\mathbb{R}^2,~D\subset\mathbb{R}^2,$ the scalar curl

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{f}(x,y) := \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x}(x,y) - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y}(x,y)$$

then curl $\mathbf{f}(x, y) = 0$ is a necessary condition even in 2 dimensions.

The condition

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

is a sufficient condition, if the domain D is simply connected, i.e. if D has no "holes".

We consider the vector field

$$\mathbf{f}(x,y) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } (x,y)^T \in D = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$$

Calculating the curl gives

$$\operatorname{curl} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{r^2} \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} - \frac{2x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} + \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} - \frac{2y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}$$
$$= 0$$

The curl of $\mathbf{f}(x, y)$ vanishes. But $\mathbf{f}(x, y)$ has on the set $D = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus {\mathbf{0}}$ no potential. The domain is **not** simply connected.

э

The integral theorem of Green for vector fields in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Theorem: (Integral theorem of Green)

Let $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ be a \mathcal{C}^1 -vector field on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $K \subset D$ be compact and projectable with respect to both coordinates, such that K is bounded by a closed and piecewise \mathcal{C}^1 -curve $\mathbf{c}(t)$.

The parameterisation of $\mathbf{c}(t)$ is chosen such that K is always on the left when going along the curve with increasing parameter (positive circulation). Then:

$$\oint_{c} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \operatorname{curl} \, \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

Remark:

The integral theorem is also valid for domains which can be splittet in *finite* many domains which all are projectable with respect to both coordinate directions, so called Green domains.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Alternative formulation of the integral theorem of Green I.

We have seen that the relation

$$\oint_c \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \oint_c \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{T} \rangle \, ds$$

holds, where $\mathbf{T}(t) = \frac{\dot{\mathbf{c}}(t)}{\|\dot{\mathbf{c}}(t)\|}$ denotes the tangent unit vector.

With the intergral thoerem of Green we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{\partial \mathcal{K}} \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{T} \rangle \, ds$$

Is f(x) a velocity field, then the fluid motion described by f is curl free if curl f(x)=0, since

$$\oint_c \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

is the circulation of f(x).

Alternative formulation of the integral theorem of Green II.

If we substitute in the above equations the vector **T** by the outer normal vector $\mathbf{n} = (T_2, -T_1)^T$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \oint_{\partial K} \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, ds &= \oint_{\partial K} (f_1 T_2 - f_2 T_1) ds = \oint_{\partial K} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -f_2 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{T} \right\rangle \, ds \\ &= \int_K \operatorname{rot} \begin{pmatrix} -f_2 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix} \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_K \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \, d\mathbf{x} \end{split}$$

and thus the relation

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{\partial \mathcal{K}} \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, d\mathbf{s}$$

If $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ is the velocity field of a fluid motion, then the right side describes describes the total flow of the fluid through the boundary of K. Therefore if div $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, then the fluid motion is source and sink free (or divergence free).

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Back again to the existence of potentials.

Conclusion: If curl $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in D$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ a domain, then we have

$$\oint_c \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = 0$$

for every closed piecewise C^1 -curve, which surounds a Green domain $B \subset D$ completely.

Definition: A domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called simply connected, if any closed curve $\mathbf{c} : [a, b] \to D$ can be shrinked continuously in D to a point in D. More precise: There is a continuous map for $\mathbf{x}^0 \in D$

$$\Phi: [a,b]\times [0,1] \to D$$

with $\Phi(t,0) = \mathbf{c}(t)$, for all $t \in [a,b]$ and $\Phi(t,1) = \mathbf{x}^0 \in D$, for all $t \in [a,b]$. The map $\Phi(t,s)$ is called a homotopy.

・ロト ・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

Theorem: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a simply connected domain. A \mathcal{C}^1 -vector field $\mathbf{f}: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ has a potential on D if and only if the integrability criteria

$$\mathbf{J} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{J} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}))^T$$
 for all $\mathbf{x} \in D$

are satisfied, i.e. if

$$\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_k} \qquad \forall j, k$$

Remark: For n = 2, 3 the integrability criteria coincide with

$$rot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ let the vector field be

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2xy}{r^2} + \sin z \\ \ln r^2 + \frac{2y^2}{r^2} + ze^y \\ \frac{2yz}{r^2} + e^y + x\cos z \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2.$$

We would like to study the existence of a potential for f(x).

The set $D = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is apparentely simply connected. In addition we have

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

Thus f(x) has a potential.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

Calculation of the potential.

We need to have: $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla \varphi(\mathbf{x})$. Thus:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} = f_1(x, y, z) = \frac{2xy}{r^2} + \sin z$$

By integration with respect to the variable x we obtain

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = y \ln r^2 + x \sin z + c(y, z)$$

with an unknown function c(y, z).

Pluging into the equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} = f_2(x, y, z) = \ln r^2 + \frac{2y^2}{r^2} + ze^y$$

gives

$$\ln r^2 + \frac{2y^2}{r^2} + \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} = \ln r^2 + \frac{2y^2}{r^2} + ze^y$$

3

Calculation of the potential (continuation).

From this we get the condition

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial y} = z e^{y}$$

and therefore

$$c(y,z)=ze^y+d(z)$$

for an unknown function d(z). So far we know:

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = y \ln r^2 + x \sin z + z e^y + d(z)$$

The last condition is

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} = f_3(x, y, z) = \frac{2yz}{r^2} + e^y + x \cos z$$

Therefore d'(z) = 0 and the potential is given by

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = y \ln r^2 + x \sin z + z e^y + c$$
 for $c \in \mathbb{R}$

Chapter 3. Integration in higher dimensions

3.3 Surface integrals

Definition: Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a domain and $\mathbf{p} : D \to \mathbb{R}^3$ a \mathcal{C}^1 -map

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u})$$
 with $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)^T \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$

If for all $\mathbf{u} \in D$ the two vectors

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_1}$$
 and $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_2}$

are linear independent, we call

$$F := \{\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u}) \mid \mathbf{u} \in D\}$$

a surface or a piece o surface. The map $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u})$ is called a parameterisation or parameter representation of the surface F.

Example I.

We consider for a given r > 0 the map

$$\mathbf{p}(arphi,z) = \left(egin{array}{c} r\cosarphi \ r\sinarphi \ z \end{array}
ight) \qquad ext{for } (arphi,z) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

The corresponding parameterized surface is an unbounded cylinder in \mathbb{R}^3 . If we restrict the area of definition, e.g.

$$(arphi,z)\in {\mathcal K}:=[0,2\pi] imes [0,H]\subset {\mathbb R}^2$$

we obtain a bounded cylinder of height H.

The partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} -r \sin \varphi \\ r \cos \varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial z} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

of $\mathbf{p}(\varphi, z)$ are linearly independent on \mathbb{R}^2 .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶

Example II.

The graph of a scalar C^1 -function $\varphi: D \to \mathbb{R}$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, is a surface. A parametrisation is given by

$$\mathbf{p}(u_1, u_2) := \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \varphi(u_1, u_2) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{u} \in D$$

The partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ \varphi_{u_1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ \varphi_{u_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

are linear independent.

イロト イヨト イヨト

The tangential plane on a surface.

The two linear independent vectors

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_1}(\mathbf{u}^0)$$
 und $\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_2}(\mathbf{u}^0)$

are tangential on the surface F.

The two vectore span the tangential plane $T_{\mathbf{x}^0}F$ of the surface F at the point $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u})$.

The tangential plane has a parameter representation

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}^{0}} \mathcal{F} \ : \ \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^{0} + \lambda \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_{1}}(\mathbf{u}^{0}) + \mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_{2}}(\mathbf{u}^{0}) \qquad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Question: How can wie calculate the size of a given surface F?

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト -

Definition: Let $\mathbf{p} : D \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be a parameterisation of a surface, and let $K \subset D$ be compact, measurable and connected. Then the "content" of $\mathbf{p}(K)$ is defined by the surface integral

$$\int_{\mathbf{p}(K)} do := \int_{K} \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_1}(\mathbf{u}) \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_2}(\mathbf{u}) \right\| d\mathbf{u}$$

We call

$$do := \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_1}(\mathbf{u}) \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_2}(\mathbf{u}) \right\| d\mathbf{u}$$

the surface element of the surface $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u})$.

Remark: The surface integral is **independent** of the particular parameterisation of the surface. This follows from the theorem of transformation.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

For the lateral surface of a cylinder $Z = \mathbf{p}(K)$ with $K := [0, 2\pi] \times [0, H] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$

and

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p}(\varphi, z) := \begin{pmatrix} r \cos \varphi \\ r \sin \varphi \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$
 for $(\varphi, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

we obtain

$$\left\|\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \varphi} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial z}\right\| = r$$

the value

$$O(Z) = \int_{Z} do = \int_{K} rd(\varphi, z) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{H} rdzd\varphi = 2\pi rH$$

э

If the surface is the graph of a scalar function, i.e. $x_3 = \varphi(x_1, x_2)$, the for the related tangential vectors we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x_1} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\\varphi_{x_1} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\\varphi_{x_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\varphi_{x_1}\\-\varphi_{x_2}\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\left\|\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x_1} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x_2}\right\| = \sqrt{1 + \varphi_{x_1}^2 + \varphi_{x_2}^2}$$

and

$$O(\mathbf{p}(K)) = \int_{\mathbf{p}(K)} do$$

=
$$\int_{K} \sqrt{1 + \varphi_{x_1}^2 + \varphi_{x_2}^2} d(x_1, x_2)$$

< □ > < 同 > < 回</p>

For the surface of the parabloid P, given by

$$P := \{ (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_3 = 2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2, \, x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 2 \},\$$

we have

$$O(P) = \int_{x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 2} \sqrt{1 + 4x_1^2 + x_2^2} \, d(x_1, x_2)$$

= $\int_0^{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{1 + 4r^2} \, r \, d\varphi \, dr = \pi \int_0^2 \sqrt{1 + 4s} \, ds$
= $\pi \left[\frac{1}{6} (1 + 4s)^{3/2} \right]_0^2 = \pi \left(\frac{1}{6} (27 - 1) \right) = \frac{13}{3} \pi$

Ξ

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト

Remark.

For the vector product of two vectors $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we have

$$\|\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{a}\|^2 \|\mathbf{b}\|^2 - \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle^2$$

Thus we have

$$\left\|\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x_1} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x_2}\right\|^2 = \left\|\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x_1}\right\|^2 \left\|\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x_2}\right\|^2 - \left\langle\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x_2}\right\rangle^2$$

If we define

$$E := \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x_1} \right\|^2, \quad F := \langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x_2} \rangle^2, \quad G := \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x_2} \right\|^2,$$

we obtain the relation

$$do = \sqrt{EG - F^2} d(u_1, u_2)$$

3

For the surface element of the sphere

$$S_r^2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = r^2\}$$

we obtain using the parameterisation via spherical coordinates

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \cos \theta \\ \sin \varphi \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{für } (\varphi, \theta) \in [0, 2\pi] \times \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right]$$

the relations

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \varphi} = r \begin{pmatrix} -\sin\varphi\cos\theta\\\cos\varphi\cos\theta\\0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{und} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \theta} = r \begin{pmatrix} -\cos\varphi\sin\theta\\-\sin\varphi\sin\theta\\\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we have

$$E = r^2 \cos^2 \theta, \quad F = 0, \quad G = r^2$$

э

Continuation of the examples.

With

$$E = r^2 \cos^2 \theta$$
, $F = 0$, $G = r^2$

we obtain the relation

$$do = \sqrt{EG - F^2} d(u_1, u_2)$$

and therefore

$$do = r^2 \cos heta \, d(arphi, heta) \qquad ext{für} \; (arphi, heta) \in [0, 2\pi] imes \left[-rac{\pi}{2}, rac{\pi}{2}
ight]$$

We can calculate the surface of the sphere as follows

$$O = \int_{S_r^2} do = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 \cos \theta \, d\varphi \, d\theta$$
$$= \left. 2\pi r^2 \sin \theta \right|_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} = 4\pi r^2$$

э

Surface integrals of scalar and vector fields.

Definition: Let $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u})$ be a C^1 -parametrisation of a surface $F = \mathbf{p}(K)$, where $K \subset D$ is compact, measurable and connected.

 For a continuous function f : F → ℝ the surface integral of a scalar field is defined as

$$\int_{F} f(\mathbf{x}) \, do := \int_{K} f(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u})) \, \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_{1}} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_{2}} \right\| \, d\mathbf{u}$$

• For a continuous vector field $\mathbf{f}: F \to \mathbb{R}^3$ the surface integral of a vector field is defined as

$$\int_{F} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, do := \int_{K} \left\langle \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u})), \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_{1}} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_{2}} \right\rangle \, d\mathbf{u}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Alternative representation of surface integrals.

Othere representations of surface integrals of vector fields

The unit normal vector $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ on a surface F is given by

$$\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u})) = \frac{\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_1} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_2}}{\left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_1} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_2} \right\|}$$

Therefore we can write

$$\int_{F} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, do = \int_{K} \left\langle \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u})), \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_{1}} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_{2}} \right\rangle \, d\mathbf{u}$$
$$= \int_{K} \left\langle \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u})), \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u})) \right\rangle \, \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_{1}} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial u_{2}} \right\| \, d\mathbf{u}$$
$$= \int_{F} \left\langle \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \, do$$

Ingenuin Gasser (Mathematik, UniHH) Analysis

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Interpretation of surface integrals.

Remark:

- If $f(\mathbf{x})$ is the mass density of a surface with a mass distribution, the the surface integral of the scalar field (mass density) gives the total mass of the surface.
- If f(x) is the velocity field of a stationary flow, then the surface integral of the vector field (velocity field) gives the amount of flow which passes the surface F per time unit, i.e. the flow of f(x) through the surface F.
- If F is a closed surface, i.e. surface (boundary) of a compact and simply connected region (body) in ℝ³, we write

$$\oint_F f(\mathbf{x}) \, do \qquad \text{bzw.} \qquad \oint_F \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, do$$

The parameterisation is chosen such that the unit normal vector $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ is pointing outwards.

The divergence theorem (Gauß theorem).

Theorem: (divergence theorem/Gauß theorem) Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ a compact and measurable standard domain, i.e. *G* is projectable with respect to all coordinates. The boundary ∂G consists of finite many smooth surfaces with outer normal vector $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$.

If $\mathbf{f}: D \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a \mathcal{C}^1 -vector field with $G \subset D$, then

$$\int_G \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{\partial G} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{o}$$

Interpretation of the Gauß theorem: The left side is an integral of the scalar function $g(\mathbf{x}) := \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ over *G*. The right hand side is a surface integral of the vector field $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$. If $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ is the vectorfield of an incompressible flow, then div $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and therefore

$$\oint_{\partial G} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, do = 0$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Consider the vector field

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T$$

and the sphere K:

$$\mathcal{K} := \{ (x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \leq 1 \}$$

We have

div
$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = 3$$

and thus

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = 3 \cdot \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{K}) = 4\pi$$

The related surface integral can be calculated easily using spherical coordinates.

э

The Green formulas.

Theorem: (Green formulas) Let the set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfy the prerequisites of the Gauß theorem. For \mathcal{C}^2 -functions $f, g : D \to \mathbb{R}$, $G \subset D$ we have the relations:

$$\int_{G} (f\Delta g + \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle) \, d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{\partial G} f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \, do$$
$$\int_{G} (f\Delta g - g\Delta f) \, d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{\partial G} \left(f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{n}} - g \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right) \, do$$

We denote by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}) = D_{\mathbf{n}} f(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial G$$

the directional derivative of $f(\mathbf{x})$ in the direction of the outer unit normal vector $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$.

Proof of the Green formulas.

We set

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla g(\mathbf{x})$$

Then we have

div
$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(f \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \left(f \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \left(f \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_3} \right)$$

= $f \cdot \Delta g + \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle$

Now we apply the Gauß theorem:

$$\int_{G} (f\Delta g + \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{G} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{\partial G} \langle \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, do$$
$$= \oint_{\partial G} f \langle \nabla g, \mathbf{n} \rangle \, do = \oint_{\partial G} f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \, do$$

The second formula follows directely by exchanging f and g.

3

Theorem: (Stokes theorem)

Let $\mathbf{f}: D \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be a \mathcal{C}^1 -vector field on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

Let $F = \mathbf{p}(K)$ be a surface in $D, F \subset D$, with parameterisation $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u})$, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Green area.

The boundary ∂K is parameterised by a piecewise smooth \mathcal{C}^1 -curve **c** and the image $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}(t) := p(\mathbf{c}(t))$ parameterises the boundary ∂F of the surface F.

The orientation of the boundary curve $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}(t)$ is chosen such that $\mathbf{n}(\tilde{\mathbf{c}}(t)) \times \dot{\tilde{\mathbf{c}}}(t)$ points in the direction of the surface.

Then we have

$$\int_{F} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, do = \oint_{\partial F} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ ■ ろの⊙

Given the vector field

$$\mathbf{f}(x,y,z) = (-y,x,-z)^T$$

and let the closed curve $\boldsymbol{c}:[0,2\pi]\to\mathbb{R}^3$ be parameterised by

$$\mathbf{c}(t) = (\cos t, \sin t, 0)^T$$
 für $0 \le t \le 2\pi$

Then:

$$\oint_{c} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \langle \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}(t)), \dot{\mathbf{c}}(t) \rangle dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -\sin t \\ \cos t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\sin t \\ \cos t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} (\sin^{2} t + \cos^{2} t) dt = 2\pi$$

æ
Continuation of the example.

We define a surface $F \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, bounded by the curve $\mathbf{c}(t)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \cos \psi \\ \sin \varphi \cos \psi \\ \sin \psi \end{pmatrix} =: \mathbf{p}(\varphi, \psi)$$

with $(\varphi, \psi) \in K = [0, 2\pi] \times [0, \pi/2]$, i.e. the surface F is the upper half sphere.

Stokes theorem tells us:

$$\int_{F} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{o} = \oint_{\mathbf{c} = \partial F} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

We have already calculated the right side, a **surface integral of a vector field**:

$$\oint_{\mathbf{c}=\partial F} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = 2\pi$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 つのべ

Completion of the example.

It remains a surface integral of a vector field:

$$\int_{F} \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, do = \int_{K} \left\langle \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{p}(\varphi, \psi)), \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \varphi} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \psi} \right\rangle \, d\varphi d\psi$$

Attention: the right hand side is an intergal over a domain. We have curl $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = (0, 0, 2)^T$ and

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \varphi} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \cos^2 \psi \\ \sin \varphi \cos^2 \psi \\ \sin \psi \cos \psi \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus:

$$\int_{F} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \, do = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} 2\sin\psi \cos\psi \, d\varphi d\psi = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \sin(2\psi) \, d\psi = 2\pi$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日