# BRST in a nutshell

## Katarzyna Rejzner

June 23, 2010

### **Contents**

1	Introduction  Toy model	
	2.1	Statement of the problem
	2.2	Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology
	2.3	Koszul-Tate resolution
		2.3.1 Koszul construction
		2.3.2 Tate construction
	2.4	Homological perturbation theory

### 1 Introduction

The BRST (Becchi, Rouet, Sora, Tyutin) method is a tool used for describing physical systems with symmetries. By a physical system we mean space of configurations P (this is usually some infinite dimensional vector space) and a system of (differential) equations (so called "equations of motion") with variables in P. Solutions of those equations constitute a subset of P, denoted by  $\Sigma$ . As an example we can take a space of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  ( $P = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ ) and as the equation of motion, the wave equation:  $\Box \phi(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = (\partial_t^2 - \Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}})\phi(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = 0$ .

The situation starts to be more complicated if we have n equations but only k < n of them are independent. We say, that the system possesses a symmetry. This is the case in many interesting physical examples:

- electrodynamics
- nonabelian gauge theories (Yang-Mills), in particular the Standard Model
- general relativity

The BRST method was originally introduced in QFT [16, 17]. It was put in a more general setting, called BV (Batalin, Vilkovinski) formalism [18, 19, 20, 21]. A very complete review of this formalism, with emphasis put on the cohomological tools is provided by [1]. General features of the BRST method, with a view towards quantization, are also well described in [2]. For more abstract view on BV formalism see for example notes of Urs Schreiber [8].

2 Toy model

# 2 Toy model

### 2.1 Statement of the problem

In this talk I will present the general features of the BRST method using a simplified model. To avoid problems with the calculus on general locally convex vector spaces, I will assume, that the configuration space P is simply an n dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold. Let  $S \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(P,\mathbb{R})$  be a functional on P. It should also satisfy certain regularity condition, which would be specified below. Let d be the exterior derivative.

**Definition 1.** We call a point  $x \in P$  a critical point if  $d_x S \equiv 0$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all critical points of S, i.e.

$$\Sigma = \{ x \in P | dS(x) \equiv 0 \}. \tag{1}$$

The condition dS(x)=0 can be written in local coordinates (with respect to a chart  $(U_\alpha,\varphi_\alpha)$  as a system of n equations for n variables:  $\sigma_i((\varphi_\alpha^{-1})^1(x),\ldots,(\varphi_\alpha^{-1})^n(x))=0, i=1,\ldots n$ . In physics those correspond to "equations of motion". The surface  $\Sigma \subsetneq P$  is referred to as the "space of solutions". A critical point is called nondegenerate if at this point the (local) Hessian matrix  $H_S(\varphi_\alpha^{-1}(x))$  is nondegenerate. In this case we have a system of independent equations. In general only k < n of them are independent. We require following regularity condition imposed on S:

**Assumption 1.** For each point  $x \in \Sigma$  there exists an open neighborhood with the corresponding chart  $(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha})$  such that  $\sigma_i((\varphi_{\alpha}^{-1})^1(x), \ldots, (\varphi_{\alpha}^{-1})^k(x)) = 0, \ i = 1, \ldots k$  are independent, i.e. the Hessian matrix  $H_S(\varphi_{\alpha}^{-1}(x))$  is of rank k for all  $x \in \Sigma$ .

In the following we denote the local coordinates by  $x^1 \doteq (\varphi_\alpha^{-1})^1(x)$  and we keep the local chart implicit. Under the regularity condition 1 we can choose  $(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$  as new local coordinates in the neighbourhood of each point of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(P) \doteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(P, \mathbb{R})$  denote the space of smooth functions on P. This is a vector space with addition and multiplication by scalars from  $\mathbb{R}$  defined pointwise. Moreover it is a commutative algebra with multiplication also defined pointwise. Let I be an ideal of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(P)$  consisting of functions that vanish on  $\Sigma$ :

$$I \doteq \{ f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(P) | f(x) = 0 \,\forall x \in \Sigma \}$$
 (2)

We have a following useful result:

**Proposition 1.** Let  $f \in C^{\infty}(P)$  be a smooth function that vanishes on  $\Sigma$ . Then locally we have:  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{i}(x)\sigma_{i}(x)$ ,  $i = 1 \dots n$  for  $f^{i}(x)$  smooth.

*Proof.* We choose a local coordinate system  $x=(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$  such that  $z_i=\sigma_i(x), i=1,\ldots k$ . In those coordinates we have:  $f(0,z_{k+1},\ldots,z_n)=0$ . We can therefore write:

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} f(tz_1, \dots, tz_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n) dt$$
 (3)

This in turn is equal to:

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \int_0^1 df(0, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n)[tz_1, \dots, tz_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n]dt$$
 (4)

Setting 
$$f^i(z) = \int_0^1 df(0, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n)[0, \dots, t, \dots, 0]dt$$
 for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $f^i(z) = 0$  for  $i = k+1, \dots, n$  we obtain the result:  $f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n f^i(z)\sigma_i(z)$ .

Obviously each vector field  $X \in \Gamma^\infty(TP)$  acting on a function  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(P)$  can be written locally as:  $X(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i \partial_i f$ , where coefficients  $X^i$  are smooth functions. In particular:  $X(S) = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i \partial_i S = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i \sigma_i$ . Therefore every vector field  $X \in \Gamma^\infty(TP)$  induces an element of I by the map:  $dS(.) : \Gamma^\infty(TP) \to I$ . Moreover, if in addition  $X(S) \equiv 0$ , X induces a trivial element of I. We can define a subalgebra of the Lie algebra of vector fields  $\Gamma^\infty(TP)$  by:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{ X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(TP) | X(S) = 0 \}, \tag{5}$$

Obviously  $Ker(dS(.)) = \mathfrak{g}$ .

We now take the quotient of  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(P)$  by ideal I and obtain the algebra  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Sigma) = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(P)/I$  of functions on the solution space  $\Sigma$ .

Digression 1. In physics we call  $C^{\infty}(P)$  the algebra of "functionals off-shell". The quotient space  $C^{\infty}(\Sigma) = C^{\infty}(P)/I$  is referred to as the "on-shell algebra". Both concepts are crucial in QFT in the so called "functional approach". For reference see for example: [10, 12, 11]

Now let Diff(P) denote the group of diffeomorphisms of P. We define a subgroup of Diff(P) of those diffeomorphisms, that leave S invariant:

$$G \doteq \{\alpha \in \text{Diff}(P) | S(\alpha(x)) = S(x) \ \forall x \in P\}$$
 (6)

Obviously G leaves  $\Sigma$  invariant. We have a natural action of Diff(P) on  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(P)$  by the pullback:

$$(\alpha(f))(x) \doteq \alpha^* f(x) = (f \circ \alpha)(x) \tag{7}$$

This induces also the action of G on  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(P)$  and of G on  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ . The last one is well defined on the equivalence classes  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(P)/I$  since for  $\alpha \in G$ ,  $f \in I$  we have:

$$dS(x) \equiv 0 \ \forall x \in \Sigma \Rightarrow dS(\alpha(x)) \equiv 0 \ \forall x \in \Sigma \Rightarrow f(\alpha(x)) = 0 \ \forall x \in \Sigma \Rightarrow \alpha(f) \in I$$
 (8)

The action of G on  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Sigma)$  is not faithful. Let  $G_0$  be the subgroup of G consisting of those diffeomorphisms that act on  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Sigma)$  trivially:

$$G_0 \doteq \{ \alpha \in G | \alpha^* f - f \in I \,\forall f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(P) \}$$
 (9)

It is easy to see that  $G_0$  is a normal subgroup of G and we can take the quotient:  $G_S \doteq G/G_0$ . The action of  $G_S$  on  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Sigma)$  is faithful. In general  $G_S$  is not a subgroup of G. 4 Toy model

Digression 2. The group  $G_S$  is called in physics the group of symmetries of the action S. It maps solutions to other solutions and as a consequence it maps on-shell functionals to other on-shell functionals. Usually we are not interested in the full  $G_S$  but in it's subgroups.

**Definition 2.** A 1-parameter group of (smooth) transformations of P is a mapping of  $\mathbb{R} \times P$  into P,  $(t, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times P \to \phi_t(p) \in P$ , which satisfies the following conditions:

- 1. For each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi_t : p \to \phi_t(p)$  is a transformation of P;
- 2. For all  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \in P$ ,  $\phi_{t+s}(p) = \phi_t(\phi_s(p))$ .

In particular we can have 1-parameter subgroups of G and  $G_S$ . Let  $\phi_t$  be a one-parameter subgroup of G. For each point  $x \in \Sigma$  we can define a curve  $x(t) = \phi_t(p)$ . Clearly x(t) lies on  $\Sigma$ . We call x(t) the orbit of x. Each 1-parameter group of transformations induces a vector field  $X \in \Gamma^{\infty}(TP)$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $I_{\epsilon}$  be an open interval  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  and U an open set of P. A local 1-parameter group of local transformations defined on  $I_{\epsilon} \times U$  is a mapping of  $I_{\epsilon} \times U$  into P which satisfies the following conditions:

- 1. For each  $t \in I_{\epsilon}$ ,  $\phi_t : p \to \phi_t(p)$  is a diffeomorphism of U onto the open set  $\phi_t(U)$  of P;
- 2. If  $t, s, t + s \in I_{\epsilon}$  and if  $p, \phi_s(p) \in U$ , then:  $\phi_{t+s}(p) = \phi_t(\phi_s(p))$ .

Recall that we have a following result from differential geometry:

**Proposition 2.** Let X be a vector field on a manifold P. For each point  $p_0 \in P$ , there exist a neighborhood U of  $p_0$ , a positive number  $\epsilon$  and a local 1-parameter group of local transformations  $\phi_t : U \to P$ ,  $t \in I_{\epsilon}$ , which induces the given X.

If there exists a (global) 1-parameter group of transformations of P which induces X, then we say that X is complete. On a compact manifold every vector field X is complete. In physics we are interested in functionals on  $\Sigma$  that are constant along the orbits generated by local 1-parameter subgroups of  $G_S$ . Those are corresponding to certain equivalence classes of vector fields on P. To make this precise, we recall that  $\mathfrak g$  was defined as the algebra of vector fields that annihilate the action S. Since we are interested only on the fields with flows contained in  $\Sigma$  we have to mode out from  $\mathfrak g$  vector fields that vanish on  $\Sigma$ . Those can be also equivalently defined as:

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \{ X \in \mathfrak{g} | X(f) \in I \, \forall f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(P) \}, \tag{10}$$

We define now  $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma} \doteq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_0$ . It is clear that 1-parameter subgroups of  $G_S$  generate elements of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}$ . We can now make precise the notion of functions constant along the  $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}$ -orbits on  $\Sigma$ . They are defined as:

$$C_{\text{inv}}^{\infty}(\Sigma) = \{ f \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma) | X(f) = 0 \,\forall X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma} \}$$
(11)

Later on we shall refer to this space as the space of invariant functions on  $\Sigma$ .

## 2.2 Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology

The obvious tool for finding  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{inv}(\Sigma)$  is the Lie algebra cohomology. We can define the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}$  with coefficients in the representation on  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ :

$$\gamma: \bigwedge_{q} \mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \to \bigwedge_{q} \mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Sigma) 
(\gamma \omega)(X_{0}, \dots, X_{q+1}) \doteq \sum_{i=0}^{q} (-1)^{i} X_{i}(\omega(X_{0}, \dots, \hat{X}_{i}, \dots, X_{q+1})) + 
+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega\left([X_{i}, X_{j}], \dots, \hat{X}_{i}, \dots, \hat{X}_{j}, \dots, X_{q+1}\right) (12)$$

The space of invariant functions on  $\Sigma$  corresponds now to  $H^0(\gamma, \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}^* \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Sigma))$ . The grading of  $\bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}$  will be denoted by  $\tilde{r}$ .

Digression 3. In physical situations one often needs to work "off-shell". This means that we do not want to deal with  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ , but with  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(P)$ . This is the case in QFT when we first want to perform the quantization, introducing a certain noncommutative product on  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(P)$  and take the quotient by the I at the very end of the construction.

The homological interpretation of  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Sigma) = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(P)/I$  is provided by using the Koszul-Tate resolution. This will be the next step of our construction. More on Koszul-Tate complex can be found in [4, 5].

#### 2.3 Koszul-Tate resolution

#### 2.3.1 Koszul construction

We start with the Koszul construction. As argued before, elements of I can be locally written as  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{i}(x)\sigma_{i}(x)$ . We choose the local basis  $\{e_{1} \dots e_{n}\}$  of  $T_{x}P$  at point x. The dual

basis would be denoted by:  $\{e^1 \dots e^n\}$ . Note that  $\sum_{i=1}^n e^i \sigma_i(x)$  is an element of  $T_x^*P$ . We use this

fact to construct the resolution of  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ . Let  $\bigwedge^1(P)$  be the space of 1-forms on P. We define the Koszul map  $\delta: \bigwedge^1(P) \to \bigwedge^0(P)$  locally by setting it's value on the basis elements and extending it by linearity to the whole  $\bigwedge^1(P)$ :

$$\delta(e^i)(x) = \sigma_i(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$
(13)

It is now clear, that for an arbitrary  $\omega \in \bigwedge^1(P)$  we have:

$$\delta(\omega)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i(x)\sigma_i(x) \in I$$
(14)

Therefore  $Im(\delta) = I \subset \bigwedge^0(P)$ . We assign to elements of this algebra grade r equal to the form degree. Now we extend  $\delta$  to the whole graded algebra  $\bigwedge^{\bullet}(P)$  by requirement, that it is a graded derivation. We have obviously:

$$H_0(\delta, \bigwedge^{\bullet}(P)) = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Sigma)$$
(15)

6 Toy model

When there exist some symmetries of the action, higher order homology can be nontrivial. To avoid this and obtain a resolution, we shall adopt the Tate construction and add further generators to the graded algebra.

#### 2.3.2 Tate construction

The first homology of  $\delta$  is, according to the definition:  $H_1(\delta) = \frac{Ker(\delta)_1}{Im(\delta)_2}$ . We already know that  $Ker(\delta)_1$  can be characterized by elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Now we have to find out what is  $Im(\delta)_2$  in terms of elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . It is easy to check that those will be exactly vector fields from  $\mathfrak{g}$  that vanish on  $\Sigma$ , i.e. elements of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Therefore we can conclude that  $H^1(\delta)$  can be characterized by  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}$ .

We choose a local linear map  $R: \mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{g} \subset \Gamma^{\infty}(TP)$ , such that [R(X)] = X. In other words, R chooses representant of each equivalence class. The choice of R is of course non unique. In general R is not a Lie algebra homomorphism. Let  $\{f_j(x)\}$ ,  $j=1,\ldots,m < n$  be the local basis in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}$ . In local coordinate system we can write R as:  $R(X)^i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m R^i_j(x) X^j(x)$ .

Now take the map:  $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma} \ni X \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} R(X)^{i} e^{i}$  (defined locally). It is an element of  $\bigwedge^{1}(P) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}^{*}$ . We can now write:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} R(X)^{i} e^{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{j}^{i} e^{i} \right) X^{j},$$
(16)

Now we proceed analogously as with the Koszul construction. Let  $S^k(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma})$  denote the symmetrized k-th tensor power of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}$ ,  $S^0(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}) = \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}) \doteq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} S^k(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma})$ . To elements of this algebra we assign the grading r = 2k. We define Koszul-Tate map  $\delta : S^1\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma} \to \bigwedge^1(P)$  by setting it's value on the local basis:

$$(\delta f_j)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n R_j^i e^i$$
(17)

We extend  $\delta$  to be a graded derivation on the whole graded algebra  $S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet}(P)$ . If we assume that there are no further reducibility relations among the elements of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}$  we obtain:

$$H_0 \quad (\delta, S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet}(P)) = I$$
 (18)

$$H_k \quad (\delta, S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet}(P)) = 0, \ k > 0$$
 (19)

This is the desired Koszul-Tate resolution of I

# 2.4 Homological perturbation theory

Now we have two graded algebras:  $S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet}(P)$  with the differential  $\delta$  and grading r and  $\bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}^* \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Sigma)$  with grading  $\tilde{r}$  and differential  $\gamma$ . We can define a joint algebra:

$$\mathcal{A} \doteq S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet}(P) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma}^{*}$$
(20)

REFERENCES 7

One can extend  $\gamma$  to the whole algebra  $\mathcal A$  to be a differential modulo  $\delta$ . We define a joint grading  $N=\tilde r-r$ . Differential  $\delta$  has grade  $r(\delta)=-1$  and for  $\gamma$  we have  $\tilde r(\gamma)=1$ . It follows that  $N(\delta)=N(\gamma)=1$ . The main theorem of homological perturbation theory (HPT) states that there exists a differential s on  $\mathcal A$  with grade N(s)=1 such that it's expansion with respect to the grading r has the form:

$$s = \delta + \gamma + \dots \tag{21}$$

Moreover we have:

$$H^{0}(s, \mathcal{A}) = H^{0}(\gamma, H_{0}(\delta, \mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{inv}(\Sigma)$$
(22)

For more on HPT see for example the notes of Birgit Richter [7].

## References

- [1] G. Barnich, F. Brandt and M. Henneaux, Phys. Rept. **338** (2000) 439 [arXiv:hep-th/0002245].
- [2] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, "Quantization of gauge systems," *Princeton, USA: Univ. Pr.* (1992) 520 p.
- [3] M. Henneaux, "Lectures On The Antifield BRST Formalism For Gauge Theories," *Lectures given at 20th GIFT Int. Seminar on Theoretical Physics, Jaca, Spain, Jun 5-9, 1989, and at CECS, Santiago, Chile, June/July 1989*, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **A18** (1990) 47.
- [4] Ch. A. Weibel, An introduction to homological algebra, Cambridge university Press 1994
- [5] D. Eisenbud, Commutative Algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry, Springer Verlag
- [6] P.J. Hilton, U. Stammbach, A course in homological algebra, Springer Verlag
- [7] B. Richter, *Homological perturbation theory and the existence of the BRST differential*, www.math.uni-hamburg.de/home/richter/hpthandout.pdf
- [8] U. Schreiber, On Lie  $\infty$ -modules and the BV complex
- [9] K. Fredenhagen, R. Brunetti, *Towards a Background Independent Formulation of Perturbative Quantum Gravity*, arXiv:gr-qc/0603079v3
- [10] M. Dütsch, K. Fredenhagen, *The Master Ward Identity and Generalized Schwinger-Dyson Equation in Classical Field Theory*
- [11] R. Brunetti, K. Fredenhagen, R. Verch, *The generally covariant locality principle A new paradigm for local quantum field theory, Commun. Math. Phys.* **237** (2003) 31-68
- [12] R. Brunetti, M. Duetsch, K. Fredenhagen, *Perturbative Algebraic Quantum Field Theory and the Renormalization Groups*, arXiv:math-ph/0901.2038v2
- [13] L. Bonora, P. Cotta-Ramusino, Some remarks on BRS Transformations, Anomalies and the Cohomology of the Lie Algebra of the Group of Gauge Transformations, Comm. Math. phys. 87 (1983) 589-603

8 REFERENCES

[14] R. Schmid *The geometry of BRS transformations, Illinois Journal of mathematics*, **34** (1990)

- [15] R. Schmid A Solution of the BRST Consistency Conditin and g-Symplectic Orbits, preprint ESI # 41, Vienna, 1993
- [16] C. Becchi, A. Rouet and R. Stora, *Renormalization Of The Abelian Higgs- Kibble Model*, Commun. Math. Phys. **42** (1975) 127.
- [17] C. Becchi, A. Rouet and R. Stora, *Renormalization Of Gauge Theories*, Annals Phys. **98** (1976) 287.
- [18] I.A. Batalin and G.A. Vilkovisky, "Relativistic S Matrix Of Dynamical Systems With Boson And Fermion Constraints," Phys. Lett. **69B** (1977) 309.
- [19] I.A. Batalin and G.A. Vilkovisky, "Gauge Algebra And Quantization," Phys. Lett. **102B** (1981) 27.
- [20] I.A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, "Feynman Rules For Reducible Gauge Theories," Phys. Lett. **B120** (1983) 166.
- [21] I.A. Batalin and G.A. Vilkovisky, "Quantization Of Gauge Theories With Linearly Dependent Generators," Phys. Rev. **D28** (1983) 2567.