

Let k be a field and let H be a Hopf algebra over k .

In this talk we consider, instead of the Hopf algebra H itself, the category of all its representations, and examine what structure exists on this category and how it reflects the structure of the Hopf algebra.

1 Categories, functors and natural transformations

Definition 1.1. A category \mathcal{C} consists of

- a collection $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ of so-called *objects*,
- for each pair of objects X and Y : a set $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ of *morphisms* from X to Y , and
- for each triple of objects X, Y and Z : a map, called *composition*,

$$\begin{aligned} \circ : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Z), \\ (f, g) &\mapsto f \circ g, \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (*Associativity*): $(f \circ g) \circ h = f \circ (g \circ h)$ for all $h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$, $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z)$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, W)$.
- (*Existence of unit*): For each object $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ there exists a morphism id_X such that $\text{id}_X \circ g = g$ and $f \circ \text{id}_X = f$ for all $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ and $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X)$.

A morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ is called an *isomorphism*, if there exists a morphism $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X)$, such that $g \circ f = \text{id}_X$ and $f \circ g = \text{id}_Y$.

Observation 1.2. For any object $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ of a category \mathcal{C} , consider the set of endomorphisms of X :

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$$

The axioms of a category say that this is a monoid, with multiplication given by composition.

Examples 1.3.

1. The category $\text{Vect}(k)$ of k -vector spaces:
 - Its objects $\text{Ob}(\text{Vect}(k))$ are all vector spaces over k .
 - Given vector spaces V and W , the set of morphisms $\text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}(k)}(V, W)$ is defined to be the set of k -linear maps from V to W .
 - Composition of two composable morphisms is defined to be the obvious composition of maps.

We abbreviate $\text{Hom}_k(\cdot, \cdot) := \text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}(k)}(\cdot, \cdot)$.

2. Let A be an algebra over k . The category $\text{Mod}(A)$ has as objects all (left) A -modules and as morphisms A -linear maps. Composition is again naturally given. For the morphism sets we use the abbreviation $\text{Hom}_A(\cdot, \cdot) := \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}(A)}(\cdot, \cdot)$.
3. Given any category \mathcal{C} , there is a category $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$, whose objects and morphisms are pairs of objects of \mathcal{C} and pairs of morphisms of \mathcal{C} , respectively. Composition is then defined component-wise.

Remark 1.4. In fact, the category $\text{Vect}(k)$ of vector spaces (as well as the category of A -modules) has the special feature that its sets of morphisms naturally carry additional structure. They are themselves vector spaces over k . Furthermore, this extra structure on the category is compatible with the basic structure on the category: The composition of linear maps is a bilinear map. One says that the categories $\text{Vect}(k)$ and $\text{Mod}(A)$ are k -linear (or *enriched over the category* $\text{Vect}(k)$).

Together with Observation 1.2 this leads to the following fact: For any vector space V , the set of endomorphisms $\text{End}_k(V)$ is a monoid and also a vector space, such that the multiplication is bilinear. That is to say, $\text{End}_k(V)$ is a k -algebra.

Definition 1.5. A *functor* $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ from a category \mathcal{C} to another category \mathcal{D} consists of

- a map $F : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})$, and
- for each X and $Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, a map $F : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), F(Y))$,

together satisfying:

- $F(f \circ g) = F(f) \circ F(g)$ for all $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z)$,
- $F(\text{id}_X) = \text{id}_{F(X)}$ for all $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

Examples 1.6.

1. For any category \mathcal{C} the identity on the class of objects and the identity on the morphisms combine to the identity functor $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.
2. Given two composable functors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, their composition is a functor $G \circ F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$.
3. The forgetful functor $F_A : \text{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(k)$, which assigns to an A -module its underlying vector space and to an A -module morphism the same map as a k -linear map. This functor is k -linear, i.e. the maps $F_A : \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(M, N)$ are k -linear.

Definition 1.7. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ functors between them. A *natural transformation* $\eta : F \Rightarrow G$ from F to G is a family of maps $(\eta_X : F(X) \rightarrow G(X))_{X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$ indexed by $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, such that for any morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ in the category \mathcal{C} the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & G(X) \\ \downarrow F(f) & & \downarrow G(f) \\ F(Y) & \xrightarrow{\eta_Y} & G(Y) \end{array}$$

η is called a natural *isomorphism* if η_X is an isomorphism for all $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

Remarks 1.8.

1. Let $H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a third functor. Two natural transformations $\eta : F \Rightarrow G$ and $\theta : G \Rightarrow H$ can be composed by composing their components:

$$(\theta \circ \eta)_X := \theta_X \circ \eta_X : F(X) \rightarrow H(X) \quad \text{for all } X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}).$$

The natural endomorphisms $F \Rightarrow F$ of a functor thus form a monoid.

2. Now let $\mathcal{D} = \text{Vect}(k)$. Then the natural transformations $F \Rightarrow G$ form a k -vector space, since their components are morphisms of k -vector spaces, who themselves form a vector space by Remark 1.4.
3. Combining 1. and 2.: The natural endomorphisms of a functor going into $\text{Vect}(k)$ form a k -algebra.

Example 1.9. Recall the forgetful functor $F_A : \text{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(k)$ for an algebra A , from Example 1.6.3. Let $a \in A$. We will give a natural endomorphism of the functor F_A . For every A -module M , acting with the element a defines a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_M(a) : M &\rightarrow M, \\ x &\mapsto a.x . \end{aligned}$$

For any A -module morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, the following holds by A -linearity:

$$f \circ \rho_M(a) = \rho_N(a) \circ f.$$

Thus, we obtain a natural endomorphism

$$\rho(a) := (\rho_M(a))_{M \in \text{Ob}(\text{Mod}(A))} : F_A \Rightarrow F_A$$

of the forgetful functor $F_A : \text{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(k)$.

Note that, in general, $\rho_M(a)$ is not A -linear, that is, it is not a morphism in the category $\text{Mod}(A)$, unless A is commutative. Hence, $\rho(a)$ is not a natural endomorphism of the identity functor on $\text{Mod}(A)$.

2 Reconstruction of an algebra

Let A be an algebra. We have just seen that, using the forgetful functor $F_A : \text{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(k)$, we can consider another algebra: the algebra $\text{End}(F_A)$ of natural endomorphisms of F_A .

The question is how the algebras A and $\text{End}(F_A)$ are related. We already have a map

$$\rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}(F_A),$$

which maps $a \in A$ to the natural endomorphism $\rho(a)$ from Example 1.9. In fact, this is a homomorphism of algebras. Moreover, we have:

Proposition 2.1. *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : A &\rightarrow \text{End}(F_A), \\ a &\mapsto (M \rightarrow M, x \mapsto a \cdot x)_{M \in \text{Ob}(\text{Mod}(A))} \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism between the algebra A and the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}(F_A)$ of the forgetful functor $F_A : \text{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(k)$.

To summarize, we have thus fully reconstructed an algebra A from its category of representations and the forgetful functor to $\text{Vect}(k)$. Note that the algebra $\text{End}(F_A)$ is described purely in terms of the structures of $\text{Mod}(A)$ and F_A as (k -linear) category and functor, respectively. To construct it we do not need $\text{Mod}(A)$ to be the representation category of an algebra.

3 Monoidal categories and monoidal functors

A bialgebra is an algebra with additional structure: co-multiplication. This is mirrored by additional structure on the category of modules over a bialgebra. One can form tensor products of modules. We introduce the formal notion of a category with such additional monoidal structure:

Definition 3.1. A *monoidal category* (or *tensor category*) $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, a, l, r)$ consists of

- a category \mathcal{C} ,
- a functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (called *tensor product*),
- an object $I \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ (called *unit*),
- a natural isomorphism $a : \otimes(\otimes \times \text{id}) \Rightarrow \otimes(\text{id} \times \otimes)$ (called *associativity constraint*), and
- natural isomorphisms $l : \otimes(I \times \text{id}) \Rightarrow \text{id}$ and $r : \otimes(\text{id} \times I) \Rightarrow \text{id}$ (called *left* and *right unit constraint*),

that together satisfy the following axioms (*Pentagon Axiom* and *Triangle Axiom*): The diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((U \otimes V) \otimes W) \otimes X & \xrightarrow{a_{U,V,W} \otimes \text{id}_X} & (U \otimes (V \otimes W)) \otimes X \\ \downarrow a_{U \otimes V, W, X} & & \downarrow a_{U, V \otimes W, X} \\ (U \otimes V) \otimes (W \otimes X) & & U \otimes ((V \otimes W) \otimes X) \\ \downarrow a_{U, V, W \otimes X} & & \downarrow \text{id}_U \otimes a_{V, W, X} \\ U \otimes (V \otimes (W \otimes X)) & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_U \otimes a_{V, W, X}} & U \otimes ((V \otimes W) \otimes X) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (V \otimes I) \otimes W & & \\ \downarrow a_{V, I, W} & \searrow r_V \otimes \text{id}_W & \\ V \otimes (I \otimes W) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_V \otimes l_W} & V \otimes W \end{array}$$

commute for all objects $U, V, W, X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

Example 3.2.

1. The conventional tensor product of vector spaces and linear maps endows the category $\text{Vect}(k)$ with the structure of a monoidal category. The tensor unit is the vector space given by the ground field k . Furthermore, for vector spaces U, V and W , we have canonical isomorphisms

$$(U \otimes V) \otimes W \cong U \otimes (V \otimes W), \quad k \otimes V \cong V \quad \text{and} \quad V \otimes k \cong V.$$

2. Let H be a bialgebra. Then the category $\text{Mod}(H)$ of (left) H -modules is a monoidal category as follows. Given two H -modules M and N we can endow their tensor product as vector spaces $M \otimes N$ with the structure of an H -module using the co-multiplication of H . Also, we can endow the vector space tensor unit k with an H -module structure using the co-unit of H . Finally, the same associativity, left unit and right unit constraints as for vector spaces also become isomorphisms of H -modules.

When one tries to reconstruct the bialgebra H from the monoidal category $\text{Mod}(H)$ and the forgetful functor into $\text{Vect}(k)$, one needs this functor to respect the additional monoidal structure:

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, a, l, r)$ and $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}, \tilde{\otimes}, \tilde{I}, \tilde{a}, \tilde{l}, \tilde{r})$ monoidal categories. A *tensor functor* $(F, \varphi_0, \varphi_2)$ from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} consists of

- a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$,
- an isomorphism $\varphi_0 : \tilde{I} \rightarrow F(I)$, and
- a natural isomorphism $(\varphi_2(U, V) : F(U) \tilde{\otimes} F(V) \rightarrow F(U \otimes V))_{U, V \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$

such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (F(U) \tilde{\otimes} F(V)) \tilde{\otimes} F(W) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}_{F(U), F(V), F(W)}} & F(U) \tilde{\otimes} (F(V) \tilde{\otimes} F(W)) \\
 \downarrow \varphi_2(U, V) \otimes \text{id}_{F(W)} & & \downarrow \text{id}_{F(U)} \otimes \varphi_2(V, W) \\
 F(U \otimes V) \tilde{\otimes} F(W) & & F(U) \tilde{\otimes} F(V \otimes W) \\
 \downarrow \varphi_2(U \otimes V, W) & & \downarrow \varphi_2(U, V \otimes W) \\
 F((U \otimes V) \otimes W) & \xrightarrow{F(a_{U, V, W})} & F(U \otimes (V \otimes W)),
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \tilde{I} \tilde{\otimes} F(U) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{l}_{F(U)}} & F(U) \\
 \downarrow \varphi_0 \tilde{\otimes} \text{id}_{F(U)} & & \uparrow F(l_U) \\
 F(I) \tilde{\otimes} F(U) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2(I, U)} & F(I \otimes U)
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 F(U) \tilde{\otimes} \tilde{I} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{r}_{F(U)}} & F(U) \\
 \downarrow \text{id}_{F(U)} \tilde{\otimes} \varphi_0 & & \uparrow F(r_U) \\
 F(U) \tilde{\otimes} F(I) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2(U, I)} & F(U \otimes I)
 \end{array}$$

commute for all objects $U, V, W \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

Example 3.4. Let H be a bialgebra. Then the forgetful functor $F_H : \text{Mod}(H) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(k)$ is a functor between monoidal categories. In fact, it becomes a tensor functor using $\varphi_0 = \text{id}_k : k \rightarrow F_H(k) = k$ and $\varphi_2(M, N) = \text{id}_{F_H(M \otimes N)} : F_H(M) \otimes F_H(N) \rightarrow F_H(M \otimes N)$ for H -modules M and N .

References

- [1] C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [2] S. Majid, *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [3] P. Schauenburg, *Tannaka duality for arbitrary Hopf algebras*, Algebra Berichte 66, Verlag Reinhard Fischer, München, 1992.