Orbit Equivalence Relations and Borel Reducibility on the Generalized Baire Space

Vadim Kulikov joint work with Sy Friedman and Tapani Hyttinen

Presentation at the Amsterdam Workshop on Set Theory 2014 04 November 2014

Vadim Kulikov joint work with Sy Friedman aOrbit Equivalence Relations and Borel Reduc

Presentation at the Amsterdam Workshop on / 19

- $|\kappa^{<\kappa}| = \kappa > \omega$  fixed throughout the presentation; basic open set  $[p] = \{\eta \in \kappa^{\kappa} \mid \eta \supset p\}, \ p \in \kappa^{<\kappa}.$
- Borel sets: close basic open sets under unions and intersections of length  $\kappa$ .
- Standard Borel space, a space homeomorphic to a Borel subset of  $\kappa^{\kappa}$ .
- $\Sigma_1^1$  a projection of a Borel set.
- A function is Borel if inverse image of every Borel set is Borel.
- For equivalence relations E and E' on standard Borel spaces B and B' respectively, E is Borel reducible E', if there is a Borel map f: B → B' which induces a one-to-one map from B/E to B'/E'.
- If a set contains an intersection of length ≤ κ of open dense sets, it is co-meager. A complement of such a set is meager.
- If G is a topological group which is a standard Borel space and acts in a Borel way on some standard Borel space X, denote by  $E_G^X$  the orbit equivalence relation.

- $|\kappa^{<\kappa}| = \kappa > \omega$  fixed throughout the presentation; basic open set  $[p] = \{\eta \in \kappa^{\kappa} \mid \eta \supset p\}, \ p \in \kappa^{<\kappa}.$
- Borel sets: close basic open sets under unions and intersections of length  $\kappa$ .
- Standard Borel space, a space homeomorphic to a Borel subset of  $\kappa^{\kappa}$ .
- $\Sigma_1^1$  a projection of a Borel set.
- A function is Borel if inverse image of every Borel set is Borel.
- For equivalence relations E and E' on standard Borel spaces B and B' respectively, E is Borel reducible E', if there is a Borel map f: B → B' which induces a one-to-one map from B/E to B'/E'.
- If a set contains an intersection of length ≤ κ of open dense sets, it is co-meager. A complement of such a set is meager.
- If G is a topological group which is a standard Borel space and acts in a Borel way on some standard Borel space X, denote by  $E_G^X$  the orbit equivalence relation.

- $|\kappa^{<\kappa}| = \kappa > \omega$  fixed throughout the presentation; basic open set  $[p] = \{\eta \in \kappa^{\kappa} \mid \eta \supset p\}, \ p \in \kappa^{<\kappa}.$
- Borel sets: close basic open sets under unions and intersections of length  $\kappa$ .
- Standard Borel space, a space homeomorphic to a Borel subset of  $\kappa^{\kappa}$ .
- $\Sigma_1^1$  a projection of a Borel set.
- A function is Borel if inverse image of every Borel set is Borel.
- For equivalence relations E and E' on standard Borel spaces B and B' respectively, E is Borel reducible E', if there is a Borel map f: B → B' which induces a one-to-one map from B/E to B'/E'.
- If a set contains an intersection of length ≤ κ of open dense sets, it is co-meager. A complement of such a set is meager.
- If G is a topological group which is a standard Borel space and acts in a Borel way on some standard Borel space X, denote by  $E_G^X$  the orbit equivalence relation.

- $|\kappa^{<\kappa}| = \kappa > \omega$  fixed throughout the presentation; basic open set  $[p] = \{\eta \in \kappa^{\kappa} \mid \eta \supset p\}, \ p \in \kappa^{<\kappa}.$
- Borel sets: close basic open sets under unions and intersections of length  $\kappa$ .
- Standard Borel space, a space homeomorphic to a Borel subset of  $\kappa^{\kappa}$ .
- $\Sigma_1^1$  a projection of a Borel set.
- A function is Borel if inverse image of every Borel set is Borel.
- For equivalence relations E and E' on standard Borel spaces B and B' respectively, E is Borel reducible E', if there is a Borel map f: B → B' which induces a one-to-one map from B/E to B'/E'.
- If a set contains an intersection of length ≤ κ of open dense sets, it is co-meager. A complement of such a set is meager.
- If G is a topological group which is a standard Borel space and acts in a Borel way on some standard Borel space X, denote by  $E_G^X$  the orbit equivalence relation.

- $|\kappa^{<\kappa}| = \kappa > \omega$  fixed throughout the presentation; basic open set  $[p] = \{\eta \in \kappa^{\kappa} \mid \eta \supset p\}, \ p \in \kappa^{<\kappa}.$
- Borel sets: close basic open sets under unions and intersections of length  $\kappa$ .
- Standard Borel space, a space homeomorphic to a Borel subset of  $\kappa^{\kappa}$ .
- $\Sigma_1^1$  a projection of a Borel set.
- A function is Borel if inverse image of every Borel set is Borel.
- For equivalence relations E and E' on standard Borel spaces B and B' respectively, E is Borel reducible E', if there is a Borel map f: B → B' which induces a one-to-one map from B/E to B'/E'.
- If a set contains an intersection of length ≤ κ of open dense sets, it is co-meager. A complement of such a set is meager.
- If G is a topological group which is a standard Borel space and acts in a Borel way on some standard Borel space X, denote by  $E_G^X$  the orbit equivalence relation.

- $|\kappa^{<\kappa}| = \kappa > \omega$  fixed throughout the presentation; basic open set  $[p] = \{\eta \in \kappa^{\kappa} \mid \eta \supset p\}, \ p \in \kappa^{<\kappa}.$
- Borel sets: close basic open sets under unions and intersections of length  $\kappa$ .
- Standard Borel space, a space homeomorphic to a Borel subset of  $\kappa^{\kappa}$ .
- $\Sigma_1^1$  a projection of a Borel set.
- A function is Borel if inverse image of every Borel set is Borel.
- For equivalence relations E and E' on standard Borel spaces B and B' respectively, E is Borel reducible E', if there is a Borel map f: B → B' which induces a one-to-one map from B/E to B'/E'.
- If a set contains an intersection of length ≤ κ of open dense sets, it is co-meager. A complement of such a set is meager.
- If G is a topological group which is a standard Borel space and acts in a Borel way on some standard Borel space X, denote by  $E_G^X$  the orbit equivalence relation.

- $|\kappa^{<\kappa}| = \kappa > \omega$  fixed throughout the presentation; basic open set  $[p] = \{\eta \in \kappa^{\kappa} \mid \eta \supset p\}, \ p \in \kappa^{<\kappa}.$
- Borel sets: close basic open sets under unions and intersections of length  $\kappa$ .
- Standard Borel space, a space homeomorphic to a Borel subset of  $\kappa^{\kappa}$ .
- $\Sigma_1^1$  a projection of a Borel set.
- A function is Borel if inverse image of every Borel set is Borel.
- For equivalence relations E and E' on standard Borel spaces B and B' respectively, E is Borel reducible E', if there is a Borel map f: B → B' which induces a one-to-one map from B/E to B'/E'.
- If a set contains an intersection of length ≤ κ of open dense sets, it is co-meager. A complement of such a set is meager.
- If *G* is a topological group which is a standard Borel space and acts in a Borel way on some standard Borel space *X*, denote by  $E_G^X$  the orbit equivalence relation.

- $|\kappa^{<\kappa}| = \kappa > \omega$  fixed throughout the presentation; basic open set  $[p] = \{\eta \in \kappa^{\kappa} \mid \eta \supset p\}, \ p \in \kappa^{<\kappa}.$
- Borel sets: close basic open sets under unions and intersections of length  $\kappa$ .
- Standard Borel space, a space homeomorphic to a Borel subset of  $\kappa^{\kappa}$ .
- $\Sigma_1^1$  a projection of a Borel set.
- A function is Borel if inverse image of every Borel set is Borel.
- For equivalence relations E and E' on standard Borel spaces B and B' respectively, E is Borel reducible E', if there is a Borel map f: B → B' which induces a one-to-one map from B/E to B'/E'.
- If a set contains an intersection of length ≤ κ of open dense sets, it is co-meager. A complement of such a set is meager.
- If G is a topological group which is a standard Borel space and acts in a Borel way on some standard Borel space X, denote by  $E_G^X$  the orbit equivalence relation.

### Fact

- (Halko-Shelah [HS01]) κ<sup>κ</sup> is not meager and Borel sets have the property of Baire.
- A Borel function is continuous on a co-meager set.

*E*<sub>0</sub> e.r. on 2<sup>κ</sup>: ∃α∀β > α(η(β) = ξ(β)),
 *E*<sub>1</sub> e.r. on (2<sup>κ</sup>)<sup>κ</sup>: ∃α∀β > α(η<sub>β</sub> = ξ<sub>β</sub>).

We will prove this in the end of the talk if there is time left:

### Theorem

If G is a discrete group of size at most  $\kappa$  and acts in a Borel way on a standard Borel space X. Then  $E_G^X \leq_B E_0$ .

The converse is not true:

#### Theorem

There is E with  $E \leq_B$  id which is not induced by a Borel action of such a group. In fact the equivalence classes of E have size 2.

We will prove this in the end of the talk if there is time left:

### Theorem

If G is a discrete group of size at most  $\kappa$  and acts in a Borel way on a standard Borel space X. Then  $E_G^X \leq_B E_0$ .

The converse is not true:

### Theorem

There is E with  $E \leq_B$  id which is not induced by a Borel action of such a group. In fact the equivalence classes of E have size 2.

## Compare

Compare to the classical results for  $\kappa = \omega$ :

Theorem (Dougherty-Jackson-Kechris)

The following are equivalent:

- $\bullet E \leq_B E_0,$
- 2 E is hyperfinite.

Is realizable be a Borel action of Z.

### Theorem (Feldman-Moore)

If E is countable e.r., then it can be realized by a Borel action of a countable group.

sterdam Workshop on

### Theorem (Thm 7.4.10 in [Gao09])

 $E_0 < E_\infty$ , where the latter is the universal countable e.r.

Theorem

 $E_1 \leqslant_B E_0.$ 

Compare to the classical  $\kappa = \omega$  result:

### Theorem

(Kechris-Louveau)  $E_1$  is not reducible to any equivalence relation induced by a Borel action of a Polish group.

# Proof of $E_1 \leq_B E_0$

Think of  $E_0$  on  $\kappa^{\kappa}$ . For all limit  $\alpha$  let  $E_1^{\alpha}$  be the e.r. on  $(2^{\alpha})^{\alpha}$  defined analogously to  $E_1$ . Let  $f: \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lim(\kappa)} (2^{\alpha})^{\alpha} \to \kappa$  be such that • if  $p \in (2^{\alpha})^{\alpha}$  and  $q \in (2^{\beta})^{\beta}$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , then  $f(\alpha) \neq f(\beta)$ , • if  $p, q \in (2^{\alpha})^{\alpha}$ , then  $f(p) = f(q) \iff (p, q) \in E_1^{\alpha}$ . For every  $(\eta_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa} \in (2^{\kappa})^{\kappa}$  let  $\xi = F((\eta_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa})$  be defined by  $\xi(\beta) = 0$  for successor  $\beta$  and  $\xi(\beta) = f((\eta_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta})$  for limit  $\beta$ .

If  $(\eta_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  and  $(\xi_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  are  $E_1$ -equivalent, then  $(\eta_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta}$  and  $(\xi_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta}$  are  $E_1^{\alpha}$ -equivalent for all  $\beta > \gamma$  for the  $\gamma$  which witnesses the  $E_1$ -equivalence.

If  $(\eta_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  and  $(\xi_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  are not  $E_1$ -equivalent, then there is a cub-set of  $\beta$  for which  $(\eta_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta}$  and  $(\xi_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta}$  are not  $E_1^{\alpha}$ -equivalent.

# Proof of $E_1 \leq_B E_0$

Think of  $E_0$  on  $\kappa^{\kappa}$ . For all limit  $\alpha$  let  $E_1^{\alpha}$  be the e.r. on  $(2^{\alpha})^{\alpha}$  defined analogously to  $E_1$ . Let  $f: \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lim(\kappa)} (2^{\alpha})^{\alpha} \to \kappa$  be such that • if  $p \in (2^{\alpha})^{\alpha}$  and  $q \in (2^{\beta})^{\beta}$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , then  $f(\alpha) \neq f(\beta)$ , • if  $p, q \in (2^{\alpha})^{\alpha}$ , then  $f(p) = f(q) \iff (p,q) \in E_1^{\alpha}$ . For every  $(\eta_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa} \in (2^{\kappa})^{\kappa}$  let  $\xi = F((\eta_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa})$  be defined by  $\xi(\beta) = 0$  for successor  $\beta$  and  $\xi(\beta) = f((\eta_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta})$  for limit  $\beta$ .

If  $(\eta_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  and  $(\xi_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  are  $E_1$ -equivalent, then  $(\eta_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta}$  and  $(\xi_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta}$  are  $E_1^{\alpha}$ -equivalent for all  $\beta > \gamma$  for the  $\gamma$  which witnesses the  $E_1$ -equivalence.

If  $(\eta_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  and  $(\xi_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  are not  $E_1$ -equivalent, then there is a cub-set of  $\beta$  for which  $(\eta_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta}$  and  $(\xi_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta}$  are not  $E_1^{\alpha}$ -equivalent.

# Proof of $E_1 \leq_B E_0$

Think of  $E_0$  on  $\kappa^{\kappa}$ . For all limit  $\alpha$  let  $E_1^{\alpha}$  be the e.r. on  $(2^{\alpha})^{\alpha}$  defined analogously to  $E_1$ . Let  $f: \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lim(\kappa)} (2^{\alpha})^{\alpha} \to \kappa$  be such that • if  $p \in (2^{\alpha})^{\alpha}$  and  $q \in (2^{\beta})^{\beta}$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , then  $f(\alpha) \neq f(\beta)$ , • if  $p, q \in (2^{\alpha})^{\alpha}$ , then  $f(p) = f(q) \iff (p,q) \in E_1^{\alpha}$ . For every  $(\eta_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa} \in (2^{\kappa})^{\kappa}$  let  $\xi = F((\eta_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa})$  be defined by  $\xi(\beta) = 0$  for successor  $\beta$  and  $\xi(\beta) = f((\eta_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta})$  for limit  $\beta$ .

- If  $(\eta_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  and  $(\xi_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  are  $E_1$ -equivalent, then  $(\eta_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta}$  and  $(\xi_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta}$  are  $E_1^{\alpha}$ -equivalent for all  $\beta > \gamma$  for the  $\gamma$  which witnesses the  $E_1$ -equivalence.
- If  $(\eta_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  and  $(\xi_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  are not  $E_1$ -equivalent, then there is a cub-set of  $\beta$  for which  $(\eta_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta}$  and  $(\xi_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta)_{\alpha < \beta}$  are not  $E_1^{\alpha}$ -equivalent.

## Iterated Jump Operation

### Definition

If *E* is an equivalence relation on  $2^{\kappa}$ , its *jump* is e.r. on  $(2^{\kappa})^{\kappa}$ : Two sequences  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  and  $(y_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  are *E*<sup>+</sup>-equivalent, if

$$\{[x_{\alpha}]_{E} \mid \alpha < \kappa\} = \{[y_{\alpha}]_{E} \mid \alpha < \kappa\}.$$

Suppose  $\alpha$  is a limit and  $E^{\beta+}$  is defined to be an equivalence relation on  $2^{\kappa}$  for  $\beta < \alpha$ . Then  $E^{+\alpha} = \bigoplus_{\beta < \alpha} E^{\beta+}$ .

### Theorem

 $E_0 <_B \text{id}^+$  (strict inequality).

This implies  $E_1 \leq_B \operatorname{id}^+$  based on which (together with the fact that an isomorphism relation can be  $\Sigma_1^1$ -complete in L [HK14]) one expects Hjorth's turbulence theory to be non-generalizable.

### Definition

For a regular cardinal  $\mu < \kappa$  and  $\lambda \in \{2, \kappa\}$  let  $E^{\lambda}_{\mu-cub}$  be the equivalence relation on  $\lambda^{\kappa}$  such that  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  are  $E^{\lambda}_{\mu-cub}$ -equivalent if the set  $\{\alpha \mid \eta(\alpha) = \xi(\alpha)\}$  contains a  $\mu$ -cub,

### Theorem

Every jump of identity  $id^{+\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa^+$ , is reducible to  $E^{\kappa}_{\mu}$ .

### Corollary

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a Borel set of structures with domain  $\kappa$  (in particular the models of a countable complete first-order classifiable shallow theory [FHK14]) and  $\cong_{\mathcal{M}}$  the isomorphism relation on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $\cong_{\mathcal{M}} \leq_{\mathcal{B}} E_{u-cub}^{\kappa}$ .

### Definition

For a regular cardinal  $\mu < \kappa$  and  $\lambda \in \{2, \kappa\}$  let  $E^{\lambda}_{\mu-cub}$  be the equivalence relation on  $\lambda^{\kappa}$  such that  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  are  $E^{\lambda}_{\mu-cub}$ -equivalent if the set  $\{\alpha \mid \eta(\alpha) = \xi(\alpha)\}$  contains a  $\mu$ -cub,

### Theorem

Every jump of identity  $id^{+\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa^+$ , is reducible to  $E^{\kappa}_{\mu}$ .

### Corollary

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a Borel set of structures with domain  $\kappa$  (in particular the models of a countable complete first-order classifiable shallow theory [FHK14]) and  $\cong_{\mathcal{M}}$  the isomorphism relation on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $\cong_{\mathcal{M}} \leq_{\mathcal{B}} E_{\mu-cub}^{\kappa}$ .

### Definition

For a regular cardinal  $\mu < \kappa$  and  $\lambda \in \{2, \kappa\}$  let  $E^{\lambda}_{\mu-cub}$  be the equivalence relation on  $\lambda^{\kappa}$  such that  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  are  $E^{\lambda}_{\mu-cub}$ -equivalent if the set  $\{\alpha \mid \eta(\alpha) = \xi(\alpha)\}$  contains a  $\mu$ -cub,

### Theorem

Every jump of identity  $id^{+\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa^+$ , is reducible to  $E_{\mu}^{\kappa}$ .

### Corollary

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a Borel set of structures with domain  $\kappa$  (in particular the models of a countable complete first-order classifiable shallow theory [FHK14]) and  $\cong_{\mathcal{M}}$  the isomorphism relation on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $\cong_{\mathcal{M}} \leq_{B} E_{\mu-cub}^{\kappa}$ .

# Proof of id<sup>+ $\alpha$ </sup> $\leq_{B} E_{\mu-cub}^{\kappa}$

The previous proof was based on the idea that  $E_1$  can be approximated by relations  $E_1^{\alpha}$  such that both, the  $E_1$ -non-equivalence and  $E_1$ -equivalence reflect in a cub-set, the latter being in fact a final segment. This idea can be generalized. Borel sets can be coded by pairs (t, h) where t is a well-founded subtree of  $\kappa^{<\omega}$  and h is a function on the leafs. Define  $(t, h) \upharpoonright \alpha$  to be  $(t \cap \alpha^{<\omega}, h \upharpoonright \alpha^{<\omega})$  for suitable ("good")  $\alpha < \kappa$ .

Proof of  $id^{+\alpha} \leq_B E_{\mu-cub}^{\kappa}$ 

#### Lemma

Suppose (t, h) codes a Borel subset  $B_{(t,h)}$  of  $2^{\kappa} \times 2^{\kappa}$ . Then

 $(\eta,\xi)\in B\iff (\eta\restriction\alpha,\xi\restriction\alpha)\in B_{(t,h)\restriction\alpha}$ 

for cub-many  $\alpha$  and  $(\eta, \xi) \notin B \iff (\eta \upharpoonright \alpha, \xi \upharpoonright \alpha) \notin B_{(t,h) \upharpoonright \alpha}$  for cub-many  $\alpha$ .

#### Lemma

Let S be the set of Borel equivalence relations E such that for some Borel code (t, h),  $E = B_{(t,h)}$  and  $B_{(t,h)|\alpha}$  is an equivalence relation for cub-many good  $\alpha < \kappa$ . Then S contains id and is closed under jump and the join operation  $\bigoplus$ .

Proof of id<sup>+ $\alpha$ </sup>  $\leq_{B} E_{\mu-\text{cub}}^{\kappa}$ 

#### Lemma

Suppose (t, h) codes a Borel subset  $B_{(t,h)}$  of  $2^{\kappa} \times 2^{\kappa}$ . Then

$$(\eta,\xi)\in B\iff (\eta\restriction\alpha,\xi\restriction\alpha)\in B_{(t,h)\restriction\alpha}$$

for cub-many  $\alpha$  and  $(\eta, \xi) \notin B \iff (\eta \restriction \alpha, \xi \restriction \alpha) \notin B_{(t,h)\restriction \alpha}$  for cub-many  $\alpha$ .

#### Lemma

Let S be the set of Borel equivalence relations E such that for some Borel code (t, h),  $E = B_{(t,h)}$  and  $B_{(t,h)\uparrow\alpha}$  is an equivalence relation for cub-many good  $\alpha < \kappa$ . Then S contains id and is closed under jump and the join operation  $\bigoplus$ .

## Question

It has been shown that  $E_{\mu-cub}^{\kappa}$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$ -complete in L [HK14]. On the other hand it has been shown in [FHK14] that under some cardinality assumptions, T is classifiable if and only if  $E_{\mu-cub}^2 \not\leq_B \cong_T^{\kappa}$  for all regular  $\mu < \kappa$ . Thus a set of questions would be answered if the following question is answered positively:

### Question

Is 
$$E^{\kappa}_{\mu-cub}$$
 reducible to  $E^2_{\mu-cub}$ ?

For example the following would follow: Suppose  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are complete first-order theories with  $T_1$  classifiable and shallow and  $T_2$  non-classifiable. Also suppose that  $\kappa = \lambda^+ = 2^{\lambda} > 2^{\omega}$  where  $\lambda^{<\lambda} = \lambda$ . Then  $\cong_{T_1}^{\kappa}$  is Borel reducible to  $\cong_{T_2}^{\kappa}$ .

### Steps:

•  $E_G^X \leq_B E_G^{\mathcal{P}(G)^{\kappa}}$ . •  $E_G^{\mathcal{P}(G)^{\kappa}} \leq_B E_{F_{\kappa}}^{\mathcal{P}(F_{\kappa})^{\kappa}}$ •  $E_{F_{\kappa}}^{\mathcal{P}(F_{\kappa})^{\kappa}} \leq_B E_0$ .

Presentation at the Amsterdam Workshop on / 19

#### Steps:

•  $E_G^X \leq_B E_G^{\mathcal{P}(G)^{\kappa}}$ . •  $E_G^{\mathcal{P}(G)^{\kappa}} \leq_B E_{F_{\kappa}}^{\mathcal{P}(F_{\kappa})^{\kappa}}$ . •  $E_{F_{\kappa}}^{\mathcal{P}(F_{\kappa})^{\kappa}} \leq_B E_0$ .

Presentation at the Amsterdam Workshop on / 19

#### Steps:

 $E_{G}^{X} \leq_{B} E_{G}^{\mathcal{P}(G)^{\kappa}}.$   $E_{G}^{\mathcal{P}(G)^{\kappa}} \leq_{B} E_{F_{\kappa}}^{\mathcal{P}(F_{\kappa})^{\kappa}}.$   $E_{F_{\kappa}}^{\mathcal{P}(F_{\kappa})^{\kappa}} \leq_{B} E_{0}.$ 

# Step 1: $E_G^X \leqslant_B E_G^{\mathcal{P}(G)^{\kappa}}$ .

Assume without loss of generality that X is a Borel subset of  $2^{\kappa}$ . Let  $\pi \colon \kappa \to 2^{<\kappa}$  be a bijection. Let  $x \in X$  and for each  $\alpha < \kappa$  let

 $Z_{\alpha}(x) = \{g \in G \mid gx \in [\pi(\alpha)]\}.$ 

This defines a reduction: an element  $x \in X$  is mapped to  $(Z_{lpha}(x))_{lpha < \kappa}$ .

Step 1: 
$$E_G^X \leq_B E_G^{\mathcal{P}(G)^{\kappa}}$$
.

Assume without loss of generality that X is a Borel subset of  $2^{\kappa}$ . Let  $\pi \colon \kappa \to 2^{<\kappa}$  be a bijection. Let  $x \in X$  and for each  $\alpha < \kappa$  let

$$Z_{\alpha}(x) = \{g \in G \mid gx \in [\pi(\alpha)]\}.$$

This defines a reduction: an element  $x \in X$  is mapped to  $(Z_{\alpha}(x))_{\alpha < \kappa}$ .

# Step 2: $E_G^{\mathcal{P}(G)^{\kappa}} \leq_B E_{F_{\kappa}}^{\mathcal{P}(F_{\kappa})^{\kappa}}$ .

There is a normal subgroup  $N \subseteq F_{\kappa}$  such that  $G \cong F_{\kappa}/N$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $G = F_{\kappa}/N$ . Let pr be the canonical projection map  $F_{\kappa} \to F_{\kappa}/N$ . For  $(A_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa} \in \mathcal{P}(G)^{\kappa}$ , let

$$F((A_{\alpha})_{\alpha<\kappa})=(\mathrm{pr}^{-1}[A_{\alpha}])_{\alpha<\kappa}.$$

Step 2: 
$$E_G^{\mathcal{P}(G)^{\kappa}} \leqslant_B E_{F_{\kappa}}^{\mathcal{P}(F_{\kappa})^{\kappa}}$$

There is a normal subgroup  $N \subseteq F_{\kappa}$  such that  $G \cong F_{\kappa}/N$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $G = F_{\kappa}/N$ . Let pr be the canonical projection map  $F_{\kappa} \to F_{\kappa}/N$ . For  $(A_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa} \in \mathcal{P}(G)^{\kappa}$ , let

$$F((A_{\alpha})_{\alpha<\kappa})=(\mathsf{pr}^{-1}[A_{\alpha}])_{\alpha<\kappa}.$$

# Step 3: $E_{F_{\kappa}}^{\mathcal{P}(F_{\kappa})^{\kappa}} \leqslant_{B} E_{0}$ .

The action of  $F_{\kappa}$  on  $\mathcal{P}(F_{\kappa})^{\kappa}$  induces an action of  $F_{\alpha}$  on  $\mathcal{P}(F_{\alpha})^{\alpha}$ . Denote  $X_{\alpha} = \mathcal{P}(F_{\alpha})^{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \leq \kappa$ . Let  $f: \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} X_{\alpha} \to \kappa$  be a function such that if  $x, y \in X_{\alpha}$  and are  $E_{F_{\alpha}}^{\mathcal{P}(F_{\alpha})^{\alpha}}$ -equivalent, then f(x) = f(y) and  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  otherwise. For  $x \in X_{\kappa}$  let  $x(\alpha) = f(x \upharpoonright \alpha)$ . Then  $x \mapsto (x(\alpha))_{\alpha < \kappa}$  is the reduction.

Note: the basic idea is that  $|F_{\alpha}| < |F_{\kappa}| = \kappa$  for  $\alpha < \kappa$  unlike in the case  $\kappa = \omega$ .

Step 3: 
$$E_{F_{\kappa}}^{\mathcal{P}(F_{\kappa})^{\kappa}} \leq_{B} E_{0}.$$

The action of  $F_{\kappa}$  on  $\mathcal{P}(F_{\kappa})^{\kappa}$  induces an action of  $F_{\alpha}$  on  $\mathcal{P}(F_{\alpha})^{\alpha}$ . Denote  $X_{\alpha} = \mathcal{P}(F_{\alpha})^{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \leq \kappa$ . Let  $f: \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} X_{\alpha} \to \kappa$  be a function such that if  $x, y \in X_{\alpha}$  and are  $E_{F_{\alpha}}^{\mathcal{P}(F_{\alpha})^{\alpha}}$ -equivalent, then f(x) = f(y) and  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  otherwise. For  $x \in X_{\kappa}$  let  $x(\alpha) = f(x \upharpoonright \alpha)$ . Then  $x \mapsto (x(\alpha))_{\alpha < \kappa}$  is the reduction.

Note: the basic idea is that  $|F_{\alpha}| < |F_{\kappa}| = \kappa$  for  $\alpha < \kappa$  unlike in the case  $\kappa = \omega$ .

## Reference

S.-D. Friedman, T. Hyttinen, and V. Kulikov. Generalized Descriptive Set Theory and Classification Theory. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society. American Mathematical Society, 2014.



Su. Gao.

Invariant descriptive set theory. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2009.

T. Hyttinen and V. Kulikov. On  $\Sigma_1^1$ -complete equivalence relations on the generalized Baire space. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, 2014. to appear.

A. Halko and S. Shelah.
On strong measure zero subsets of <sup>k</sup>2.
Fundamenta Math, 170:219–229, 2001.