

In [1] the definition of a connection acting on a cochain complex relative to a differential algebra is given, generalizing the notion of an ordinary covariant derivative:

$$\nabla : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Omega^1(X) \otimes \Gamma(E)$$

and similar to that of a Quillen superconnection.

In [2] a general concept of actions of differential algebras is given. Here I talk about how the construction in [1] fits into the concept of [2].

Recall the definition of DGA action from [2]:

Definition 1 *Given a DGA (A^\bullet, d_A) and a cochain complex (E^\bullet, d_E) of A^0 -modules, an action is witnessed by a DGA extension*

$$\begin{array}{c} \wedge^\bullet E^\bullet \longleftarrow (E^\bullet, A^\bullet) \longleftarrow (A^\bullet, d_A) \cdot \\ \curvearrowright \\ 0 \end{array}$$

Motivation: action ∞ -groupoids. One way to motivate this is to concentrate on differential graded-*commutative* algebras (DGCA) which are free as graded-commutative algebras (qDGCA). These arise as Chevalley-Eilenberg algebras of L_∞ -algebras \mathfrak{g} : $(A^\bullet, d_A) = \text{CE}(\mathfrak{g})$. These L_∞ -algebras Lie integrate to ∞ -groups. An action of them is known to be witnessed by the corresponding action ∞ -groupoid, as described in [2]. Its Lie version is the action L_∞ -algebroid whose dual CE-algebra is the above (E^\bullet, A^\bullet) :

$$(E^\bullet, A^\bullet) = \text{CE}_\rho(\mathfrak{g}, E).$$

Example: ordinary Lie module. The standard example to keep in mind is the ordinary representation

$$\rho : \mathfrak{g} \otimes V \rightarrow V$$

of an ordinary Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on an ordinary vector space V . The relevant qDGCA is $\text{CE}(\mathfrak{g})$ and E^\bullet is V^* regarded as a complex concentrated in degree 0. The action is witnessed by the CE-algebra of the module given by ρ .

$$\wedge^\bullet V^* \longleftarrow \text{CE}_\rho(\mathfrak{g}, V) \longleftarrow \text{CE}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Notice that with the convention from [2] $\wedge^\bullet V^*$ is the *symmetric* tensor algebra over V^* . For definiteness, here

$$\text{CE}(\mathfrak{g}) = (\wedge^\bullet(\underbrace{\mathfrak{g}^*}_1), d_\mathfrak{g})$$

and

$$\text{CE}(\mathfrak{g}) = (\wedge^\bullet(\underbrace{V}_0 \oplus \underbrace{\mathfrak{g}^*}_1), d_{V, \mathfrak{g}}).$$

The extended differential $d_{V,\mathfrak{g}}$ is entirely fixed by its restriction to V :

$$d_{V,\mathfrak{g}}|_V : V \rightarrow V \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*,$$

where it is nothing but the dualization of the action morphism $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \otimes V \rightarrow V$ with respect to \mathfrak{g} .

Lie-integrated, this example comes from the action groupoid sequence

$$V \longrightarrow V//G \longrightarrow G$$

of a Lie group G acting on a vector space V .

Example: flat connections on vector bundles. Another example more directly related to the discussion of connections is the one where $(A^\bullet, d_A) = \Omega^\bullet(X)$ is the deRham-complex of some manifold X , and (E^\bullet, d_E) is concentrated, again, in degree 0, where it is the space of sections $\Gamma(V)$ of some vector bundle $V \rightarrow X$. Any extension of the differential of $\Omega^\bullet(X)$ is fixed by its action on $\Gamma(V)$

$$d : \Gamma(V) \rightarrow \Gamma(V) \otimes_{\Omega^0(X)} \Omega^1(X),$$

hence is a flat connection on V .

Lie integrated, this comes from the integrated Atiyah-sequence of V , where $\Omega^\bullet(X)$ integrates to the fundamental groupoid $\Pi_1(X)$ of X and the action DGA to the Atiyah-groupoid whose objects are the fibers of V and whose morphisms are the fiber homomorphisms.

$$\text{At}(V) \rightarrow \Pi(X).$$

Notice that parallel transport in V is a section of this integrated sequence

$$\Pi(X) \rightarrow \text{At}(V).$$

Block's definition. In def. 6 of [1] Block considers a DGA (A^\bullet, d_A) and a cochain complex (E^\bullet, d_E) equipped with the structure of an A^0 -module. He says

Definition 2 A \mathbb{Z} -connection \mathbb{A} is a map linear over the ground field and of degree +1

$$\mathbb{A} : E^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^\bullet \rightarrow E^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^\bullet$$

satisfying for all $e \in E^\bullet$ and $\omega \in A^\bullet$ the equation

$$\mathbb{A}(e \otimes \omega) = (\mathbb{A}(e)) \otimes \omega + (-1)^{|e|} e \otimes d_A \omega.$$

This connection is flat if $\mathbb{A}^2 = 0$.

As he remarks right after the definition, such a map is already fixed by its restriction to E^\bullet

$$\mathbb{A} : E^\bullet \rightarrow E^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^\bullet.$$

Indeed, moreover we see that if we consider $E^\bullet \otimes_{A^0} A^\bullet$ to be one term in the GCA

$$\wedge_{A^0}^\bullet(E^\bullet \oplus A^\bullet)$$

then for flat \mathbb{A} the above makes this GCA a DGCA. If we furthermore require that the component of \mathbb{A} which maps

$$\mathbb{A}^0 : E^\bullet \rightarrow E^{\bullet+1}$$

coincides with the original differential d_E on E^\bullet , then this defines an extension

$$\wedge^\bullet E^\bullet \leftarrow (E^\bullet, A^\bullet) \leftarrow A^\bullet$$

of qDGCA's.

References

- [1] Jonathan Block, *Duality and equivalence of module categories in non-commutative geometry I*, [arXiv:math/0509284]
- [2] U.S. *On ∞ -Lie* [<http://www.math.uni-hamburg.de/home/schreiber/action.pdf>]